

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

March 7, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 10

www.larouche.com \$10.00

Zepp-LaRouche in China Warns of Imminent War Threat
An Autobiographical Memo: My Science & Our Society
LaRouche Dem Rogers Heads to Senate Runoff in Texas

**Obama Support for Nazi Coup
Threatens War—Impeach Him!**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly

(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach

Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany

Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,

basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.

Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:

eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,

Col Plutarco E Calles,

Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,

Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights

reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without

permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement

#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.

Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

From the very founding of our nation, Americans saw themselves as taking responsibility for the betterment of all mankind, through the building of an exemplary republic. Never has that sense of responsibility—so dissipated today—been more necessary than in the circumstances of today, when the United States has the unique capability to short-circuit the imminent threat of nuclear war, and launch a real economic recovery worldwide. It starts with impeaching British stooge Obama.

This issue of *EIR* provides vital intelligence for those patriots and world citizens prepared to take that responsibility. Start with Ukraine, which the British are using to detonate thermonuclear showdown. We uniquely publish the full Feb. 28 Paris webcast of Ukrainian political leader Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, which dispels the disgusting war propaganda on that conflict flooding the Western press (*Strategy*). Vitrenko gives you the history and up-to-date picture of the Western-supported Nazi takeover that now threatens to take us to World War III.

Our report on Helga Zepp-LaRouche's recent visit to China supplements the Ukraine report by providing an in-depth strategic picture of why the British Empire has provoked this crisis, and the solution which is at hand (*International*).

Can Obama be impeached in time to avert the war? The just-declared results of the Texas Democratic Primary for Senate give us a resounding "Yes"! LaRouche Democrat Kesha Rogers, running on a program of impeaching Obama and crushing Wall Street, confounded the opposition and came in a strong second. She will contend with her Obamaton rival in the runoff in late May, and until then, be campaigning heavily with LaRouche Democratic Congressional candidate Michael Steger, running for Congress in the San Francisco area, on the emergency measures needed to address the drought now crippling the U.S. food supply from Texas and California (*Economics*). Step one, again, is, impeach Obama.

Don't miss our other unique features. First, an autobiographical sketch by Lyndon LaRouche, "My Science and Our Society" (*Feature*). Second, an interview with former Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamad, a prominent fighter against the British Empire (*International*).

Cover This Week

Neo-Nazi Banderists in Kiev, late February.



4 Obama Support for Nazi Coup Threatens War—Impeach Him!

The Obama Administration has put “all options on the table” to confront Russia, in support of the new, unconstitutional Nazi government of Ukraine, thus putting the world on the edge of a thermonuclear war which could result in the extinction of the human race.

7 The Strategic Situation, Globally

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “The principal factors in any World War III now, would be the British-intended complicity of the forces of the United States in launching a thermonuclear assault, on behalf of the British Empire (and Wall Street and similarly despicable thieves and swindlers) against the nations of Eurasia generally.”

10 Ukrainian Patriots Expose EU Support for Neo-Nazi Coup

Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, leader of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, gave this webcast from Paris at the start of a tour of Western Europe to expose the fascist nature of the coup in Ukraine.

International

22 Zepp-LaRouche in China Warns of Imminent Threat of War

Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche visited China, where she addressed over a dozen think-tanks and university departments, briefing them on the global strategic crisis, its causes and solutions.

24 On the Agenda: The Common Aims of Mankind

A speech by Helga Zepp-LaRouche to a Chinese think-tank on Feb. 19.

35 Mahathir: The British Empire and Its U.S. Minions Foment Global War

An interview with Dr. Mahathir Mohamad, former Prime Minister of Malaysia.

Economics

41 To Solve Texas-California Drought Crisis, Impeach Obama

Texas candidate for U.S. Senate Kesha Rogers and California candidate for Congress Michael Steger are demanding that Congress impeach President Obama to get emergency relief from the drought, and to avoid a food-and-water catastrophe greater than anything seen in centuries.

National

44 LaRouche Dem Rogers Heads to Senate Runoff in Texas

Kesha Rogers' stunning second-place showing in the Democratic Senate Primary March 4 forces a run-off election in late May. Her campaign, focused on impeaching Obama, shutting down Wall Street, and reviving the space program and NAWAPA, resonated with voters, and ensured that her voice would continue to be heard over the coming months.

47 Franklin Roosevelt's 'Credit Banks'

The fourth and final part in a series on "FDR's Approximation of the Bank of the United States Credit System."

Feature

50 An Autobiographical Memorandum: My Science & Our Society

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

"My function, here, in this report, is to present several points of reference which have a sweeping implication for the relatively immediate present and future needs of nations, including my own. Part of this, is simply a continuation of that which I have come to do, which is essentially my own department; however, there are certain, few matters, in which my principled achievements are, both, still advancing, and more or less unique under the present conditions of presently catastrophic global crisis. I am on record, repeatedly, as (in fact) among the best economic (and related) forecasters alive, still today; that is my profession; that is who I am. The present threat of human thermonuclear extinction, is a highly relevant example of how I respond to crisis; I find myself, repeatedly, being a strategist."

60 Editorial

After Obama's Gone

CRISIS IN UKRAINE

Obama Support for Nazi Coup Threatens War—Impeach Him!

by Nancy Spannaus

March 4—Between Feb. 28 and March 2, exactly as Lyndon LaRouche had warned, President Barack Obama and his Secretary of State John Kerry made it official: The United States has put “all options on the table” to confront Russia, in support of the new, unconstitutional Nazi government of Ukraine, thus putting the world on the edge of a thermonuclear war which could result in the extinction of the human race.

The Nazi and unconstitutional character of the new government in Kiev, is well-known internationally, as is the fact that the Obama Administration, through State Department official Vicky Nuland, had conspired openly to put the new prime minister, Arseniy “Yats” Yatsenyuk, to power. As the British oligarchy, in mouthpieces such as the *Economist*, has made crystal clear, this “regime change” operation has



White House/Chuck Kennedy

The United States has put “all options on the table,” in confronting Russia over Ukraine, President Obama blustered, thus putting the world on the brink of global war.

Russia as its target. Even Henry Kissinger, in an interview Feb. 2, warned against the West putting Russia in this position, de facto acknowledging the fact that bringing Ukraine into NATO is an explicit *casus belli* for Moscow, because it would threaten Russia’s security.

Yet, Obama, true to his character as a British stooge, has chosen to threaten Russia, claiming that Russia’s recognition of the elected President of Ukraine as still being that country’s legitimate leader, is a violation of sovereignty. By taking this stance *in defense of the new Nazi government in Ukraine*, Obama is making a mockery of his oath to the U.S. Constitution, and must be immediately impeached for cause.

As LaRouche is stressing in a series of radio ads going out in Washington, D.C., “Your life depends upon impeaching Obama now.”

The Nazi Coup

As both Ukraine's elected President Viktor Yanukovich, Ukrainian patriots such as Natalia Vitrenko, and the Russian government have emphasized, there is nothing legitimate about the new government. It was installed at the point of a gun, with the guns wielded by a collection of Nazi groups such as Svoboda and Right Sector. The terror, wielded by these groups, backed up by Western forces, is what intimidated Ukrainian parliamentarians into illegally ousting Yanukovich, forcing him to seek security in Russia.

The Nazi character of the new government can be seen in its composition. While it has the appearance of being a bunch of technocrats, like former Economics Minister Yatsenyuk, committed to imposing the IMF's austerity looting policy through the EU Association Agreement, it is riddled with outright fascists.

For example, there's the Svoboda Party, formerly the Social Nationalists, who campaign under the banner of Nazi collaborator Stepan Bandera and popularized Nazi symbols from the moment of their founding in 1991. Oleksander Sych of Svoboda is Vice Premier; two other Svoboda politicians, Ihor Shvaika and Andriy Mokhnyk, are Ministers of Agriculture and of Ecology. The new General Prosecutor is Svoboda's Oleh Makhnitsky.

Even more rabidly Hitlerite is the so-called Right Sector, the Pravy Sektor, led by Dmitri Yarosh. While there are rumors that the "street" in Ukraine is demanding Yarosh be put in the government, what is clear is that parts of his movement are already there.

Serhiy Kvit, a former member of the neo-Nazi Tryzub Bandery ("Bandera's Trident"), is Education Minister. Andriy Parubiy, the commander of the Maidan "self-defense" contingent, is head of the National Security and Defense Council. Dmytro Bulatov, a leader of the Auto-Maidan logistics operation which has numerous overlaps with Pravy Sektor, is Minister of Sports. There are more of the radical Maidan leaders in the middle level of the cabinet ministries, in the anti-corruption agency, and in the prosecutorial apparatus.

As *EIR*'s Feb. 2 fact sheet points out, these groups have been protected and supported for decades, in preparation for precisely such a takeover in Ukraine. While the details remain to be fleshed out, on Feb. 28, members of the group Anonymous Ukraine released evidence, obtained by hacking the e-mails of the Pravy Sektor and the opposition Ukrainian Democratic Alliance for Reform (of Vitali Klitschko), of support by NATO governments.

This support for the insurgency, by any sane definition, is a violation of Ukraine's sovereignty.

The Legitimate Government

On Feb. 28, the elected Ukrainian President Yanukovich held a press conference in Rostov-on-Don, Russia, where he declared that he was still the legitimate President of Ukraine, but had been forced to leave the country in order to ensure his physical safety. Indeed, one of his top aides had been shot by gangsters in the previous days, in the course of the manhunt which the Kiev mob has declared against Yanukovich.

Then, on March 1, as revealed by Russian Ambassador to the United Nations Vitali Churkin March 3, Yanukovich sent a letter to Russian President Vladimir Putin, requesting a Russian military intervention, because "under the influence of Western countries, there are open acts of terror and violence. People are being persecuted for language and political reasons. So in this regard I would call on the President of Russia, Mr. Putin, asking him to use the armed forces of the Russian Federation to establish legitimacy, peace, law and order, stability and defending the people of Ukraine."

Indeed, on March 1, Putin had issued a formal request to the Russian Federation Council, to authorize his use of troops to protect the safety of Russian citizens and maintain order in Ukraine, should that become necessary. The approval was granted with a voice vote.

Subsequently, the Russian military, already present in Crimea, home of the Russian Black Sea Fleet, took a higher profile, especially in guarding and protecting government buildings and military installations. This action was applauded by the new government of the Autonomous Region of Crimea, which was elected Feb. 27, on the crest of public sentiment against the blatantly anti-Russian measures being voted up by the parliament in Kiev.

At this point, NATO and Western governments sprang into action, accusing Russia of "invading" Ukraine, and beginning a series of threats of economic sanctions and other "costs," which have escalated tensions to the boiling point.

Russians Respond

The Russian Ministry of Foreign Affairs issued the following statement March 3 as regards the statements made by Secretary of State Kerry on the situation in Ukraine [as translated by *EIR*]:

"We consider the threats against Russia, made in a

series of public statements by Secretary of State J. Kerry in connection with the latest events in Ukraine and Crimea, to be unacceptable. Without bothering to study and comprehend the complex processes taking place in Ukrainian society and make an objective evaluation of the situation, which continues to deteriorate after the forcible seizure of power in Kiev by radical extremists, the Secretary of State is using Cold War clichés, proposing to ‘punish’ the Russian Federation rather than those who organized the coup d’état.

“At the same time, nothing is said about the fact that it was the U.S.A. and its allies, who closed their eyes to the rampages of the Maidan guerrillas, their abuses against their political opponents and ordinary citizens, their militant Russophobia and anti-Semitism, and their desecration of the memory of the heroes of the Great Patriotic War. Washington has likewise ignored the fact that the newly emerged Kiev regime has flouted the Feb. 21 agreement, to which the foreign ministers of Germany, France, and Poland had affixed their signatures, and has formed a ‘government of the victors,’ in effect, declaring war on the Russian language and everything associated with Russia. Now the West has overt neo-Nazis, who have smashed up Orthodox churches and synagogues, as its allies.

“Russia’s position has been and remains consistent and open. While for some Western politicians Ukraine is merely a geopolitical playing field, for us this is a fraternal nation, with which we are bound together by our centuries-long common history.

“Russia is interested in a stable and strong Ukraine, where the legitimate rights and interests of Ukrainians, of our compatriots, and of all citizens are ensured. In the extraordinary situation that has come about, through no fault of ours, when the life and safety of the residents of Crimea and the southeast regions are under a real threat because of the irresponsible and provocative actions of the followers of Bandera and other ultranationalist elements, the measures we are taking are appropriate and absolutely legal. We advocate the speediest possible return of the situation in Ukraine to normalcy, based on the Feb. 21 agreement, including the formation of a legitimate government of national unity, taking into account the interests of all political forces and regions of the country.”

The War Dynamic

The current government in Washington, and the British monarchy, have no intention whatsoever of lis-

tening to Russia’s rational approach to this crisis. They are hell-bent on crushing Russia, in order to try to save their bankrupt financial empire. And they are apparently willing to go to thermonuclear war to try to do so.

The murderous frame of mind of Obama’s British controllers, who *know* they are backing Nazis in Kiev, is writ large in top British publications such as the *Economist*, which concluded its inflammatory coverage of Ukraine in its Feb. 22-28 edition, with the following statement: “It is time for the West to stand up to this gangsterism. Confronting a country that has the spoiling power of a seat on the UN Security Council, huge hydrocarbon reserves and lots of nuclear weapons is difficult, but it has to be done.” In the following issue, they challenged Obama to show he’s not a wimp and follow through.

NATO is also meeting continually to address the issue, *despite* the fact that Ukraine is not, contrary to the desire of that organization, a member of NATO. You wouldn’t know it from the rhetoric coming out of the likes of Secretary General Fogh Rasmussen, who invited Ukraine to participate in the Feb. 27-28 meeting, and declared it to be at the heart of the alliance.

There is some hesitation from European heads of state, especially Germany, on taking this course. Chancellor Merkel has obtained the agreement of President Putin to join a “contact group” which would work on a diplomatic solution to the crisis.

Yet, at the same time, the Obama Department of Defense announced March 3 that it was suspending military engagements with Russia over Russia’s alleged military movements in Ukraine. “Although the Department of Defense finds value in the military-to-military relationship with the Russian Federation we have developed over the past few years to increase transparency, build understanding, and reduce the risk of military miscalculation, we have, in light of recent events in Ukraine, put on hold all military-to-military engagements between the United States and Russia,” read the statement released by Pentagon press secretary R. Adm. John Kirby. “This includes exercises, bilateral meetings, port visits and planning conferences.

The thermonuclear confrontation LaRouche has warned of, and that so many political leaders have denied, is right on our doorstep. But, with the U.S. Presidency under British imperial control, it won’t work.

So—Obama has to be removed from office, constitutionally, now.

The Strategic Situation, Globally

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

February 28, 2014

Inside Our United States

The crucial fact is, on our side, that over 70% of our citizens are in opposition to the current President of our United States. However, in the U.S. Congress, there appears to be, for the moment, a collection of the gutless, money-hungry, or just-plain-cowardly Senators and Representatives who are apparently occupied with kissing President Obama's buttocks, and, for, that reason, are blocked from seeing realities. This is a fact which, therefore must be emphasized repeatedly *publicly!*

The effect of this gruesome incongruity of Senators and Representatives operating on the wrong side of honor and reason alike, is the greatest single cause for the threat of early-on thermonuclear extinction, at a time when true patriots wish to oust the evil President, and de-facto traitor (e.g., British imperial agent and mass-murderer), Barack Obama.

World War III

The world has been at global warfare's leading point since (implicitly) Jan. 1, 2014: when President Barack Obama had overthrown the U.S. Federal Constitution, by declaring himself a traitor to the United States, affirming this by promptly overthrowing the U.S. Constitution, in fact: in favor of what is, in fact, an actually fascistic form of dictatorship modelled on such precedents as those of Benito Mussolini and Adolf Hitler. All the evidence required for his immediate impeachment for major and massive causes, exists, openly, in fact: if the members of the Congress had shown the guts to do their duty.

Only Wall Street money keeps large parts of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives from throwing the Nazi-like son-of-a-bitch out of office. That treasonous statement by President Obama was delivered publicly (in fact) in Obama's 2014 State of Union address for this year. Many members of the Senate and House are refusing to recognize the fact that President Barack Obama has declared himself a dictator-in-fact, and a treasonous agent against the U.S. Federal Constitution.

This same President is committed, in matter-of-fact, to world-wide thermonuclear warfare, warfare which implies the relatively immediate extinction of the human species when all leading, relevant facts are taken into consideration.

Fact: What is presently pre-staged for the planet as a whole, is an actually global thermonuclear war, characterized by a thermonuclear war of the trans-Atlantic sector (including the British empire) versus the bulk of the nations representing the population of the Eurasian sector: an actually, global form of thermonuclear warfare. Such warfare would be a virtual extermination of the great majority throughout the planet.

The pivotal agency behind that war is the British Empire under Queen Elizabeth II. No significant Eurasian grouping, is for such a British-directed thermonuclear war, none excepting the *actual* Nazis now dominating the Ukraine, has an intention to launch such global, thermonuclear warfare. They have no proper motive for such warfare. Without the British imperial factor, there would be no such warfare now. Queen Elizabeth herself is the relevant principal figure indicated as responsible for this worse-than-Nazi crime against all humanity. She is, therefore, a tyrant worse than Hitler, in fact. Unfortunately, she has polluted the United States with her evil, anglophile subversion.

This new, global, thermonuclear extermination-war, is, at this moment in history, on the verge of an imminent general thermonuclear warfare within a proximate time as early as days ahead. The British empire's protection and utilization of Ukrainian elements maintaining the Nazi practices of Genocide under their role as mass-killers of Jews and Poles during World War II, and maintaining the same political and genocidal proclivities now, as an organized Nazi force, is dominating Ukraine and menacing Russia, still now today. Worse, representatives of President Barack Obama, have joined in supporting the forces of genocide operating within the Nazi component of the Ukrainian population presently (i.e., Victoria Nuland).

The Nazi component of the Ukrainian population,

was not held to account, because the British Empire of the World War II period, had prevented Nuremberg-trial action against those Ukrainian Nazi elements which had mass-murdered Poles and Jews under Hitler's regime at the relevant times.

The British Empire had protected those Nazi-Ukrainian mass-murderers, and sheltered them as accomplices of the British Empire, still today. *Since President Obama is, himself, a British, drug-trafficking agent of interest*, the toleration by members of the U.S. Congress for these crimes, is in no manner or degree surprising. Under the present, actually Fascist usurpation of the lawful Presidency of the United States by the declaration featured in the most recent State of the Union address, the presently immediate situation as by the action and complicity of the now lawless traitor, Barack Obama, the Constitution of the United States, and of our citizens, are placed in mortal jeopardy for as long as this outlaw traitor-President and general criminal, remains in office.

The operation by the still-Nazi component of the Ukrainian population, has presently been deployed under British imperial direction and support, to serve as the trigger for a general, global thermonuclear war, during the very immediate future, a war of global extermination for which the presently fascist dictator Obama is nominally as responsible, in principle, as was Adolf Hitler. Only U.S. Federal Officials who have their heads securely stuck in down a Congressional toilet-bowl could have been likely to have missed that point-in-fact.

World War III as Such

The principal factors in any World War III now, would be the British-intended complicity of the forces of the United States in launching a thermonuclear assault, on behalf of the British Empire (and Wall Street and similarly despicable thieves and swindlers) against the nations of Eurasia generally. The targets are chiefly Russia, China, and India, which have no intent of warfare against the United States; only treasonous, insane, or simply ignorant or stupid Americans (within the Congress, or elsewhere), could wish any such warfare. (More than 70% of our citizens are not stupid on this account: only a dictator could bring the United States into such a war.) (Some members of Congress can not be convinced; they could only be kicked out of Congress, for cause. Their mental illness is called "money.")

The source of the lust for this thermonuclear war is

not the United States; it is the British Empire, currently. Queen Elizabeth, or, if she is presently found to be demented, her successor. Unfortunately, at present, President Barack Obama, is, in fact, a lawless dictator, in the likeness of Adolf Hitler, but a President only-in-name, essentially a mere stooge for Wall Street and the British Empire, which has pre-organized World War III now.

We are, in fact, as close as days to the actual launching of a truly global, thermonuclear holocaust. Everything, including the proverbial sink, would be deployed to kill en masse, on a global scale, if President Barack Obama were not properly thrown out of office for reason of treason in office, now. The British imperial scheme for warfare, must be halted, immediately, and conclusively immediately. This is not difficult in principle. If President Barack Obama is removed from office, the war could be readily called off. Russia, China, and India (among others) will not submit to a war for extermination of their populations! Start such a war, and many would be obliterated within about an hour and a half; that, the British Empire would hardly regret; the monarchy is already fully committed to massive genocide, reducing the human population from about seven billions persons, to less than one billion. Those who survived a general nuclear warfare (but only very much temporarily), would, then, not wish to have lived.

The "green policy" is a leading scheme for genocide on that scale; the British imperial Green has not only repeatedly demanded such genocide but has already been carrying it out, also inside the United States itself, for a span of now several decades since the assassinations of President John Kennedy, his brother Robert, and attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan. Death under such regimes, is not the color of blood, but "green." As we said, back during World War II, "Know your enemy."

The threat is now immediate; it could be as near as days, if you are competent enough to read the signs of the times in Ukraine, today: only fools or sheer idiots could not read the signs of these times on that account.

Peace by itself, is necessary, but not sufficient. We must, for ourselves, return to the principles of economy adopted by the Presidency of George Washington, the economic policies of Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, to be specific. Admittedly, everyone on Wall Street hates the truth, in any form (as a matter of fact); but hates the Constitution of our United States the most, above all (and probably me, next).

The fact of the matter, is that Wall Street's motive for World War III, is the fear of the re-enactment of President Franklin D. Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall law. Wall Street, and much of the power of the British system would vanish as if in a mere whiff of smoke. (I know. Had I my druthers, it would vanish like a whiff of smoke: A money system would vanish miraculously, while our physical economy would soon begin to recover.) That is the British motive for World War III now. The Empire's motive for a thermonuclear World War III.

General warfare on this planet, can no longer be an instrument of government. The development of the capabilities for organized thermonuclear war do not actually exist, and will not exist on this planet, ever again—one way, or another.

Warfare, as we have usually known it in actual history heretofore, is presently not a possible option, except in the degree of peace-making, and corrections of abuses against fellow-mankind.

The great problem of mankind in known history, has not been human motives in any true sense. Mankind, in our true nature, is creative, not oligarchical. Human beings, to live, do not enslave other human beings.

Rather, the creative powers which are unique to mankind, insofar as we presently know our Solar System and beyond, direct us, as truly human beings, to increase the population and mental-productive powers of labor and artistic creativity which make the grandfather proud of the children and grandchildren. "I built this, for you," said the grandfather to the grandson. That, in brief, is the proper nature of mankind.

Now, with the access to the Helium-3 deposited continuously on our Moon, and incorporation of that as an "ingredient" of thermonuclear systems of very high energy-flux density mode in thermonuclear fusion, brings man, within the reach of being enabled to control the volumes of space which contain the greatest common threats to the continued existence of human life on Earth.

The most essential evil within the ranks of mankind, has been typified, most clearly, by the precedents of the conception of the evil being known as the figure of Zeus: the Zeus-principle which has been the characteristic of the Roman Empire, and, also, the present British empire and its cohorts. That evil is that which is typified by President Obama and Wall Street. The message should be clear.



Unsurvivable

A dark, gruesome, but wholly true depiction of the threat of thermonuclear war, its consequences, and Obama's deployment of a major portion of the U.S. thermonuclear capabilities in multiple theaters threatening both Russia and China.

<http://larouchepac.com/unsurvivable>

Ukrainian Patriots Expose EU Support for Neo-Nazi Coup

Feb. 24—*Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, leader of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine (PSPU), today began a tour of Europe at the head of a delegation of signers of the Jan. 25 [Appeal](#) by 29 Ukrainian organizations, addressed to world leaders, to stop a neo-fascist coup in Ukraine. This evening, she keynoted a webcast, aired on the site of the French Solidarity and Progress (S&P) organization.*

The speakers were introduced by S&P leader, and former French Presidential candidate, Jacques Cheminade. He discussed the doctrines of Anglo-American geopolitics, going back to Halford Mackinder at the turn of the 19th to 20th Century. Today, Cheminade said, the goal under the modern continuation of these doctrines has been to create a focus of destabilization in the center of Europe, which could trigger a showdown against Russia. He cited Zbigniew Brzezinski on this intention.

Cheminade then introduced Natalia Vitrenko, doctor of economics, former member of the Supreme Rada (parliament) of Ukraine, and leader of the PSPU, as having fought for the past 20 years to prevent this current crisis from coming to pass. She is joined on this visit to Europe by Col. Valeri Sergachov (ret.), leader of the Kiev Rus Party, former member of the Odessa Regional Council, and a decorated veteran of the War in Afghanistan; and Volodymyr Marchenko, chairman of the All-Ukraine Trade Union Organization/Ukrainian Confederation of Labor, former member of the Supreme Rada and of its Committee on Constitutional Issues, and deputy chairman of the PSPU.

The following translation of Dr. Vitrenko's keynote and the remarks of her colleagues has been produced from the Russian-language audio track of the event. The speeches were consecutively translated and broadcast in French.

Natalia Vitrenko: *Bonjour, camarades!* I am very

glad to greet you, and I know that millions of people around the world are watching and listening to us.

On Jan. 25 of this year, in Kiev, there was a gathering of 29 leaders of Ukrainian political parties and public organizations. We wrote an appeal to the Secretary-General of the UN, the leaders of European organizations, including the President of the European Union and the Chairman of the European Parliament, as well as other leaders, and U.S. President Obama and the leadership of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives. Among us were representatives of four different political parties, and ten people who have been Members of Parliament at the national level in Ukraine.

We stated, first of all, that it is a lie that the people of Ukraine want to sign an Association Agreement with the European Union. The Ukrainian people do not want this.

Secondly, we stated that it is a lie that what is going on in the Maidan is a peaceful demonstration. Guerrillas are in charge of the Maidan, and those guerrillas are neo-Nazis. ["Maidan" refers to Independence Square (Maidan Nezalezhnosti) in Kiev, and to demonstrations taking place there and in other parts of Ukraine—ed.]

Third, that the will of the majority of the population in Ukraine is being grossly flouted, because the majority favors Ukraine's increased integration with Russia, and does not want Nazism in Ukraine. We, although we represent political forces, are denied access to the media.

We appealed to those European and American organizations to invite us to Europe—we, who are not in power in Ukraine today, but we are not neo-Nazis. And we would tell you the truth. These bodies did not invite us, but we received invitations from the Paris Academy of Geopolitics, from the Schiller Institute, and from our colleagues in Italy. We are very grateful for this.

Therefore, we are making this tour as representa-



Dr. Natalia Vitrenko has fought for 20 years in an attempt to prevent the current Ukrainian crisis from erupting. She is appealing to European leaders to stop a neo-fascist coup in her nation.

tives of people's diplomacy, representing the majority of the population of Ukraine, who want to stop the flames of civil war. We know with certainty, that the coup d'état in Ukraine threatens the stability of the Eurasian continent.

Our delegation includes people of various professions. I am an economist, a doctor of economic sciences, a professor and an academician. Valeri Sergachov, my colleague, in that he is also the head of a party, is a retired colonel, a combat officer [and an experienced veteran of the Afghanistan War—on-site interpreter's note]. The deputy leader of my party, Volodymyr Marchenko, who is responsible for our party's ideological work, is an engineer, an industrial designer. Therefore, we are well aware of what Ukraine's real potential is, and what the prospects for our country would be, in the event of integration with the European Union, or with the Eurasian Union.

EU Membership Would Destroy Ukraine

First of all, Ukraine has never been offered membership in the EU, is not being offered, and will never be offered such membership, because the EU would disintegrate, sooner than admit Ukraine as a member. The members of the Customs Union, on the other hand, Belarus, Kazakstan, and Russia, are our long-term and reliable partners; Ukraine's integration into the Cus-

toms Union, and only such integration, can provide an impulse for the economic recovery of Ukraine.

But the Ukrainian oligarchs—and that's who has been determining our country's policy—long ago integrated themselves into the EU. An example is the wealthiest man in Ukraine, the billionaire Rinat Akhmetov. He bought a fancy house for himself in London for £40 million. He already owns companies both in the USA and in EU countries. The Ukrainian oligarchs send their children to study in European countries, they go to Europe for medical care, they take their vacations here, and they keep

their money in the banks of the City of London and offshore zones. Therefore, they are oriented toward Europe and the West. It was in order to defend their money, that they wanted to sign the Association Agreement.

This Agreement would have completely destroyed machine-building in Ukraine and dealt a serious blow to all industrial production and to agriculture. The Agreement would have required Ukraine immediately to open up its domestic markets, with all import tariffs being lifted on 72% of all products.

The output of Ukrainian industrial companies is not competitive in today's European market. Our Academy of Sciences has calculated that the cost of compliance with EU standards would be EU160 billion. This is equivalent to the national budget of Ukraine for four years. We do not have this kind of money. In other words, Ukraine would have been condemned to the bankruptcy of its industrial enterprises, to total economic collapse, and to mass unemployment.

In addition, the Agreement prescribed that all of this would be presided over by supranational organizations: the [EU] Association Council and the Trade Committee. That is, Ukraine would have lost both its state sovereignty and its economic sovereignty.

Furthermore, the Agreement provides that Ukraine, upon signing it, would enter into a Common Security and Defense Policy. This means political convergence.

This means pushing Ukraine into NATO. From both the economic and the military standpoints, this would have been a blow against Russian interests.

Calculations done by a joint task force of the Russian and Ukrainian Academies of Science showed that integration with the Customs Union would be economically beneficial for Ukraine.

Ukraine Is Oriented Toward Russia

In addition, it is the case that historically, and in its outlook, Ukraine is unquestionably oriented toward Russia. We are one people. We are the people of Rus. An ancient Russian state was formed. One thousand one hundred and fifty years ago, its initial capital was in Novgorod. Later the capital was in Kiev. After the period of the Tatar-Mongol yoke, Moscow became the capital. And, all together, we were called “Rus.” There was Little Russia, White Russia, South Russia, and Great Russia. “Ukraine” was artificially created under the Austro-Hungarian Empire, in order to tear away a chunk of territory from Russia, because 336 years ago, there had been a unification of Great and Little Russia [Russia and Ukraine]. And it was only in 1922 that Ukraine appeared as a nation-state.

Therefore, in economic terms, in world outlook, and genetically, the majority of the Ukrainian population is oriented toward Russia.

Let me read you some data, published in the journal *Obozreniye* by Nikolai Shulga, head of the Institute of Sociology of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences. This is the most respected sociological research done in Ukraine. They asked people what foreign policy orientation they would prefer Ukraine to have. Fifty-four percent of the population replied in favor of developing relations with Russia and building up an Eastern alliance. In favor of relations with the developed countries of the West—15%. People were asked how they viewed the prospect of Ukraine’s joining a union with Russia and Belarus. Fifty-nine percent favored this, 21% were against. These figures were clearly differentiated by region. In western Ukraine, 16% were in favor of this idea of union with Russia and Belarus. In eastern Ukraine, 84% of the population. But the national average was 59% in favor.

The date of that poll was 2011. After Yanukovich came to power [in 2010], there was a dawn-to-dusk campaign throughout Ukraine, in favor of integration with the EU. It was hammered into people’s heads that, once the Association Agreement were signed,

there would be jobs for everybody, wages like in France, pensions like in Germany, and everything would be hunky-dory. Therefore, two years later, in May 2013, the figures showing the orientation of our population had changed somewhat. In favor [of alliance with Russia and Belarus] were 49%, with 28% against and 22% undecided. If we split the undecided in half, the result is still that 60% of the Ukrainian population is oriented toward union with Russia and Belarus.

But the Ukrainian oligarchs, headed by Yanukovich, were preparing to sign the Association Agreement on Nov. 29, 2013 in Vilnius. Suddenly, one week before it was to be signed, the Ukrainian government decided to halt the process and not sign for Association. And, indeed, on Nov. 29, Yanukovich refrained from signing the Agreement.


The minute the whistle sounded, the Parliamentary opposition summoned people to a “Euromaidan,” to pressure the government on this issue. Indeed, people—several tens of thousands—poured into Independence Square in Kiev, demanding Association with the EU.

The majority of these people simply had no idea of what awaited Ukraine, were it to sign. It was only in January of 2014, that this diagram (**Figure 1**) appeared on the government website. It shows that if Ukraine signed the Agreement with the EU, Ukraine would lose \$36.9 billion in 2014 alone, whereas if Ukraine didn’t sign, but rather built up its relations with Russia, it would gain \$5.1 billion in 2014. But by then, nobody was listening.

Yanukovich’s Provocation

That is because on the night of Nov. 29-30, Yanukovich’s staff organized a provocation, and the Maidan ceased to be peaceful. What was this provocation? The organizers of the Maidan announced that the demonstration was over. They began to take down the stage and remove the sound system. Only 300-400 people remained in the Maidan, primarily youth. At 4:00 a.m., a thousand people from the Berkut special police force showed up in the square. And the Berkut, rather than merely pushing people out of the square, started brutally beating them. At 4:00 a.m., quite a number of TV channels happened to have crews in the square. The videos they filmed were then shown, not only in Ukraine, but all over the world, to demonstrate “how the Ukrainian authorities were dealing with a peaceful demonstra-

FIGURE 1



This map graphic, posted on the Ukrainian government website Feb. 7, 2014, illustrates potential 2014 gains and losses for the Ukrainian economy under the scenarios of 1) signing an Association Agreement with the European Union, 2) postponing signing of the AA. Option 1 (top) projected net economic losses of \$36.9 billion, with a projected increase of \$5 billion in foreign investment being offset by these losses: \$11 billion in trade with the EU, \$15 billion in trade with the Russia-Belarus-Kazakhstan Customs Union, \$10 billion on payments for natural gas, and \$5.9 billion in foreign debt service. Under Option 2 (bottom), the economy would have net gains of \$5.1 billion: while still losing money on debt service, natural gas payments, and trade imbalances with the EU and the Customs Union, Ukraine would enjoy \$10 billion in foreign investment, including \$4 billion from China, \$5 billion from joint infrastructure projects with Russia, \$15 billion from a Russian investment loan, and \$2.5 billion from other industrial cooperation with Russia. Under the two scenarios, year-end national foreign-currency reserves were projected as \$18.8 billion and \$24 respectively.

tion.” The next day, tens of thousands of people from various regions of Ukraine, chiefly the western parts, streamed into Kiev. As of Dec. 1, the neo-Nazis took the lead within the demonstration.

They brought in well-trained guerrillas, who began to do combat with law enforcement. Neo-Nazis did not appear out of nowhere in Ukraine on Dec. 1, but much earlier. After the end of World War II, the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN), these collaborationists, were taken under the wing of the U.S. CIA. The minute Ukraine became independent [in 1991], their organizations were legalized inside Ukraine.

President Yushchenko, in particular, did a lot to promote the growth of the neo-Nazi movement in Ukraine. President Yanukovich not only did not cancel the Nazi decrees of Yushchenko, but also continued the same line.

The question arises: Why did Yanukovich do this? The Party of Regions, headed by Yanukovich, is not a party with an ideology. It is a business corporation, which uses banditry to enhance its own wealth in every way possible. U.S. support has been very important for them. Under both Yushchenko and Yanukovich, the USA did everything it could to develop the neo-Nazi movement in Ukraine. I sued the President, demanding the repeal of the Nazi decrees. I went through all the levels of court in Ukraine and beyond, up to and including the European Court. All of them ruled on the basis of a political decision, rather than the law. Contrary to the standards set by the Nuremberg Tribunal, to resolutions of the UN, to the Durban Declaration, and to the European Conventions, they approved honoring Nazi collaborationists as Heroes of Ukraine who had fought for the liberation of our country. The national-socialist ideology and support for its idols, such as Bandera, Shukhevych, and Konovalets, have all surfaced at the Maidan.

FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3



FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5



Nazis Stage ‘Peaceful Demonstration’

Let’s look at a video, showing what Nazis in Ukraine look like (Figure 2). [Translator: This is a torch march of Right Sector.] Their slogans include “Glory to the nation, death to the enemies.” This is in Kiev, Jan. 1, 2014. They are well-equipped. Here’s the leader of Svoboda, Tyahnybok (Figure 3). There they are in Kiev, at the Maidan. [Translator: They are always masked. Right Sector is a grouping of several right-wing movements.] Here they are toppling a monument to Lenin. Now you see them throwing Molotov cocktails at law enforcement. Here are their insignia (Figure 4).

This is Right Sector leader Yarosh (Figure 5). This is a “peaceful demonstration.” And the European politicians come; and here are these posters of agents of the Abwehr, Bandera and Shukhevych (Figure 6).

There they are tearing up the flag of the Party of Regions (Figure 7). They are shouting “Knife the Moskali [Russians], hang the Communists.” Their greetings are like those of the Nazis. In place of “Sieg heil!” they shout “Slava Ukraine, heroyam slava!” [“Glory to Ukraine, to the heroes, glory!”] Their symbol is a swastika.

Look at those chains (Figure 8). This is a “peaceful demonstration.” And later, they had guns.

They did not appear by accident or suddenly. This has been prepared over a long period of time, as a force for carrying out a coup.

FIGURE 6



FIGURE 7



FIGURE 8



Their swastika graffiti are all over Kreshchatyk Street (**Figure 9**). There are portraits of Bandera. This is Dec. 1. There are policemen standing, unarmed. Now, Molotov cocktails. [Translator: And cudgels.] They are attacking the Presidential Administration offices (**Figures 10-11**). On Dec. 1, the whole world could see that there were terrorist guerrillas in the streets of Kiev. The EU said: No, this is a peaceful demonstration. These neo-Nazi guerrillas began to seize buildings: the Kiev City Hall, the Trade Unions House, the Ministry of Agriculture, the October Palace of Culture, and then they broke into the Ministry of Energy. Only there, did the Minister of Energy say that there was a danger to the 15 nuclear reactors in Ukraine, and he drove them out of the ministry building.

Central Kiev was totally blockaded, but Yanukovich was conducting negotiations with the opposition. [Former European Parliament President Pat] Cox and [former President Alexander] Kwasniewski, from Poland, came to Kiev 27 times. Senator [John] McCain from the USA, Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, Stefan Fuele, Catherine Ashton, and a great number of other European officials came to Kiev, they did not notice any guerrillas or

FIGURE 9



neo-Nazis, and they demanded that the government pursue only a peaceful solution.

On Jan. 15, [Zbigniew Brzezinski](#) gave his interview, saying that the Maidan should not stop, but must go forward. Then, on Jan. 19, there was a new wave of aggres-

sion. The police, the Berkut, were just standing there, standing guard around the government quarter, making no moves, and not touching the Maidan. But the neo-Nazi guerrillas came out of the Maidan to attack the government quarter (**Figure 12**). This is Jan. 19. [Translator:

FIGURE 10



FIGURE 11



FIGURE 12



Here the guerrillas make their move to take the government quarter.] The EU said that these are not terrorists or guerrillas, but “Euromaidan activists.” [Translator: Each time, the actions are more aggressive. On Jan. 19, you have them throwing Molotov cocktails at the police, setting the police on fire.] What you’re seeing here, is how the Euromaidan defends European values.

Out of 20,000 people in the Euromaidan, there were 2,000 neo-Nazis.

Here you have Feb. 18. They said they were going on a peaceful march to the Supreme Rada (**Figure 13**). Two days later, on Feb. 20, they came after the Supreme Rada with guns. In the interim, military depots and police stockpiles of guns had been seized. Now it is estimated that there are as many as 25,000 armed bandits in Kiev and throughout Ukraine. They have destroyed over 200 offices of the Party of Regions, shooting several office employees in the course of that. They have burned offices of the Communist Party.

The Parliament Terrorized

I am also the leader of a political party. And I have my own attitude toward the government, but we do not support neo-Nazism. What can our party expect, if these people are in power?

The neo-Nazis terrorized the Parliament, and on Feb. 20, they essentially reformatted the Parliament. On Feb. 21, they signed an agreement with Yanukovich. Three leaders of the opposition: Klitschko, Yatsenyuk, and Tyahnybok. The agreement was witnessed by representatives of three foreign ministries of EU countries—Foreign Minister Franz-Walter Steinmeier of Germany, Foreign Minister Radoslaw Sikorski of Poland, and the head of the Continental Europe Department of the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Eric Fournier.

FIGURE 13



This was a monstrous agreement. I never imagined that the European Union could flout the norms of law in such a way. Ukraine has a Constitution. Section 13 of that Constitution spells out the procedure for amending the Constitution. Yet, the first point of this Feb. 21 agreement demanded that amendments to the Constitution be adopted and announced within 48 hours, including a change in our state system. The Constitution requires a vote by a [two-thirds] “constitutional majority” in two consecutive sessions, followed by review and approval by the Constitutional Court.

The agreement contained not one word about dispersing the Maidan and disarming the guerrillas, so that the Parliament might vote in peace. Thus, by aiming pistol barrels at MPs, beating them up, and intimidating them with potential repercussions against their families, they forced the Supreme Rada to convene on Feb. 20 and start to vote. They voted to change the Constitution. The procedure for impeachment is spelled out in the Constitution, but the Parliament spat on that, simply voted, declared that Yanukovich had resigned, and set elections for May 25.

The agreement forbids declaration of a state of emergency. The agreement decrees that violence should cease. Immediately, the Berkut and the police were ordered: no use of force, no resistance. Meanwhile, the guerrillas were shooting at them. In the space of these three months, the Parliament has passed three amnesty laws.

In 1999, when I was running for the Presidency of Ukraine, there was an attack, with two grenades, on me and my entourage. Forty-four people were wounded, including myself and Vladimir Marchenko. The organizer of this attack was arrested, convicted, and sentenced to 15 years. After five years, he was released.

Just now, he has taken part in the latest events. He was arrested when he and his buddies attempted to seize the City Hall of Krivoy Rog in the Dnepropetrovsk Region. Eighteen Molotov cocktails were found in his car. He was detained, but under the amnesty he has been released again.

Now, the organizers and guerrillas of the Maidan are shaping the entire government of Ukraine. We can see from the Svoboda Party’s program, what kind of government this will be. This is a neo-Nazi party, which does not conceal that it continues to implement the ideology of the National Socialists. The European Parliament, in resolutions passed in 2010 and

2012, condemned any support for this party. Now, it appears, the head of this party will become first deputy prime minister.

Look at the Svoboda Party program. It calls for ending Ukraine’s participation in any international groups of countries initiated by Moscow; imposing visa requirements for travel to and from Russia; restoring Ukraine’s status as a nuclear power; demanding from NATO member countries favorable conditions for Ukraine to join NATO. They have a special chapter on Crimea, which calls for changing the status of Crimea from an autonomous republic to merely a region. End the special status of the city of Sevastopol.

I ask you: What use does the EU have for Nazis in power in Ukraine? Is it not understood, that civil war in Ukraine will develop more and more?

There are 15 nuclear power plants in Ukraine. Ukraine has the largest natural gas pipeline network in Europe. Ukraine has dozens of large chemical plants. What does it mean to have a civil war in the vicinity of industrial facilities like these?

The Russian Federation’s Black Sea Fleet is based in Sevastopol. Russia gave the Crimean peninsula to Ukraine 60 years ago, in token of friendship. Do Catherine Ashton, Fuele, and the others really not realize that this neo-Nazi regime will provoke a conflict with Russia? Do the EU officials really not understand that Ukraine will be completely bankrupt, and that millions of Ukrainians will become refugees, pouring into Europe in search of work?

What should be done? Moscow, Berlin, and Paris should immediately unite their efforts to stop neo-Nazism in Ukraine. Adopt a decision, without Washington, on early Parliamentary elections in Ukraine. But, first,

disperse the Maidan and disarm all the guerrillas. And, in accordance with the standards of international law, ban neo-Nazi parties and movements in Ukraine. Only then will there be a free expression of the will of the citizens of Ukraine and the formation of a normal Parliament.

Without question, new Presidential elections are needed, because Yanukovich is a scoundrel who has no right to hold any political office in Ukraine. But if Presidential elections are held on the Maidan's terms, and under a dictatorship of the Right Sector, then Ukraine will get a Führer. And then, with a neo-Nazi parliament and a neo-Nazi government, the creation of a neo-Nazi state in the center of Europe will have been completed.

These are our evaluations, and I thank you for being so attentive. If you have any questions, we welcome them.

Discussion

In the question-and-answer period, the questions were asked in French and are paraphrased here. Excerpts from the answers have been translated from Russian.

Q: A question sent over the Internet begins with thanks to Natalia Vitrenko for her courage and her extraordinary competence as an economist. What would you think of forming a new international anti-fascist committee? Isn't this something that would be urgent to do?

Vitrenko: Absolutely. If, God forbid, a neo-Nazi regime remains in power in Ukraine, then neo-Nazism will surface elsewhere, too. In the Maidan, they shout "Ukraine for the Ukrainians!" They will shout "France for the French!", "Bulgaria for the Bulgarians!", and so on. Therefore, all mankind must fight neo-Nazism, together.

Q: Another question from the Internet begins with thanks to Kiev Rus for giving France a Queen! [Anna Yaroslavna, the well-educated daughter of Yaroslav the Wise of Kiev, married Henry I of France in the mid-11th century—ed.] My question is: Why would anybody want to enter the EU, when everybody in Europe wants to escape from it?

Vitrenko: Don't ask me! I'm against Ukraine's entry into the EU. But I think that the EU will soon fall apart.

Q: What is the role of Putin? One of our listeners want to know about his Eurasian project, on the one hand, and his apparent support for the current President of Ukraine, Yanukovich, on the other. Is the latter a question of relations among oligarchical groups, including those within Russia itself?

Vitrenko: I would have liked Putin to act otherwise than he has done. I don't understand why the USA declares Ukraine to be within its zone of national interests, and interferes there, while Russia, knowing that we are blood brothers and should be together, declares non-interference in Ukrainian affairs.

Q: My question is addressed to Dr. Vitrenko and to Mr. Cheminade. During the past week, the ruble has been crashing. Is this related to the processes Mr. Cheminade talked about in his introductory remarks? Is this the result of an anti-Russia campaign?

Vitrenko: There is a very strong anti-Russian campaign. This affects the prices of Russian commodities on the commodities exchanges, which, in turn, has an impact on the Russian currency. But the economic situation in Russia is not deteriorating as rapidly as Ukraine's. What's happening in Ukraine is a catastrophe. Ukraine's GDP has been declining for five quarters in a row. Industrial production, especially machine-building, is falling. The gold/currency reserves of the national bank are falling; they declined by one-third last year, and another 13% in January. The trade deficit and budget deficit are increasing. Businessmen in western Ukraine, as a protest against the central government, refused to pay taxes in January. Tax revenues in January fell by a factor of six, as a result of this. The national currency is collapsing.

Jacques Cheminade: The first thing to consider in understanding the current situation, is the fact that the Anglo-American oligarchy has entered its existential end phase, and cannot offer any future to the world. Hence, the decision to use Ukraine as a detonator against Eurasia since this area remains to be looted; not only Russia, but beyond, notably China. That's the first point.

Second, and this is another reason why Ukraine is used as a detonator, is the fact that the policy that utterly bothers the oligarchy, is Putin's policy, a policy he adopted from our friend [Sergei] Glazyev. This is a policy of "de-offshorization," meaning a policy to bring the money of the Ukrainian and Russian oligarchs under national control, in this case in Russia, in another case, in China. Doing so is unacceptable for the oligarchy



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

“The Anglo-American oligarchy has entered its existential end phase, and cannot offer any future to the world,” Jacques Cheminade said. “Hence, the decision to use Ukraine as a detonator against Eurasia since this area remains to be looted....”

because it dries up their sources of looting. Look at the attack on Glazyev in the *New York Review of Books*, saying that he is the man promoting Eurasia, supposedly a racist concept, something terrible that cannot be tolerated while Freedom is on the march in Ukraine, Freedom appears on the Maidan, blah, blah, blah.

One small, very interesting detail, is the fact that the article’s author, Timothy Snyder, a known asset and puppet of the Anglo-American establishment, directly attacks Lyndon LaRouche, saying that it was the latter who published Glazyev’s book documenting how Russia, under Yeltsin, was subjected to a financial, economic, and human genocide.¹ And here in France, we have our glorious national newspaper of reference, *Le Monde*, which took out a full page to publish Snyder’s ranting and in a comment reproduced the attack on Glazyev. But the name of LaRouche disappeared, because he and I, we don’t exist. This means that the person now talking to you, in fact, does not exist, according to the French oligarchy.

That’s what I have to say.

Q: I read a lengthy article in *Le Monde Diplomatique*, concerning Ukraine’s agricultural land. Is there a danger, under the new regime, that international groups will buy up the land in Ukraine, and use it primarily not for growing food, but for biofuels?

1. Sergei Glazyev, *Genocide: Russia and the New World Order*, EIR News Service, Washington, D.C., 1999.

Vitrenko: This government is a totally pro-Western, anti-popular government, which will do whatever necessary to keep afloat. Ukraine’s land is unique. Our country has 20% of the world’s black-earth soil resources. [Audio break.]

Will There Be Civil War?

Q: What will happen, now that Yanukovich has left? Could things develop in the direction of a civil war?

Vitrenko: A civil war is already under way in Ukraine. Three more people were killed in Kiev today. The entire southeast of Ukraine is rising up to fight. People’s defense units are being formed. People are donning Russian uniforms as a mirror response to what is happening in western Ukraine, where people don Bandera uniforms.

The new authorities have already criminalized any manifestations of separatism. They are trying to head off any possibility of local referendums. They don’t want to have a situation like in Scotland. They want to turn the people of Ukraine into serfs who have no rights. I gave you the figures: 84% of the people in eastern Ukraine are in favor of integration with Russia. Thus the Nazi authorities are striving to keep their clutches on Ukraine as a single state. They not only want to prevent secession, but to forbid any motion toward federalism or a confederation. They think that their American-trained guerrillas will enable them to keep their Nazi grip on the country.

I think they will fail, although I’m not sure how it will happen. For us, the Second World War was the Great Patriotic War. Not only the heroic Army, but also every Soviet person fought against the fascists: partisan units, the underground, people working behind the lines. Every person fought the fascists. Therefore, things may come to a big explosion, but this construct will be destroyed. But it will be a real shame, if this leads to World War III.

Q: Thank you for your presentation. You have clarified many things. My question is, how would you define the responsibility of the EU in this situation? We have talked today about the geostrategic posture of the EU and the USA toward Russia and other Eurasian countries. What is their responsibility for what has happened? There would appear to be two types of involvement in your problems: both the geopolitical, but also the background issues like land, as was mentioned, and also natural gas.

Vitrenko: The responsibility of the EU lies in the fact that three countries—Germany, Poland, and France—acted as guarantors of this agreement. Furthermore, just before that, our Foreign Minister met with foreign ambassadors, primarily from European countries. Here is a Ukrainian newspaper, reporting that during this meeting, the foreign ambassadors totally condemned the attitude of the government toward the neo-Nazi Maidan. When the deputy head of the Ukrainian Security Service announced the need for an anti-terrorist operation, all the ambassadors attacked him. Dutch Ambassador Pieter Jan Wolther stated, “Not even a broad interpretation of the term ‘terrorism’ allows you to label your political opponents that way.” He said, “I would request that you choose your terminology more carefully. Ukraine has no need for an anti-terrorist operation.”

This meeting took place Feb. 19. Preparation of the operation had begun the night before, on Feb. 18. The subway system in Kiev was shut down and the roads into the city were blocked. This is very difficult to do in a city of 3 million people. But they were demanding that this anti-terrorist operation be suspended. It was suspended, and then, on the 20th, the armed neo-Nazi guerrillas went on the offensive. By evening, the coup had been made.

Therefore, the United States provided financing and training, while the EU blessed the operation. The USA and the EU bear full responsibility for the situation in Ukraine.

Q: What are the goals of the neo-Nazis?

Vitrenko: The goals of the neo-Nazis, just as under Hitler, are to destroy humanity in the interest of a “chosen people.” They use the slogan “14,” signifying a 14-word slogan that says only the white race has the right to exist on the planet. The Ukrainian neo-Nazis have in their program a point defining “European Ukrainocentrism” as the strategy of the state. Under this policy, Ukraine is to strive to be not only the geographical, but also the geopolitical center of Europe. Hitler proclaimed, “*Deutschland über alles*,” while the Ukrainian neo-Nazis proclaim, “Ukraine above all.” Tyahnybok, the leader of Svoboda, openly states that just as our fathers and grandfathers did, so we, too, should annihilate Russians, Jews, Poles, and others.

Victims of Propaganda

Q: I have been shocked to see Ukrainians demonstrating here in Paris, in support of the Ukrainian rebels.

There are 250,000 Ukrainians in Lisbon, Portugal, but there were no demonstrations there in support of the Maidan. A young man who was a leader of the Ukrainian demo in Paris, and who was originally from Lviv, explained to me that there are no Nazis in Ukraine, no Russophobes, or anything like that. He said there were two types of Ukrainians: those who love Ukraine, namely them, the demonstrators, and those in eastern Ukraine, who hate Ukraine. What do you think about that?

Vitrenko: Those Ukrainians who came out in Paris to support the Maidan are victims of propaganda from CNN, EuroNews, and other such media. A colleague of mine who teaches at a university in London wrote to me that not a single TV channel there showed the events of Dec. 1 or Jan. 19. Nobody covered those actions. Instead, they would show a pretty girl saying, “We really want to change our government. It’s a bad government. The police are attacking and beating us. Defend us peaceful civilians!” And since our government really has been bad, a lot of people think: sure, the people in the Euromaidan are peaceful, and the government is going after them. They don’t see the neo-Nazis. They don’t see the guerrillas. They don’t see the blood.

What you have in Portugal, on the other hand, is our people: migrant laborers who have come to Europe seeking work, any work, even the most menial, because there are no jobs at home. They have left their families, their children. They remember that when we had the Soviet Union and were together with Russia, we didn’t have unemployment.

And they have a historical memory that the supporters of Bandera were always bandits and terrorists, who should not be supported. You have to understand the crimes of these collaborationists. They joined the German fascists in fighting against the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union lost 28 million people. Ukraine lost 5.5 million people, killed. Two million were deported to do slave labor in Germany. These Ukrainian nationalists, the Bandera supporters, were with the Germans. They shot partisans. They killed civilians. They rampaged throughout the territory occupied by the fascists. There was the case of Babi Yar in Kiev, where 130,000 people were shot to death. There were only 1,500 executioners who did this. Out of those 1,500 executioners, there were 300 Germans and the other 1,200 were these [Ukrainian] nationalists of the OUN. They served as *Polizei*, as henchmen. And of course our people still hate them so much, that they will not tolerate them in power.

The EU Has Violated Human Rights

Christine Bierre of S&P then asked Mr. Marchenko and Colonel Sergachov to add their remarks.

Volodymyr Marchenko:

I would like to draw your attention to the precedent, brought about by the USA and the EU. The essence of the matter is that the EU has proclaimed its principles and values, including the defense of human rights and the defense of minority rights, democracy, free elections, and non-acceptance of Nazism. These standards have guided the European Court of Human Rights, in implementing the provisions of the European Conventions. These values are the calling card of the EU, worldwide.

In regard to Ukraine, EU officials have violated all of these declared principles and values. In the Maidan, the neo-Nazis declared a “national revolution.” Their slogans proclaim, “Ukraine for Ukrainians!”, “Glory to the nation, death to the enemies!” But the EU officials maintain that the Maidan is defending European values.

Today, the new authorities proclaimed that the only people considered part of the Ukrainian “nation” will be those who speak Ukrainian. Beyond any doubt, there is going to be forcible assimilation of ethnic minorities. Today, the new Parliament repealed the Law on Language Policy, which defined the procedure for the languages of ethnic minorities to obtain official status on the regional level. [Translator: This applied to certain regions, where the majority of the population speaks Russian. Today, Russian lost its special status.]

In western Ukraine, speaking Russian on public transport was banned six or seven years ago. Now these processes will be generalized to Ukraine as a whole. Irina Farion [of the Svoboda party], a neo-Nazi, is being proposed as Minister of Education in the new government. She is the teacher who forbade a schoolgirl to use her own name, which was a Russian name.

Another problem is that European values include the rule of law. Natalia Vitrenko showed that the agreement witnessed by the three foreign ministers included a demand to change the Constitution, to alter the state system of Ukraine, in the space of 48 hours. They changed the Constitutional system illegally, shifting



Volodymyr Marchenko is the chairman of the All-Ukraine Trade Union Organization.

from a presidential to a parliamentary republic. Violating the supremacy of law, namely, the priority of Constitution within the system of domestic law, President Yanukovych was illegally stripped of his authority.

The European Parliament, or EU officials, termed guerrillas with weapons in their hands, who were shooting civilians, shooting at elected bodies, and seizing government buildings, “peaceful demonstrators.” By supporting the Ukrainian Euromaidan as a repository of European values, the EU officials have recognized the swastika, as well as collaborationists like Bandera and Shukhevych and their practices, as European values.

This means that the precedent of support for neo-Nazis, terrorists, and guerrillas in Ukraine, may be spread to other countries in Europe, to EU member countries.

Lastly, this: the EU has blessed the accession to power of neo-Nazis, who have already publicly declared Russia to be a hostile state. Russia and Ukraine are bound by a Treaty of Friendship and Partnership. And I think that the new, neo-Nazi Ukrainian regime will abrogate this treaty. But even without that, a hotbed of tension has already been created on the Eurasian continent, and the question of how to put it out, is a big problem for those who supported the Euromaidan and made out such values as were expressed there, as a so-called peaceful demonstration.

Valeri Sergachov: I would like to underscore that there are 134 ethnic groups living in Ukraine. Where are people supposed to flee? Poland and Romania have declared that they are prepared to accept refugees. Romania, for the past five or seven years, has been voicing territorial ambitions regarding land in the Odessa region and Bukovina, which they call Bessarabia. Claims on Crimea have already appeared in the Turkish press. The nationalists say that the territory of Ukraine extends from Lviv to the Don River—and that is already in Russia! I would like to underscore, once again, that there are 15 nuclear reactors in Ukraine: 15 Chernobyls. This all creates the potential for a military conflict that could spill over into World War III.

Thank you.



Col. Valeri Sergachov is the leader of the Kiev Rus Party.

Zepp-LaRouche in China Warns Of Imminent Threat of War

by William Jones

March 1—Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche, accompanied by several colleagues, including this author, concluded a trip to China at the end of February, after addressing over a dozen think-tanks and university departments, where she warned of the danger of thermonuclear war coming out of the Western-backed coup in Ukraine.

While the trip was occasioned by the launching last year of the Silk Road Economic Belt by Chinese President Xi Jinping, a project with which Zepp-LaRouche has been involved over the last 20 years, the fascist coup in Ukraine thrust itself onto the agenda, as a matter which which had to be addressed with her Chinese counterparts to underline the imminent threat of a thermonuclear conflict between the United States and Russia.

While Chinese scholars have been warily eyeing the developments unfolding in Ukraine, few were prepared to draw the ultimate conclusions from this dangerous brinksmanship. Mrs. LaRouche's visit, therefore, gave them a clear warning that were this policy to continue, mankind itself would be threatened with extinction in the thermonuclear conflict that could result.

Zepp-LaRouche also made clear that the geopolitical crisis was a direct result of the ongoing collapse of the international financial system, a collapse which her husband, Lyndon LaRouche, had forecast decades ago. Without abandoning this failed financial system through the implementation of a Glass-Steagall legislation and a return to a Hamiltonian credit policy, she explained, the world would either devolve into a "New Dark Age"

or, more likely, destroy itself in a thermonuclear confrontation like the one that threatens us today.

'Silk Road Lady' Returns

The LaRouches' reputation was well known to many of the scholars whom she met on her visit, some of whom were old friends. For those who were active in the 1990s, her role in the formulation of her New Silk Road policy, also known as the Eurasian Land-Bridge, was very familiar. With the fall of the Soviet Union and the need for a policy that would allow the development of the new nations that were created out of the now-defunct Soviet Union, she and her husband developed the concept of building a grid of high-speed rail through the Eurasian heartland, surrounded on each side by "development corridors" which would bring these landlocked regions in Central and South Asia into the mainstream of economic life. Discussions with Chinese representatives at the beginning of the 1990s led to the convening of a conference in Beijing in 1996, under the auspices of the Chinese Ministry of Science & Technology, at which Zepp-LaRouche was a principal speaker.

She later organized dozens of conferences in Europe, the United States, and Asia, to build support for this cornerstone project, winning the designation "Silk Road Lady." Some of her friends in China who were acquainted with her work at that time, organized a grand banquet in her honor in Beijing on the occasion of her return.

Others, who were younger, or unaware of the work



EIRNS/Mike Billington

Helga Zepp-LaRouche in China, Feb. 23, 2014.

she and her husband had done in promoting this project in the 1990s, were quite astounded to learn of her long history in a project that they had first heard of when President Xi announced his Silk Road Economic Belt proposal in Kazakhstan in September of last year.

But the broader perspective of the Silk Road proposal presented by Mrs. LaRouche, which went far beyond the traditional notion of new rail and highway connections and pipelines to a grand vision of building new cities and bringing civilization to the still undeveloped areas of Central Asia, combined with a renaissance of culture for the peoples of the region, sparked great interest and excitement in her audiences. The enthusiasm could be easily read on the faces of many of her listeners. All of the institutions she visited expressed a keen interest in maintaining contact with the Schiller Institute.

Shanghai Transformed

After a week of meetings in Beijing, Zepp-LaRouche took the high-speed rail to Shanghai. Along the route through Shandong and Jiangsu provinces, we traveled past the many farms and fields of the rural Chinese, who still comprise roughly 50% of the population. While we could observe farmers still using primitive agricultural methods, we couldn't help but notice the ongoing construction in the towns and villages

along the way, providing the basis for raising the standard of living of the rural population.

The return to Shanghai was especially poignant, as Zepp-LaRouche was last in the city as a young journalist in 1971, when the country was in the throes of the Cultural Revolution. While the Shanghai Bund, the center of Shanghai life since the Qing Dynasty, still maintained the old buildings that were once the offices of the colonial financial institutions, everything else had changed. Across the water in Pudong, which, at that time, had been only rice paddies and farms, there was now a flourishing industrial and commercial district, with the soaring structure of the 457-meter-high Oriental Pearl TV tower. Unlike Beijing, Shanghai could well pass for a European capital; indeed, it has often been proclaimed the Paris of the East.

Here also, the Shanghai-based scholars exhibited a keen interest in hearing what Mrs. LaRouche had to say. All took very seriously the warnings of the nuclear war danger. At one university, with a group that is normally concerned with energy issues, the questions were primarily focussed on the dangers lurking in the destabilization in Ukraine. While the think-tanks and universities in Shanghai do not have the same direct access to specific government ministries as is often the case in Beijing, they are keen on maintaining their status nationally by pursuing a higher quality in their research work. The unique perspective represented by the Schiller Institute was therefore of particular importance for them.

Silk Road Studies: A National Preoccupation

President Xi's September 2013 speech on the New Silk Road project provides the outlines of a strategy to be pursued by the nation. Now, the details still have to be worked out among the various educational and scientific institutions involved in the process. The project represents the path forward for the nation, and is therefore of the utmost importance. It touches upon China's internal development, particularly the all-important industrial development of China's western region, as well as on the sometimes difficult task of bringing the various nations of Central Asia together with Russia and China into a convergent policy of joint economic development.

In her discussions with Chinese scholars involved in the Silk Road research, Zepp-LaRouche stressed the need of a "grand vision" for the New Silk Road, beyond the simple infrastructure and transportation issues that attract so much attention, to encompass the building of new cities, changing the course of rivers to provide water for dry regions, and launching new nu-

clear power plants to provide electricity and desalinated water in order to “green the desert.” These projects would accomplish a veritable transformation of human civilization in the region, and provide the basis for a grander “economic belt,” extending through Eurasia and down into Africa, and from Siberia through a Bering Strait tunnel to North America, and down to Patagonia.

If we succeed in overcoming that “clear and present danger” of a nuclear conflict between Russia and the United States, stemming from the Western shenanigans playing out in Ukraine, and if we bankrupt the financial oligarchy through the enactment of a Glass-Steagall “firewall,” the successful implementation of a Silk Road Economic Belt could well launch mankind into a new era of global economic development.

Speech by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

On the Agenda: Common Aims Of Mankind

Helga Zepp-LaRouche gave this speech to a Chinese think-tank on Feb. 19.

I am very happy to be here in China, because when I was here in '71, China was quite different then. And then I came back in '96; there had been gigantic development. And having had the advantage of being here at a time when the Cultural Revolution was still a dominant factor, and then seeing how the development had occurred, I think I can appreciate a bit more than most people, what a gigantic leap China has really made.

And now I'm coming back here in a happy mood, on the one side, because I see that President Xi Jinping has adopted the New Silk Road, which is exactly what we have been proposing since the collapse of the Soviet Union.

Now, the not-so-nice aspect of this present trip is, naturally, the fact that we are at a very dangerous moment, and I would like to speak a little bit more about the war danger, at the beginning, and then in the second part of my presentation, to talk

about where I see solutions. But I think the recent developments in Ukraine in just the last two days, where the violence has completely exploded, demonstrates that we are potentially in a terrible crisis. Because, contrary to what Western media have been saying about what is going on in Ukraine, reality is quite different.

As you know, the recent escalation started when President Yanukovich did not sign the EU Association Agreement at the last EU summit in November, and then suddenly, these demonstrations erupted, and the Western media portrayed it as if this would be the disappointment of the freedom-loving Ukrainian people, who want to join Europe, and do not want to be under the dictatorship of Putin, and Yanukovich.

The reality is quite different. President Putin said that what had been activated was something which had been prepared for the presidential election of 2015, but has been activated earlier. Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov also pointed to the fascist character of these demonstrators, and if you look at the pictures from today and yesterday—people throwing Molotov cocktails against the police, occupying ministries and other buildings—these are not peaceful demonstrators (see this week's cover story).

We know that what led to the Orange Revolution in 2004 was the result of 2,200 NGOs, which were deployed in Ukraine alone, financed and developed by



EIRNS/Bill Jones

Helga Zepp-LaRouche in China. She proposed a Dialogue of Cultures, where each country would draw on its best traditions from the past: “We have to build a new Renaissance, and create a civilization on this planet which is really worthy for man to live.”

such organizations as the National Endowment of Democracy, the IRI (International Republican Institute), the National Democratic Institute, which had groomed activists, who were selected on the basis of their anti-Russian profile. And many of these people were not ideologically motivated; they just got money. They were paid to do a job.

Naturally, the situation in Ukraine is complicated by the fact that the Western part of the population is traditionally more Catholic- and European-leaning, and the Eastern part is more Russian- and Orthodox-leaning; but that alone would not account for this present conflict.

What is different between the 2004 Orange Revolution and now, is the fact that we have the emergence of hardcore Nazi networks. The most well-known one is the Svoboda party of Oleh Tyahnybok, but there are also other groups like the Right Sector, who all are referring to the Nazi collaborator Stepan Bandera, who helped the invasion of Ukraine by the Nazis in the '40s. These are people who are hardcore Nazis. They have a party logo which is almost identical with the swastika; they're singing the old Nazi songs. And I think that the only way to characterize this, is that this is a full-fledged Nazi coup, trying to create civil war in the country for a pretext, for later perhaps to intervene.

Now, if you look at the territorial position of Ukraine, it reaches far into the territory of Russia. Kiev at one point was the capital of Russia, and if Ukraine would come under the influence of NATO and the EU, Russia would not be defensible. This has even been the estimate of American think-tanks like Stratfor, because the distance between the Ukrainian border and Moscow is only 480 kilometers, and it is a flat stretch of land, which is very difficult to defend.

So, last week, the Russian Izborsk Club [see [EIR](#), Feb. 21, 2014], which is a group of very influential intellectuals in which such people as Sergei Glazyev are members, and also Gen. Leonid Ivashov—had put out a memorandum appealing to the Russian government, to Western people, but also to the Chinese government, to understand the nature of what is going on. And they say that the aim of this is to drive the Russian population out of the Eastern part of Ukraine into Russia, to create a flood of immigrants; to then forcibly deny the Russian Black Sea Fleet access to the ports of Sevastopol and Odessa, which, strategically, would also cut off Russia from access to the Mediterranean and the Aegean. And then, basically, establish NATO bases in Ukraine, and

place Ukraine under the influence of NATO.

Build-Up for Nuclear War


We think that the situation is even worse than that. Because first of all, you cannot see the effort for eastward expansion in respect to Ukraine apart from the eastward expansion of NATO, which has been going on since the collapse of the Soviet Union and the present situation, where you have the U.S. missile defense system set up in Poland and Romania. Just last week, NATO sent an Aegis destroyer to Spain, to the base at Rota. And the Russian government had made very clear, in a conference two years ago, where the Chief of the General Staff Gen. Nikolai Makarov had shown video animations that they naturally see as indicating that the U.S. missile defense system is not directed against Iranian missiles, but that the physical location of the system at the Russian border means it's directed against Russia. And nobody has any doubt about that.

Now, this U.S. missile defense system is based on a first-strike conception, aimed to take out the second-strike capability of the Russian nuclear forces. And that has been stated by the Russian Chief of the General Staff; that they cannot accept the system to be built at stages 3 and 4, because there comes a point when Russia would become indefensible, and therefore, General Makarov even said, it may force Russia to go for a first strike, and it may come to the exchange of nuclear weapons in Central Europe [see [EIR](#), May 18, 2012].

The additional aspect is the Prompt Global Strike doctrine, which is also a utopian conception which assumes that you can use traditional ICBMs, put non-nuclear warheads on them, conventional weapons, and then take out the weaponry—which again, is a first-strike conception.

Then, if you look at the world situation: the deployment of the Patriot missiles in Turkey, which were supposedly positioned with respect to Syria, but is really part of a forward deployment of NATO. Then you have to see, since the Asia Pivot policy of the U.S. Administration, the Air-Sea Battle doctrine is again a first-strike doctrine, which has even been admitted by American military analysts, with several articles discussing this problem. It is based on the illusion that it can take out the second-strike and other capabilities of any opponent, which in this case would naturally be China. The critics of this doctrine have noted that it is a doctrine which is, by its nature, causing a spiraling danger of a first strike, and a nuclear showdown.

FIGURE 1
China's Nuclear Second-Strike Capability



This map, published in all Chinese media in October 2013, shows the reach of China's submarine-launched nuclear missiles in case of war.

China has also, like Russia, made clear that it will not accept that. In October, there was, on one Monday, simultaneously, the publication of maps in all Chinese media showing that China has 70 strategic submarines which are located in the Pacific, which could launch a second strike, if China were to be attacked, at the [U.S.] West Coast, and that the radioactive fallout would go all the way to Chicago. And that you would have a second strike through the North Pole, attacking the East Coast.

This has been stated very clearly, and also the fact that China has these strategic submarines in places which are not necessarily easy to detect. Therefore, the utopian character of all of this is that, if you think about the number of nuclear warheads worldwide, that they're placed in so many different places—in submarines, in strategic bombers, in hidden places—then the idea that you can win a first strike without the danger of mankind's extinction, is complete insanity and a criminal kind of thinking.

The Financial Detonator

Now, that this is all related to the collapse of the trans-Atlantic financial system, is really obvious. Some of these things have developed

since the collapse of the Soviet Union.

When the Soviet Union collapsed, we proposed a Eurasian Land-Bridge, as a peace order for the 21st Century, and if that had been implemented, we would not be at this moment. But unfortunately, at the moment of the collapse of the Soviet Union, the neocons in the United States emerged in the old Bush Administration, and they decided that now was the time to go for a world empire. They wrote the New American Century doctrine, and one of the authors was Robert Kagan.

Now it happens to be that Robert Kagan is the husband of Victoria Nuland, who, as was revealed in her discussion with U.S. Ambassador in Kiev Geoffrey Pyatt, was shown to be meddling

in the internal affairs of Ukraine. The scandal was not her vulgar language; that's her problem, how she behaves. The real scandal was that it was a complete admission that the United States government is fine-tuning, step by step, an intervention into who should be the government in Ukraine—which is a complete violation of the UN charter, of international law, of every-



Russian Presidential Press and Information Office
Chinese President Xi Jinping and Russian President Vladimir Putin, in Moscow, March 22, 2013. Russians are enthusiastic about the prospect of working with China to develop Central Asia.

thing. But it is not surprising if you know that she is married to this neo-con, who has promoted this for a long time.

This has been in place for a very long time, since the collapse of the Soviet Union, but what is advancing, and triggering, and speeding up this present development, *is* the condition of the trans-Atlantic financial system, which is about to blow out.

If you take it back to the collapse of Lehman Brothers in 2008, there was a general recognition by many people in the G8 and G20 countries, that the international financial system was disintegrating, and there was a tremendous panic. For a very short period of time, people were willing to consider reforms to rein in the speculation, to re-regulate the banking system, which had been deregulated since the repeal of Glass-Steagall in 1999, but that shock lasted only a couple of days. And then the Too-Big-To-Fail banks, and the international financial institutions, reasserted their control of the governments, and two months later, at the G20 meeting in Washington, on the 15th of November, basically decided to deal with this problem in a different way—not through any reforms, but by just pumping money, quantitative easing, and using taxpayers' money to make bailouts of banks.

In the five and a half years since the outbreak of the Lehman Brothers crisis, they have pumped in, in the United States, probably somewhere between—it's very difficult to say, because there's not total transparency—\$25 and \$30 *trillion*, through a combination of rescue packages and quantitative easing. And this money has accumulated in the system as a gigantic bubble. It exists in the form of derivative contracts, which now have gone up, according to our best estimate, to \$1.4 quadrillion. A gigantic bubble.

And naturally, eventually, like in Germany in 1923, when you print too much money, if it be paper money or virtual money in the form of electronic money, eventually this creates hyperinflation. In 1923, in Germany, when the Reichsbank printed money to pay the war debt, and to pay the Versailles Treaty payments to the Allies, you could not see the inflation for four years. But then, when the French troops occupied the Rhineland, production stopped, and in half a year, the hyperinflation exploded, so that people were buying a piece of bread for 1 Reichsmark, then 100, then 100,000, then a million, then a billion, and at the end, they went with wheelbarrows to the baker before 12 o'clock, because at 12 o'clock the price was increased. Then by Novem-

ber, the whole thing ended, because it had become absurd.

This is now not only happening in one country, like it did in Germany, but it's happening in the entire Eurozone, and in the dollar zone—which is obviously not only the United States.

Therefore, there was a debate for a very long time in the Federal Reserve, that there should be a reduction of the liquidity pumping of \$85 billion per month, to \$75 billion, to \$65 billion; but there was a worry that you cannot really do that, because if you start to “taper,” then the danger is of a reverse leverage of this bubble, and that you could cause a new explosion of the system.

The Bank of International Settlements published, about two weeks ago, a very strong, stern warning, saying that the tapering should not occur, because it could lead to a complete blowout of the system. And that is exactly what is happening now: a collapse on the emerging markets. The currencies of Brazil, South Africa, Turkey, Hungary, and others have taken a downward turn in the last period. And that is just the beginning.

The other problem is naturally that the Eurozone is in a terrible crisis. And I know that people in China have the idea that Europe is doing better, but I can tell you it is *not* doing better. Officially they are saying there is an improvement, and small growth of 0.5% or some such remarkable magnitude. But the reality is that, if you look at the figures, what is happening in Greece, in Italy, in Spain, in Portugal—the policy of the Troika, which has been the most brutal austerity imaginable—has led to these economies dying, and the population is dying.

The death rate in all of these countries is going up, and the birth rate is going down. Half of the pensioners in Greece are starving. The suicide rate is going up in all of these countries. Millions of people have no health care. The youth unemployment in Greece is 65%. In Spain it's over 60%, and that, despite the fact that there has been a tremendous brain drain, because the young and educated people have left Greece and Spain, because they have no opportunities anymore. So the policy of the Troika is to destroy these countries, and, in our view, they're doing it deliberately.

It's not just incompetence, which is present also, but there is an intention behind it, to turn Europe into a feudal entity.

Now, the fact that this system is about to blow is the reason for the war danger. In a certain sense, it's very

difficult to explain. On the one side, there is an automatism. We are dealing with an empire, a global empire, where all these moves have been installed, and now there is a certain automatism, which is very, very dangerous.

The Obama Issue

This is the reason why my husband has been making the point—and you may think that this sounds very dramatic, but I can only report to you what he is saying—that the only way to stop World War III is the impeachment of Obama.

Many people had illusions about Obama. They thought he was the big Messiah. He promised change, he promised, “Yes, we can.” You remember all these nice slogans from the 2008 campaign. He even got the Nobel Peace Prize before he did anything. But I think many people, both internationally and domestically, have lost their illusions. And he’s committed several impeachable offenses. One of them is that he conducted war against Libya, without the approval of the Congress. He lied. He said this is just a humanitarian intervention, we will not put boots on the ground. But he *did* put boots to the ground; there were thousands of secret service agents and special forces on the ground, and whether they had boots or not, doesn’t really make a difference.

Then, immediately after the brutal assassination of Qaddafi, Mr. LaRouche said, the only way to explain what is happening is that we are on the course towards a Third World War. The real policy was regime change.

We came very close to that in the case of Syria. Because in Syria, it was not that the Assad government was shooting peaceful demonstrators which caused the escalation: It was part of the regime-change policy from the very beginning. And a lot of the rebels—al-Qaeda, al-Nusra, and other such terrorist groups—were sponsored, on the one side, from the CIA station in Benghazi, Libya, which is now an issue of discussion in the Benghazi hearings in the U.S. Congress; but the main sponsor was Saudi Arabia, in particular the head of Saudi intelligence, Prince Bandar, who has been financing and running these terrorist networks in Syria.

So, at a certain point, the U.S. military attack was about to happen. On the Friday night before the attack was to start, we got information from well-placed contacts in the United States, that the U.S. military attack was supposed to occur in the night between Sunday and Monday. And then on Saturday, about noontime, we got

another report saying that Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Gen. Martin Dempsey had made a last-minute intervention at the White House, telling Obama that he could not start a war where you do not know how to end it. This, and the fact that the American population was against this war, and that Congress was against this war, changed the opinion of Obama, so that he then asked the Congress for a vote on the matter, and it became clear that the votes were not there, and the agreement with the Russians on the chemical weapons gave Obama a way out. This is how, at least for the time being, the military intervention was stopped. Had Congress voted, they would have voted no.

But, as you can see with the developments in Ukraine, this has not changed the general character of the problem.

The impeachment of Obama is now being considered by more and more Congressmen, who have made up a list of the many impeachable crimes. For example, that Obama is disregarding the separation of powers by making recess appointments. In his recent State of the Union Address, he said, I will not go to the Congress if the Congress has a different opinion—I don’t care. That has caused a lot of people to say, this has to be stopped.

Obviously, people are also afraid to take that step, but there is a growing momentum for such an impeachment. In the light of the escalation toward thermonuclear war, it is absolutely essential that the United States return to its character as a constitutional republic. Obviously, this is a matter for the Americans to decide.

Stop Monster Globalization

But I think the other necessary thing to do, is to stop the casino economy. Because what is driving this present crazy development of globalization, is the fact that globalization has become a monster, where people, entire continents, are sacrificed. The rich are becoming richer. Recently there was a study published by Oxfam, which stated that 85 individuals in the world own as much as 3.5 billion people. And that means in practice, that Africa, for example, is a dying continent. This globalization has consequences: It is not just a moral issue; it means people are dying.

For example, you have, right now, every week, thousands of people getting into tiny boats, trying to flee across the Mediterranean from Africa to what they perceive as a safe haven in Europe. Half of them are drowning. And this is well known. But they take the

risk nevertheless, because the war, the hunger, the disease in Africa is such that they prefer to take a 50% chance that they will survive rather than stay where they are. I wrote a poem about this problem, about Lampedusa—that's the island in Italy where people flee to. It is a synonym for a completely morally bankrupt system. If you cannot treat people in such a way that this is eliminated, civilization is lost.

It would be so easy to stop this. We have all the technologies to make Africa a growing continent, to eliminate poverty in half a year! If the whole world would say that we will stop hunger in Africa, we will build ports, railways, agriculture, irrigation, this could be stopped in half a year, maybe even less. And for me, this is a big moral issue: that this world order must not stay the way it is.

This is what we propose for the United States as a recovery program today, which would mean to re-implement Glass-Steagall, and we have organized in the last two to three years about 80 Congressmen, 11 Senators, and legislatures in about 28 states out of the 50, where resolutions for [Glass-Steagall](#) have been introduced and/or passed. And I can actually say that there is growing ferment from the lower level of mayors, of city councils, of state legislatures, because they feel the brunt of the collapse, much more even than the Congress.

We have organized in Europe important forces for Glass-Steagall. In Italy, we have several laws before the parliament, and in other countries we have mayors supporting it, and legislation being discussed.

So, if this happens, if Glass-Steagall could be implemented, it will end the investment bubble; because if the investment banks no longer have access to the assets of the commercial banks, and no longer have rescue packages from the taxpayers, they would have to bring their books in order, and declare insolvency.

Then, however, we would need to have a new credit mechanism, which also existed at one time in American history, in the form of the American System of Economy, introduced first by Alexander Hamilton, who was the first Treasury Secretary of the United States, and who created a national bank, and the idea that the *only* institution which has the power and right to create credit, is the sovereign government, and not the private banks.

This was then repeated by Lincoln, by Franklin D. Roosevelt, and it was done also by Germany after 1945, which created the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau,

based on Roosevelt's Reconstruction Finance Corporation, to give credit lines for well-defined projects.

Now in the case of the reconstruction of Germany after the Second World War, this led to what became famous as the German Economic Miracle, because Germany, which was a complete rubblefield at the end of the Second World War, through that method of state credit financing reconstruction, became, in a few years, the economic miracle which was admired by the whole world.

Programs for Global Development


So, what we propose, therefore, in this crisis which is now upon us, that we overcome it by establishing a Glass-Steagall system, and by the creation of credit by the sovereign governments in each nation. And then we can agree on what we used to call the Eurasian Land-Bridge, and which we proposed, as I said, in the first place, when the Soviet Union disintegrated, in order to combine the industrial and population centers of Europe with those of Asia, through development corridors.

When we made this proposal, we looked at the map and the geographic conditions of the Eurasian continent, and it turned out that the best geographical locations for such corridors, were the Trans-Siberian Railroad; the old Silk Road; and other lines, like from Kazakstan all the way to India, to Indonesia; another line from Iran to Turkey, and from there to Europe. But in the meantime, since we proposed this for the first time really in 1989, and then worked on it in '91, and in the 23 years since, we have completed this program into something which we now call the World Land-Bridge, which is the idea to have several infrastructure projects which would get the world economy out of this crisis.

For the United States, we have proposed something which is called NAWAPA, the North American Water and Power Alliance, which will be the biggest water-management project that ever existed in history. It's based on the idea of taking the water which now flows unutilized in Canada and Alaska into the Arctic, to take these waters through a system of canals and river systems, and pumping stations, along the Rocky Mountains, all the way to Mexico. And if you ever have been in the United States, travelling by air from the West Coast to the East Coast, you see that California is green, then comes a strip of desert states, and then you have the Rocky Mountains, passing to the Plains of the Mid-

FIGURE 2

The Proposed World Land-Bridge



EIRNS

west, and further to the green East Coast. And this program would turn these desert states into the most lush agricultural and forested areas, because it's also an intervention into the biosphere.

Because if you start irrigation in a desert area, you have the possibility to plant vegetation. This vegetation then evaporates water, creating clouds, and the clouds bring rain. Then, you have a cycle of water recycling, and only after three or four such cycles, this water ends back up in the ocean, but you have improved the biosphere through what Vladimir Vernadsky called the noetic capability of man. You create new weather systems, you improve your entire environment.

For Mexico, this is vital, because they have now great starvation. They have deserts with a terrible situation—this would improve it.

Then our idea is, you combine this NAWAPA project, which would immediately create 6 million jobs; it would help to overcome the depression in the United States. You combine that, then, with the building of a tunnel under the Bering Strait, which is this short strip between Alaska and Siberia. This is a project which has been put on the agenda by President Putin, since he

became President again, and they have decided to build that, no matter what the intention on the U.S. side may be.

The next connection is to develop the Arctic region of Siberia. The region of Eastern Siberia is the richest area of raw materials. You find there all the raw materials, all the elements, which are in Mendeleev's Periodic Table, but naturally, they're under permafrost conditions, so you cannot just go there and mine them, because if it's minus 50°C, it's not so pleasant to work there. So, therefore, you need to develop cosmodromes, new cities which are suitable for human beings to live there; and that, in a certain sense, is also very good, because you need to develop these kinds of technologies as a test for space colonization. If you build such cosmodromes in Siberia, this is exactly what you will need when you colonize the Moon, or later, other planets. So, it's a step in the next evolution of civilization.

And then, naturally, we want to connect this with the Eurasian Land-Bridge, which we proposed in great detail in many reports, and extend that to Southern Europe, because Southern Europe needs an urgent de-

velopment plan, to include the Near East and the Middle East/Southwest Asia.


The New Silk Road

Now, this is another problem we have to solve, because right now the region from Afghanistan, Pakistan, all the way to the Caucasus, to the Mediterranean, Syria, to Northern Africa, Central Africa, is a region which is completely destabilized. We have terrorist networks, which have spread, ever since the Trilateral Commission decided to build up the mujahideen in the 1980s in Afghanistan, against the Soviet Union. This terrorist network has grown and spread. In Chechnya, in Dagestan, in Pakistan, in Northern Africa. And it's a real problem, because it is now being financed by the drug trade from Afghanistan, which has increased 40-fold since NATO moved into Afghanistan 12 years ago.

The good news is, that with the adoption of the New Silk Road policy by President Xi Jinping, this is now on the agenda. This is, in our view, the best development which could have occurred, because you need to put an alternative on the table. The New Silk road which connects China to Central Asia, could potentially be extended into Central Europe and Eastern Europe, as this was presented by Prime Minister Li Keqiang when he visited Romania and met with 15 heads of state. There, he proposed that China build a high-speed-train system in Eastern Europe, and this is what the EU is *not* doing. They cancelled all transport corridors which had already been agreed upon by the EU Transport Ministers in a meeting in 1994 in Crete, but then, because of the stupid austerity policy, all of these were canceled.

I know that there was a concern by China as to how Russia would react to China's developing Central Asia, and also building infrastructure in Central and Eastern Europe. And I'm very happy to tell you that my recent communication from our best contacts in Russia, have indicated that they think that that is the best way for

FIGURE 3
The Ancient Silk Roads (Land-Based and Maritime)



Creative Commons

China and Russia to cooperate, on these projects. And they have said that the developments in Ukraine have made very clear, that there needs to be a change in policy. And developments in Sochi had the same effect. What they mean by that is not the Olympic Games, but the fact that the investment in the Sochi region transformed an entire region, through infrastructure and other developments, as a model of what can be done everywhere else.

So, therefore, we are optimistic that there are solutions, because we can extend this Eurasian Land-Bridge into Africa, into Latin America, and have a World Land-Bridge, where you can travel in a very short period of time—maybe in 20 years, with a maglev train, like the one you have between Pudong and Shanghai—from Chile, all the way across the Bering Strait, to the Cape of Good Hope in South Africa, or through the maritime Silk Road into Indonesia, and that we have a completely different conception of foreign relations, and how people can be together.

An End to War as Policy

Now, we have to do a couple more things. If we want to get out of this crisis, we must consciously take the next step in the evolution of civilization. We need to say good-bye to certain accepted axioms, like the idea



EIRNS/Bill Jones

Zepp-LaRouche at a maglev station in China during her recent visit.

of solving conflict through war. Because in the time of thermonuclear weapons, to have the idea that you can solve conflict through war, means you risk the extinction of civilization. If it ever would come to nuclear war, within one and a half hours, all of mankind could be dead, and extinct. And since that is not acceptable, we have to say good-bye to the idea of geopolitical thinking.

We should not think, “this is German interest,” “this is Chinese interest,” “this is American interest,” but we must consciously define the next higher level of reason, where the common aims of mankind are what motivates us all. And the common aims of mankind are many. For example, to make thermonuclear weapons obsolete, which was already the idea in 1983, when President Reagan adopted the Strategic Defense Initiative, which was a proposal by my husband, which he had developed, and about which he had back-channel discussions for one year with the Soviet Union, with their representatives in the United States, which was in agreement with the National Security Council of the United States. And for one year, this was discussed, and at one point, the answer came from Moscow, no, we don’t want that.

Nevertheless, President Reagan in March 1983, made it official policy of the United States, and even offered to the Soviet Union to apply the technologies based on new physical principles, which would result

from such a program, in the civilian sector, where Russia had the most bottlenecks.

Now, this was a completely different conception than what is generally thought, and had nothing to do with a “Star Wars” scenario, which the Western media tried to make of the SDI proposal. Rather it was a grand design to get rid of nuclear weapons through technologies based on new physical principles, and then have, out of this increase in productivity in the civilian economy, a gigantic technology transfer to the Third World. The idea was to dissolve the blocs, to dissolve NATO, and to dissolve the Warsaw Pact, and really reorganize world affairs.

And we were very close to that.

There was a disruption, because the Soviet government and the Bush faction in the Reagan Administration moved to sabotage it. But getting rid of thermonuclear weapons is an absolute necessity, because they imply the possibility of mankind’s extinction.

There are other problems to solve jointly, like getting a joint fight against terrorism. The fight against drug traffic. Drug traffic is a big problem for Russia. [Russian anti-drug chief] Victor Ivanov has declared the drug traffic to be the national security issue number one, because every year 100,000 people are dying from the drug traffic.


Then there are other problems, like the defense of the planet Earth against asteroids, comets, and meteors. One year ago, in Chelyabinsk, the meteorite, asteroid shower, occurred. This was not on the radar screen of the U.S. NASA, ESA, nor of the Russian government, and it showed how vulnerable our planet is to the impact of such objects, which right now, we have no technological possibility to defend against. We must work together internationally to develop the technology to divert such objects once their orbit shows that they’re heading in the direction of the planet.

We have to improve our prognosis of earthquakes, tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, which after Fukushima, the Russian, Italian, and other scientists have focussed on, and I have heard from our friends in Russia that they



EIRNS

Zepp-LaRouche talks with Xu Dazhe, the head of the Chinese Space Agency, during a forum in Washington in January 2013.



CNTV

China's Chang'e-3 lander on the Moon, December 2013. The landing is a step in the direction of mining helium-3, as a fuel for a fusion economy on Earth.

are making big progress in their ability to forecast earthquakes and tsunamis.

Space Exploration and the Fusion Economy

There are other things to be accomplished. The other most promising development, apart from the an-

nouncement of the New Silk Road, was the Chinese landing on the Moon, where the Jade Rabbit started to operate, with the idea that this would be a step in the direction of mining helium-3 on the Moon, as a fuel for a future fusion economy on Earth.

This is the absolute next step, because in the evolution of mankind, we have to go from lower to higher energy-flux densities. Because, as Mr. LaRouche, who developed the idea of physical economy, has pointed out, as compared to monetarism, the increase of energy-flux density in the production process is the law of the universe. With each energy-flux density level, you have a corresponding relative population density. And therefore, we are strongly opposed to solar and wind energy, which can fill minor functions here and there, but which cannot serve as the basis of an industrial society, because if you would transform the entire energy production to these low-energy-flux-density levels, it would only support a population of 1 billion people. But we have presently already 7 billion. And we urgently need to go to the fourth generation of nuclear fission reactors which are inherently safe, the

pebble-bed reactors, high-temperature reactors, and to a nuclear fusion economy, and beyond.

So, therefore, one of the next joint cooperation tasks for civilization must be the joint development of a crash program for fusion power, collaborative space colonization, and in general, to move the identity of mankind to a different level. We are not beasts. Mr. LaRouche has made a very big emphasis on the fact that the human species, unlike all other living species on the planet, is the *only* species which has creativity, which has cognitive powers, which can, again and again, improve the conditions of

life for all citizens, and especially, we can shape the future. We are not victims of simply continuing the past, but we are the only living creatures capable of having a vision of what the future should be, and capable of moving to get the future implemented through our own action.

A Cultural Renaissance

So, therefore, we are emphatic that we must combine economic program with a cultural renaissance; that we cannot stay in popular culture, because with globalization, the culture has become, particularly in Europe and the United States, decadent and degenerate. If you look at the youth culture in Europe and in the United States, I can tell you it is satanic. Many of the pop varieties are openly bestial and satanic. And it has bred a culture of violence, where in the United States now, you have school shootings every second month. You have meaningless murder on the streets, for no good reason, because people are just crazy.

We are approaching a Dark Age, like in the 14th Century, when the Black Death was raging, and people became completely crazy. You had self-flagellants, you had witchhunts, you had a real collapse of civilization. And if you compare what is happening in the culture today in Europe and in the United States, you see we are already in a Dark Age.


How many people in Europe know and love Classical music culture? They are rapidly becoming a minority. And therefore, we need to do the same thing which was done in the transformation from the 14th to the

15th centuries, when the Golden Italian Renaissance was consciously created by a few people, who went back to the great Greek tradition of the Classics, of Plato, of the tragedians, and by reviving Plato and Dante, they created the Golden Renaissance of Italy. And we must do the same thing today.

We have proposed for a long time, a Dialogue of Cultures, where each country would go back to its best tradition, which in the case of Germany, would mean to revive the German Classical culture of Bach, Mozart, Beethoven, Schiller—even Goethe has a little place—and also in science, we have to go back to Nicholas of Cusa, Leibniz, Gauss, Riemann, Einstein, Planck, Vernadsky. In the case of China, you have such a rich culture of 5,000 years. There were many periods which contributed much to world culture, and I think one was really the Song dynasty, where a lot of beautiful things were happening in art and culture.

We have to revive that, and out of this revival, we have to build a new Renaissance, and create a civilization on this planet which is really worthy for man to live.

These are, in short, our ideas, and we are really fighting to implement them; not just to have a nice vision, but to make it happen.






FIDELIO

Journal of Poetry, Science, and Statecraft

From the first issue, dated Winter 1992, featuring Lyndon LaRouche on "The Science of Music: The Solution to Plato's Paradox of 'The One and the Many,'" to the final issue of Spring/Summer 2006, a "Symposium on Edgar Allan Poe and the Spirit of the American Revolution," *Fidelio* magazine gave voice to the Schiller Institute's intention to create a new Golden Renaissance.

The title of the magazine, is taken from Beethoven's great opera, which celebrates the struggle for political freedom over tyranny. *Fidelio* was founded at the time that LaRouche and several of his close associates were unjustly imprisoned, as was the opera's Florestan, whose character was based on the American Revolutionary hero, the French General, Marquis de Lafayette.

Each issue of *Fidelio*, throughout its 14-year lifespan, remained faithful to its initial commitment, and offered original writings by LaRouche and his associates, on matters of, what the poet Percy Byssche Shelley identified as, "profound and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature."



Back issues are now available for purchase through the Schiller Institute website:
http://www.schillerinstitute.org/about/order_form.html

Mahathir: The British Empire and Its U.S. Minions Foment Global War

by Mike Billington

Feb. 28—The interview below with former Prime Minister of Malaysia Dr. Mahathir Mohamad was conducted on the sidelines of an extraordinary event held on Feb. 15-16 at the Perdana Leadership Foundation, in Putrajaya, the new capital city of Malaysia. Both the Foundation and the city itself were conceived of by Dr. Mahathir, who was Prime Minister from 1981-2003, during which time he established himself as one of the most courageous and creative leaders among developing-sector nations. He earned the hatred of the London-centered Empire when he stood up against the massive speculative attack against several Asian nations in 1997-98, defying the “free market” by imposing currency controls on the national currency, saving his nation from the devastation visited upon his neighbors—Indonesia, the Philippines, and others. The speculators, led by George Soros, were furious, and went to work funding “regime change” subversion through compliant stooges in their pay.

Dr. Mahathir was interviewed for [EIR](#) in Malaysia on Jan. 22, 1999, by my late wife Gail Billington, and *EIR* published detailed reports on his regular outspoken, and often quite humorous, attacks on the Western speculative policies which were destroying economies worldwide; he demanded a return to Glass-Steagall and related regulatory restraints on the ravages by the Western financial institutions.

The event where this interview took place was held in honor of the life’s work of Kassim Ahmad, a Malaysian political leader, Islamic scholar, poet, and writer, who had recently turned 80. Because Kassim has been an outspoken supporter of Lyndon LaRouche and LaRouche’s political and philosophic ideas and practice since the early 1990s, this author was invited to speak at the conference on behalf of the LaRouche organization. The more than 200 attendees at the conference were well aware of Kassim’s long-term promotion of LaRouche’s ideas and political movement, as he had re-



EIR’s Mike Billington, former Malaysian Prime Minister Dr. Mahathir Mohamad, and Kassim Ahmad. Kassim is a Malaysian political leader, Islamic scholar, poet, and writer, whose 80th birthday was celebrated at an event in Putrajaya, Malaysia in February. Kassim is also a longtime collaborator of the LaRouche movement.

peatedly referred to that fact in his autobiography, *The Road Home—From Socialism to Islam*, published in both Malay in 2009, and English in 2011.

In his presentation to the conference, Kassim said: “The LaRouche movement is the American system, seeking a way between the two failed systems, liberalism and communism, promoting humanism. The movement has provided me with much happiness and satisfaction.” He said he prayed to God that the war being stirred up over the Ukraine crisis would not occur. “The people of America need to fight hard,” he said, “and uphold their humanistic, revolutionary tradition. They

must avoid being hegemonistic.”

What made this conference so interesting, and controversial, is that on two key issues, Kassim has been persecuted by the Malaysian government, and by the religious authorities in Malaysia, who hold a position of significant power in the state. In 1976, Kassim, who was for 18 years the head of the socialist Rakyat Party, was rounded up under the Internal Security Act, and held without charge or trial for five years. Then, having spent those years in an intensive study of the Koran and other Islamic writings, he published a book arguing that many of the statements in the Hadith (the reputed acts and sayings of Mohammad, collected by others during the Prophet’s life and after his death) are contradictory to the tenets of the Koran, and should not be viewed as truthful simply because of their inclusion in the Hadith.

This caused a huge controversy and led to the banning of his book by the religious authorities in Malaysia (although it is available online in English under the title *Hadith: A Re-evaluation*). Still today, many Malays are intimidated by the ban, and refuse to read this book, or any others by Kassim.

Thus, for this conference to be held at the Foundation founded and directed by Dr. Mahathir, and co-sponsored by the JUST International (headed by the outspoken political and religious analyst Chandra Muzaffar), marked what many described as the “much delayed recognition” of the profound work and political influence of this critical thinker. Following the conference, the religious authorities immediately opened a new investigation into Kassim’s speech, while the Islamist organizations and press exploded with accusations against Dr. Mahathir for openly supporting Kassim’s right to debate these issues.

Dr. Mahathir responded to these accusations on his blog: “It is very dangerous and confusing when anyone, just because he is fluent in Arabic and has a degree in Islamic studies, is declared a religious scholar. The disunity among the Muslim community of various sects is caused by people like these. Their followers have been enemies and have been committing murder for decades. There is no tolerance among them, when the Quran clearly asks of us to not even be enemies with people of different faiths, unless they see us as enemies. Labeling people just because they do not agree with one’s point of view is the way of those who are not open to debate. [They say:] Just accept what is being alleged by those who are not thinking. Do not use your common sense bestowed by Allah because apparently it is pro-

hibited in Islam.”

This author’s presentation to the conference is reflected in the questions presented to Dr. Mahathir in the following interview, focused on a warning of the current rush to thermonuclear war by President Obama and his British/Wall Street controllers, in the context of the ongoing collapse of the trans-Atlantic financial system, and the necessary solutions as developed by Lyndon LaRouche.

Interview: Dr. Mahathir Mohamad

The British have caused more damage in this world than anybody else.

Dr. Mahathir Mohamad, former Prime Minister of Malaysia (1981-2003), was interviewed by EIR’s Mike Billington in Putrajaya on Feb. 15.

EIR: Let me start with your role in the 1997-98 so-called Asia crisis. From the beginning of that crisis, you insisted that the measures you took to protect Malaysia were only defensive, and there wouldn’t be any real change until the world financial system were reformed along the necessary lines of ending financial speculation and the return to investments into the real economy. Clearly that has not happened.

Dr. Mahathir: Yes.

EIR: I wonder if you can tell me what you think has happened in these last 15 years, in Malaysia, and globally.

Dr. Mahathir: There is a state of denial among the developed countries’ leadership, in that they still believe that what they did was the right thing to do. What happened, of course, was that after they lost their market, in terms of manufactured goods, in Eastern nations, they retreated into financial markets, and they invented all kinds of [financial] products, and these products were all about gambling—they were not about manufacturing anything, they had no spin-offs for anybody, nobody shared in the wealth. All they did was to bet on whether the price of shares goes up or down, whether the currencies appreciate or depreciate.

And once they knew the mechanism, they made use of this mechanism to create the situation which was beneficial and profitable to them: short-selling of shares, short-selling of currencies. These things cannot last. Once you lose, you are going to lose a lot. For instance,



UN Photo

Dr. Mahathir Mohamad addressing the UN, September 2003.

leveraging—once you leverage by about 20 times in the invested amount, of course, when you make a profit, you make about 20 times more; but when you lose, you also lose 20 times more. When that happens, everybody goes bankrupt—the hedge funds go bankrupt, the banks go bankrupt, the investor goes bankrupt, and the whole society has to pay a price. This is cheating.

A Divided World

EIR: This is cheating; this is what we are experiencing today. In fact, what you see is that the world is now divided between a trans-Atlantic empire which is crumbling...

Dr. Mahathir: Yes.

EIR: Nations in Europe are being subjected to death—disease, taking away pensions, taking away wages, 40-50% unemployment, horrendous conditions, which is rapidly taking place in the US as well. On the other hand, you have the trans-Eurasian nations, largely Russia and China, but also Japan, Korea, Southeast Asia, which are still committed to progress, to science, to development, to infrastructure. And yet, as you see in Ukraine, when a nation chooses to go with Eurasia rather than the trans-Atlantic powers, it is treated as a pariah. Even Thailand, a nation that has been close to China and the rest of Southeast Asia, is now being torn apart. A similar thing in Cambodia, too. What do you

America's view is that there must be confrontation—everything should be resolved through war. We do not want any war here. We do not want any fighting here, because the destruction would be horrible. So we will try our best to work with China, to find out how we can settle these claims made by China, not through confrontation, but through friendly negotiation.

think has to be done?

Dr. Mahathir: This open declaration by the US, in particular, that they want to achieve regime change in order to install in all the countries of this world, governments which favor them—this is totally wrong. Because you may try to influence through ideology, but using wars, killing, massacres, and providing weapons and money to people who rise against the government—and actually instigating them to bring down the government, in order to install governments which favor the US—this is what is causing a problem now. In the Ukraine it is the same. In Syria it is the same.

Only last night, I was listening to the Al-Jazeera report on the conference on Syria. They were not interested in anything but regime change. They don't care about peace. They don't care about the suffering of the people, about the refugees and all that. All they want to do is to achieve regime change. This is their sole agenda. And towards this end, they do not care what method they use.

EIR: You met with Chinese President Xi Jinping when he was here [October 2013], right after he made his announcement in Indonesia of the Maritime Silk Road, and you formed the Cheng He Association, named after Adm. Cheng He, who led the armada of the huge Chinese treasure ships, in the 15th Century. Could you tell us what you have in mind for this Association?

Dr. Mahathir: Well, we call him Ching Ho—the Malay name is Ching Ho. He is a remarkable leader, a remarkable man. He came with very powerful forces—not to conquer, but to establish diplomatic relations with countries. China never attempted to conquer countries. They wanted to establish diplomatic relations and

trade with these countries. This contrasts with the first Portuguese—with Vasco da Gama, Afonso de Albuquerque, and Diogo Lopes de Sequeira, who came here in order to conquer. The Portuguese arrived in Malacca in 1509. Two years later, they conquered Malacca.

The Chinese had been in Malacca for many, many years before that, and never conquered Malacca, although they had so many Chinese in this country who could have formed a fifth-column for them. But they never tried to conquer. So there is this difference between Cheng He and the Portuguese and the other Europeans. Cheng He established friendships.

So this Association that we are going to form is in order to celebrate friendship between nations. There will be an award for the people who work most to bring about friendship between countries.

‘We Do Not Want Any War Here’

EIR: As in the Cold War, the Obama Administration, and its backers in London and Wall Street, are now demanding that the nations of Asia take sides with the US, both in the conflict in the South China Sea, and related strategic issues of conflict with China, and also with the TPP [Trans Pacific Partnership], which is clearly also an economic confrontation with China. What do you see as the result of this process?

Dr. Mahathir: As for Malaysia—I can not say for the other ASEAN [Association of Southeast Asian Nations] countries—but we do not want the US to come here and settle our problems. Our problems with China are our problems, and we will settle them *with* China—both parties should be involved. Of course, some of the countries have already invited the US to come here. America’s view is that there must be confrontation—everything should be resolved through war. We do not want any war here. We do not want any fighting here, because the destruction would be horrible. So we will try our best to work with China, to find out how we can settle these claims made by China, not through confrontation, but through friendly negotiation.

We believe here in Malaysia—we are trying to promote the idea that war is a crime, and the only way to settle conflicts between nations, is through negotiation, arbitration, or court of law—not through war. But the US is saying that we must side with them against China. We do not see why we should be with them against China. China has been there, and cannot be removed—you cannot wish it away. We need to live with China, whether China is poor or rich, or advanced, we have to

live with China, and we have to find ways and means to settle any problems we have with China through negotiation, arbitration, or a court of law.

EIR: You founded the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal, which found Tony Blair and George W. Bush guilty of crimes against the peace, for the illegal war in Iraq. President Obama has carried this war policy forward, in Libya first, and now in Syria, where full-scale war was only stopped because Gen. Martin Dempsey, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of the US military, told Obama by-and-large that it must not happen, and also because our organization mobilized popular support against it. But it is very fragile, and ready to blow apart again. It was narrowly avoided, but it is still on the table. We are facing a similar thing in Ukraine, threatening a full-scale war with Russia. Do you think it is time for the War Crimes Tribunal to take up the case of Mr. Obama?

Dr. Mahathir: Normally, the War Crimes Tribunal works when there is a complaint from the affected people. Of course, if there is a complaint, they will take it up, but it is up to the Tribunal to decide on this. But our view is that people who wage war must be punished, because war is not a way for civilized men to settle disputes—by killing each other. In civilian society, if you have a quarrel with another person, you cannot go and kill him, as a solution. Yet, in the case of quarrels among nations, one nation can go and destroy and kill as many of their so-called enemies as possible, and they are not guilty. This is a contradiction over how conflicts can be settled. So if we find there are complaints, then of course we will take it up.

EIR: When the war with Syria was temporarily postponed, and at the same time, the P5+1 talks began with Iran, this looked rather promising, but perhaps the Syria situation is falling apart. There are also those who would like to see the Iran talks fall apart. As a leading Islamic nation, and one in which you personally have often taken courageous stands on international matters, what role do you think Malaysia can play in this attempt to provoke such crises in the Islamic world?

Dr. Mahathir: Well, Islam actually is about peace. If there are divisions from this, it is the wrong interpretation of the teachings of the religion. So we are for peace because that is what Islam teaches us. It’s not just because these are Muslim countries or Muslim people who are involved—anywhere that peace is broken, we

will take the side of those who want peace and settlement through negotiations in order to solve their conflicts.

As far as Malaysia is concerned, if we have the strength, of course we will try to help, but otherwise, our opinion is that everything should be settled through peace. We don't condemn any party—at the moment, we cannot condemn either the Syrian government or the rebels, because we need to know what are their grievances; but whatever may be their feelings about these things, they should not resort to war. Those who resort to war are ultimately against peace, and those who finance these wars are not doing any good for anyone because it is not going to settle the problem.

The US must know—they went into Iraq, and they thought it was going to settle this problem within three months, and they were there for ten years; and the same thing in Afghanistan. But the US has never learned anything about war. They have been defeated in Vietnam, they have been defeated everywhere they go. Even in Somalia they could not end it. But they still believe that they can solve the problem with war, but they will not. Not these days. Because even if you “win” and occupy a country, there will be resistance until they leave the country.

Britain's 'Great Game'

EIR: Part of the reason this region has become a cockpit for war, and become a focus of a conflict between East and West, is because of the enflaming of the Sunni-Shi'a conflict. We have documented that the British, through the Saudis, have been deeply involved, fomenting that kind of division. Do you want to comment on that?

Dr. Mahathir: Yes. Well, the involvement of Saudis—I wouldn't like to comment upon it, because we have good relations with them. But at the back of this all is the British. The British have caused more damage in this world than anybody else, through their colonial policies in the past, and through the conclusion of their decolonization process—all these things have left behind a legacy that leads to war. You know, their creation of Islamic states, like Syria, Lebanon, Iraq—these were created by the British. They were just one people before. There were no divisions of Syria and the rest, but the British drew a line, with the French...

EIR: Sykes-Picot...

Dr. Mahathir: ...so that this half went to the French, and this half to the British: This was the Great Game

that they played. And what is the result? The result is now, you find enmity between people who are of the same race, the same religion, because of these destroying borders and lines. The same thing in Africa.

EIR: On the economic issue—when you were

Islam actually is about peace. If there are divisions from this, it is the wrong interpretation of the teachings of the religion. So we are for peace because that is what Islam teaches us.

waging your fight on behalf of Malaysia, but really on behalf of the world, against the IMF and the Soros network, and those who were running the “Asia crisis,” so-called, which was really the beginning of the world crisis, you, even at that time, said that the Glass-Steagall solution, dividing the investment bankers off, letting them collapse if they collapse—no government support for that...

Dr. Mahathir: Yes.

EIR: ...and saving our commercial banking system, as Roosevelt did. You supported this at that time. We now have bills in both the US House and Senate, some courageous leaders taking it up, others signing on but not taking leadership. I assume you still strongly support that policy. Do you have a message for those who need a little kick in the appropriate place to get them to take action?

Dr. Mahathir: I think there is a trend towards retreating—retreating from the principle that they adhered to before, because of the wealth involved, the power of Wall Street for example, which has invariably been a part of the government in the US, and so anything that stands in the way of the immoral activities of Wall Street would be pushed out and neutralized. This is the plan that we see, because they don't want anything to stop them from amassing as much wealth as possible.

That's why even the anti-trust laws are now ignored. Before, what Rockefeller did was only confined to the US. Now, US companies are spreading to the rest of the world, and they want to monopolize all the business activities in the whole world, world domination—just as Rockefeller would have control over the oil business in the US, now the American businesses want to control

all business in the rest of the world. They don't care about anti-trust laws, or the Glass-Steagall Act. This stands in the way.

EIR: This stands in the way, so they have thrown it out, and the results are now clear.

Would you like to say anything about Mr. [Lyndon] LaRouche and our movement?

Dr. Mahathir: You are sometimes the lone voice against the trends, and that is something that has to be continued. Someone has to come up and say something against the present trend of letting markets go wild. You know, there is no more control—the idea that the market will regulate itself is so much nonsense, because the market is about making money, making profit. They are not interested in regulating themselves. This is where the government abdicated their role. We think the government must come back. The government are representatives of the people. The business people are *not* representatives of the people. To let them loose like that, and tell them that they can make the laws for themselves, and for the world, is for the government to abdicate their role. Government must come back, and set limits to the things that the market can do. If you leave it to the market, this world will never recover.

A Global Development Project

EIR: We're now in the midst of a project, working with friends in Japan, Korea, Russia, and elsewhere, a global development project, but focused on what we call the trans-Pacific, from the Mississippi River, developing the NAWAPA water project—the huge project to bring water down from the north to double the agricultural land in America, a tunnel under the Bering Strait, rail and water development projects in Central Asia—so that this whole trans-Pacific region can be the new frontier for all mankind. We're going to be developing this over the next few months and putting it out globally, as the basis for peace—peace through development.

Do you wish to comment?



Kassim of Bernama

The LaRouche movement is "sometimes the lone voice against the trends, and that is something that has to be continued," Dr. Mahathir said. Here, Prime Minister Mahathir meets with EIR's Gail Billington in January 1999.

Dr. Mahathir: Well, obviously the world needs re-thinking about how this world should be structured and managed. Obviously, much of the thinking now is done in the East. In the West, they are so busy trying to extricate themselves from the mess that they are in, that they have no time to think what is right and what is wrong. The only thing they can think of is more domination. And they are foisting on the world all kinds of agreements, supposedly to be free trade, but actually to regulate trade through various treaties. You cannot do anything outside the treaty—so you are being regulated. It's not about free trade, it's about regulated trade, but it is posed as free trade—free-trade agreements, TPP, etc.—all these things are attempts, through the economy, to control the world, to keep the world from developing, especially in the trans-Pacific area from developing as a counter-balance to the power of the traditional West.

EIR: What would you like to see your legacy to be?

Dr. Mahathir: I would be very happy to see just very small things for my country—that it should stay neutral, that it should continue to say what is right and what is wrong, that it should always hold to principles, and not be swayed by outside powers who want to make use of them.

EIR: I thank you very much.

To Solve Texas-California Drought Crisis, Impeach Obama

Feb. 26—Citing the devastating effects of the record drought conditions in California, Texas, and most of the states west of the Mississippi, Texas candidate for U.S. Senate Kesha Rogers and California candidate for the 12 Congressional District Michael Steger are demanding that Congress immediately impeach President Obama to get emergency relief, and avoid a food- and water-catastrophe greater than anything seen in centuries.

California is in the midst of what is being described as a 500-year drought, as record low levels of snow and rainfall have worsened each of the last five or six years, drying up reservoirs, and slowing rivers to a trickle. Similar conditions exist in Texas. These are the two largest states in the Lower-48, responsible for a large percentage of the nation's food production, and home to more than 64 million people (20% of the U.S. population). The severe drought conditions have forced local and state officials to choose between water for residential use, industry, or productive farmland. Already agricultural output has been severely curtailed, threatening the nation's—and the world's—food supply.

Instead of taking action to alleviate the emergency and invest in new water development projects, Obama has insisted on bailing out Wall Street, diverting more food to biofuels, wasting huge amounts of precious water for oil and gas “fracking” (hydraulic fracturing), and pushing the Queen of England's genocidal Green agenda.

“Obama has to be thrown out,” Lyndon LaRouche, whose political action committee is supporting the candidacies of Rogers and Steger, told associates on Feb. 25. “If Obama is not thrown out, you're all dead, and I'm not talking about down the line. I'm talking about weeks or even days.... The people of Texas and California—and it's not only these two states—but the people of the most of the United States will be dead if you don't get Obama out.”

When Obama visited California on Feb. 15, he blamed global warming for the drought, which even the greenie-friendly *New York Times* criticized. Instead of offering immediate help to get water into the drought areas, Obama said he would soon establish a \$1 billion Climate Resiliency Fund which will be based on the same greenie nonsense that has been used to block water-development projects since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. Had those projects, such as NAWAPA (the North American Water and Power Alliance), been built, Texas and California would not be in the water crisis today.


But, the President showed the real intent behind his policy when he praised the increase of biofuel production, and the soaring exports of oil and gas from fracking, as examples of how, under his mis-leadership, America is becoming energy independent. At present, 40-50% of the U.S. corn harvest goes to ethanol production, taking food away from people and from livestock. At a time of growing food shortages, using land and water to produce low-grade fuel is criminal.



DROUGHT

THE CRISIS

U.S. Drought Monitor February 18, 2014



The U.S. Drought Monitor is jointly produced by the National Drought Mitigation Center at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, the United States Department of Agriculture, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Map courtesy of NDMC-UNL.

The drought crisis now hitting California, Texas, and much of the West may be the worst in 500 years. If measures are not taken immediately to reverse conditions, millions face loss of drinking water supply, and the U.S. faces critical loss of food supply, as California's Central Valley provides 1/3 of the nation's produce.

California

- 100% of the state's land area is officially in drought condition as of February 18, 2014 (USDA).
 - 68% is in extreme drought.
 - 15% is in exceptional drought.
 - One year ago, these numbers were 0%
- The CA State Water Project allocates water for municipal, agricultural and industrial use for 25 million people (two-thirds of California's population) and irrigates nearly one million acres of intensive production farmland.
 - The 2012 allocation was 65%
 - The 2013 allocation was 35%
 - The 2014 allocation is 0%
- 500,000 out of 3 million acres of productive farm land are expected to go idle this year, producing food shortages.

Texas

- 46 of the state's public water systems were at risk of running out of water within 180 days, as of Jan. 8, 2014.
- Seven Texas communities could run out of water in 45 days or less. Barnhart, TX has already completely run out due to the diversion of water for purposes of fracking.
- Texas cattle herds are down 18% from 2009 levels.

THE SOLUTION



The original North American Water & Power Alliance (NAWAPA) design was updated by a team of experts to include use of nuclear-powered pump-turbines & desalination technology – "NAWAPA XXI". The full program is available at larouhepac.com/inawapaxxi

Emergency measures can and must be taken by the federal government to bring immediate relief to parched land and people. Farmland, and the nation's food supply must be saved. Intermediate and long term solutions must be set into motion now, including an FDR-style mobilization to build NAWAPA XXI.

Build NAWAPA XXI

- Bring an additional 160 million acre feet of fresh water per year (MAFY) from Alaska and Northern Canada to the parched U.S. Western States, Canadian Prairie States, and northern Mexico, potentially doubling arable farmland.
 - Bring 22 MAFY to California (7 trillion gallons/year).
 - Bring 14 MAFY to Texas (4.5 trillion gallons/year).
- NAWAPA XXI and supporting infrastructure would create anywhere from 4–8 million new productive jobs over the project's estimated 15–20 year completion time.
- Initial water distribution via the Humboldt Extension into North/Central California could be online within 10 years.

Desalination

- Construction can begin immediately on 40 nuclear desalination plants, each desalinating 150 million gallons per day, adding 6.7 MAFY of water to the overall system.
- Coastal desalination will provide for cities and industry, offset demands on limited water for agriculture, and solve the problem of saltwater intrusion into coastal aquifers.

Cancel Fracking and Biofuels

- Fracking wastes precious water and must be canceled.
- Cancel biofuels, ensure farmland goes to food production.

WWW.LAROCHEPAC.COM/INFRASTRUCTURE

This is made even worse by fracking, which Obama fully supports and which requires an enormous amount of water to extract oil and gas. It is estimated that fracking now uses about 170 billion liters of water per year in the U.S., and that 47% of oil and gas wells opened by fracking are in areas of extreme water scarcity. In Texas, over 9,000 wells are in areas of severe water shortages. Many towns in these areas of Texas have run out of water, and the same is now true in California.

Here again, the policy for wasting water through fracking is part of the Queen's genocidal Green ideology, which is irrational from any economic standpoint. Had the U.S. continued on the commitment to nuclear power development that it had under President Kennedy and developed thermonuclear fusion, there would be ample energy for the country.

"We have a program to solve the crisis in Texas, California and the country," Rogers and Steger said in a statement. "In addition to emergency measures to alleviate the crisis, we can stop the bailout of Wall Street with Glass-Steagall, get ample credit for NAWAPA to bring water from Alaska into the dry areas of the country, and develop nuclear and thermonuclear power. But none of this will happen if we don't get Obama thrown out now!"

The Crisis

California: 100% of the state's land area is officially in drought condition as of Feb. 18, 2014, according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture: 68% is in extreme drought; 15% is in exceptional drought.

One year ago, these numbers were 0%.

The California State Water Project allocates water for municipal, agricultural, and industrial use for 25 million people (two-thirds of the state's population). It also irrigates nearly 1 million acres of intensive production farmland. (California's Central Valley grows one-third of the nation's produce.)

The State Water Project's 2012 allocation was 65% of authorized allotment. The 2013 allocation was 35%. The 2014 allocation is 0%. Half a million out of 3 million acres of productive farmland are expected to go idle this year, producing food shortages.

Texas: Forty-six of the state's public water systems were at risk of running out of water within 180 days, as of Jan. 8, 2014.

Seven Texas communities could run out of water in

45 days or less. The community of Barnhart has already completely run out, due to the diversion of water for fracking.

Texas cattle herds are down 18% from 2009 levels.

The Solution

Emergency measures can and must be taken by the Federal government to bring immediate relief to parched land and people. Farmland and the nation's food supply must be saved. Intermediate- and long-term solutions must be set into motion now, including an FDR-style mobilization to build NAWAPA XXI. The original 1960s NAWAPA design was updated by a team of experts to include use of nuclear-powered pump-lifts and desalination technology: NAWAPA XXI.

Build NAWAPA XXI: Bring an additional 160 million acre feet (MAFY) of freshwater per year from Alaska and Northern Canada to the parched U.S. Western States, Canadian Prairie States, and Northern Mexico, potentially doubling arable farmland.

Bring 22 MAFY to California (7 trillion gallons/year).

Bring 14 MAFY to Texas (4.5 trillion gallons/year).

NAWAPA XXI and supporting infrastructure would create some 48 million productive jobs over the project's estimated 15-20 year completion time.

Initial water distribution via the Humboldt Extension into North/Central California could be online within 10 years.

Desalination: Construction can begin immediately on 40 nuclear desalination plants, each desalinating 150 million gallons per day, adding 6.7 MAFY of water to the overall system.

Coastal desalination will provide for cities and industry, offset demands on limited water for agriculture, and solve the problem of saltwater intrusion into coastal aquifers.

Cancel Fracking and Biofuels: The practice of hydraulic fracturing, "fracking," wastes precious water, and must be cancelled immediately. Construction of nuclear power stations will provide more than adequate electricity to supply the country's energy needs.

Production of all biofuels must also be immediately cancelled, ensuring that agricultural land goes to food production. Corn and other water-intensive crops are important feedstocks for livestock and should not be burned for low-grade fuel.

LaRouche Dem Rogers Heads To Senate Runoff in Texas

by Harley Schlanger

March 5—The plan of the dwindling number of defenders of President Barack Obama, to “Turn Texas Blue,” took a big hit in the Texas Democratic Primary on March 4, as the results demonstrate an unmistakable reality: The vast majority of Texans—as well as Americans overall—want Obama out of the White House, the sooner the better. It is this reality that put LaRouche Democrat Kesha Rogers into the runoff in the race for the Democratic Party nomination for the U.S. Senate, as she ran an intense and focused campaign on the existential issue of impeaching Obama immediately.

Rogers, who twice has won the Democratic nomination for Congress in the 22nd C.D. of Texas, is well-known, not only for her calls for Obama’s impeachment, but for her advocacy for a return to Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall banking regulation, to crush the power of the Wall Street bankers, and a revival of great projects, including the building of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) to reverse the devastating drought; expanded funding for NASA; and the development of thermonuclear fusion power.

Despite millions of dollars spent

to defeat her, and a campaign of vilification, dictated by the Obama team, Rogers received over 110,000 votes, for 21.7%, while her principal opponent, David Alameel, received 47%, with 32% shared among the other three candidates. Because no candidate received over 50% of the vote, a runoff election will take place on May 27.



EIRNS

Kesha Rogers, who won Texas Democratic primaries for Congress in 2010 and 2012, will now be on the ballot in a runoff for Democratic candidate for Senate. Her number one campaign theme: Impeach Obama!

Make Impeachment the Issue

At her victory party last night, Rogers said of the vote, “We gained a clear victory. We have done our job, which is to change the subject of the 2014 midterm elections from ‘business-as-usual,’ to making the impeachment of Obama the issue. This message got out,” she continued, pointing to the concerted media campaign, to attack her for her insistence that Obama must go. “But the more they attack me for this, the more it helps us, as even the majority of Democrats want him out, as demonstrated by the vote.”

Rogers was referring to a spate of articles which appeared, in Texas and nationally, with headlines such as “Democrats Scramble To Stop LaRouche Candidate,” and “Fear of a LaRouche Planet.” The barrage began when a *Texas Tribune*/University of Texas poll was released, which showed Rogers leading the race, with 35% to Alameel’s 27%. Instead of reporting on Rogers’ campaign to restore the economics of the American System of Alexander Hamilton, Franklin Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy, they publicized the Party’s vitriolic campaign against her, thinking they could discredit her, by frantically insisting, “She is not a Democrat.”

A section of the media was also caught in an attempt to commit fraud against Rogers and the voters. The Associated Press reported, at the point that about half the votes were in, that Alameel’s totals suddenly jumped by more than 50,000 votes, to 54%, and projected him as the winner, without the need for a runoff. This was reported in *Politico*, the *Houston Chronicle*, and other major newspapers. Later, as it became clear that this tally was fraudulent, as it differed significantly from the totals reported by the State Board of Elections, the AP was forced to send out a correction, which stated, “The Associated Press had called the race for Alameel, but uncalled it after a county’s results had been miscounted”!

In commenting on this “miscounting,” Lyndon LaRouche said that, “apparently, there was a systematic attempted fraud,” in which the media, on behalf of Obama, “tried to ... pull off fraud against the Kesha Rogers vote.”

Speaking after the results were released, Rogers said, “Now, we have to finish the job. The stakes are too high—the longer Obama remains in office, the greater danger the human race will be extinguished, by a thermonuclear war, provoked by him.” She added that the



EIRNS/Sylvia Spaniolo

The Rogers campaign organized tirelessly throughout the State of Texas, including here, in Harlingen, in January.

results of the primary show that we have entered the “post-Obama era.”

In mobilizing her supporters for the runoff campaign, she said, “From here, we have to communicate that we intend to build the future, by reviving the legacy of the great President John F. Kennedy. We will transform the nation through a Texas-California alliance, through my collaboration with my colleague on the LaRouchePAC Policy Committee, Michael Steger, who is running for Congress against Nancy Pelosi in California’s 12th C.D. This collaborative effort is committed to defeating the drought with NAWAPA, and securing the future through the advancement of science and technology.

“But it all begins with getting that puppet of the genocidal Queen of England impeached.”

The Collapse of Obama’s Democratic Party

There is one other notable conclusion which can be drawn from the results of the primary election, which is

that, with Obama in the White House, and his thugs controlling the national and state Democratic Party apparatus, the Party is in a free-fall to the bottom. Since Rogers' White House-controlled opponents knew they could not defeat her by debating the real issues facing voters, they vacillated between trying to ignore her—which was not possible after the release of the *Texas Tribune* poll—and slander her.

As Martin Frost, the former chairman of the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee said, “The party is working very hard to make sure she is not the nominee.” State Chairman Gilbert Hinojosa sent out an email which said that she is “not a Democrat,” and that no one should vote for her.

But they didn't stop there. Two well-placed sources in the Texas Democratic Party confirmed that the “strategy” dictated by Obama-linked operatives working against a Rogers victory could be summarized in three words: “Suppress the vote.”

Despite national Democratic Party “talking points” that it is Republicans who wish to lower voter turnout, this is in fact the policy adopted by desperate Obama operatives. To do this, they used a warchest of \$3.5 million that Alameel loaned to his own campaign, along

with an undisclosed amount channeled through the Obama-controlled political action committees, “Battle-ground Texas,” “Turn Texas Blue” and the “Texas Future Project,” in an effort to get party “loyalists” out to vote.

Almost none of this money was used as part of an outreach campaign, to bring in new voters, due to the PACs' legitimate fears that the larger the turnout of unidentified voters, the larger the vote would be for Rogers. This was confirmed in an article in *The Hill*, which quoted University of Texas professor Sherri Greenberg, who said that the party is mobilizing “knowledgeable voters,” by which she meant those who accept Obama's leadership as legitimate.

“Unless you have a hotly contested primary race, the Democrats who go to the polls are going to be well-motivated and well-informed,” by which she meant only those who wish to stop Rogers.

Unhappily for these hacks, who are left with the task of defending the indefensible, i.e., Obama, the race did become “hotly contested,” as many new voters, and discouraged former Democratic Party voters, were mobilized by Rogers to vote, excited to see an alternative to Obama within the Party. Rogers received many votes in rural counties, in East and West Texas, and in blue-collar communities—such as Jefferson County (Beaumont), which she won—and from Hispanic voters, who were angered that their “leaders” took money from the Alameel campaign, to herd them to the polls.

The voter suppression campaign backfired, however, as Rogers received enough votes to get into the runoff. Further, it continued the long-term trend of the Obama/Wall Street-controlled Democratic Party of Texas to irrelevance, as the total number of voters in the Senate primary was a paltry 507,000 votes, well below historic levels. For example, in 1990, there were nearly 1 million votes cast in the Democratic Senate primary. In contrast, more than 1.3 million voted in this year's GOP Senate primary.

Rogers said that, “Given the cowardice of the Republican Party, which is controlled by the same genocidal interests as the Democratic Party, such as the Bush family, and therefore refuses to move to impeach Obama, it is up to us to clean these traitors out of our Party, and return to the tradition of FDR and JFK, which still resonates with many Texans. We have 12 weeks to do this. As we have seen with the rapid changes underway, such as with the Obama-supported Nazi coup in Ukraine, a lot can happen in 12 weeks.”


Nuclear NAWAPA XXI Gateway to the Fusion Economy

A 21st Century Science & Technology Special Report

By the
**LaRouchePAC Scientific
Research Team**

Articles include:

- A Call for an International Crash Program: Creating the Fusion Economy
- Increasing the Productivity of the North American Water Cycle
- Nuclear NAWAPA XXI and the New Economy
- Nuclear Agro-Industrial Complexes for NAWAPA XXI
- The Pacific Development Corridor: Maglev Through the Bering Strait
- The 'Common Aims of Mankind': A Strategic Defense of Earth



Available from
21st Century Science & Technology

Print **\$20** post-paid
PDF **\$10**
Print report with
one-year subscription **\$40**

Franklin Roosevelt's 'Credit Banks'

by Michael Kirsch

This is Part IV of a [lecture](#) given on July 29, 2013, titled "FDR's Approximation of the Bank of the United States Credit System." Parts I-III appeared in the last three issues of EIR.

Having now discussed the credit principle and its relation with the authority of government, and also the correct understanding of debt in the American credit system, I want to conclude with a review of how President Franklin Roosevelt's chief credit institution came to obtain the powers of direct lending, and why this is the most essential function to understand.

Today, without the government's direct hand, there is no way the banking system would ever come back this year. But in 1933, the issue was the same.

The government decided, first of all, to write off all of the worthless debt, which was first made possible with the Bank Conservation Act, and then made permanent with the Glass-Steagall Banking Act: separating bond departments of member banks, restricting them from buying and selling securities, underwriting investment securities, interlocking with security companies, receiving deposits by firms engaged in security dealing, etc. All of these separations of investment and commercial banking were done to get the banking system in such a shape that it could now function as part of a productive economy.

There were assets in the banking system that were idle, and the government could borrow those, and allow the banks to invest in the public debt of the government, because then the government would direct that idle capital toward the things that were going to drive the economy forward, and, by the way, increase the valid profit of those banks more than they themselves could ever do.

But, after writing off all the worthless debt, and passing the Glass-Steagall Act, there is *no way* that those banks by themselves would ever cause a recovery just by the laissez-faire structure of the Federal Reserve System. Because in the structure of the Federal Reserve System—not to mention everything else wrong in its creation and the intention behind it—there was no credit in the sense of intended credit. There was credit

that could be infused if the member bank went to the Federal Reserve and said, "I have a security, a promissory note, a bill of exchange. Will you monetize it, will you discount this security?" Then they could get credit; but the banks had to have those securities. Where are they going to get them, if the economy is collapsed, and no activity is going on?

The Fed does not care; it is just laissez-faire; it is going to respond to the supply and demand of the member banks—not even to the real economy. The Federal Reserve Act does not have anything to do with the real economy. It simply has to do with these member banks and just passively monetizes notes. It does not *intend* anything.

FDR's Problem with the Fed

Roosevelt had a problem. He was going to have to go around that Federal Reserve System, which was not going to generate a recovery.

After reorganizing the banks, then he had to say, "How am I going to take this laissez-faire passive bank structure, which does not even have the ability to lend to member banks, and transform it?" In March 1933, the Emergency Bank Act gave the Federal Reserve, in Section 13, the power to make advances to any individual partnership or corporation on the promissory notes of such borrowers. It could not do even that simple action before this emergency act. The Fed could not assist corporations. In 1932, it could not lend to any bank. It could discount a security, but it could not lend directly to a bank. The Fed could not even lend to its own member banks. Therefore, in the crisis situation of 1933, Congress had to give it special powers, but that was to deal with the immediate financial crisis.

What Roosevelt was interested in was not the financial crisis of the banks per se, but how he could get this Federal Reserve structure to directly lend for other parts of the economy—corporations, individuals, and for other purposes. His idea was to set up 12 "Credit Banks for Industry." The way that this came to be was as follows.

By the end of 1933, there was a clear problem. Jesse

Bill to Create Credit Banks for Industry

A Bill
To provide for the creation of credit banks for industry, and for other purposes.
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that the following title may be cited as the "Credit Banks for Industry Act."
SECTION 1. The Federal Reserve Board shall issue charters under which there shall be established in each Federal Reserve District a credit bank for industry, hereinafter referred to as credit banks, which shall be located in the same city as the Federal Reserve Bank, and the title of which shall include the name of such city. Each credit bank shall be organized in accordance with, and the conduct of its affairs shall be subject to, regulations prescribed by the Federal Reserve Board. Each credit bank shall be a body corporate and shall have power to adopt, alter and use a corporate seal; to make contracts; to lease such real estate as may be necessary for the transaction of its business; to sue and be sued, to complain and to defend, in any court of competent jurisdiction, state or federal; and to prescribe, amend, and repeal, by its board of directors subject to the approval of the Federal Reserve Board, bylaws, rules and regulations governing the manner in which its general business may be conducted and the powers granted to it may be exercised and extended, including the powers to employ and discharge employees, together with provision for such matters as the board of directors may deem necessary for facilitating its business.
With the consent of the Federal Reserve Board, each credit bank may establish such branches and agencies within its Federal Reserve District as may be deemed necessary for the convenient transaction of its business and may discontinue the same whenever advisable. The boards of directors of the credit banks shall be constituted in accordance with regulations, and shall be selected by the common stockholders in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Reserve Board. A majority of such directors for each credit bank shall be actively engaged in its district in some industrial pursuit. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law, any directors, officer, or employee of a Federal Reserve Bank may also serve as a director, officer or employee of a credit bank. Each credit bank shall be determined by the Federal Reserve Board and when in the judgment of the Federal Reserve Board its operations will no longer be in the public interest, its assets shall be liquidated and its corporate existence shall be terminated solely under the control and supervision of the Federal Reserve Board. Each credit bank shall have all such incidental powers, and inconsistent with the provisions of this act, as are customary and usual in corporations generally.
SECTION 2. Each credit bank shall have power to discount, or purchase from any bank, trust company, mortgage company, credit cooperative for industry, or other financing institution operating in its district, obligations having maturities not exceeding six months, and to issue such obligations, and to sell preferred

stock on such terms and conditions as may be authorized by such vote.
SECTION 3. Each credit bank for industry, with the approval of the Federal Reserve Board, shall have power to issue, severally or jointly with other credit banks, notes, debentures, bonds or other such obligations, for the repayment of which all of the credit banks shall be jointly liable. Such obligations may be secured in such manner, and shall contain such terms and conditions, as shall be approved by the Federal Reserve Board. The aggregate amount of obligations issued by all credit banks which may be authorized as any one time shall not exceed five times the aggregate capital and surplus of all such credit banks. The United States Government shall assume no liability, direct or indirect, for any obligations of credit banks, and such obligations shall contain conspicuous and appropriate language, to be prescribed in form and substance by the Federal Reserve Board, clearly indicating that no such liability is assumed. Upon the application of the credit banks with the approval of the Federal Reserve Board, in order that they may be supplied with such forms of notes, debentures, bonds and other such obligations as they may need for issuance under this act, the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to prepare such forms as shall be suitable and approved by the Federal Reserve Board, to be held in the Treasury subject to delivery upon order of the Federal Reserve Board. The engraved plates, die, and other material executed in connection therewith shall remain in the custody of the Secretary of the Treasury. The credit banks shall reimburse the

any credit bank, and the income therefrom, shall be exempt from all taxation (except surtaxes and estate, inheritance and gift taxes) now or hereafter imposed by the United States, by any territory, dependency or possession thereof, or by any state, county, municipality or local taxing authority. Each credit bank, including its franchise, its capital, reserves, and surplus, and its income shall be exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed by the United States, by any state, county, municipality, or local taxing authority; except that any real property of any credit bank shall be subject to state, territorial, county, municipal or local taxation to the same extent according to its value as other real property is taxed.
SECTION 6. When designated for that purpose by the Secretary of the Treasury, any credit bank shall be a depository of public moneys under such regulations as may be prescribed by said secretary, and it may also be employed as a fiscal agent of the government. The Federal Reserve banks are authorized to act as depositories, custodians, and fiscal agents of the credit banks. The credit banks, with the consent of the respective Federal Reserve banks or of any board, commission, independent establishment, or executive department of the government, including any self service thereof, may avail themselves of the use of information, services, and facilities thereof carrying out the provisions of this act. All moneys of the credit banks not otherwise employed may be deposited or invested in accordance with regulations of the Federal Reserve Board. Obligations of the credit banks shall be lawful investments and may be ac-

FDR's proposed "Bill to Provide for the Credit Banks for Industry" was introduced to Congress, but did not pass.

A Bill
To provide for the creation of credit banks for industry, and for other purposes.
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that this act may be cited as the "Credit Banks for Industry Act."
SECTION 2. The Federal Reserve Board shall issue charters under which there shall be established in each Federal Reserve District a credit bank for industry, hereinafter referred to as credit bank, which shall be located in the same city as the Federal Reserve Bank, and the title of which shall include the name of such city. Each credit bank shall be organized in

ness concerns, and the growth of the overall economy, is to figure out how to take this Federal Reserve structure and transform it permanently into a direct lending system. That was his intention.

Roosevelt wrote on March 19, 1934 to Sen. Henry P. Fletcher of the Senate Banking Committee, stating that there was a need for working capital for small businesses. He cited a study the Administration had done of banks and Chambers of Commerce (which could be done again today), to determine how much credit was needed. And they found out that for 4,958 banks and 1,000 Chambers of Commerce, small industries needed \$700 million, and could employ

Jones, at the head of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation (RFC), wrote on Feb. 5 1934, "Banks are not extending enough new credit." He continued:

"There is never a day that the RFC does not have applications for individual and industrial loans that are perfectly sound. They are not loans that would be liquidated in a few months, but many of them could be made by the local bank and could be liquidated if the borrower is given reasonable time and notice."

There was not enough lending by the banks. The Business Advisory Council did a study for the Department of Commerce, that showed that 45% of borrowers were having credit difficulties. They found that only 374 of 1,788 applications were actually approved, despite the sound position of the firm and the eventual liquidation of the loan (meaning it would be made good upon).

So here are the banks, reorganized, right? Through the Bank Conservation Act, the bad assets were written off, and then Glass-Steagall was passed in the Banking Act of 1933. The banks were sound, so why were they not lending?

It was because the entire structure of the Federal Reserve System was a problem. Roosevelt said, what we have to do for the sake of smaller, medium-sized busi-

350,000 existing, and 350,000 new employees.

At the beginning of the letter, he writes, "May I suggest to your Committee legislation to create twelve Credit Banks for Industry." Henry Steagall introduced the bill into the House, and Henry Fletcher introduced a companion into the Senate, as "A Bill To Provide for the Credit Banks for Industry." I was able to obtain the original of this draft legislation, which I will briefly review here.

There was to be one in each Federal Reserve District, and they would be guided by industrialists, as the majority of the directors of each credit bank were to be "actively engaged in its district in some kind of industrial pursuit." They would advise what to lend, to guide the economy forward with direct lending. That was going to be a very efficient structure.

The two main powers were, 1) to directly lend in extraordinary circumstances to businesses and industries, but also, 2) to assist the other lending corporations and financing institutions, such as mortgage companies and trust corporations, banks, and credit corporations. The credit bank would guarantee a loan or engage in it 50%, or back it up. They would promote banks in the area to lend themselves, and set the context, and in extraordinary circumstances, to directly lend.

Here are excerpts from Section 3 and Section 4 of the bill:

“Each credit bank shall have power to discount for, or purchase from, any bank, trust company, mortgage company, credit corporation for industry, or other financing institution operating in its district, obligations having maturities not exceeding five years, entered into for the purpose of obtaining working capital for any established industrial or commercial business; to make loans or advances direct to any such institution on the security of such obligations. . . .

“In exceptional circumstances, when . . . an established industrial or commercial business located in its district is unable to obtain requisite financial assistance on a reasonable basis from the usual sources, the credit bank may make advances to, or purchase obligations of, such business, or may make commitments with respect thereto, for the purpose of providing it with working capital.”

The Industrial Advances Act

The credit bank bill did not pass, but the end result of this proposal was the passage of the Industrial Advances Act in June 1934.

It was the beginning of 1934, when the credit banks were proposed, the intent was likely to get rid of Hoover’s RFC and replace it with the credit bank transformation of the Federal Reserve System. But instead, since they could not get it passed, the RFC was given all of the exact, verbatim powers which *were* to be given to these credit banks for industry, in the Industrial Advances Act. Prior to that act, the RFC had no such general lending powers.

Along with the RFC, the Federal Reserve was given similar powers, which I have written about elsewhere. They were given the power to discount, purchase securities from financial institutions, and when an industrial or commercial business in the district would be unable to acquire other financial assistance from a bank, to make advances to it, lending, purchasing obligations from it, and so forth.

There were two other parts of the Industrial Advances Act that read like a type of bankruptcy reorganization for the industrial economy: that it is not a question of writing off the bad assets, but, as in Alexander Hamilton’s maxim of public credit in 1790, to make sure that every debt and every loan (of the corporations, in this case) is not something which is a self-evident object that will bankrupt the company because it cannot

make good on it. Instead, what the Act did was to make sure that the debt of the company would be tied to its ability to finally produce above a level of breakeven, whatever the time scale of the reorganization had to be (of course that does not mean for all cases, as there are some failed companies that should go down).

Accordingly, the RFC was given the power to extend the time of payment of a loan, through renewal, substitution of new obligations, with a maximum time for such renewal to be established by the board. It could also make such further loans and contracts for the completion of projects, and for additions and improvements and extensions necessary for proper functioning of the completed project, and which would increase assurance of the borrower to repay the entire loan or loans.

Thus, not only did the Industrial Advances Act give the RFC the ability to make loans, directly, or in cooperation with banks or other lending institutions, but also to transform the monetary debts of industries into credit debts, tied to the future completion of products and overall increased productivity of the economy. All of this was intended as a permanent structure of the Federal Reserve System in the original 12 Credit Banks for Industry bill.

Conclusion

In Roosevelt’s budget addresses, in a sense, in the shadows of his discussion of what budgeting should be, in terms of incomes, expenditures, and borrowings, he was performing a fine-tuned balancing act toward an overall increase of the productivity of the country. You see his concept of how to organize the financial system.

But then you realize that that organization and fine-tuning were only possible because of the credit lending institutions in operation, as I have reviewed in the other parts of this lecture. The reason there was an increasing surplus was not because there was “deficit spending” in the abstract, but because the borrowing by the government was for physical improvements that would truly increase the overall national wealth.

Most significantly, it is important to look back to Roosevelt to see that success demanded a direct lending institution, in the form of the transformed RFC, which was very similar to the Bank of the United States principle—and to see that such a lending institution is inherent in the Constitution itself, and in the Constitution’s ability to uphold the inalienable rights of man.

This is the lesson of Franklin Roosevelt, and his credit principle.

AN AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL MEMORANDUM:

My Science & Our Society

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

February 25, 2014

During this past week, I took the occasion to present a long-standing, personal conviction respecting the meaning of science. I argued, as I presented the case to some relevant associates, that the time has come to throw archaic truisms respecting science to the proverbial winds.

I avowed, that there exists only one foundation for the foundations of mankind's knowledge of science: the self-development of the human species itself: the meaning of the human mind itself, a meaning which is bounded by the progress of mankind's conquest of successively more and more of the unknowns of mankind's coming into evidence of the organization of what we know as our immediate universe. The rest were merely fictions rooted in silly fantasies.

The notion of merely abstract theories of the universe, has been implicitly a disaster, a wickedly awful waste of human time and energies. Happily, in the living history of scientific knowledge, there exists a kernel of uncertainties which, by means of a process of experimental discoveries, unveils more and more of the universal mystery which envelopes a process of human knowledge respecting the universe which we inhabit.

Actually, knowledge to that effect was already very ancient, and includes the most rudimentary distinctions of the human species from all known others, this far. On this account, modern science, notably since the work of

Filippo Brunelleschi, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and their followers, the foundations of a modern science was supplied, by them, and, chiefly, thereafter, to those who followed the trail which they had (so-to-speak) "blazed through" the fields and forests of a new, Classical scientific method encompassing the topical themes of Classical artistic and physical-scientific domains, that crafted as a unitary conception.

Human knowledge defines itself, not through sense-perception as such; but, through the conquest of experimental knowledge, beginning the solid principle of mankind's most crucial discovery, that our species has not been that of an animal (excepting the perverted opinions of idiots, or their like).

From a modern scientific standpoint in evidence, these are matters which actually mark the distinction of the human species from all presently known, other living creatures.

For example:

In retrospect, this standpoint of our view of the uniqueness of mankind among presently known forms of life, can be located in the essential distinction of mind from the mere brain on which the biological support for human mental processes, perhaps unfortunately, uniquely depends. The conclusion is, that, that distinction is of fundamental importance and scientific significance, alike, a significance which can not be attributed to merely sense-perception as such; but, is, rather, nearer to man's best-grounded knowledge, that



Creative Commons

Filippo Brunelleschi (1377-1446) (statue shown), in his design of the dome of the Cathedral of Florence, “crushed mere mathematics with pioneering in a truly physical science based on principles of systemic insight, as with the exemplary case of his revolutionary design of for the principles of modern physics, rather than merely experiments as such.”



Pennie Sabel

away from the principled distinctions of the mere beasts, or the merely misguided approximations of what many consider, erroneously, as acceptable religious belief: in short, the human mind.

The crucial distinction of the human mind, is, in other words, that we must regard confidence in sense-perception as such, with a certain profoundly-rooted distaste. This echoes the famous German motion-picture scheme of 1960: “Die Hauptsache ist der Effekt!”¹ We know effects, not simple certainties; these effects provide the basis in experimental proofs for a useful approximation of man’s actually relative degree of certainties. True principles, defined in actually scientific

terms of reference, are invariables, not solutions for mere equations. They may be expressed in terms of approximation, but, they remain principles in the same sense that Max Planck and Albert Einstein defined physical principles for the really intelligent people during the time leading into the best Twentieth-Century standards for actually thermonuclear physical-scientific practice.

Actually, sense perceptions are effects, not self-evident truths in and of themselves. The meaning of those effects must be adduced from the powers of mankind to acquire knowledge of those universal effects (i.e., principles), not as interpretations of sense-perceptions *per se*. Such were the necessary methods of the true founders of modern science, the Golden Renaissance geniuses, Filippo Brunelleschi, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and Cusa’s most notable scientific heir, Johannes Kepler.

Some Broad Considerations

It must now be recognized, that sense-perception is merely sense-perception: a shadow cast without an inherently known substance-in-fact. Hence, in any actually competent expression of modern science, true knowledge, is not to be based on mere hands-on experiment, as such; but, rather, it is but the seemingly mysterious power of provable universal physical principles of experimental knowledge, as such:

never statistical deductions.

This notion of principle stands in opposition to mere experiment as such, as all of the greatest among modern scientists had an understanding of what is the notion of an actual principle as such. Johannes Kepler’s greatest achievement was of that nature, in his discovery of a lawful principle of Solar space-time, an outstanding example of the meaning of principle in science.

That principle, as Kepler himself had emphasized, had been located in the work of Nicholas of Cusa. The same was true, of Cusa’s relatively biological senior in physical-science designs: Filippo Brunelleschi, with Brunelleschi’s methods for the founding of modern physical science: a science which echoed the unique methods of Eratosthenes, as Eratosthenes typifies that in

1. A 1960 German satirical comedy film.

his measuring of the Earth, and related achievements.

Brunelleschi went further, and deeper into the mysteries, crushing mere mathematics with pioneering in a truly physical science based on principles of systemic insight, as with the exemplary case of his revolutionary design for the principles of modern physics, rather than merely experiments as such: as shown with the science expressed by such as the great Cathedral of Florence and the miraculous power of the Pazzi chapel (both of which cases fascinated me scientifically, in my own time, in my collaboration with certain leading Italian scientists working in deeper investigations into the nature of these as deep, scientific discoveries of principle from the Golden Renaissance).

The Evil of Euclid:

The fraud which I had recognized in my first encounter with Euclidean geometry, in my experience with secondary education, had contributed greatly to my consequently permanent contempt for “practical” opinion. Contempt for Euclid helped me greatly, not only for reason of his awful scientific fraud; but, by warning me to learn the signal lessons of Eratosthenes, as representing a revolutionary method of truth for all physical science.

The complementary, leading influence on my direction of investigations, followed the method of Plato’s fairly well; but, my original study of Plato was more limited, this because I had already grasped, in the course of my secondary and later education, the implication of the fraud of Euclidean geometry, which had put me on the track for seeing the importance of Plato’s own work. The case of my “seemingly impulsive” recognition of Euclid’s fraud, had put the proverbial “fire in my belly;” I was already convinced, as if in advance, that Plato represented “my side” of the cause. I was, in fact, already a persuaded Promethean, in effect, throughout the course of my education since during the time of my secondary education, i.e., which is to say, the process of entry into puberty.

For those reasons, I was always enraged, thereafter, by the formalities of a customarily taught geometry in



Francesco Caprioli

A family tradition: Lyndon LaRouche’s father and grandfather worked in the shoe industry, but his own inclinations, he writes, were “very different.” Here, Lyndon and Helga LaRouche visit an engineering school in Ascoli Piceno, Italy, in 2002, where LaRouche is discussing a new production technology with staff members.

both my secondary school, and university experiences. The evidence of Euclid’s fraud, in my adolescent consideration of high-rise steel construction, had impelled me to seek out, and, to defy sources which implicitly echoed the systemic, known hoax inherent in the Euclidean method. This, has stood me in good stead ever since.

Thus, I had avoided the popularized academic follies in which many of my contemporaries had fallen, entrapped by their own worship of “false gods.” With Gottfried Leibniz, for example, I was at home; and, later, with much of Gauss, and Riemann: which for me, was that the latter were a pair of close collaborators respecting the principles of physical science broadly considered, and, thence, since Planck and Einstein, in the qualitatively principled features of their general, revolutionary contributions during the 1890s and beyond.

How My Career Had Begun:

I have had certain distinguishing examples of such principles as these, in my own circumstances as a child, youth, and, then, my adulthood, later.

My paternal grandfather and father had been, among their other skills, rooted in the particular professionalism of the shoe manufacturing process, and with some related expertise in the technologies of that industry.

They were both qualified experts in the underlying features of that manufacturing skill. But, my father and I were very different in our inclinations, otherwise.

We had some occasionally excellent cooperation, but, relatively, preferably at a comfortable distance, psychologically, from childhood, to the end of our association. This was, in effect of practice, largely because he persistently sought to push me to submit to choices where I had no desire to go.²

My own inclination was always at a distance from the hurly-burly of simply hands-on practice, a difference which was premised, for me, for the sake of deeper considerations: even where our activities, as parent and son, might seem to converge otherwise. He preferred practice for its own sake, and the prospect of its gains; I preferred scientific practice in pursuit of general principles of science, in matters of underlying principle. What that interested for me was a hatred of cook-book science-education of the typically available secondary school and university. My revulsion was not one of desire, but a sense that “I should not be here;” I did not believe in “them.” I hated the folly of popular belief in the cultic academic certainties based on the principle of regurgitating what one had been taught; I wished a truth which were rightly known to me, as my own: not hand-me-down intellectual costumes.

So, by a complex of circumstances, I was, in due course, as a young adult professional, co-opted, partly as a matter of recovering from a rather prolonged, serious hepatitis attack, into the modest role of assisting in a management assignment, to help out a friend with largely inherited business problems. I took to the profession which that implied, like a proverbial duck who had been waiting for the discovery of water. This occupation projected me, soon, into my later role of a management consultant for a large such organization (of which I did not always approve), and soon gained a pro-

2. Among other things, he refused to consider the fact that I was destined to be a bass-baritone stentor, not a tenor, like him. As genetically proud as he was, he could never forgive me for that reason, alone, and made his point very clear. (My maternal grandfather, a small, but potent Scotsman by birth, was, also, unquestionably, nothing other than a bass.) Since my surgery, more than a decade ago, my singing-voice was gone forever, when combined with the effects of pipe-smoking. (Nothing would prevent me from having, incurably “bass motives” within my soul.) My paternal great-grandfather and grandfather, were from Rimouski, in Canada; my grandfather was a musician (like his father, a maker of violins), and their careers as specialists in shoe manufacturing. The French and Scottish roots of my paternal ancestries had overlapped something in the vicinity of southern coastal Massachusetts.

motion to a part of the executive occupied with methods of scientific forecasting specialties, as I was at some times a key figure of that firm’s staff.

Up to a certain point, I had been more than merely highly successful as a professional, and, then a member of the executive staff. My achievements were most actively accelerated during a period in which I served as the de facto available scientist and general “brain-truster.” My career had progressed rapidly, until I came into a not-really-chance meeting with the FBI (within the New York City Chanin Building’s bank of elevator shafts), an FBI which wished to engage me, outside my more regular duties, in a project which I brushed off, by telling the agent, that his organization’s proposed project was a worthless waste of our mutual time; but that I would gladly entertain assisting in anything worth considering as a more serious investigation. That was, in short, the end of my then-accustomed career for a period of duration of several, or more years—that is to say, in my role as an executive of the consulting firm which had then, actually, employed me prior to my run-ins with the FBI. (I subsisted as a part-time consultant.)

However, by that time, I had already produced the best economic forecasting performance in the industry, by pin-pointing the exact dates when a major industrial crisis of that decade would break out. I was out of the consulting firm (courtesy of the FBI); but, nevertheless, still one of the best economic forecasters, as I had been, already, in 1957, then and later, in the field. Soon, during the early 1970s, I was given the opportunity to prove my point. Soon, by Summer 1971, I had soon proven myself, thoroughly, as the best economic forecaster in both the United States (and also) Britain, too. (Not as much to my own credit, but, rather, the incredibility of my professional rivals. Life, I have found, is often like that.)

The significance of that choice of profession, as an economic forecaster, is that it is, implicitly the top of the list in terms of the career functions. Very few professionals are really competent in dealing with subjects of that nature, or on that scale, as I was to prove that fact in the Summer and Autumn of 1971 and, repeatedly, beyond. Mere statisticians are flops in that category of professional functions. I have been (scientifically) the best in that field since, certainly, since, in fact, about 1968-1971, and, soon, that pretty much, implicitly, internationally: that for the English-speaking world, at first, but, also in some other sectors of the planet, not long thereafter. My merely apparent disadvantage, has been, that the biggest success of a professional in my

ranks, could, and did lead to those foreseen disasters later experienced by me, which had been caused by those who wished me to be suddenly highly unwanted, not only in my professional field, but in my very existence, as well. This is, nonetheless, still my profession, and I am still one of the best at it, as to be known still today, as events have demonstrated repeatedly.

On the Subject of My Profession:

The crucial importance of that aspect of my personal history, defines that which I do: as earlier, and as now. It is a function suited best to the highest rank of insight into the principles of economy, from a top-down view of prospects over time, and, that, over a nation, or a set of nations. It is necessary to see almost everything from the veritable top-down, locally, not as if from below. In other words: the practical significance for persons in such positions as my own particular profession, is that we see things from the top down (sometimes) for better, and, more frequently, for worse—the latter as Wall Street types do. The latter, tend to see the world from a relatively top-down standpoint of reference, as I do, still now, but, as if by habit. In fact, I am better, and they are terribly wrong; the difference is, that they are inherently wrong in their habituated methods of judgment (I have always trusted Alexander Hamilton on this account).

My particular speciality is a forecasting which approaches, all of my professional achievements as a economist, as, also my achievements and effectively global-strategic, top-down, outlook. I have been very good at that, considering the limitations which, presently, age, and related circumstances place upon the time and energy available to me as resources in practice. Today, it is like being a ninety-year-plus, great-grandfather type on the implied board of directors: hopefully, not-too-grouchy, but, also, not to continue my practice for much longer.

In my age, profession, and condition; the rule must be, get it done, but don't wait too long to do it, if you wish yourself to get the job actually done!

I could say a lot about that; but, having said as much as I have spoken here, this far, that is enough to set the stage for describing my role I play within the working bounds of this present report.

My responsibilities at this moment are momentous; if my present exertions were successful, and were I able to continue to function under the present intensity of my duties, I would, otherwise, “naturally” tend to a less

intensive role in time and exertions alike. I am still vigorously capable for dealing with tough intellectual situations within the compass of my present knowledge and related habits, and in matters of relevant scientific discoveries in which I play a part; but, my present situation is necessarily temporary in terms of times what is to be counted down: that, biologically, on this scale, medical developments considered: plus, or minus.

The point of this report, is that there are certain principled issues of scientific method, in respect to which, I touch matters at a relatively very high level of intellectual and related competence for these times. My function, here, in this report, is to present several points of reference which have a sweeping implication for the relatively immediate present and future needs of nations, including my own. Part of this, is simply a continuation of that which I have come to do, which is essentially my own department; however, there are certain, few matters, in which my principled achievements are, both, still advancing, and more or less unique under the present conditions of presently catastrophic global crisis. I am on record, repeatedly, as (in fact) among the best economic (and related forecasters) alive, still today; that is my profession; that is who I am. The present threat of human thermonuclear extinction, is a highly relevant example of how I respond to crisis; I find myself, repeatedly, being a strategist. That is also in the nature of the subject of this present report, as now follows.

I. What Is Science Actually?

The teaching of the practice of mathematics, that usually done on the silly presumption that it is a foundation of scientific practice, is among the commonplace signs of rampant folly among both the so-called “scientific,” and the lower professions of both financial accounting, as in the inherently crooked Wall Street practice known as “usury.” The true facts of the subject-matter, were settled, for all competent minds today, by the standards set, implicitly, in Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton's four principles of the physical economy of that American System of society which had been established within an environment created under the leadership of Benjamin Franklin.³ That

3. (1) Report on Public Credit (1790); (2) Report on a National Bank (1790); (3) Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank (1791); (4) Report on the Subject of Manufactures (December, 1791).



NASA, ESA, and the Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)

The so-called “Rose of Galaxies” (Arp 273). “It is the power of man to affect the environment which we inhabit in our species’ marginal benefit,” LaRouche writes, “which is the only competent standard for measurement of truth.... [A]dore progress within our Solar system, and beyond, above all else.”

means the profound American cultural victory over that of the British empire of that time; and, the genius exemplified by the unique discoveries made by the first Administration of the United States, especially that made by President George Washington’s first Treasury Secretary, Alexander Hamilton.

Those opinions contrary to my own practice in an economic science, are rightly to be considered as potentially dangerous “quacks,” in both intentions and effects. Presently, Franklin Roosevelt’s great Glass-Steagall’s achievements illustrate the point, and expose the virtually chronic insanity of any contrary, merely monetarist opinions.

However, the premises for that conclusion which I have just identified, for myself, immediately above, are not financial in any essential respect; they are exclusively “hard core-physical” in their essential nature. Money *per se* is simply a matter of masturbation, in one expression, or, another: which is exactly what Wall Street bankers might be duly doing, in lunch-time, or


evening entertainments with the boys and girls, alike.

Money as such, actually “earns” absolutely nothing, when it were measured on the scale of human realities. *To speak in relatively specific terms: human progress can be fairly measured, in terms of its net physical effect, per capita, as an effective increase of the net energy-flux density efficiently expressed, per capita, relevant to the environment in which human purposeful activity is effectively expressed. The notion of chemistry as such, serves currently as an excellent first approximation of the categorical, ontological species-difference of mankind from beast.* Lack of progress on that account, measures nothing as certainly as the death of economy when measured in such terms, as shown under the wretched conditions created under the alleged ministrations of the George W. Bush, Jr. and Obama Presidencies, now each approaching eight years of a still continuing pure Hell for our United States!


The popular, but thoroughly fraudulent standard, which is often substituted for that principle-of-effect, using hoaxes such as belief in sense-certainty as a standard of measurement, has a certain relevance for the effects of human behavior, but very little, in and of itself, of the relationship of the noëtic principle specific to the human mind.

The great, common error of customary beliefs, in this respect, is the presumption that sense-perception defines the reality of our species’ ultimate nature, as a species. But, the truth is, that sense-perception remains merely sense-perception, always in passing, not as a conclusion, either backwards, or forwards in history, in its direction of motion. The true test, is the effect of the expressed human will on the Solar system (and beyond) as such.

This challenge, can be, and must be, assessed in terms of the effective rate of increase of the human species’ immediate effect on qualitative changes in its willful effects on the living environment which we inhabit in our incarnation as human Earthlings. Yet, what are those effects? They are to be measured in the efficiently physical increase, or retrogression, of the powers of the human species over both the Earth’s environment which we inhabit, our powers per-capita as contrasted




Kepler's greatest achievement, in his discovery of a lawful principle of Solar space-time, is an outstanding example of the meaning of principle in science.



Above: Kepler's study of the harmonic relations among the planets, expressed in musical notation. Right: Kepler's geometrical model of the solar system as nested Platonic solids.

Johannes Kepler



with changes between rival animal and human forms of creatures, and our relative power to influence the large universe which, we, as a species, inhabit. Sense-perception, as such, has the value of some sort of masturbation performed by the human mind: i.e., “the pleasure-pain principle.” Pain is useful as a warning-sign; otherwise, if considered as evidence of fact as such, it must be contained by consideration of more reliable evidence pertaining to man’s evolutionary upward nature as a species as such (or, decline).

There is no accident in the evidence which we have recently tended to consider as the periodic table of chemistry and its experimental characteristics; now lately, that is undergoing, inevitably, progressive revisions within the onset of thermonuclear technologies. Yet, even then, chemistry considered as a self-evident system, has been shown to have been a wrongful presumption. It is the power of man to effect the environment which we inhabit in our species’ marginal benefit, which is the only competent standard for measurement of truth. “Kill the unnecessary pain,” if possible, but adore progress within our Solar system, and beyond, above all else. That, instead of the pleasure-pain standard. Protect against the pain, if you are able, but seize the opportunity

of progress of man within the universe, as an integral agency-principle of the universe. Pain, with aid of science, we can manage. Progress, as I have just now defined it, is absolutely essential, come what may.

Here lies true pleasure and pain, when sorted out, properly.

The true pleasures which man must seek, are located in the type of experiences which we might identify as what is usefully named as lawfully construed Music (Classical only), Poetry, Drama and actually physical science practiced by man on Earth and beyond, insofar as we are enabled by the development of our minds. Anything otherwise, is to be treated as garbage. All that is human, must be governed by the principle of mankind’s proper devotion to a process of universal creation. That is our immortal destiny; that is, really, what we should be, as the practice of means to that end.

The principle which I have, thus, described here, must be the kernel of our motivation; and, from motivation derived from those true measures of progress of the human mind which is unique for what we may identify as the Classical artistic experience. Such are the measures, standards, and human benefits of a truly Classical art and science.

When we discover a higher quality of the future of mankind, on Earth, as, for example, within the nearby planets and, asteroids, the mysterious overlapping of the Solar system with its galaxy, and, so on, are the truly natural expressions of human intention and resulting progress. It must become our true pleasure, to locate the notion of value in what the creative (intrinsically noëtic) powers of the human mind resonate still longer after the original discoverer were deceased, an effect then resonating as if through the heavens, as if forever. That is a clue to the true destiny and meaning of the human life. From Adam through Einstein, and beyond, that reveals the underlying, immortal experience of that which has lived to create higher principles within our universe.

II. Within the Bounds of Life

So, it must be, from generations to generations. It seems to be, at first, a mystery which could not be efficiently explained by anyone. It is, so to speak: *Just there!* Yet, nevertheless, we now know that would be a silly thing to think, or, to say. What we are (or, at least, should be) thinking, is that there must be some kind of meaning in all this. The fact that we may not actually know that meaning, in and of itself, in some explicit terms of practical considerations, does not mean that the relationship does not exist. It means, simply, that we have not yet understood this satisfactorily. Anyway, what baby had ever known, actually, *why* he, or she had been born? Whether you like the idea, or not, is pretty much an irrelevant issue in and of itself.

The issue for us, is, simply: What would be a wise course of action implicitly built into our nature, for those very reasons?

In seeking to answer such questions and related considerations, such as those, a sane riddler would examine his chances for what he might justly consider reasonably actual options for enjoying the given arrangement of affairs. Why not simply enjoy the power to discover!? It clearly seems to be what the “Boss” wishes to do; “He” leaves us no desirable option, otherwise. Thus, the composition of the Universe, however it might have been composed, seems, clearly, the “only way to go:” enjoy the ride which we call “life.” After all, “the boss” was, really, always in charge. Perhaps he is a lot smarter, in his way, than we are, even in ours.

Also, there is the important fact, that the creative human powers of mankind, are the only moral expres-

sion of human existence. Creativity is the law which reigns over this universe, insofar as we know it. Do not get on the wrong side of the Creator; the results might be, foreseeably evident. The British Queen’s “political disease,” for example, is doubtlessly a Satanic trait, as it has always been, as it is to be, therefore, a damnation of all of the cult-worshippers of the Zeusian persuasion of such as the Roman Empire, and of its avowed grandchild, the British Empire still of the present time.

So, the good grandfather, the artisan, took his grandson to view the massive construction to be comprehended by that child. The grandfather said: “I was one of those who built that!” That principle is not an expression of the grandfather’s “ego;” it is the expression of his religion, his true nature, the spirit which is to be inherited by the grandson.

That is prelude; what is the substance? In other words, what does true science mean for the “small guy” representing humanity?

What Is the True Meaning of ‘Vanity’?

The fool, and he is legion, says to himself, “I experienced that!” What did he actually experience? Was it not the silly pride of sense-certainties? What are our senses, after all? Are sense-certainties real? What do they actually accomplish, when we seek to explain how the Solar System actually works? Is sense-perception really ours? Or, is it our consoling fantasy? How is the evolution of our Solar System managed, in effect? Was it by merely sense-perception?

Our Sun is currently in a relatively quieted phase, and very bad for us, especially West of the Mississippi. That is, in fact, frightening. As a result, the entirety of the United States west of the Mississippi has collapsed in terms of the water of life. This had happened before; then, it went on for centuries. The water of life in the western part of our nation, is drying out, in effect; how, then, shall we live, over centuries to come? What does mere sense-perception do for us, under comparable circumstances?

Those frightening effects, and related kinds of effects, mock our foolish pride: the silly pride of saying that “I did that.” Sense-perception is one of the worst whores we have seen, but, also, therefore, the most likely sexual fantasy of fools.

We, mankind on Earth, have entered a time, in which new great challenges to our species are now emerging to confront our attentions.

How mighty, in fact, is our Solar system? Is that

Solar system itself not grabbed by the more powerful fist of its galaxy? Shuttled and battered by the waves of power which the galaxy represents as in progress? What, then, of your silly pride in the virtually mere fantasy of your precious pleasure in mere sense-perception?! You are tickled: you laugh. You are hurt: you moan and curse your fate. You rule!? You, with your me-me-me chants? Your silly sense-perception, and its sillier wishes? Is sense-perception actually reality? Or, is it a kind of merely herding-device for human who must be guided in their very opinions by the whips of fate, called the bloodied thongs of mere sense-perception? Or, are they the mere whips for a blinded man, who must be bludgeoned into following the course of his destiny, by the mere blindness of sense-perception, and thus governed by more than anything else, by the lusts and whimperings of the batterings and seductions, or the lures and pains—or, of mere sense-perceptions?

Can we not, somehow, find a better guide to our proper destiny than mere sense-perception? Merely pleasure and pain? I contend, that we can find just that remedy for our existential pain; and, that that is the lesson of reality which defines the truth of human existence. That, is the true meaning of science; that, in turn, is the true meaning of the existence of our human species. That is our only true immortality as a living species.

Start with the management required for our direction of the evolution of our modest Solar system. Move asteroids! Change planets in their course! How is the Galaxy managed? What lies beyond? How long must the mere womb be our universe? What design compels us to mate? What is the intention of seeing, or hearing, of distinguishing pain from pleasure? How small-minded are our citizens generally? Sense-perception? You childish idiot!

You think that you can measure God? Design his clothing. Arrange his travel-schedules. Choose his gar-

ments. A blind man could see the truth much better than you, with your pitiful pride in sense-perception. Is it not that case, that because you came into the world a very silly, little thing, who knew not what he was, or why: all lollipops and tears, pain and pleasures. What were those whips and lures all about? Why?

It is when we escape the mythical characteristics of mere sense-perception, that we begin to discover what species we really represent, rising from infancy of your mere existence as a species, to rise above, and beyond immediate experiences, to seek a higher purpose for the existence of that of which you still know almost nothing presently. To rise beyond the compulsions of infantile existence, that of mere sense-perceptions, into an education into a valid more or less of creativity respecting the universe which we inhabit.

It is time for you to change your mental diapers, voluntarily, without making a horrid mess of nearly everything in sight. Your assigned destiny is not to be that of a giant, fat and foolish baby, taken from the imaginations of the wise Rabelais, and into his insight into the meaning of Panurge! Get past the point that you must rely upon your ancient, and now very disgusting mental diapers; select a useful trade of your own making! Help fix up the universe, on your own account; then, you will mean something useful in this local universe: as Brunelleschi and Cusa had done. Then, you will be no longer stumbling infants in your very smelly, present, and dirtied, intellectual diapers.




Creative Commons/Dave Buchwald

“You came into the world a very silly, little thing, who knew not what he was, or why: all lollipops and tears, pain and pleasures.” Time for the human species to grow up, to “escape the mythical characteristics of mere sense perception.”

III. The Meaning of a Human Mission

What I have written in this report, up to this present moment, can be reviewed, at this point in my report, when my preceding arguments are taken adequately into consideration.



Gustave Doré's illustration of the Sheep of Panurge jumping ship, for François Rabelais's masterwork, *Gargantua and Pantagruel*.

We are, in effect, possessed by a certain destiny of which we are not informed, and yet, as my remarks here so far, imply, there is an accessible view of the meaning of human life beyond merely sense-perception as such. Not some consoling fantasy, but a prescient foretaste of reality, a mere glimpse of the future of man in our universe. That means what I would otherwise mean as the passage from infancy into adult reality of members of our species. No longer should we be confined to sense-perception as taught by our kindergarten teachers, but, we must, rather, choose the inspiration of a voluntary role no longer requiring spiritual diapers. This is a role not far distant from the necessity of herding human sheep: as what the devoutly religious Rabelais must have meant by the case of "The Sheep of Panurge," and, also, the related case of the notorious woman of Paris.

It is the sheer infantilism of our fellow human creatures which must shock us into realizing, more, what we have not become, than what we think we are, which prompts the twinges of insight to the reality we, customarily childish creatures that we are, often wishfully prefer to ignore. It is a sensibility of a higher purpose, which an infantile society prefers to ignore.

The distinction to be made, on this account, resides within the notion of creativity *per se*, the coming-out from the infantilism of sense-certainty, into the neces-

sity for doing that which had been (sensually) never done, or desired before: true human creativity. No longer lured by the follies of sense-certainty's infantile delights, my own greatest sense of true pleasure is that shown by such as Cusa, Kepler, Rabelais, Shakespeare, and Schiller, and, Benjamin Franklin, Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams, and the like, to make man better, actually growing up from childishness, to creative visions of a purpose for life. To reach beyond the shackles of childishly mere customary behavior, for the benefit of the voluntary future yet to come.

Such are the greatest delights which I have been enabled yet to know.⁴ The question so posed: is the meaning of the existence of human life. In the flesh, there is little to be gained, as such. But

there is a higher mission, which can not be taken from us, living, or dead. To know that, is our true happiness, the passion which directs our purpose in our existing for as long as we are enabled. We are the immortal soldiers of the human soul.

4. It is necessary to consider the fact, that both Rabelais and Shakespeare were devoutly Christians, as was Friedrich Schiller in a very much related way. The subjects to be considered on this account, are the faults and higher intentions of mankind within the ordering of the true universe, not necessarily the merely sense-perceptual one. The passage from the shackles of sense-perception, to the freedom of the human spirit which lies beyond, defines the true human intellect and embedded purpose of the post-larval phase of the existence of the adult, and of the truly immortal human soul. The greatest and best ambition is to be such a truly free human soul.

All of the greatest scientists and poets have lived for that purpose, above all others. For us, it must be our only truest ambition. We are the real, truly immortal scientists of the human soul: it is the properly adopted meaning of our existence, to have lived and acted so. In that way, we shall never die: we are built into the existence of our universe, as our greatest scientists have demonstrated this: even when long deceased, thus, as the greatest of our scientists and poets have done before. We are not the chattel of Zeus, and never will be: thus, the martyrs have cheated the devil himself, and will enjoy a truly sweet revenge against evil *per se*. All of our greatest scientists and poets have done the same: we make the future; that is our profession; that is our strategy, of which, we are assured, that nothing can prevent. The planets may be destroyed, solar systems and the like, may pass, but we are ever there, jerking the devil's tail as if by invisible hands, wherever, and whatever we may become beyond. We are joined together in this mission, throughout it all, forever. That is the meaning of a human life having been lived.

Editorial

After Obama's Gone

It should have happened a long time ago, certainly before the deadly national election results of 2012. But now, the necessity of impeachment of Barack Hussein Obama is impressing itself hard on people's brains—and the danger that the U.S. might soon not exist if Obama remains in office, is rapidly increasing the potential for his constitutional removal from office.

In his March 3 discussion with the LaRouche-PAC Policy Committee, Lyndon LaRouche provided a vision of how everything will “open up” once the hammerlock which “Hitler” Obama currently has on politics. He said:

“Well, we have a general change in the entirety of the planet as a whole. You get the explosion of something which is something that wanted to happen; and the problem you have, is that you're going to have a sense of chaos; and the problem we will have, if Obama is thrown out of office, in terms of the global implications... We're going to have to have a general conference, of the type that Franklin Roosevelt would try to organize, in his time, to get the nations of the world that are not complicit with criminality, to meet, and to form a new agreement, which reestablishes and clears the deck of all imperial obligations among nations; which declares the absolute sovereignty of every nation which should be sovereign, in its own sovereignty. And this will become a paradigm, which will look at the task which mankind, as a species has, to deal with the problems of Earth, as Earth problems, and the problems of nearby parts of the Solar System.

“Our unity will be to organize, given the physical economic organization, required for the needs of the people of each of these that must be sovereign nations; we have to have a *new* conception of

what a United Nations program should be. That every nation has a claim to legitimate, traditional sovereignty, has that right, and it'll be represented as a traditional sovereign nation. Things like the European Union will be automatically *dissolved!* The nations of Europe will be separated, back to their sovereign components; they will each be sovereign, their ability to make laws will be sovereign. The systems of law will be based on negotiation among the representatives of nations, which are free nations, free of these special kinds of things, of being part of the European Union, or some other, similar kind of moral cess-pool.

“Germany will be Germany; Italy will be Italy; Spain will be Spain; Portugal will be Portugal; France will even be France! Despite some of the financially corrupt people there, that we have, and so forth. And that's the point!

“You have to bring about the idea of a sovereign principle of nations. And this is going to be a big conference. It's going to take a long time to settle its business into some kind of finished form. But it will save the human species, because it will open gates, *to do the kinds of the things we have to do, to meet the needs of humanity now, and the defense of humanity, on Earth, from the perils which come from above.*”


In the U.S., this unity will come around great projects like NAWAPA, immediately after the re-institution of Glass-Steagall and the bankrupting of Wall Street. The nation will recover slowly, but it will rebuild itself around a mission, as was last promised under John F. Kennedy.

Yes, such a great future *is* possible. Get Obama out now, and we not only remove a Great Evil, but open the doors to a Great Good.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 - \$180 for six months
 - \$120 for four months
 - \$90 for three months
 - \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa
 Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____