the British monarchy’s association with “Malthusianism” and Prince Philip’s shamelessly mass-murderous promotion of World Wildlife Fund. Since that offshoot of the Confederacy which was Theodore Roosevelt brought the mental sickness of “environmentalism” into the practice of U.S. national law, especially since the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert, the Anglo-American oligarchies have played an increasing role in destroying the conditions of scientific progress, which does conform to British imperial fancies, but not to the body of law on which the existence of our United States was promised.

This planet is presently gripped, in natural respects, by the urgency of ventures into exploration of nearby Solar and also galactic space, which are required as both the protection of the role of the human species within our Solar system, and, our ability to reach into needed effects affecting the functions and evolution of our galaxy. Already, at this time, the Solar system itself is approaching a place-and-time within the presently evolving galaxy which tends to place the human species in a more deadly part of the orbit of the galaxy which the human species has never experienced earlier.

Under such conditions as those, mankind’s scientific progress into increasing powers to master the changing conditions of nearby Solar space, and beyond, is a certifiably essential pre-condition for securing the existence of our human species. Clearly, that mandatory, and therefore obligatory mission of the nations of humanity, is to fulfill missions which are, at a minimum, essential for the continued existence of our species. That presently urgent mission for the future could not be fulfilled without the liberation of the minds of human beings generally for their becoming truly creative human minds whose work in a revolutionary process of scientific advances is indispensable policy of practice for our species as a whole.

The national cultures of humanity must be rallied to the common purpose of our species’ proper destiny, as in the image The Creator Himself. Thus, the law in the future, must become the law of creating a newer future. Only in this manner can the fires of a new holocaust of general warfare on this planet be averted. The Roman-like oligarchical tradition must be conclusively uprooted, and a policy of mankind’s devotion to creating the law of the future, established as the common destiny of the peoples’ sovereign nations.

London’s Puppet Obama Triggering Global War

by Jeffrey Steinberg and Nancy Spannaus

Nov. 1—As Lyndon LaRouche asserts in his “Qaddafi’s Death” (p. 4), the larger significance of President Barack Obama’s extrajudicial assassination of Libyan leader Muammar Qaddafi, at the close of the illegal NATO regime-change operation, has now become crystal clear: Under British puppet Obama, the United States is leading the planet into a Third World War that will cause greater devastation and loss of life than the two world wars of the previous century.

There is no solution to this existential threat to the continued survival of mankind without removing Obama from office immediately, under clear provisions of the U.S. Constitution. Obama can be impeached for crimes already committed and well-documented (see following article), including the illegal Libya War and the assassinations of American citizens without due process. He can also be immediately removed from office under Section 4 of the 25th Amendment, which provides for the orderly replacement of a U.S. President who is physically or mentally unfit to serve.

Obama’s recent behavior has proven, beyond a doubt, that LaRouche was absolutely right—on April 11, 2009—when he publicly compared the President to Emperor Nero, and warned that Obama displayed symptoms of a severe narcissistic disorder that posed a grave threat, were he to remain, unchecked, in office for long. Nerobama’s killer rage has now fully surfaced, and his safe removal from office is the only secure war-avoidance option left on the table.

The New Middle East Cockpit

There are clear indications that London and its White House puppet are committed to the forced removal of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad from office, by the same means used to depose and assassinate Libyan leader Qaddafi. The efforts of the Obama Administration, through British lackey, U.S. UN Ambassador Susan Rice, to ram through a UN Security Council resolution against Syria, signalled this intention so clearly, that Russia and China took the very
unusual step of both vetoing the resolution back in early October.

Any such attack on Syria would be part of a larger plan to militarily attack Iran as well, and the Russians are carrying out active diplomacy in order to stop it. Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov, on Nov. 1, declared that Russia supported the efforts of the Arab League to resolve the Syria crisis, but then clearly took aim at the war-mongering from the British-French-U.S. crew which ran the operation in Libya.

“We advocate an approach which, among others, is applied in Yemen,” Lavrov said, according to a wire put out by rt.com. “There was an initiative of the Cooperation council for the Arab States of the Gulf. And everyone, be it the Council itself, the Arab League, the EU, US, Russia or China, acted responsibly, without setting artificial deadlines, waiting for months until the goal was achieved.”

“Isolation is not our approach,” Lavrov continued. “If something goes wrong in Syria, many countries of the region will feel a negative impact. We can’t support isolation because of the lesson we drew from Libya.”

The Russian Ambassador to Qatar, Dr. Vladimir Titorenko, also addressed the Syria situation. Speaking in Qatar Oct. 31, he emphasized that Russia disapproves of the Syrian government’s harsh crackdown and is involved in mediation with the opposition. But he cautioned that the situation is complicated by the fact that some opposition forces refuse to talk with the government, and are actually conducting a shooting war against it. “Some outside elements are arming the demonstrators,” he charged.

**Iran Is Next**

Russia’s relationship with Iran is different than that with Syria: Any attack on Iran, which borders on territory formerly within the Soviet Union, would be deemed a *casus belli* for Moscow. So, any further war-making targeting Syria and Iran—as now planned in London and in the Obama White House—would immediately extend the conflict into the larger Eurasian region, and would draw in Russia.

The assassination of Qaddafi, as he was reportedly in the process of surrendering to international forces, was carried out to maintain the war momentum. Claims that London, Paris, and Washington feared the embarrassment of a Qaddafi trial, exposing deep ties to the Libyan leader, and had him assassinated to avert the public exposure are not the whole truth. The real motive behind the assassination was to accelerate the drive for further wars targeting Syria and Iran.

At the very moment that Lavrov issued his warning to Washington and London, new propaganda was being churned out in Israel and the United States, accelerating the targeting of both Syria and Iran.

The Israeli daily *Ha’aretz* revealed Nov. 1 that a diplomatic cable had been sent out to Israeli ambassadors around the world, alerting them to the assessment that Iran is now close enough to obtaining a nuclear weapon, that Israel may be forced to take action. The next day, Israeli newspapers reported that the Netanyahu Cabinet was close to reaching a majority in favor of an attack on Iran.

Also on Nov. 1, Associated Press reported that new allegations of a secret nuclear installation in Syria are being reviewed in Washington, and by the International Atomic Energy Agency. Just such allegations led to a bombing raid by Israel against Syria, with U.S. Vice President Dick Cheney’s blessing, in 2007.

The Israeli line about Iran being close to obtaining a nuclear bomb is pure disinformation—and even top officials in the Israeli Defense Forces and the Mossad...
know it. The Iranian nuclear program is largely in shambles. The continuing impact of the stuxnet computer virus is significantly hampering the ongoing enrichment efforts at the Iranian facilities at Natanz and Qom, according to senior U.S. intelligence sources. Other technical problems, tied to the tight sanctions against Iran, as well as the assassinations of at least four key Iranian nuclear physicists in the past two years, has further delayed and stymied the Iranian nuclear project.

And a recently updated U.S. National Intelligence Estimate (NIE) on Iran’s nuclear program, according to journalist Seymour Hersh, concluded that the 2007 estimate that Iran disbanded its nuclear weaponization program in the Autumn of 2003, was still accurate. Iran is not now pursuing a nuclear weapon, but is pursuing expanded nuclear power—in cooperation with Russia.

London’s Motive for Pushing World War

What could possibly be behind London’s berserker drive to provoke a conflict in the Middle East cockpit which would certainly lead to general global war, with a high likelihood that nuclear weapons would be used? The entire British imperial system is facing an existential breakdown crisis, centered in the trans-Atlantic region. The entire European financial and monetary system is hopelessly bankrupt. The recent “deal” brokered by German Chancellor Angela Merkel and French President Nicolas Sarkozy did absolutely nothing to solve the bankruptcy of the European and City of London banks.

The situation in the United States is no better. The so-called “Big Six” Wall Street banks—Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, Citibank, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, and Wells Fargo—are equally bankrupt. The U.S. banks are exposed to the now unraveling European debt crisis, and they are still sitting on trillions of dollars on near-worthless mortgage-backed securities and derivatives contracts. The whole trans-Atlantic system, as presently constituted, is doomed in the near term.

This financial disintegration is already driving a process of social disintegration, most graphically seen in Greece, where Prime Minister George Papandreou just replaced his top military brass, raising the question of whether the military is seriously considering a coup d’état to avoid the total destruction of the nation. Virtually every other European nation, starting with the so-called PIIGS countries (Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece, and Spain)—is facing the same disintegration. Great Britain itself is hardly immune to the process. And the United States is not far behind.

In contrast, Russia is not in a state of disintegration. China and India are continuing to grow. The British imperial faction cannot allow the Asia-Pacific region to remain stable, as the trans-Atlantic region goes through social and political and economic disintegration.

London has apparently decided that it cannot allow the LaRouche program for Glass-Steagall, a credit-led economic recovery, and an alliance with Russia and China, along with India, to go forward, despite the fact that its policies represent virtual suicide for the trans-Atlantic region itself. Any movement towards implementation of LaRouche’s seven-point recovery program, including the creation of a fixed-exchange-rate credit system among the world’s leading sovereign nation-states, would spell the end of the British Empire, and it is the Empire, not the people, that the London imperium is determined to try to save.

No Local Wars

The British Empire has been in a similar place before.

Beginning in the 1890s, Britain’s Prince Albert Edward—later King Edward VII—moved to provoke a series of regional wars across Eurasia. His objective was to stop the further expansion of the American System into the continent, an expansion expressed in the U.S.-Russian collaboration on the completion of the Trans-Siberian Railroad and the proposal by Czar Nicholas to build a link between the Russian Far East and Alaska across the Bering Strait. Germany under Bismarck had adopted American System methods in promoting war-avoidance cooperation with Russia, including the construction of a rail link between Berlin and Baghdad. France under Gabriel Hanotaux had advanced similar American System-inspired projects, including a railroad from Paris to Vladivostok, and across the northern tier of the African continent.

All of these moves threatened to destroy the power of the British Empire, with its control over the strategic sea lanes of Eurasia. Albert Edward struck a deal with the Japanese Emperor to launch a series of wars in the Far East. Japan successively went to war against China and then Russia. Similar London-engineered wars were launched in Asia Minor. Ultimately the Balkan Wars, in conjunction with the other manipulated war provocations...
Pakistan was targeted by London from the moment that former Prime Minister Ali Bhutto announced his intentions to build nuclear power plants and bring Pakistan into the 20th Century. Bhutto was toppled by a London-Washington backed military coup, and executed for his crimes against London’s diktat: No Arab or Muslim nation will ever be allowed to fully develop and prosper as a modern nation-state.

Within a short period of years after the Bhutto execution, the entire Pakistan/Afghanistan region was drawn into an asymmetric war to drive the Soviet Army out of Afghanistan, into which it had foolishly been entrapped. Nearby, the Islamic Revolution in Iran had provided the pretext for an eight-year Persian Gulf War between Iran and Iraq—a war of mutual destruction that British agents like Henry Kissinger relished, and that was immediately followed by Operation Desert Storm, targeting the Saddam Hussein regime in Baghdad for eventual military overthrow. The decade-long asymmetric war to drive the Soviets out of Afghanistan destroyed Pakistan. Opium production and heroin processing, vital to the financing of the Afghan mujahideen program, created a deep structure of crime and corruption within the Pakistani military and intelligence structures.

When the Soviets withdrew, defeated, from Afghanistan, the United States turned tail and withdrew from all responsibilities to rebuild the war-ravaged Pakistan and Afghanistan. Indeed, the U.S. Congress showed their gratitude to Pakistan by invoking the Pressler Amendment, cutting off all aid to Pakistan, because it was pursuing a nuclear weapons capability.

Today, Pakistan’s leaders are convinced that the United States is poised once again, to abandon them, when it is time to withdraw American forces from Afghanistan in time for Obama’s reelection campaign.

**Strange Bedfellows**

The Middle East cockpit is set to explode, and one of the leading tools that can be exploited by London is its Zionist marcher-lord state, Israel. An Israeli attack on Iran or Syria would be the detonator for a regional war that would almost immediately devolve into a global conflagration, drawing in the United States, Russia, China, and India.
Lawyers Detail Case To Impeach Obama

by Edward Spannaus

Nov. 1—President Obama’s policies of waging war and assassinations outside of any legal or constitutional framework, have come under sharp attack from various quarters recently, with two of these being of particular importance. The question is: When will Congress, and other leading political figures, take up their responsibility to act on the clear evidence that President Obama is a clear and present imminent danger to the United States, its citizens, and world peace, and remove him from office.

First, we summarize below an interview with Prof. Francis Boyle of the University of Illinois College of Law, a noted expert on international law and human rights. Boyle, who has offered his services to any member of Congress for drawing up articles of impeachment against Obama, says that not only do the President’s attack on Libya, and the assassination of U.S. citizens, represent subversion of the Constitution, but he adds that, if Obama is not stopped, his next target might be Syria or Iran, which could lead to World War III.

Secondly, we report on a new paper on the Obama Administration’s policy of targeted killings, by New York University Law Prof. Philip Alston, a former UN Special Rapporteur on Extrajudicial, Summary and Arbitrary Executions. Alston describes the Obama policy as a throwback to the Dark Ages, and warns of the extreme danger when one state carries out assassinations on the territory of another, with which it is not at war. “The result,” Alston declares, “is the steady undermining of the international rule of law, and the setting of legal precedents which will inevitably come back to haunt the United States before long when invoked by other states with highly problematic agendas.”

Boyle: Grounds for Obama’s Impeachment

In an Oct. 29 interview with LaRouchePAC TV’s Leandra Bernstein, Professor Boyle emphasized two impeachable offenses committed by President Obama,