

Beijing, and producing lying reports, like the one entitled “Breaking the Climate Deadlock,” which was produced to shape the meeting of the Group of 8 in early July.

The Tony Blair Faith Foundation, founded by Blair after he converted to Catholicism in 2007, has served as a nesting place for a whole group of “religious” operatives who come from very high places, including the circles of the British monarchy itself. The Foundation is based in London, and at the Yale Divinity School, where Blair holds a chair and teaches courses in “religion and globalization.” Among the directors is Lord Richard Chartres, the Anglican Bishop of London, who is also a member of the Privy Council, and the Dean of the Royal Household Chapel. Chartres is also a spiritual advisor and close friend of Prince Charles.

Blair continues to get invitations to address prominent events, such as the annual Rimini Conference in

Italy, held just this week. Blair’s sophisticated jargon won him considerable applause, and he is clearly out to use the publicity in his drive for a new position—president of the European Union (should that position ever be created). And what would he do in that position? Not only would it give him another podium for pushing conflict, but he would make Europe a permanent prisoner of the British imperial drive.

But, without control over the United States, through his puppet Obama, Blair’s international machinations will amount to no more than the buzzing of a pesky, ugly gnat. Break Blair’s power over Obama—and take the U.S. back for the American System—and the British Empire can finally be sent down the tubes, where it belongs.

*Anton Chaitkin and Richard Freeman contributed research for this report.*

---

## LaRouche on Afghanistan

---

# ‘No Alternative to Total Victory’ — Over the British Empire

by Jeffrey Steinberg

Aug. 27—It is growing more and more clear that President Barack Obama is on the verge of committing another, perhaps fatal policy blunder, this time having to do with Afghanistan. According to Washington sources, we are days, or, at most, weeks away from a decision by the President to again escalate the U.S. troop deployments to Afghanistan, as soon as the U.S. and NATO forces commander, Gen. Stanley McChrystal, delivers his much-awaited recommendations. According to sources close to the Administration, McChrystal is certain to ask for more troops—an initial boost of 17,000 soldiers—and the President is likely to grant his request, despite warnings from some of his top advisors, including his National Security Advisor Gen. James Jones.

According to one senior U.S. intelligence source, there is a broad institutional consensus that the United States cannot withdraw its forces from Afghanistan until a stable government has been secured in Kabul, and the Taliban and al-Qaeda insurgency has been de-

feated. “We have no choice, no matter who is President,” the source recently reported. The source noted that there is no longer any distinction between the Taliban and the drug lords, who are behind the opium and heroin production, that accounts for 95% of the world’s black market supply.

This irrational “institutional consensus” was on full display Aug. 26, at a Brookings Institution event, featuring some top Obama Administration Afghan policy advisors. Bruce Reidel, the chairman of Obama’s Afghan task force; Michael O’Hanlon, an advisor to Gen. David Petraeus, the Centcom commander; Anthony Cordesman, a Pentagon advisor at the Center for Strategic and International Studies; and Kimberly Kagan, wife of “surge” author Fred Kagan, and herself a leading neocon, all rationalized why there would be no pullout or drawdown of forces from Afghanistan—for at least the next five years—without providing a single explanation for why U.S. and NATO forces



U.S. Army

*President Obama has now drawn the U.S. into an unwinnable land war in Asia, precisely what Generals MacArthur and Eisenhower warned against. Shown: A U.S. soldier dismounts from a Black Hawk helicopter while his team surveys the harsh terrain of Afghanistan's Kandahar province, Aug. 23, 2009.*

remain in Afghanistan, eight years after the 9/11 attacks, or what an exit strategy would even look like. In fact, Reidel and Cordesman candidly admitted that the U.S. and NATO could be defeated militarily, and that the current situation on the ground is already dire.

## Lured into a Trap

Briefed on these developments, Lyndon LaRouche had a clear explanation for why the United States is stuck in Afghanistan. “The British have lured us into this trap, and they want us to stay there until we have failed altogether.” LaRouche noted that, since the time of the Seven Years War (1757-1763), when the British Empire first emerged in its current form, the British have pursued a policy of inducing targeted nations to

destroy themselves, by being trapped into wars they have no business fighting.

“The British manipulate the United States from the outside—not through some little conspiratorial cabal,” LaRouche elaborated. “Look at the case of Vietnam: When President John F. Kennedy accepted the wise advice of top American retired generals, including Douglas MacArthur and Dwight Eisenhower, that the United States should never to get caught in a land-war in Asia, and began plans to withdraw U.S. forces from Indochina, the British assassinated him. After that, as Lyndon Johnson candidly admitted in his final interview before his death, JFK’s successor plunged head-long into Vietnam—out of fear of the assassins’ bullets that took down Kennedy.

“Now,” LaRouche continued, “we are once again being lured into a land war in Asia. It is Vietnam, all over again, and the British are pushing us in, deeper and deeper. The enemy is *not*, fundamentally, the Taliban and al-Qaeda. The real enemy, the real threat, comes from the British Empire. If you don’t have a top-down understanding of the role of the British, and the specific kinds of manipulations they run—like Tony Blair’s ‘sexed-up’ Iraq disinformation dossiers in 2002—you will almost invariably fall into the trap.”

LaRouche concluded, “Every war, since the middle of the 18th Century, that has erupted, anywhere on the planet, has been manipulated by the British. That is how they operate. They exploit the ideological blinders, the petty hatreds, and induce nations to self-destruct. Often, they take actions that appear to jeopardize Britain itself, to win their objectives. This is what the Harold Wilson government did in 1967-68, when they wrecked the pound sterling. They did it to induce the United States to abandon the Bretton Woods System altogether—which is exactly what Richard Nixon, under the sway of George Shultz and Henry Kissinger, did in 1971.

“In 1992, again, the British had their little Nazi-colaborator puppet, George Soros, run a \$2 billion attack on the pound sterling, which busted up the quasi-fixed-exchange European Rate Mechanism. The breakup of the ERM was the pivotal event, that opened up continental Europe for self-destruction, under the Maastricht Treaty.

“This is how the British Empire operates. And unless some people around the White House wise up soon, the United States is going to be dragged even deeper into a catastrophic failure in Afghanistan. There is no alternative to victory—victory over the British Empire.”