

FOR A EUROPE OF THE FATHERLANDS

## Mr. Sarkozy: Pull Down The Tower of Babel!

by Jacques Cheminade

The President of France, Nicolas Sarkozy, is going to be the president of the European Union (EU) for the next six months. This happens at a decisive point of world history, with the financial and monetary tsunami hitting the coasts of Europe. The tragic dilemma is that the true interest of the Western European states and population cannot be fulfilled and protected by the present institutions of the EU, a Tower of Babel already rejected by France and the Netherlands in 2005, and now by the Irish “No” vote to the Lisbon Treaty. The European scene is therefore like a show of handcuffed dwarfs possessed by the delusion of ruling an empire, while their own peoples shout “shame” at them, and the true empire, in London, laughs at their act and despises their impotence.

Given this unbearable situation, it is my duty, as chairman of the French Solidarity and Progress party, to say a few heavy words to my President, hoping not to convince him, but to put forward standards of action, contributing to inspire a republican outbreak coming from the best aspects of our European and French historical culture of citybuilders, that culture which produced the Renaissance and the birth of America. It demands that Europe and France see beyond their failed institutions and limited borders, towards the United States in the West, and China, Russia, and India in the East, as “lands of opportunity” for a new world financial and monetary order, the New Bretton Woods of Lyndon LaRouche in the tradition of Roosevelt, de Gaulle, Adenauer, Moro, and all those who inspired what is known in France as the “30 glorious years” of the European post-World War II recovery.

We are far from such an outbreak, as proven by the silly behavior of the European heads of state at the recent Brussels European Council. This is precisely why I am writing now: “Mr. Sarkozy, pull down the Tower of Babel, and go for the Europe of the Fatherlands and great infrastructural projects, from the Atlantic to the Urals and the Sea of China,” a Europe

freed from the financial and political grip of the British Empire, to regain her contribution to the “cause of humanity,” as de Gaulle said in his University of Mexico speech of March 1964.

### **The Insuperable Contradiction of Nicolas Sarkozy**

On July 1, the French President declared to a group of journalists that, “we must deeply change our way to build Europe.” At the European Council on June 19-20, in Brussels, he had blasted British European Trade Commissar Peter Mandelson, one of Tony Blair’s Leporellos, in a very undiplomatic way: “A child dies of hunger every 30 seconds, and we should go and negotiate a 20% cut in the European food production! Honestly, I see only one person sharing such an opinion, and it is Mr. Mandelson.” He also attacked the malthusian policy of the Fishing Commissar Joe Borg, and called for a Europe of the producers. The pro-British president of the European Commission, José Manuel Barroso, reacted angrily against Sarkozy, but Sarkozy told him to mind his own business. Sarkozy also blasted the French president of the World Trade Organization, former Rand Corporation and British patsy Pascal Lamy, accusing him and Mandelson of manipulating the Doha round of world trade negotiations, to promote the cause of financiers and merchants instead of producers. The dispute has gone so far that Mandelson, who had participated in the first meeting of the European Commission task force in Paris, refused to “honor” the Élysée Palace dinner offered by Mr. Sarkozy. To the French journalists, Sarkozy declared that he “won’t approve a trade agreement which would sacrifice agricultural production on the altar of liberal globalization.” As a result, it is now very likely that the world trade conference on the Doha round, called by Lamy on July 21 in Geneva, is going to be an utter failure.

The French position on the world food crisis has been

better than that of most European states. French Agriculture Minister Michel Barnier has called for a “Global New Deal” for world agriculture and for the “organized markets and development policies” of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) to be taken as an example for all nations. Sarkozy referred to a right of state protectionism when crucial economic matters are at stake. He asked the Commission to approve a French proposal to introduce a measure to compensate for the increase of gasoline and fuel prices and to protect the professions based on the consumption of such fuels. A big fight ensued, the Brussels bureaucrats declaring that such a measure would be “a distortion of competition” and even asking the French fishermen to reimburse past subsidies obtained for the same reason. Sarkozy shouted in private against the “Brussels a\*\*holes,” and the whole affair is going to be discussed again at the Oct. 15 European Council meeting. The French President also wants to make “energy” a priority on the European agenda, and has called for nuclear energy to be an absolute priority in French foreign relations.

In his July 1 Paris press conference, Sarkozy also attacked the policies of Jean-Claude Trichet and the European Central Bank (ECB). He pointed out that such an institution should “first ask itself the question of economic growth and not only that of inflation. . . . The point is to control the price of raw materials and speculation. You are not going to tell me that in the fight against inflation the only weapon is to increase interest rates.”

All this may raise interesting issues, but there is an absolute fallacy of composition in the French President’s approach. He does not want to challenge the generating principle that is destroying Europe’s nation-states and populations, what Barroso himself has called an “imperial self-imposed, democratically organized principle,” the principle of the European treaties of Maastricht, Amsterdam, Nice, and Lisbon. Sarkozy is, in fact, destroying himself and his credibility, by trying to change some rules, within the rules of the game. He is caught in the dilemma of having been elected and put into power by financial interests allied to the City of London, and he is supposed to behave as a British agent. But at the same time, as a good populist and opportunist, he feels the pressure of the population and the French state institutions, which are traditionally opposed to economic liberalism and anti-national sovereignty supranationalism. So, the more loudly he shouts, the more he has to do the contrary of what he says; hence his permanent, quasi-pathological state of tension.

My advice would be: For the sake of France, Europe, and the cause of humanity, as well as for your personal reputation and mental health, you should get out of your straightjacket, and pull down the British Tower of Babel.

### What Has To Be Done

The way to do it would be for the French President to arrive in Ireland on July 11, and tell the Irish people, “*Je vous ai compris*”: I understood what you meant, beyond the appar-

ently contradictory reasons of your “No.” A “No” is a “No,” and I am not going to ask you to vote once again. It would be a dishonor for us all, and to try to change the people when the people resist, never leads to good results for the leaders, as proven by the example of East Germany. So, Sarkozy should say, I am fed up with trying to impose reason in a house of fools, and therefore we are going to change the house. No more Babels or babblings; the game is over. The Poles and the Czechs are also going to say “No,” and even in my own country, France, the polls show that my people would vote the same way as you did. The German people, and almost all the peoples of Europe, would also say “No.”

So, because we need Europe, we are going to build the one that responds to the will and interests of the peoples, the Europe of the Fatherlands and great projects. We don’t want a European Central Bank which prevents the financing of great projects; we don’t want treaties that prevent the Central Bank from

## Zepp-LaRouche: Germany Is Still a Constitutional State

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, founder of the Schiller Institute and leader of the Civil Rights Movement Solidarity Party in Germany, issued the following statement June 30, in response to the news that German President Horst Köhler will respect the request of the German Constitutional Court, that he not sign the Lisbon Treaty until the court has ruled on legal challenges.

“This is a very good development, because it shows that Germany, at least for now, is still a *Rechtstaat*, or constitutional state. I am confident that the Constitutional judges will find many points where the Lisbon Treaty violates the *Grundgesetz*, or Basic Law, of the German republic. Among these points are:

“1. Sovereignty, which, according to the *Grundgesetz* emanates from the people, is transferred to a supranational bureaucracy, which is not accountable to the people.

“2. Once the Treaty is signed, the European Union bureaucracy can change anything in it whenever or however it wants, without consulting with the states.

“3. The Treaty represents a fundamental change of the Constitution, which change, according to the *Grundgesetz*, requires the agreement of the population.”

There is no date set when the Constitutional Court will rule on challenges to the Treaty, which had already been thrown into limbo by the Irish, who voted “No” in a referendum held June 12.

directly financing great projects; we don't want to abandon the creation of money by nation-states in favor of private banks and insurance companies; we don't want states to have to borrow from them and pay continuously such amounts of interest that we end up paying more than what we have borrowed.

So, we are going to dump Article 123 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, in order to free the energy to invest at low interest rates for long-term projects, with the money of national banks betting on the future—betting to be reimbursed by the benefits of the projects themselves. Some of you would remember that this was the key to the success of the Marshall Plan. So, the cause of Europe should be the conception and the financing of such great projects; but it can only be done within the context of an alliance of state national banks. Let's therefore go back to what has been so successful in the past, and drop what has failed in the last 40 years, drop a euro that has brought the prices up and the wages down. But this could not be possible within the present economic and monetary system; it needs a New Bretton Woods among the community of world nations, to reestablish fixed parities, ban speculation, ban all the financial structures that have destroyed the real economies. This is my New Deal for Europe, as a pivot between America and Asia, the Irish people being an embodiment of this new and just cause of Europe.

### The Months To Come

Unhappily, Nicolas Sarkozy won't say such words, because he is tied to the British Empire, as he has proven during his recent trip to London. So, he is going to try to slyly change certain things, while submitting to the orders of his masters: ask the Irish people to vote again before the European elections of June 2009; never endorse a New Bretton Woods; don't challenge the order of Brussels, Maastricht, Amsterdam, Nice, and Lisbon. Speak as much as you wish about the control of immigrants, global warming, and the reduction of the rate of the Value Added Tax for restaurants and coffee shops. The house is burning, they say, but that is our plan. So as long as you take care of the furniture and don't call the firemen, we the British arsonists like it.

Probably two decisive arguments may convince the Europeans in general, and Sarkozy in particular, if not now, then sooner than they themselves expect. The first one is that the arsonists are good at setting the fire, but very bad at stopping it. The fire of the financial collapse would therefore very soon spread into the very houses of the friends of Sarkozy in London and Wall Street, and we can then expect a survival reaction, if not a true compassion for the fate of the others. The second one is that the American people, organized by us, and the Russian, Indian, and Chinese leaders, are already taking firewall measures. The initiative to change is not going to come from Western Europe, but if the door for escape is open, we can expect some hosts to fly away to security. Mr. Sarkozy, my last word would be: "*Échappéz le premier, les autres suivront*"—Escape first, the others will follow.

# A Future for Europe, And for Europeans

by Rainer Apel

The latest statements by German Chancellor Angela Merkel and Foreign Minister Frank-Walter Steinmeier, including that after the Irish "No," the rest of the European Union should simply proceed with the ratification of the Lisbon Treaty, shows a dangerous alienation from reality. The Lisbon Treaty has been destroyed: Not only was it unambiguously rejected in Ireland (which, according to the EU's unanimity clause, means the Treaty is "out"), but also the Presidents of Poland and the Czech Republic last week reiterated that they no longer see any sense in signing the document, and thereby finishing the process begun by the ratification by Parliament.

In Germany itself, a new situation has emerged, since Federal President Horst Köhler last week made it clear that he would not sign the treaty until the Constitutional Court rules on the legal cases against the treaty that are now before it. In Austria, the Socialists have changed sides and are demanding that for future European decisions, the people of the EU countries should be consulted.

Instead of chasing after the chimera of the Lisbon Treaty, European politicians should solve concrete problems; and these are great challenges, as, for example, the continuing protests by truck drivers in many EU countries show. The failure of the EU, and especially of the European Central Bank (ECB), to act against the sharp rise in crude oil prices caused by speculation, brings with it the danger that the European economy, already hard hit by the outbreak of the systemic banking crisis a year ago, is absolutely destroyed. Truck drivers, fishermen, farmers, and other groups are threatened with ruin by the price of diesel fuel, while airline companies confront formidable problems, and the price of kerosene is almost 20% higher than at the end of 2007.

During the demonstration of 1,000 truck drivers in London on July 2, Andy Boyle, the chairman of the trade association RHA, said: "The hard reality is that raw materials prices are driving many transportation businesses under. It is therefore absolutely vital that the government not only listen to us, but also urgently do something. For all those who are here today, be they lorry drivers or parliamentary deputies, doing nothing is no longer a solution."

### ECB Policy: Hogwash and Madness

Oil prices are driving the inflation of prices of other goods, for example steel, and with the rise of the crude steel price by 50% in the last 12 months, the entire steel-working industry is suffering. Although the reasons for the problem are generally