

Two British Witches Out To Smash National Sovereignty

Editorial, “Susan Rice, and U.S. Sovereignty,” *EIR*, July 23, 1999

If anyone were to doubt the accuracy of *EIR*’s insistence that important areas of U.S. foreign policy are run by the British oligarchy, that person should take a long, hard look at what a senior official in the State Department has recently proclaimed to leading figures of that oligarchy.

The person in question is Susan Rice, U.S. Assistant Secretary for African Affairs. On May 13, Rice delivered the Bram Fischer Memorial Lecture at the Rhodes House in Oxford, England. In her speech, Rice declared her undying loyalty to the British establishment. “I am deeply honored to be the Bram Fischer lecturer this year,” she said. “It is gratifying to be back at Oxford representing President Clinton and Secretary Albright.... Almost nine years ago, I spent much of my time in this very house, buried in the library upstairs. To be at Rhodes House tonight with so many friends, benefactors, and mentors is a personal privilege. It is like a coming home for me—for much of what I know about Africa was discovered within these walls, refined at this great university, with the generous support of the Rhodes Trust.”...

Rice, like Henry Kissinger, comes out of the British school of geopolitics, but the branch connected to Kissinger’s Tweedledum counterpart, Zbigniew Brzezinski. Her mentor, Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, is a graduate of Zbiggy’s school. Thus, Rice, over the past five years, has pursued every British policy aim in Africa: from supporting Ugandan dictator Yoweri Museveni and the Tutsi extremist Paul Kagame in Rwanda, to exacerbating confrontation with Sudan. Rice is now engaged in the renewed assault against Sudan, as the U.S. Congress announced in House

Resolution 75, passed in June, which calls for establishing “no-fly zones” in Sudan, along the Iraqi model. This means preparing the ground for armed conflict with Sudan. The policy, like most of U.S. policy on Sudan, originated in Britain, and was channelled into Congress by British intelligence agent Baroness Caroline Cox....

Lawrence Freeman, “Why Do We Call Susan Rice a Racist? Because She Is One,” *EIR*, Dec. 25, 2009

...The pretext for Obama’s and Rice’s threats against other nations are allegations of human rights violations and genocide, taken straight out of Tony Blair’s speech, presumptuously titled “Doctrine of the International Community,” at the Chicago Economic Club on April 24, 1999.

As the British-centered monetarist system continues its chaotic disintegration, we can expect Obama to override more moderate policies offered by the State Department, and give targeted nations hell. Rice played a particularly nasty role as a senior foreign policy advisor to then-candidate Barack Obama in the 2008 Democratic Presidential primaries, where she was used by his campaign to challenge Sen. Hillary Clinton’s foreign policy expertise....



UN Photo/Jenny Rocket

Susan Rice, as U.S. Ambassador to the UN in 2009, bangs the gavel to order the world’s nations to toe the line.

Her British Pedigree

To understand Rice's startling display of racism towards Africa, it is useful to examine her training by, and affection for, those institutions that represent the inner core of British imperialist policy historically:

1990: A Rhodes Scholar, she received her PhD in International Relations from New College, Oxford.

1990: Awarded Royal Commonwealth Society's Walter Frewen Lord Prize for outstanding research in the field of Commonwealth History.

1992: Recipient of the first annual award given by the Royal Institute of International Affairs (Chatham House) and the British International Studies Association for the most distinguished dissertation in the U.K. in the field of international studies. . . . Her dissertation, "The Commonwealth Initiative in Zimbabwe, 1979-1980: Implications for International Peacekeeping," praised the British peacekeeping transition, after the Empire engineered a 13-year war against the liberation of the people of Zimbabwe.

1993-95: Director for International Organizations and Peacekeeping at the National Security Council.

1995-97: Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director for African Affairs.

1997-2001: Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs. . . .

May 1999: Honored as the Bram Fischer Memorial Lecturer at Rhodes House, Oxford, while she was U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs, Rice said how happy she was to be there: "To be at Rhodes House tonight with so many friends, benefactors, and mentors is a personal privilege. It is like a coming home for me for much of what I know about Africa was discovered within these walls, refined at this great university, with generous support of the Rhodes Trust." (Cecil Rhodes was a leading Fabian-imperialist racist who, in the second half of the 19th Century, was determined to bring all Africa under the control of the British Empire.) Rice also lied that Sudan was seeking a chemical weapons capability.

2002: Brookings Institution, Senior Fellow in the Foreign Policy and Global Economy Development program.

Rice's Anti-African Racism

While serving at the NSC and State Department, Rice became part of the team that opposed the Islamic leadership of Sudanese President Omar-al Bashir, which team is still operating today to derail Gen. Scott



Cecil Rhodes (1853-1902), cartoon by Edward Linley Sambourne. Rhodes's view of Africa: "I contend that we are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human race. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited by the most despicable specimens of human beings—what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence; look again at the extra employment a new country added to our dominions gives."

Gration's diplomacy. . . . Rice's blind rage against Sudan was so intense, that she refused to ever meet with the then Sudanese ambassador to Washington, Mahdi Ibrahim Mohammed, which was part of her job, since diplomatic relations between the United States and Sudan had not yet been terminated. Quite an attitude for a U.S. diplomat responsible for African policy.

While many diplomats from the U.S. and Africa recognized Rice's lack of qualifications to assume the top post in the State Department for Africa, they miss the essential point about her mentality: Rice was then, and continues to be today, anti-African, as the following chronology shows.

- Testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee at her confirmation hearing on Sept. 2,

1997, Rice said: “In concert with concerned members of Congress, we have also recast our policy towards Sudan to apply additional pressure aimed at isolating the Khartoum regime in order to contain the threat it poses to U.S. interests and to compel it to halt its support for terrorism and its grave human rights abuses. We have also provided for the first time defensive military assistance to Sudan’s neighbors, which face a direct threat from Sudanese-sponsored insurgencies.”

Rice lied about Sudan being engaged in state-sponsored terrorism that threatened the United States, but admits that the U.S. sent arms to be used against Sudan, including arming the Sudan People’s Liberation Army (SPLA) of Southern Sudan. Despite Rice’s repeated lie that Sudan is the only state in sub-Saharan Africa that poses a direct threat to U.S. national security interests, no evidence has ever been made public, even to this day, to corroborate that allegation. In fact, the U.S. intelligence community has admitted that it *has no such evidence*, and has collaborated with its counterparts in Sudan in fighting terrorism.

- In the second term of the Clinton Presidency, Rice protected the interests of pharmaceutical industries from the demands of Africans suffering from AIDS. Rice joined fellow racist, and now-exposed population-reduction advocate Vice President Al Gore, in pressuring the newly elected South African President, Thabo Mbeki, not to produce less expensive generic drugs to combat the spread of AIDS. To achieve this reversal of Section 15C of the South African Medicines Act, Rice threatened the newly liberated nation with sanctions and tariffs.

- For years, there was more than speculation that a rogue operation in the U.S. government was supporting the 1996 invasion of the Democratic Republic of Congo (D.R.C.) by rebel movements sponsored by Rwanda and Uganda. This was while Rice was serving as Special Assistant to the President, and Senior Director for African Affairs at the NSC. Howard French, writing in the *New York Review of Books* (Sept. 24, 2009), confirms Rice’s involvement in violating the D.R.C.’s sovereignty, quoting her saying that, “Museveni [of Uganda] and Kagame [of Rwanda] agree that the basic problem in the Great Lakes is the danger of a resurgence of genocide [referring to the Hutus who fled to the D.R.C. after Kagame took over Rwanda—LKF], and they know how to deal with that. The only thing we have to do is look the other way.” Rice’s “looking the other way”

was followed by a decade of killing in the D.R.C., and the looting of its natural resources by Rwanda and Uganda. . . .

- In 1998, Rice was instrumental in orchestrating the bombing of the al-Shifa pharmaceutical plant in Omdurman, Sudan, just outside of Khartoum, allegedly for producing chemical weapons that could be used in terrorist attacks on the United States. Not a shred of evidence was ever found to justify the al-Shifa attack, and the U.S. subsequently apologized and offered compensation.

- For five years, from 1996, until weeks before the Sept. 11, 2001 terrorist attack on the United States, *the Sudanese government had tried repeatedly, but without success, to share with U.S. intelligence services its own intelligence files on Osama bin Laden and al-Qaeda*. Even when the FBI and others wished to accept these offers, they were overruled by Secretary of State Albright and Assistant Secretary for Africa Rice. Rice had politicized the intelligence by her hostility to any collaboration with the Sudanese government. Various back-channel efforts were also stymied by Rice. When the U.S. intelligence community finally succeeded in getting the Clinton Administration to send a joint FBI-CIA team to Sudan in May 2000, despite resistance from Rice, they found no terrorist training camps or sanctuaries, and gave Sudan a clean bill of health.

- In March 1998, Rice threatened Nigeria that if Gen. Sani Abacha were elected as President, “Let me state clearly and unequivocally to you today that an electoral victory by any military candidate in the forthcoming Presidential election would be unacceptable.” This undiplomatic enunciation was contrary to the views of President Clinton, who, two weeks later, while in South Africa, expressed hope that Abacha would move Nigeria towards democracy. . . .

Samantha Power and R2P

Michelle Steinberg, “R2P, ‘Atrocities Prevention’: Obama’s Road to Nuclear War,” *EIR*, May 4, 2012

...In May 2011, months before the murder of the already captured and wounded Libyan President Muammar Qaddafi, *EIR* warned that President Barack Obama would use the British Empire-created doctrine, “Responsibility to Protect” (R2P), to launch a series of

imperialist wars disguised as “humanitarian interventions”—wars that are not in the national interest of the United States, but that of the British Empire’s financier oligarchy, to destroy the sovereignty of nation-states, and preserve its own power structure....

On April 23, 2012, ... Obama launched a full-scale plan for preventive war against national sovereignty—starting with Iran and Syria. Obama didn’t just deliver a speech: He outlined several measures by unilateral executive action that go beyond rhetoric, including:

- convening the first meeting of the Atrocities Prevention Board (APB), under its chairperson **Samantha Power**, a framer of the R2P doctrine, working for billionaire hedge-fund operator George Soros;
- ordering the 16 U.S. intelligence agencies to prepare a National Intelligence Estimate on the risks of mass atrocities that require U.S. intervention;
- imposing new sanctions against companies doing technology business with Iran and Syria, because these countries have supposedly used technology to deny their populations their “human rights” to use the Internet;
- hosting a hypocritical White House Facebook/Twitter/podcast event on the theme of fighting human-rights violations, in which Samantha Power, Obama advisor Valerie Jarrett, and some dozen other “humanitarian interventionists” could rant against Syria, Iran, Sudan, or any other nation that is dubbed a human rights violator....

The Roots of R2P and the Atrocities Prevention Board

The twisted doctrine known as the “Responsibility To Protect” goes back more than a decade to a concerted British Commonwealth campaign at the United Nations. But it was self-confessed Nazi-collaborator and British agent George Soros who most explicitly defined it as the end of the recognition of national sovereignty. “*Sovereignty is an anachronistic concept originating in bygone times when society consisted of rulers and subjects, not citizens,*” Soros wrote in a 2004 article in *Foreign Policy* (emphasis added). “It



U.S. Mission/Eric Bridiers
Samantha Power in Geneva, June 1, 2010

became the cornerstone of international relations with the Treaty of Westphalia in 1648.... The rulers of a sovereign state have a responsibility to protect the state’s citizens. When they fail to do so, the responsibility is transferred to the international community.”

The R2P doctrine has been the British Empire’s drumbeat since Tony Blair’s 1999 Chicago speech calling for a ground invasion of Kosovo, but it has been kept alive through the foundations and operatives of Soros, including the Soros-owned Samantha Power, since the mid-1990s, when Soros was creating the International Criminal Court,

and trying to take over the nearly failed states of the former Soviet Union through his Open Society Foundation.

But despite decades-long efforts of the British Foreign and Commonwealth Office, and the myriad media empires they control, R2P has *never* been accepted by the UN General Assembly. In fact, at the lengthy debate covering several General Assembly sessions in July 2009, only a weak resolution to continue to consider R2P was passed. The Non-Aligned Movement, which has 118 members and 18 observer nations, opposed the R2P concept as a danger to national sovereignty, and a tool of selective punishment....

The UN and its Charter are exactly what the R2P imperialists—the British monarchy and its lackeys like Blair—are out to destroy. Despite being rejected by member nations of the UN, the R2P cause did not lack sponsors; it was being built up through a score of organizations led by the International Coalition for the Responsibility To Protect, headquartered at the World Federalist Society offices in Washington, D.C., and funded by the Soros operations. It has affiliates in about 20 countries....

In January 2009, the book *Responsibility To Protect: The Global Moral Compact for the 21st Century*, was published as the blueprint for R2P interventions. Its principal author, Richard Cooper, is the Convenor of the Responsibility to Protect Coalition, and the Forward to the book was written by Samantha Power.