

Climate Swindle: Do You Really Want World Dictatorship?

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Dec. 8—This leaflet was issued by the Schiller Institute, of which Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche is the international founder and the president in Germany. It was translated, and subheads and footnotes were added by EIR.

With unprecedented audacity, a clique of climate scientists, a majority of the media, and an array of governments are trying to uphold the fairy tale of anthropogenic climate change, even though the recently published e-mails from East Anglia University have totally confirmed the suspicion of innumerable serious scientists that there is no evidence for global warming.

The reason for this is obvious: Today's monetarist system of globalization is several orders of magnitude more bankrupt than the communist system was in 1989. The British Empire is especially desperate. The crisis in Dubai and other pending state bankruptcies make clear that a second wave of insolvencies threatens to collapse the whole bankrupt system—and this time the financial oligarchy does not assume that the taxpayers in all countries are prepared to pay off the gambling debts of those who have been accumulating even more of them in grand style.

So, what is happening in Copenhagen? It is nothing less than an effort to establish a world dictatorship, to fund the gambling casino in a new way, and to give an environmentalist cover to the long-desired goal of population reduction.

Monckton: 'A Bureaucratic Coup'

Listen to what Lord Monckton¹ had to say in Berlin on Dec. 4:

"The Copenhagen Treaty says that it is going to establish a world government. This has been an ambition

1. Britain's Lord Christopher Monckton is a leading opponent of the "climate change" hoax internationally. See interview, p. 8.

of certain bureaucrats, certain political groupings, fascists, freemasons, marxists, for hundreds of years. All these different groups, all at once or one after another, wanted to achieve world domination. Previously it was thought it might happen by force, by military force. Now they found a way of doing it by what one might call a bureaucratic coup d'état in the name of saving the planet which doesn't need to be saved. There is no threat to the climate. They have decided that they can persuade even the free nations of the West to give up their democracy, give up their freedom, and transfer all ultimate economic as well as environmental power to an unelected world government.

"When Sir Maurice Strong first created the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change in the UN, he created it not as a scientific body, but as a political entity. He said at the time that he hoped that this would become the nucleus of a world government. The whole idea of world government, according to people like Sir Maurice Strong, is not to trust the people. What they will talk of is that there has been market failure, in that the policies and freedom and successes of the free market and of capitalism have led to the poisoning of the planet with CO₂, and therefore we need to take democracy away, so that the institutions of democracy, which include the stock markets and companies which flourish best under freedom, all of those will in future be under the thumb of a tyranny.

"It won't seem brutal initially, but it will be extensive. It will stifle freedom in exactly the way that we have already begun to see with the European Union, where there is a European parliament, but it cannot propose legislation. If it decides something, it can be overruled by the commissars. If it wishes to amend legislation, only the commissars, who are unelected, can give it permission. So Europe already has a kind of regional model of what will become a world government with far greater powers even than the EU over the individual nations of the world. It will be a sad day for freedom and democracy if that treaty proceeds at Copenhagen in only a few days' time.

"The Climate-gate Affair, the revelation that all the leading scientific institutions and leading scientists around the world have been bending, inventing, fabricating, contriving, altering, hiding and destroying scientific data, will, if anything, put even more pressure on the world leaders who want a dictatorial world government, to act in haste, and push it through before too



EIRNS/James Rea

Lord Christopher Monckton interviews greens rallying outside a conference on “climate change” in Berlin on Dec. 4. He also intervned at the Copenhagen Conference, and addressed a counter-conference of the Nongovernmental Panel on Climate Change, in the same city.

many people find out about Climate-gate. At the moment, the mainstream news media have indulged in what might be almost called a conspiracy of silence, not to admit these e-mails exist, and if they have to admit they exist, as the BBC did one month after receiving them (but only after other people had mentioned it first), the BBC and most of the news media have still not said what is in these e-mails.

“So, the world political leaders who want to create a world government will try, and try really hard, extra hard as a result of Climate-gate, to push it through before it’s too late. Once people find out what you and I know, they will not want any kind of world government, because they would realize the climate is not at risk; that’s always been a fabrication, and those who are using that fabrication to close our liberties down, must not be allowed to get away with it.

“They have certainly destroyed data that had been validly requested under the British FOIA. That is a criminal offense, for which I think the professor in charge of it, and possibly one or two other officials there, including the FOIA-official at the University of East Anglia, will be prosecuted, and they will be heavily fined. I can’t see how they can get out of it, given

the very clear content of their e-mails, one of which is Professor Jones writing to his colleagues all over the world, saying, ‘Please, destroy your data, because otherwise we will have to give it to these people.’ That is an outrage, and he must not be allowed to get away with it. I hope that he will be prosecuted, convicted, and fined.

“I think that Prof. Rahmsdorf will be there, I imagine Prof. Schellnhuber will be there. I have heard Prof. Rahmsdorf’s lecture, and I have noticed in his slides that he never used a peer-reviewed source. He always used a website called re-allocate.org; and what is that website? It is the website of this poisonous network of dishonest scientists to which Rahmsdorf and Schellnhuber belong; to

which Jones belongs, Hansen and Schmidt of NASA belong; Tom Karl, who runs the National Climatic Data Center in the United States—Kevin Trenberth, who wrote the landmark paper in 1997 on the Earth’s radiation budget—all of these people are connected by these e-mails. We have always suspected they were connected, and of course we have never been able to prove it, but now we can. . . .

“I described how at various stages in the previous evolution of the climate scare, people had bent, distorted and invented the data, used corrupt mathematical procedures, used incorrect science, deliberately pushed things only in one direction, a false direction towards greater alarm than there should be. There is nothing wrong with the climate, we are having virtually no effect on it, but they wanted to tell another story, for financial reasons because they all got rich on this. . . .”

The fact that the majority of German industry adopted environmentalist technology long ago, and obviously intends to remain “market-leaders” in this area, which is based on totally false scientific assumptions, changes nothing about the blindness of their members.

Singer: ‘Everybody Will Lose’

Listen to what (to offer an exact description) Fred Singer² had to say at the same conference in Berlin:

“The final word I have is for those of you who are concerned about Germany and the economy of Germany. I have here something which was put out by the BDI [Federation of German Industry]. They accept every bit of nonsense from the IPCC! How is it possible that people who are educated, maybe even have engineering degrees, can go along with this kind of nonsense? They think that perhaps they will benefit from it. They will not! Everybody will lose. Everyone here will lose, and it is a shame that these things can happen. I read the names of some of the people: Keitel, Löscher, and Schulz.

“First of all, it is clear that we have no climate problem, and certainly no climate problem that can be tackled by human efforts. The question is: Why all this excitement? What is going on? And if you look into it closely, you can see that it is a question of money and power. I’m not into this business, but it is useful for those of you who are in the press or in the media to find out who benefits from all of this and who loses. Well, I can tell you who loses: the population as a whole.

“Now, what is the great disappointment to us from outside of Germany is to see what has happened here in this country when the government changed. We had expected and hoped for a change similar to what has happened, let’s say, in Australia in the last week, when they turned down cap and trade, the Emissions Trading System, decisively. We would think the same thing will happen in the United States. Here we find that the Black-Yellow [Christian Democratic-Free Democratic] government is following steps that are no different from the Red-Green [Social Democratic-Green]; in fact, it’s worse. A 40% cut by 2020? That is ridiculous! It doesn’t make sense.”

This monstrous dumbing down of the population must stop. There is only one reasonable theme to be discussed in Copenhagen, namely, how the greatest systemic crisis in the history of mankind can be overcome, how the poverty which cries to heaven, in which more than half of mankind lives, can be overcome.

The only practicable concept for doing that, has been proposed by Lyndon LaRouche, with his Four-Power alliance for a new credit system.

2. An American atmospheric physicist who has held many government and academic posts, and currently heads the private Science & Environmental Policy Project.

Interview: Lord Christopher Monckton

The Queen, Copenhagen, And ‘Climate Change’

Britain’s Lord Monckton was science advisor to Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher during the 1980s. Stephan Ossenkopp interviewed him at the International Conference on Climate Change, in Berlin on Dec. 4, 2009. The conference was sponsored by the Institute for Entrepreneurial Freedom (Institut für Unternehmerische Freiheit, IUF), the European Institute for Climate and Energy (EIKE), the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC), and the Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow (CFACT).

EIR: Environmentalism and the climate change theory have been the main obstacle to industrializing the poor regions of the world. With that obstacle gone, should we not turn towards these poor countries and make them an offer to allow them to industrialize quickly?

Monckton: Of course it is not our gift to allow them to industrialize; it is theirs to allow themselves to industrialize. What they must do is no longer be cowed and bullied by the UN and by the IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change] and by these bogus scientists with their bogus theories. They must say, “No, we do not need to worry about saving the planet anymore; those days are over. Therefore, if want to build fossil-fueled power stations, we will do it for the sake of lifting our people out of poverty.”

That is the line which is being taken by China, South Africa, Brazil and India. They are taking a joint stance by saying, “We will not have any compulsory limit of our emissions. We will not have any mitigation actions at all, unless somebody else pays for them. And we will not have anyone come in and inspect what we do in mitigation unless somebody else has paid for that miti-



EIRNS/Michelle Rasmussen