

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

April 4, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 14

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

The Incompetence of 20th-Century Science Education
U.S. Sanctions Don't Stop Russian Anti-Drug Proposal
A Warning to Ukraine: Greece's EU-Imposed Catastrophe

**Path to Survival:
Shut Down the British Empire!**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly

(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach

Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany

Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,

basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.

Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:

eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,

Col Plutarco E Calles,

Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,

Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights

reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without

permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement

#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.

Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

The most powerful force in the world is an idea, as the history of mankind's progress in civilization demonstrates absolutely. This edition of *EIR* aims to give you the ideas you require right now, to deal with a world teetering on the edge of disaster.

Lyndon LaRouche provides the strategic overview, in his webcast of March 28, the transcript of which we publish in full. His discussion of the financial "bail-in" crisis, driven by the desperation of the British Empire, and how to deal with it, provides the insight which world leaders are already looking to, and which must become the standard for action in the U.S. today.

In effect, all our other articles elaborate on that overview, ranging from Helga Zepp-LaRouche's international lead on the choice between Obama's war and Chinese President Xi Jinping's offer of economic development facing Europe, to Michael Billington's report on the Empire's destabilization of Asia, to the shocking picture of what the European Union looting has done to Greece—a stark object-lesson for Ukraine and other nations.

Then there are two extraordinary feature packages. One, under the heading of *War on Drugs*, presents the sharp intervention of the Russian leadership against the de facto support for the international drug trade, at a recent meeting in Moscow, which Washington and the EU decided to boycott. We publish both a news report, and major excerpts of the speech by Russia's leading anti-drug official, Victor Ivanov, which provide concepts for solutions along the same lines that the LaRouche movement has proposed.

Secondly, the *Science* section contains two articles by Lyndon LaRouche, and one by LaRouchePAC research team leader Jason Ross. LaRouche continues to hammer away at the evil axioms of Bertrand Russell, which have corrupted the thinking of not only scientists, but our entire society, thus allowing the Zeusian empire now centered in London to turn leaders of society internationally into slaves. And he, of course, presents the clear alternative, from Promethean scientists such as Brunelleschi, Cusa, Kepler, Planck, Einstein, and others. Ross's article serves as a companion piece, elaborating on the work of Kurt Gödel in refuting Russell—a subject LaRouche took up most recently in our last issue.

Cover This Week

*The evil Empress
Elizabeth II*



4 LaRouche Webcast: Path to Survival: Shut Down the British Empire!

Lyndon LaRouche's March 28 webcast, in which he discusses the Russia/Ukraine crisis: "There's no possibility of stabilizing relations between Russia and Obama"; how to defeat the British imperial system: "The time has come that to save the United States, and to save the peace of the world, it is essential to put this President under impeachment"; the bail-in policy: "We have to defend the United States against the British Empire, which is our essential enemy"; Xi Jinping's New Silk Road: "If you have the green policy, there's no hope for humanity anyway"; and, the "no-future" orientation in the education of youth: "We have to have a positive understanding, of what human creativity is."

International

18 Europe Faces a Choice: Obama's War Games or China's Offer of Economic Miracles

By Helga Zepp-LaRouche. "The author of a Classical historical drama could not have brought the fundamental difference better to the stage: The participation of President Obama at various back-to-back summit meetings in Europe, and the state visits of Chinese President Xi Jinping to the Netherlands, France, Germany, and Belgium, have presented two opposite options for Germany and Europe."

21 China's Xi Campaigns for 'New Silk Road'

22 Target: China: British Empire Unleashes Terrorism, Regime-Change Across Asia

The British Empire, using its pawn Obama, has hurled its terrorist capabilities, subversive NGOs and think-tanks, against China and its regional allies.

War on Drugs

26 ‘Security Through Development’: U.S. Sanctions Don’t Stop Russian Anti-Drug Proposal

The ejection of Russia from the G8, among other punitive measures by the West, took place as Moscow was planning to present a groundbreaking initiative for international cooperation on the economic development of Afghanistan and its neighbors.

31 Victor Ivanov: ‘Narco-Money Is the Foundation of the Modern Financial System’

Excerpts from the speech of Russia’s top anti-drug official to a conference of international experts on “Alternative Development for Drug-Producing Regions.”

Economics

36 A Warning to Ukraine: Greece Confronts EU-Imposed Humanitarian Catastrophe

Ukraine should take heed: The EU will not bring it economic benefits or political freedom, and the proof is what the Eurocrats have done to Greece.

40 ‘Bail-In Bonds’ Signal Coming Financial Crash

The simultaneous appearance in Europe and Japan of “bail-in bonds” issued by the megabanks indicates that the huge holes in their asset books are soon to be exposed, bringing on a new financial crash.

National

42 Obama Faces Senate Impeachment Showdown

Senate Democrats, led by Dianne Feinstein, are furious that Obama, following in Cheney’s footsteps, has continued to rule by Executive order, bypassing Congress altogether, where it has exclusive Constitutional authority.

44 Two Congressional Voices Against War

46 ‘New Paradigm’ Conference in Mexico Features LaRouche Senate Candidate

A conference sponsored by Mexico’s LaRouche Citizens Movement (Mocila) featured an address by Texas Senate candidate Kesha Rogers.

47 Kesha Rogers Addresses Mexican Conference

49 Is the U.S. Preparing To Start a Nuclear War with Russia?

A top-level Russian strategist raised the question in a March 18 article entitled, “West Prepares for War with Russia.” A number of highly placed sources confirm that his view is correct.

Science

52 Science & Society Today: The Necessary End to Anarchy

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “The history of human scientific progress, should have warned us, long since, of the relationship between the brevity of the life of the human individual and the progress of mankind to which the successful expression of advancement of the quality of human progress shapes the future of our species. . . .”

56 The Failures (and Evil) of Logic: A Particularly Evil Aspect of Bertrand Russell

Jason Ross examines Russell’s continuing evil influence on science today. Kurt Gödel’s proof of Russell’s fraud “made it clear that truth and creativity transcend logic and mechanism, and Russell hated him for it.”

60 The Incompetence of Twentieth Century Science Education

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “The subject of a competent science, is to be limited, essentially, and ultimately, to the difference which separates the human species, from all other, presently known, living species.”

Editorial

70 When Will (Did) You Die?

LAROUCHE WEBCAST

Path to Survival: Shut Down the British Empire!


Lyndon LaRouche's weekly webcast March 28 was hosted by LaRouchePAC's Matthew Ogden and Cody Jones. The first question, read by Ogden, came from an institutional source in Washington.

Q: Given the U.S.-Russia deteriorating relations because of the Crimea situation, there are nuanced and subtle indications by Russia, of a possibility to stabilize relations between the United States and Russia. For example, in a recent telephone conversation between Defense Secretary Hagel and Russian Defense Minister Shoigu, it was communicated that the Russians have no intention of any further action in Ukraine, unless there is a major provocation from the Western side. In addition, the Russians have indicated that they still see some value in the continuation of the G-8 process. What do you recommend to both sides, as a starting-point to move in the direction of stabilizing relations between Russia and the United States?

Lyndon LaRouche: Well, there's no possibility of stabilizing relations between Russia and Obama. That will never happen. The only way the stabilization would ever occur, is the fact that Obama would be thrown out of office; and there's more than adequate justification for doing precisely that, on almost any given day, any second of any given day, in fact.

So therefore, Russia is not playing any games whatsoever. They have a very simple policy: They're not going to walk into a trap, they're not going to be provoked, they will not accept that; they will make their own judgment of what they should do, and they're not going to start any world war. It's that simple.

So, don't worry about how we have to negotiate between Russia and the United States. First of all, there's nothing to negotiate, as long as this



LPAC-TV

In his March 28 webcast, Lyndon LaRouche focused on what is required to arouse true patriotism in the United States: It starts with recognizing that the enemy is the British Empire.

President remains President. He's just an evil nuisance.

The problem is of this nature, and you have to repeat it, because all of the questions we get, usually are wrong. They're irrelevant.

Obama is a menace to civilization. Period. But he's only a stooge; he is not the author of anything. The author of everything that's evil, is the British Queen. She is the empress of most of the total of this planet. She's not just the Queen of England; she's the Queen of the British Empire. And as you recall, back during the period of the Copenhagen discussions, she went out with a statement saying what her policy was: that she is an empress. She's not a queen of a kingdom, she's an empress. She controls virtually all of Africa, directly or indirectly. She controls Saudi Arabia, and all these Islamic terrorist groups are all the same thing; they're part of the British operation.

So, what we have is the British Empire! The Empire has to be shut down, and Obama is nothing but an instrument of the British Empire, just as Dirty Dick Cheney was on his watch—and he's still out there being Dirty Dick—something like a Horatio Alger story, you know, Dirty Dick.

But that's the situation. What we have is a *real* problem, as well as these artificial problems.

The Cancellation of Glass-Steagall

First of all, the British Empire is now faced with the consequences, together with New York, that is, Wall Street—they are faced with the fact that they themselves—since they got rid of Glass-Steagall, they've operated with a certain intention. It's the British Empire's intention. The intention was to reduce the human population from the vicinity estimated to be about 7 billion people, to less than 1. *That is the war issue.* That is the killer issue. That is the *only* specific issue that really means anything. Everything else is subsidiary.

Look, we've almost starved to death whole parts of our own population of the United States. Why? Because of the cancellation of Glass-Steagall. Who did it? The British Empire and its Wall Street adjuncts. That's the issue. The intention was to reduce the human population along *the Zeus lines*, which are the lines of the Roman Empire, which did the same thing: reduce the population, use mass-murder methods, and so forth—the same thing that the British are doing now, *and that Obama is doing—now.*

Obama is essentially like a Nero figure. He's less intelligent than Nero. Of course, I don't think he sings, for example, but that's probably a plus.

So, that's the situation. What we're on the verge of, is a global thermonuclear war. Now, if you know you have an enemy which is determined to launch a thermonuclear war on the basis of causing an extinction of the majority of the population of this planet in short order; if you know that, you don't bother provoking the beast. You simply lay your plans of how you're going to try to deal with this, in your understanding of what the forces are on the planet.

For example, in Western Europe, essentially, Germany is no longer an asset of the British Empire on this issue. You have similar kinds of things. But, France is being broken, other parts of Europe are being broken. Spain and Portugal are being destroyed. And so, Europe as such is already being destroyed, and it's under these conditions that it *will* be destroyed. And has a certain kind of interest in the East, because Germany has a very important role in the economy of Central Europe, and it has a very close relationship to Russia in many respects. It's not simply that they have some kind of deal, some

kind of agreement in terms of allies or something. It's simply as a matter of practice, they have vital common interests, as nations. It's not because they're marrying each other; it's because they have vital common interests, particularly commercial interests and related kinds of interests, security interests.

So, what we're dealing with, we have one problem, and every American who's got any brains should recognize it. Our problem is the Queen of England—that's our number one problem. Our number two problem, is what Obama represents, but what he represents is Wall Street.

Now we're at a point in fact, where certain things are inevitable. Wall Street is doomed. Wall Street is finished, in its present form. There's nothing you can do to save Wall Street. *It's all going down.*

Now, the issue is: How will Wall Street go down? Wall Street has two ways to go down. One is to take a nosedive—I mean, just get to a 70-story building, and jump. That's one way to settle the issue; and some people will do that; we know the record on that sort of thing.

So Wall Street is finished, as such. The British Empire controls Wall Street entirely. They're using it. The British Empire is absolutely determined to achieve the objectives of Queen Elizabeth II, the British Imperial Queen now. And therefore, we know that's the fact. There's no way you're going to change that by argument, or by negotiation. No point to that. What you have to do, is *defeat it.*

From Bail-Out to Bail-In

Now, the reason for the intensity of the war threat, is the bail-in process, which was started around the end of last year and the beginning of this year, where they went from bail-out to bail-in. Bail-in is a self-accelerating form of collapse of the entire financial markets.

Now, the British Empire's position is, if they get by with a war, and crash the planet, they don't care about lost money. But if the rest of the planet is surviving, and they go bankrupt, they're finished. So, the question is:



"There's no possibility of stabilizing relations between Russia and Obama," LaRouche asserted. Obama has to be removed, and the cause of the current crisis—the power of the British Empire—has to be dealt with through Glass-Steagall. Here, Obama's meeting with Russian President Putin in June 2013.

Who's going to finish who off? Is the British Empire going to finish off the United States, as well as other parts of the world, or is the United States going to be sane enough to bankrupt the British Empire?

Now, every patriot who's got a brain in his head that's functioning, knows that what we want to do, the best thing that could happen to us, is we should drive the British Empire bankrupt, and Wall Street, together. Because then, from my standpoint—and I know this thing better than most people do—Glass-Steagall; but Glass-Steagall is not enough. Glass-Steagall will bankrupt Wall Street. *Fine!* I don't care what else happens to Wall Street banks. *We don't need them!* We in the United States do not need the Wall Street banks. Now, we may get some of them on our back, but what will happen, they will go through bankruptcy.

Because the second thing, which is what I demand we do—I demand it not because I'm personally demanding it, but I'm demanding it because it's absolutely essential. What we have to do, is we have to go back to Alexander Hamilton and the original design of our Constitution. If we do that, then what happens is, we'll close down all these kinds of operations. We won't close down all the banks. What we will do, is put them through bankruptcy reorganization. We will look at what's in there that has some merit to it, you know, salvage it. And what we will do then, is we will have the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States, following the design of Alexander Hamilton, and also that of

some Presidents who had a similar design—Franklin Roosevelt had a similar design.

So, what we'll do, is we will say, "Henceforth, all banking in the United States will be authorized, federally, through the Treasury Department." In other words, the Treasury will be the guarantor of the management of banking systems. They can be private banking systems, but they must have an authorization to do business, which is based on the Treasury Department. On that basis, we can take some of these banks, which are worthless on Wall Street now, but maybe they have something in there we want to save. So, therefore, we see something that we want to save, we'll say "Cancel that crap; we're not supporting you. If you've got something in there that's worth saving, as a banking-deposit operation, we're going to help you, as long as you conform to rules."

Under those conditions, if I were President now—and I will not be President, that's obvious—but if I were in the position of advising the people who *will* be the Presidency, what I would propose is those two measures. First of all, Glass-Steagall. That saves the United States. Secondly, in order to save the economy of the United States, we have to go to a banking system which runs its authorization through the Treasury Department.

Lincoln's Greenbacks

Now, this will be correspondent to what happened with Abraham Lincoln, in installing greenbacks. Greenbacks were a system of credit, authorized by the Federal government, through the Treasury Department. And this is what enabled the United States to defeat the British Empire, when the British Empire, through its Confederacy tools, was on the verge of destroying the United States. So, by Lincoln's action in going to the U.S. Treasury, saying these other banks were fake, and then giving greenback credits to legitimate institutions, we saved the United States.

And therefore, it's those two economic policies, two aspects of it, which are essential at this point. Without those two measures, there is no secure method for us, of saving the world economy. It can go into a chaotic crash. In other words, you have to have something to bring some order into this process. And we have to follow, therefore—since *we are* the United States—we have to follow our Constitution (which most of our Presidents have not done, haven't bothered to do). We follow *our* Constitution, and it works. It worked before, it worked with an attempt by Franklin Roosevelt, it

worked with Abraham Lincoln, it worked with others earlier, the same kind of idea, especially with John Quincy Adams, who was a genius in this matter.

So, that's what we do. That is the only solution to this aspect of the crisis. We say to the world, "We are the United States. We have some swindlers from Britain, who have come in and taken over our institutions, by bribery, by corruption, by British corruption. We are now canceling that game. We're now going back to *our* Constitution. And our law is based on our Constitution, not the British Empire."

The problem is, what we've done, is we've degraded our citizens. For the most part, they're crawling on the ground, begging for bits and pieces. Members of the Congress—Senate and House of Representatives—are crawling on the ground, licking the dirt for Wall Street and London.


And the problem is a moral default in our system. The cowardice which took over the United States, especially since the end of Glass-Steagall, has demoralized the people of the United States, where there are 75% or so of our citizens, who *hate Obama*, and quite justly so. But they're so beaten down, they won't fight. They will be enraged, they'll curse, but they won't speak out. You have members of the Congress who are cringing on the floor, licking the rugs in the aisles; they won't fight, won't fight, gutless wonders. And they turn into evil fellows.

People who are defeated become crooks, because they can't make an honest living, so they steal a little bit, they cheat, they lie—like some of the bills that were passed in the Senate, in the House, recently. This was demoralization! This is disgusting! This was practically treason. But they did it. Why? Because they were scared. Some were scared of Wall Street, because their elections depend on Wall Street contributions to the candidates for election! They're not exactly stalwart citizens. Most members of the Senate and House of Representatives are *not* stalwart citizens. They may have, somewhere, in some part of their anatomy, something left in them which is still a patriot. But before being a patriot, they're cowards first, and patriots maybe.

The Long Reach of the British Empire

Matthew Ogden: Well, I want to follow up with what you said about the British Empire. As people know, President Obama is in Saudi Arabia today, meeting with King Abdullah, to reassure him of Obama's commitment to the U.S.-Saudi relationship. In the con-

FIGURE 1
The British Empire's Flashpoints for War Surround China



LaRouchePAC

text of this meeting. Administration officials announced that Obama is considering a decision to allow portable missile launchers to be provided to the rebels in Syria, and that the Saudis could play a direct role in providing these weapons. These so-called MANPADs would give the rebels the capability of shooting down planes, including commercial airliners. This decision would make a dramatic escalation in U.S. support for the rebels in Syria, which had, up to this point, been limited to only small weapons and so-called humanitarian aid.

Now, at the same time that Obama is in Saudi Arabia, there's growing pressure inside the United States to force Obama to declassify the infamous 28 pages of the Congressional 9/11 Joint Inquiry Report, which reportedly detailed direct Saudi support for the 9/11 hijackers. The fact that this evidence continues to be covered up, at the highest level, means that the terror apparatus is still in place, and is continuing to run new 9/11-type irregular warfare operations, such as that, that you've asserted, we are seeing in the case of the Malaysian Airlines Flight MH370, and all of this with the complicity of those who are perpetuating this coverup and protecting this apparatus.

Now, I would like to bring a graphic onto the screen (**Figure 1**). Last week, and earlier this evening, you made the point that the Saudis are by no means an independent actor. The Saudi Kingdom is merely a subsidiary of the British Empire, and the Saudi operation has to be seen as merely an arm of British imperial policy. We see this connection between the BAE and Saudi Arabia, with Prince Bandar's oil-for-guns agreement that he used for decades to supply clandestine terror operations around the globe. We also see the Saudi support for the Chechens against Russia, and the Uighurs against China.

Now earlier this week, you commissioned this map to be made, which shows the true extent of the power of the British Empire. The different shadings here represent different categories of imperial control: black signifies current flashpoints for war, the dark red shows territories that are under direct British control, the lighter red is the NATO military alliance, and the lightest shading of red marks those countries whose sovereignty is severely compromised, by either London's Dope, Inc. narco-terror apparatus, including the Saudi-funded Islamic extremism; or the Wall Street/City of London speculative banking system. And as you can

see, this leaves a very small handful of countries which have any sovereignty left, and those countries right now are the ones being targeted for thermonuclear blackmail, or annihilation.

So, following up on the opening statements that you made about the flawed belief that underlies axiomatically almost every question about global strategy that you get—how do we correct the flawed idea of strategy, to realize that the true enemy of mankind is this British imperial system?

Impeachment of an Unfit President

LaRouche: Well, the situation is such that, under our Constitution, the Congress, which in this matter has a final authority—that is, when the Executive Branch comes under a President who is unfit for office, then the proceeding is to put that President and those who are complicit in his actions, to bring them into a process of impeachment. This impeachment means either great penalties to a continued incumbent Presidency—that is, he can no longer operate except within limited boundaries; or he is totally bereft of his power as a President; or he is not only bereft of that power, and is thrown out of office, but is actually continuing criminal activity for which he's punished and goes to prison, probably, and probably for a very long time. In a case like Obama, when you think of the crimes that Obama has committed as President, and then add that to what Cheney did as the acting President, the Vice President—and Cheney's very good at vice—from my experience—.

So therefore, that's where we stand. So the question is, where do we find the guts among the members of Congress who are now responsible, since we know this President is no good: He's violated the Constitution, there's no reason for him to remain in office? And he certainly would be qualified to be suspended from office, or suspended in part—that is, put under management. But these things are not occurring.

So therefore, the time has come that to save the United States, and to save the peace of the world, it is essential to put this President under impeachment. And it's also essential that the impeachment be processed fully. And that's essentially the reality we're facing now. The question is, who will get the guts, in the Congress, to do what is their moral responsibility to the nation? You don't have the right to be a gutless wonder, under the U.S. Constitution. You are supposed to represent the people of the United States, in whole, or in part, otherwise. You are responsible morally to the citizens

who you nominally represent. And if you can't do that job, you should be thrown out of office, and impeached for lack of doing your duty.

We have to, because the only way, considering the gutlessness to which our citizenry has been—I mean, you've got 75% at least of the U.S. citizenry that hates Obama. Why is Obama still in power? Because they have been reduced to almost sheep—they will not fight! They will hate, they will complain, but they won't do anything to help themselves, because they've been so much crushed, especially since the Cheney Administration.

And on the question of this, what did Cheney do? Cheney was the guy who put through the ban on revealing the essence of what happened on 9/11. The world as a whole knows, what happened on 9/11. Officially, the United States government says you can't talk about it. Which means this is a fraud in principle. It's a fraud in which you have two people who are guilty. The first, is Dirty Dick Cheney, because he's the one who was the engineer of this thing. You know, George Bush, Jr. was just a silly little jerk; he didn't do much of anything, good or bad. Dick Cheney—Dirty Dick Cheney—was a skunk who did most of the crimes, and who authored the crimes which were continued under Obama. And Dirty Dick Cheney is the guy you've got to really *hold to account*.

Now on the other side, the world as a whole knows that 9/11 was a job done by the British Empire, largely through the instrumentality of the British Empire's puppet, Saudi Arabia. We have also the fact, that since the beginning of the two Chechen wars on the borders of Russia, that the entire planet has been persecuted by a series of wars spread from the two Chechen wars, spread throughout, largely, the Muslim world. The Muslim world as a whole, which is part of the British Empire—and it's over a billion people in the Muslim world.

So therefore, if we're going to have peace on this planet, if we're going to have safe living on this planet, considering all the other things from nearby space that could be threats, and are threats, therefore, we have to take this action.

And no one can stand up, and hold their head high, who hasn't got the guts, and willingness, to do exactly what I'm saying must be done. We already know *who did 9/11*. We know that it was done, under the Cheney Administration's *protection*—not poor dopey Bush—but *Cheney is responsible for 9/11!* Because he was the one that *covered up* the authorship. And it was not much of a coverup, it was just a Federal order and called a



White House/David Bohrer

Before Obama, there was Dick Cheney, who effectively ran the Bush II Administration, and was personally responsible for the coverup of the British/Saudi role in the 9/11 atrocity. Here, Cheney visits with Saudi Crown Prince Sultan bin Abdulaziz, May 2007.

law: You can't tell the truth about 9/11. But we know what 9/11 was.

We know that the British financed, together with the Saudis, 9/11. We know that the Saudis *did* 9/11. We know that it was the Ambassador to the United States of Saudi Arabia [Prince Bandar], who directed and coordinated that operation against our people. And practically everybody in the Congress who's got any sentimental feelings at all *knows who did it*, except they don't want to be caught saying they know who did it.

And Obama today is fully backing that Cheney operation. *Therefore, he's impeachable on that account alone.* Because he is the one that's blocking the truth about a murderous attack, a virtual *act of war*, against the people of the United States, and these two clowns, called Presidents, are guilty of betraying the United States! *They are traitors, in fact, to the United States*, traitors who work on behalf of a foreign power called the British Empire.

And I dare anyone to try to tell me that ain't true.

We Need People Who Will Defend the Flag

Cody Jones: This week, we've seen a number of statements that confirm exactly what you've been saying about what the policy of the Empire is, in terms of the

financial system, which is a policy of bail-in, which is now replacing the hyperinflation policy of bailout which they had previously. This was revealed by the warnings from Standard & Poor's to most of the European banks, about their adoption of what's known as the "Single Resolution Mechanism," which is a unification of all of Europe under one bail-in policy, to implement the kind of things that we saw imposed on Cyprus, where the depositors and bondholders of the bank—they were the ones who were forced to take the haircut, so to speak, to bail out the big, "too-big-to-fail" banks.


Then you also had this study put out by the New York Federal Reserve, again calling for bail-in as the solution to whatever types of problems people might be recognizing, in a paper titled, "Why Bail-In? And How!"

So it's very clear that this is the policy, currently, of the Empire: Go for bail-in; save the too-big-to-fail banks at any cost. And that it's this crisis in the financial system which is then also driving the acceleration towards war.

Now, in your first answer, you made it very clear, exactly what the prescription is for this: Glass-Steagall, a move towards a Hamiltonian credit system, etc., so that's clear and it should be reasonable and understandable to any thinking person.

So I guess the question then becomes, given the clarity of what the solution should and could be: What, one, is blocking the Congress from taking the appropriate actions? And then, for the average citizen, what can they do, and where do they turn to find optimism in what otherwise seems like a very desperate and dire situation?

LaRouche: Well, you have to understand that most of our citizens have been reduced to cowardice; worse than that, they've been reduced to stupidity. If you look at the educational processes which our current generation of underage students are in, even some of our pro-



EIRNS/Andrew Spannaus

The collapse of industry, which went ahead at breakneck speed during the paradigm shift after Kennedy's assassination, has helped demoralize the U.S. population, so citizens won't stand up to fight to save themselves. Here, the closed Bethlehem Steel plant in Bethlehem, Pa., in 1999. The complex has since been turned into a gambling casino and entertainment center.

fessors, I suppose, too, that they just simply are gutless wonders, who have no real understanding of anything.

Look, if you've got children out there who don't know what their sex is, who don't know what money is, who don't know anything about anything—these are the victims! Our obligation is not go out there and say, "You're at fault, Mr. Stupid Person." We know why you were stupid, because of the school you attended, of what your teachers taught you! Teachers who have increasingly more criminal qualities than they do educational.

We actually have no competent education system to speak of, except in very rare and spotty, and diminishing places in the United States.

So the point is, we have destroyed the citizens' intellectual capability to make reasonable judgments. And this has been done through the promotion of the drug trafficking, which came in big, since the beginning of the 1960s, and became a torrent in the second half of the 1960s. People don't know what sex or what species they are, practically, as a result of these processes. They have no skills. In fact, we have almost no industries left in the United States! There are none left. You say they get paid? Now we find out, with the recent laws, which are pushed through by Obama, they don't get paid either! They're just told to starve.

When a government says, "You are going to starve," they're saying, "We're going to kill you. The law demands that we kill you by slow torture of starvation; we destroy your families, we take away your houses, which Wall Street stole from you!" You send them out to get diseases and to die! And they call this "democracy"?

No. The problem is, in a time like this, you need people who will defend the flag, of which I am one: Defend the flag, defend our Constitution. Our Constitution is being violated, it's being treated with disgust, contempt. And therefore, those of us who still have the brains to understand what this is all about, have a compelling responsibility to act on behalf of our fellow citizens whose abil-

ity to think clearly about these matters has been damaged. We have to be loyal to those who came before us, and those who are bound to come after us. While we have the ability to think clearly, to know the facts, to know the evidence, we have the responsibility *as citizens*, to act in concert with others who agree with this, to save our nation and save our Constitution, which is being spit upon, by Dirty Dick Cheney and by Obama. But all under the command of a woman, a virtual Sataness, Queen Elizabeth II.

She really is the second; the first one [Queen Elizabeth I] was in Shakespeare's time. This one is more evil. The first one was very bad tempered and killed people; she [Elizabeth II] is very bad tempered, very bad tempered, and she kills people, *en masse*.

And so therefore, we have to defend the United States against the British Empire, which is our essential enemy *and always has been since we were a republic; the British Empire, today, is still the enemy of the United States*. That's one flag, the Union Jerk, which we don't salute!

And you have to understand things in those ways. We are the people. When our President is a crook, when many in the Congress are stinking cowards, and won't do anything to defend our people; members of Con-

gress who *lie* by the votes they make, because they want Wall Street money for their election campaigns. Corruption of the worst type!

Therefore, we have to stand up and we have to fight to save our republic. And we have to do this for humanity, because if we, as the United States, go down, they go down, the rest go down. Therefore, we must defend our nation not only for our own nation's sake, but for others and for the future of humanity. Therefore, those of us who can speak, must speak, and act, insofar as we're able to act.



Bundesregierung/Bergmann

Chinese President Xi Jinping's visit to Western Europe included state visits to France and Germany; his diplomatic activity also featured the promotion of the New Silk Road economic perspective. Here, Xi with German Chancellor Angela Merkel in Berlin on March 28.

A New Promethean Age for Mankind

Ogden: The people of Europe, this week, got to witness a very stark contrast, between Obama on the one hand, who is on tour to promote the Empire's agenda for a direct confrontation with Eurasia and Russia; and Xi Jinping, on the other hand, who is also in Europe, but for the opposite purpose: for promoting the New Silk Road and the peaceful integration of all the countries of Eurasia.

Xi wrote an article that was published yesterday in the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, where he emphasized the importance of the Chinese-German partnership, making the point that these two countries embody the best of their respective civilizations and geographically represent the two crucial nodes between which a real Eurasian integration could take place.

He said, "The numerous ancient scholars and philosophers, profound philosophical thinking, rich and colorful literature and art that our two countries have been proud to have are an inexhaustible source of wisdom for our two countries to learn from, draw upon and share in our exchanges and cooperation.

"The China-Germany cooperation is also a process in which our two economic miracle creators work hand in hand and make progress together. . . . [O]ur two economies are highly complementary and promise a vast space for cooperation." And then he said, "Closer cooperation between China, a country that is committed to the path of peaceful development, and Germany would go a long way toward forming a multi-polar world," and what he

called a world of "peace, stability, and prosperity."

Now, I think this theme of a partnership between China and Germany is one that your wife, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, would agree with wholeheartedly [see *International*, this issue]. In fact, many of the points that President Xi made reflect some of the themes that Helga emphasized during her recent trip to China, in which she had numerous very high-level meetings and was interviewed by a large number of leading Chinese press. For example, in an interview published in the [Beijing Review](#), called "The Silk Road to Prosperity."

Zepp-LaRouche said, "There is a general recognition in the world that the New Silk Road is only the beginning of a much larger integration of the world economy. We are very happy about this initiative, because it will be the beginning of a complete new epoch of civilization. . . . We need to change the paradigm quickly and abandon the idea of solving problems through war, and stop thinking in terms of geopolitics. We must focus on the common aims of mankind or we all may not exist."

Xi echoed this vision of what he called "a new epoch for mankind," in a speech that he gave yesterday in Paris to UNESCO. And I think he described it in a really beautiful way, both what you've been stressing, Lyn, about the end of war, but also the future of a community of respectively sovereign but mutually cooperating nation-states. He said, "Throughout the centuries, people have yearned for lasting peace, but war has haunted mankind at every step of his progress. As we speak,

many children on this planet are subjected to the horror of armed conflicts. We must do our utmost to keep war as far away as possible from mankind, so that children around the world can grow up happily under the sunshine of peace....

“As long as the idea of peace can strike deep roots and the sail of peace can be hoisted in the hearts and minds of people all over the world, a strong defense will be built to prevent and stop war....

“Civilizations have become richer and more colorful with exchanges and mutual learning. Such exchanges and mutual learning form an important drive for human progress and global peace and development.... Every civilization is unique.... All are crystallizations of mankind’s hard work and wisdom.... We need to encourage different civilizations to respect one another and live together in harmony, while promoting exchanges and mutual learning as a bridge of friendship among peoples, a driving force behind human progress, and a strong bond for world peace.”

So, my question for you is, as we face the imminent collapse of the British trans-Atlantic financial system, how do you see the possibility of replacing that with this lasting peace based on a shared human culture of a post-Zeusian, post-empire world? How do you envision a new, Promethean age for mankind?

They Destroy a People by Making Them Stupid

LaRouche: Ah! Precisely. Well, the first thing you have to do is, all these things which are said in these remarks already, as part of the question, they are, in a sense, self-evident. They’re self-evident to people of good will, essentially. But, they do not solve the problem.

The problem is in the beginning of the year 1900, in Paris, a meeting on the subject, of, really accounting was what it was, but in terms of this sense of things, in terms of arithmetic, what happened is, in 1900, the system of education which had been increasingly taken over, since 1900 in Paris, where this crazy idea was put into place, is the problem. Because if you want to destroy a people, you can do that by simple oppression. The easier way is to make them stupid. And you have the problem, we have made our own citizens in the United States, since the Presidency of our last decent, real President, since that time, we have been increasingly made stupid, in science and everything else.

This piece of stupidity in 1900 in Paris was followed

up by Bertrand Russell, and Bertrand Russell destroyed the ability of the human mind to think, as human! Now, we still had a few people who were qualified as scientists, but their qualifications became relatively diminished. There are very few capable scientists, in either Europe or the United States today, who are actually capable of performing a science-driver program. Why?

Because they are absolutely impotent, they’re intellectually impotent! The green policy, the fact that the green policy could exist, means that people have gone back to becoming animals! They’re no longer human. A green policy is a denial of the difference of human beings, from animals. The green people are intrinsically a form of animals, official animals, they are not truly human in their thinking.

Now, if you have the green policy, there’s no hope for humanity anyway. That’s the British policy. And this was all done under British influence. In the 1890s, before the year 1900 in Paris, you had two of the greatest scientists in all history, who were leading science. Two of the greatest—Max Planck and Albert Einstein. And what there was, was a direct reversal, which was global—it has been global ever since—a decline in the intellectual capability of the members of the human species. Now, you still have people who were scientists, who in their most private, and their most secret thinking, will still recall things that were science. Some of them still have scientific capabilities.

But look what Obama did to it: Obama destroyed science! Cheney ruined science! Obama destroyed it. Under Obama, people have lost the essential difference of creativity which exists for the human individual; they don’t have it any more! Or they’re so scared, they don’t use it any more. And *this* is where the problem really lies.

So therefore, if we’re going to solve these problems, which our Chinese friend does, which Helga’s been working on, on the Silk Road, for decades! She’s actually a hero in China on the basis of her pioneering on the Silk Road project. So the problem here is, there are no simple treaty agreements; there has to be a *substantive agreement*. The substantive agreement means that science must come back to become science.

Now, for example: Mathematics *is not science*. Mathematics is not scientific, it has no scientific content as such. Numbers have no content for human beings. Human beings are a noetic species, a creative species. No animal is! I am a human being, not a green animal! The greenies are essentially evil, because they turn

themselves, as human bodies and human minds, they turn themselves into mere animals. They no longer have judgment, they no longer have competent opinions. They should all be in a mental asylum, until they're cured of these diseases; they shouldn't be running anything. They shouldn't be voting. They shouldn't be making policies. They're not mentally responsible! They're not capable of mental responsibility.

The human species is a creative species: It's by the will of the human individual, not the brain, but through the mind, and the mind is essentially a *social product* of the evolution of man from ape, or something like an ape.

So the difference is, do you have this quality which distinguishes man from the ape? Otherwise, you shouldn't vote. We don't let two-year-old children vote, do we? We don't let five-year-olds, do we? Not ten-year-olds? That may happen to us.

The ability to *do any good*—and the principle is, as our friends in the Massachusetts Bay Colony knew, *to do good!* That's what it's all about.

So therefore, it's not sufficient to have these mottos, and to say we're going to have peace and good will and equity and so forth. It's not enough.

For example, as we've been discussing in the Basement [Science Team], repeatedly, recently, the Sun has gone into a protracted quiet period. Henceforth, from now until, we don't know how many decades to come, the entire Western part of the United States, from the Mississippi River to the Pacific Ocean, is going to cease to be a *food-growing area*. Heretofore, the major source of food in the United States for the people of the United States, has been in that territory, of food-growing and related things. We no longer have that capability!

So therefore, the green people are the enemies of humanity, they're the enemies of the people of the United States in particular: Fracking is a crime against humanity, which was imposed through the aid of Cheney, was imposed on the United States. So we've lost our food production; we've turned food into gasoline, into fuel, not food; mass murder against our people is going on under the Cheney legacy and continued by Obama.

And we call ourselves competent, to vote in the Congress on law?! These wretches, who don't have the brains to know which door to go out of? Because they're cowards!

And you go back to 1900 in Paris, the Hilbert doctrine: Eliminate science and replace it with mathemat-

ics. And everything in all modern science says that mathematics *is not science!* Numbers are not science.

Then we have music which is not music any more. Junk! We have theater which is not theater; it's junk! It's like a warehouse performance. There is no longer a *culture* of the United States! There's fragments of a culture, left over from what has been in the past.

And therefore, if we want to solve these problems, we have to understand that the human species has a very specific quality which no other species has: It's called a noetic quality, the ability of mankind to create new states in the universe, by the will of the human mind. If you violate that and reject that, mankind is going to be extinct, and there's no sign of anything to replace mankind that we know about in the universe so far.

So the idea of merely saying, we're going to promise these good things, and be nice to each other, is not going to solve anything. It's simply: You're going to have a slow death, with mutual admiration, but it's still slow death.

What we need to do is fulfill mankind. Mankind has the power of creativity. The individual human being has a natural ability to create new states in the universe, where such states never existed before! And it's only through that power of creativity, of the human mind, that the human mind becomes human. Otherwise, you're just monkeys, and monkeys are not good at running political systems: You should see the rhesus monkeys in the zoo. They are not things you want around.

So therefore, the issue is: Are we going to be able to sustain the inherent mission of the human species? And the human species is unique in the power to make discoveries, essentially in the form of chemistry: We go to increasingly higher energy-flux density, per capita, and per unit of action. That is the only thing that enables the human species to exist. Therefore, if you're going to do something for the world, you'd better get on your high horse, on thermonuclear fusion, and more advanced expressions of thermonuclear fusion which involve the use of lunar helium-3, to enrich this thing. We can do this on Earth! By bringing the helium-3 from the Moon, down to Earth, and incorporating it into a thermonuclear fusion program. *That's the only way we're ever going to meet that challenge.*

Now, there are people in China, for example, who *do* know this. They're specializing in this, they've made efforts in explorations on the Moon, in one of their journeys up there, which pertain to exactly this. So the

future of mankind depends upon the development of a scientific technology, which is *thermonuclear fusion*, in various advanced forms. Without that, during the period of the quiet time of the Sun, in which the world is going to get drier and drier and drier, especially our United States, *we have to have thermonuclear fusion*.

China is on the track of saying, we're going to do that. Russia is oriented in the same direction. India's oriented in the same direction. So the question is, are we going to use the weapons of progress, of creative progress, on behalf of a world which no longer has war in it? You may have police actions to keep people from going totally in a cruel way, but we don't *want* war, we don't *need* war!

War, in general, is something which must be eliminated from this planet, because any major war, planetwide, is a thermonuclear war. And a thermonuclear war today, is an extinction of humanity war.

So therefore, we must have peace among nations, based on the cultural characteristics of a nation. Because the people can only function efficiently, if they have a language, and knowledge that goes with it, which enables them to be productive. Therefore, we must not disturb that, we must enhance that. We must increase their mutual capabilities. *Then*, what the Chinese President was saying, yes, that can be there, but this must be included. We can't take a cheap shot and ignore this.

The fundamental issue of mankind is that mankind is not an animal: Mankind is a noetic species, which is capable of voluntarily increasing his creative powers. And which no longer relies on mathematics. Mathematics is the sign of death of the human species; it has certain uses, but it has no scientific use. Other things have scientific use.



creative commons

The current generation of youth in the United States could be characterized as the "no future" generation, as a result of the long-range process, dating as far back as Bertrand Russell in 1900, of brainwashing people into believing they don't have a mind. Here, some of those youth at Maryland Deathfest VIII, in May of 2010.

Mathematics Kills Human Creativity

Jones: Okay, for the final question: You've already addressed much of what will come up in this, but I think maybe there's another side, that you could even elaborate further.

There's a very disturbing report that came out this week, about what's happening in the New York City schools, where they've experienced ten suicides in just the last seven weeks. And I think this really expresses that the youth now have a "no future" orientation. And in fact, this "no future" identity, has been accelerating since the assassination of John Kennedy. And it's been accelerating, really, under a doctrine imposed by the likes of Bertrand Russell, which is the idea to deny the existence of the human mind, and to try to reduce the human being down to the level of just a logical machine, a mathematical machine.

Now, we've discussed that Gödel intervened into this [see *Science*, this issue], to give a negative proof against what Russell was attempting to demonstrate, to show that, in fact, there are inherent contradictions

in this idea of trying to demonstrate that the mind is nothing more than a logical system. But it was only a negative proof.

What is required now, is a positive affirmation of exactly what the nature of the human mind is. And we've got to get young people to again come to know and realize what that positive identity is. It's one thing to tell someone you're not a monkey, but then, the question is, well, if I'm not a monkey, what am I? So in that context, what role must culture play in this, and what do you prescribe as the kind of pathway, which we should be initiating, in order to revive and awaken this real human potential?

LaRouche: Okay. We've got a very clear case—it's

not all inclusive, but it's significant, in the sense that it does demonstrate the point: Now, what happened was, in 1900, exactly that year, with what actually was taken over by Bertrand Russell, the attempt to find a mathematical solution, for this problem, was a fallacy. But what was done in defense against this thing was merely negative.

What I've insisted upon, and what I still insist upon is that we have to have a positive understanding, of what human creativity is. The 1890s: Now, this was a very crucial decade. First of all, it followed the expulsion of Bismarck from the Chancellery, and Bismarck had been the only Chancellor, the means in Europe, who prevented general warfare throughout Europe at that time. That is, he managed to plan, with his diplomatic work, to prevent the British Empire from starting a global war.

So when they removed Bismarck, and they had a new appointee by the British Empire to replace him, a new representative government, then the whole thing went into a period of warfare, from that moment, beginning with the assassination of the President of France [Sadi Carnot]; and some other things that happened, and a whole series of wars leading directly into 1914. So actually, World War I began with the ouster of Bismarck from the Chancellery; that's history. We've been in such a process of warfare, ever since that time that Bismarck was kicked out of the Chancellery. There have been "resting periods," so-called, but they were resting periods to start a new war.

World War I and World War II were part of the same thing, and we're now on the verge of World War III, which is extinction warfare.

So therefore, you have to say, "What's wrong?" The very idea that you can use a mathematical system to define a higher technology is a fraud. All successful growth in technology and applied technology is based on human activity, not mathematics. Now, they will quantify the parts they put together, but the action which causes the improvement is not mathematical. You can measure mathematics, in terms of quantities that you're bringing together to use to create an effect. But the solution does not lie there, it lies in *chemistry per se*.

Now, foremost: What is creativity? What species of life has a voluntary, direct capability for creating a higher state of existence of the human species? There's only one species that can do that voluntarily: *the human mind's species*. Mathematics will never enable you to

discover anything, except a crack in the floor. So that's what the issue is.

Three Propositions

Now, let's take a case: I just recently started the first of several reports on the principle of creativity and how physical science is defined. And what I'm saying there is absolutely correct. Because the model case for this, which I used, which is the most relevant one, is Brunelleschi; he was the man who eliminated lines—straight lines don't exist for Brunelleschi. And he was one of the greatest architects that ever existed. He created a chapel [the Pazzi Chapel]: If you go into the chapel, as I had done with my wife on a number of occasions, the whole chapel resonates, musically, to your presence. It's just a brick structure!

So, he was the one who broke apart all conceptions of straight lines, and similar kinds of things, derivatives of straight lines, numerical structures. He was the foundation of all modern science.

Then Cusa came along, Nicholas of Cusa, the greatest intellect of the entire period of the Renaissance, and he went to the other thing, the maximum principle. Now, the maximum principle was solved by Kepler, because Kepler discovered the Solar System: *Nobody else ever discovered the Solar System, except Johannes Kepler—no one!*

So you had these three propositions: Brunelleschi—the absolute minimum. Everything has to be based on the absolute minimum. Then you had to go the maximum, the largest, from the very large [Cusa]. And then you had Kepler, and Kepler created *all competent modern science* with that discovery, by completing that.

We had the same thing happen after Gauss. Gauss never used mathematics per se, for any of his theoretical discoveries. He would tell them, "Well, here's what I did." He wouldn't tell them *how* he did it, he would just describe how it was done. And he had a student, Bernhard Riemann, one of the greatest scientific geniuses of the entire 19th Century. And Riemann opened the case for the discoveries by Max Planck. Max Planck discovered the infinitesimal, the principle of science in the infinitesimal, in a new form; and then, Einstein took, again, the same base, the maximum. That was their science. And that's how this thing works.

So you have to get out of this idea of mathematics. Because if you say science is mathematics, you're a nut! Because science has never existed, that is, as a deliberate process, *except* in this way, except through the



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

To salvage the younger generation, “what we need is to return to an actual physical scientific program,” LaRouche said, starting at ages of 3, 4, or 5. Here a slightly older child engages in educational play.

human mind: No other creature than the human mind has ever made a discovery of principle that was valid.

The problem is, that in the schools today, and increasingly since the influence of the 1900 period, there has been an accelerating degeneration of the mental life of people in respect to science. In putting things together, yes, great things have been done. Some people with great genius have done these things, but they don't get much credit for having done it. They're the ones who made the discovery—they don't get much of the credit. There are not many Einsteins around, but it's the Einsteins, and that type, and Plancks, Riemanns, and people like that, who create human creativity on the modern scale today. Without them, we don't get creativity, we get Hell.

So the important thing is, never treat human beings as animals. Human beings are creative, intrinsically creative, and they don't use numbers to make discoveries. They will count things, but they're just objects. The process of creativity doesn't just count things.

And therefore, what we need is, we need to return to an actual physical scientific program, which starts with the child, at the age of three, four, and five, starts down that path of creativity, in which adults used to train their children. If they were wise, they would teach the children how to play games! And the child's learning how to play games would give the child a sense of an ordering, which was not just mathematical. And if we went

further and further, then we could go higher on the scale.

But the idea that mathematics, and statistics—accountants! Accountants are more of a curse! Accountants really didn't exist! We didn't need them then! They were just an embarrassment, a corporate embarrassment. What we need is a scientific basis for progress, for the increase of the productive powers of labor, just like always, higher machines, more productive machines, new technologies on a higher level; the ability of mankind to have an increased standard of living, by virtue of having higher levels of technological progress. That's what we must be. That's being human.

Unfortunately, what's going on in schools today, like these suicides in New York City—why is a student committing suicide? Why are these students committing suicide? Because life is meaningless to them. And no child likes to be held back in grades, and if they're going

back to school, after school, in the horror situation of the New York City school system—and it's not the teachers as such. There have been good teachers—they're being thrown out as rapidly as possible now.

But the educational process of inspiring students to be able to make discoveries, with their own minds, and teachers who—of course, my experience in schools was terrible! Because they kept telling you, “You have to listen to your teachers.” Now, I found soon in life that I was much smarter than my teachers, so I didn't believe in them, and I was lucky in that way. I didn't believe in the teachers. I believed that I had to discover myself, with my own mind, what the principle was.

And so, I hated Euclidean geometry, which is a piece of crap, a piece of nonsense! People are teaching people still today, Euclidean geometry! It has no reality in the real world! Most of the things that you're taught by mathematical processes are crap! They don't have any reality to creativity.

But you're taught that your qualification of being advanced in the school system, of promotion in life after graduating from school, of going to higher levels of employment, and payment—all of these things are rituals which have *nothing* to do, with creativity, and in fact, become rituals which tend to destroy creativity! Because the slug, the stupid slug, who goes by a rule-book, has a better chance of getting the appointment, than the guy who actually is creative.

EUROPE FACES A CHOICE

Obama's War Games or China's Offer of Economic Miracles

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

March 28—The author of a Classical historical drama could not have brought the fundamental difference better to the stage: The participation of President Obama at various back-to-back summit meetings in Europe, and the state visits of Chinese President Xi Jinping to the Netherlands, France, Germany, and Belgium, have presented two opposite options for Germany and Europe. In Obama's war games, Germany is only the expendable nation in the geostrategic game; it would ultimately pay the price for the spiral of sanctions against Russia, and on whose territory an impending war would take place. The possibility of cooperation with Xi perspective for the development of the new Silk Road, however, provides the option of a second economic miracle for Germany.

This is what is bubbling under the surface currently in Germany. A growing number of people realize that we have reached a new phase change. The reaction to Obama's "keynote" in Brussels, in which he announced an increased military presence in Poland and the Baltic states, and even proposed the relocation of NATO troops to the east, along the Russian border, declared an escalation of U.S. sanctions against Russia, and attempted to put pressure on Europe to do the same, reflects the fragility which has developed in the Western alliance.

While Obama, David Cameron, José Manuel Barroso, and Herman Van Rompuy excel as enthusiastic champions of imperial escalation, the eastward expan-

sion of NATO and the EU that has been pushed for 23 years, right up to Russia's borders, now, in the face of Russian resistance in Crimea, is to be followed by an escalation of sanctions. This places the other heads of state in a deeper predicament, given the strategic consequences of this policy, including the growing threat of war. And in the population, a revolt is developing, in which anger at the insolence of the media manipulation is growing, along with utter disillusionment with Obama's true character.

Chancellor Angela Merkel was annoyed indeed about the extremely unreasonable request that Obama made at the Nuclear Security Summit, that the Heads of State participate in an interactive nuclear war video-game (!), but then she played along. In view of the imminent danger that the situation around Ukraine has the potential to develop into thermonuclear war, as well as the possibility that the missing Malaysian aircraft may be an Asian "9/11" terrorist act, the idea that the leaders ought to practice a war simulation on their tablets was worse than macabre. So was Obama's reference to the lessons that were written in the cemeteries of the European continent: "We are confronted with the belief among some that bigger nations can bully smaller ones to get their way," said Obama—and he certainly must have been referring to Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, and Syria, not to mention Panama and Somalia.

Of all the fascinating potential cultural sites that you



EU Photo

This image of Obama as Nero has proliferated on Italian websites, as Europeans are increasingly disillusioned with the American President. Chinese President Xi Jinping, shown here in Brussels on March 31, has a lot more to offer Europe.

can visit in the Eternal City, the fact that Obama, during his visit to Rome, wished especially to visit the Colosseum, speaks volumes about his self-conception; an Italian gossip website published a photo montage of Obama in a Nero outfit before a burning Rome.

German Opposition to Obama Policy

In Germany, meanwhile, the realization has spread that the real victims of an escalation of sanctions against Russia would ultimately be the German economy, German jobs, and the living standards of the population. A number of industry representatives, and especially politicians of the older generation who still have a concept of what it means to suffer a war on one's own territory (which this time would be nuclear and the last of all wars, because it would result in the annihilation of mankind), have clearly spoken out against the view that Russia and Putin were the bad guys in this conflict.

Günter Verheugen, former European Commissioner; Harald Kujat, former Inspector General of the Bundeswehr; Volker Treier, deputy chief executive of the DIHK (Association of German Chambers of Industry and Commerce); Max Otte, economist; Dirk Müller, analyst; Peter Gauweiler, vice chairman of the

CSU party; Gregor Gysi, leader of the opposition in Bundestag; Helmut Schmidt, former Chancellor; Erhard Eppler, former head of the Basic Values Commission of the Social Democratic Party and the president of the Church Congress Kirchentag [German Protestant Church Congress—ed.]; and Horst Teltschik, former Chairman of the Munich Security Conference, to name a few, have all come out opposing the demonization of Russia being pushed by the mass media and apologists of Empire, in a way that would make Dr. Goebbels would turn pale with shame. The technique being employed is the principle of Bertrand Russell, that mass psychology and modern propaganda methods make it possible to convince people that snow is black.

With all the anti-Russian hysteria, it should not be forgotten that the West, NATO, and the EU, have broken all the promises that were made after the collapse of the Soviet Union. Step by step, the eastward expansion of NATO and the EU has been driven up to the borders of Russia and escalated systematically to the point at which, for geostrategic reasons, Russia could no longer be defended—Ukraine's integration into the West and the loss of access to Black Sea.

Yet, the arbitrary interpretation of international law

by the U.S. and the EU, which endorses a coup in Kiev which was funded and directed from abroad and brought Nazis to power, and does not accept the wish of the majority of the population in Crimea to be part of Russia, as established in a popular referendum, is already being avenged, because the puppets are impudently insisting upon a life of their own: Svoboda¹ parliamentarian Igor Miroshnichenko, also a member of the parliamentary committee for freedom of the press, thrashed the head of Ukrainian state television, and, in a recorded telephone conversation, [former prime minister] Yulia Tymoshenko said she was ready “to take up a kalashnikov and shoot that scumbag [Putin] in the head.” She otherwise has a fantasy violence problem: “I will rally the whole world, as soon as I can, so that—damn!—not even a burned field is left in Russia.”

What sort of people we’re dealing with in these pawns of the West was actually clear long ago. The U.S. government and the EU, however, have lost all legitimacy and credibility through their unscrupulous exploitation of these elements.

In many private circles, in all kinds of associations and organizations, debates are taking place about the need to defend Germany’s interests; this is being discussed with a seriousness and passion that has never existed before throughout the history of the Federal Republic of Germany. Now it is understood that the sanctions being demanded against Russia are primarily directed against the interests of Germany, and that the danger exists that we will be drawn, for the third time and for geostrategic reasons, into a world war. Privately, people are reflecting upon the long arc of history, ranging from Bismarck to the treaties of Versailles and Rapallo, to the financing of Hitler by the head of the Bank of England (Montagu Norman) and Prescott Bush (the grandfather of George W.), to the circumstances of Germany’s reunification and the imposition of the euro.

President Xi’s Alternative

The visit of the Chinese President Xi Jinping and his extensive offers of cooperation to France and Germany thus came as a promise of the future. Because instead of being dragged down into a maelstrom of confrontation between NATO and Russia, there is an alternative: that

1. The Svoboda party, which was formerly called the Social-National Party of Ukraine and marched with swastikas on its banners, was a leader of the Maidan protests. It now holds several Cabinet positions—ed.

the European states might regain sovereignty over their own monetary and economic policies, and participate in a new Eurasian alliance, for the development of the Eurasian Land-Bridge.

It’s not just about extensive, billion-dollar contracts and joint ventures with car manufacturers, specialty products manufacturers, fashion houses, environmental companies, electric car manufacturers, recyclers, and many other sectors, which are unquestionably of the first importance for the German export economy and German know-how. It’s about the potential to get out of the current dynamic of geostrategic confrontation, and to participate in a common order of peace for the 21st Century.

But this perspective for a better future is not going to happen without major turbulence. The trans-Atlantic financial system is facing total disintegration, which could be triggered by the insolvency of even one of the “too big to fail” banks. The latest stress test by the Fed made clear that despite all the massaging of the data, Citigroup is massively under-capitalized and overexposed. The bank, which received the largest amount of bailouts in 2008, still doesn’t have its house in order, five years later. According to Pam Martens and the blog, “Wall Street on Parade,” the rate of bank failures is higher today than at the height of the crisis in 2009. If the bail-in regime of the Single Resolution Mechanism (SRM) were to be implemented in the very near future, this would raise the threat of the crash of the trans-Atlantic financial system.

The alternative to the risk of ensuing chaos is the timely introduction of a two-tier banking system in the tradition of Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall Act, which protects that part of the banking sector which has to do with the real, physical economy. Only once the virtual casino part of the financial system is written off, can a credit system, in the tradition of the first Treasury Secretary of the United States, Alexander Hamilton, take its place, and fund the long-term projects of the new Silk Road as part of the reconstruction of the world economy. Then cooperation between the “two pillars” of the world economy, China and Germany, as Xi put it, will be the beginning of a new era of cooperation among the nations of the world. And this new economic order must be inclusive, and encompass Russia and the United States. Germany can and must tip the scales!

Translated from German by Daniel Platt.

China's Xi Campaigns For 'New Silk Road'

by Our European Bureau

March 29—Chinese President Xi Jinping began a ten-day visit to Western Europe on March 22, with an agenda of promoting the Eurasian development plan called the “New Silk Road.” The following reports from the French and German legs of his trip, give a taste of the vision he offered to the sinking Western European economies.

President Xi's tour started in the Netherlands, and was scheduled to conclude it in Brussels. The highlights were his state visits to France and Germany.

50 Years of Ties with France

President Xi's visit to France celebrated the 50th anniversary of the opening of relations between France and the People's Republic of China, in 1964, when President Charles de Gaulle was the first Western President to open up relations with China.

The daily *Le Monde* reported on March 26: “Mr. Xi hopes to promote the project for a ‘New Silk Road,’ a theme he will also develop in his speech tomorrow at UNESCO, in Paris. China's New Silk Road diplomacy is aimed at rebuilding a logistical corridor, able to offer stable outlets for China's interior provinces, and also to open the Chinese market to European products.”

At his request, China's President began his visit in Lyon, which has a long history with China. France's first silk center, Lyon's ties to China go back to the 16th Century and have continued to the present day. Speaking at a dinner in Lyon, Xi said the city “was one of the important arrival points in Europe for the Silk Road that started in my native Shaanxi province.”

Lyon also hosts the Franco-Chinese Institute, the only Chinese university in operation outside of China. Founded in 1920, it is a top-level institution for the most advanced students, where many Chinese students have come for preparation in the French language, science, etc., and are able to pursue studies at other high-level institutes, before returning to China. Many schools in Lyon teach Mandarin as a first or second

language, and there are 3,000 Chinese students in the city.

Of course, economic deals were a large part of the trip. President Xi toured Lyon's top medical laboratory, bioMérieux, which develops *in vitro* diagnostics for infectious disease, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. China's top automaker, Dongfeng, was scheduled to sign an agreement to invest in Peugeot. Dongfeng and the French state are investing EU800 million each, the largest investment ever by a Chinese company in France. China already represents 20% of Peugeot's market, and the joint venture is aimed at giving Peugeot greater access to the Chinese and Asian markets.

Announcement of a huge deal was also expected with Airbus Helicopters for the delivery of 1,000 EC175 helicopters to China over the next ten years, via a Franco-Chinese industrial partnership, and it is anticipated that China will renew for ten years its assembly of A-320 Airbuses in Tianjin. China might also announce the purchase of 70 aircraft from Airbus, and go ahead with the purchase of 27 A330 aircraft that Beijing had frozen last year when the EU decided to tax CO₂ emissions of foreign airline companies.

Since cooperation is already very advanced on nuclear energy and aeronautics, the French are working on new contracts in other areas: agro-industry (the Chinese are expected to open their borders to French charcuterie, which will make the French butchers and sausage-makers happy), health care, and medical laboratories, and helping China solve the air pollution problem in its cities.

Germany: The Land-Bridge

In Germany, President Xi was scheduled to visit Berlin and the inland port of Duisburg, where, three times a week, the Yuxinou train arrives from Chongqing in southwestern China, the start of the 11,179-kilometer rail line, the current New Silk Road. The train transports electronic goods and textiles from China to Germany, and industrial goods and machinery from Germany to the central Chinese industrial region—a major source of growth in the German Ruhr region.

The train goes from Chongqing to Kazakstan, from there to the Trans-Siberian route via Russia, Belarus, Poland, and on to Germany. Bringing the containers to China's seaports from Chongqing for shipment to Europe would take three days alone, so the land route is more efficient.

British Empire Unleashes Terrorism, Regime-Change Across Asia

by Mike Billington

March 29—Following the strategic setback for the British Empire in Ukraine, with Russian President Vladimir Putin’s refusal to back down to the neo-fascist coup in Kiev, which was orchestrated by the Obama Administration and its London masters, the Empire has let loose its evil schemes across Asia. Its terrorist capacities, subversive NGOs, and British-controlled institutions, have been hurled against China and its allies in the region, with open threats of war against China directly. Any delusion that these are separate incidents, rather than a carefully orchestrated imperial assault on the region, will result in disaster and a probable thermo-nuclear war.

Lyndon LaRouche, in regard to the still unsolved mystery of Malaysian Airlines Flight 370, said that the investigation must assume, until proven otherwise, that this is an “Asian 9/11” terrorist operation, and pointed to the existence of several centers of terrorism in Asia, from Chechnyan cells across the region, to Uighur cells in China, all controlled by the same British-Saudi apparatus which ran the 9/11 2001 military attack on the United States.

Since the missing plane was heading for Beijing, with mostly Chinese passengers, Malaysia’s bungling of the investigation—and especially Prime Minister Najib Razak’s adoption of a suspect British firm’s declaration that the plane definitively crashed in the South Indian Ocean, with absolutely no evidence other than untested computer models—has poisoned the normally close relations between the two countries.

While MH 370 is splashed across the headlines, the Empire has struck viciously at two governments central to China’s economic and strategic security—Taiwan, where any threat to the “One China” policy remains the primary *casus belli* for China; and Thailand, a key Southeast Asian nation and Chinese ally. While Taiwan is being subjected to an anarchist occupation of its gov-

ernment buildings by anti-China mobs, Thailand’s hugely popular government is being destroyed by a combination of royalist mobs directed from London, and a dictatorial judicial structure, established under the royalist/military junta which ruled after a coup against the elected government of Thaksin Shinawatra in 2006.


These subversive regime-change policies complement Obama’s overt military confrontation with China known as Air-Sea Battle, which is using the puppet regime in the Philippines, and an increasingly imperial leadership in Japan, as well as the Queen’s loyal subjects in Australia, among others, to prepare for war with China and Russia. Add to that, the escalating terrorism within China itself, by Uighur networks trained by the British/Saudi al-Qaeda operatives in Pakistan.

The accelerating collapse of the London/Wall Street financial bubble is driving this process, as the Anglo-American oligarchical powers will not allow the nations of Eurasia to proceed with scientific and economic progress while the West collapses, and are committed to looting these economies, even if it means provoking a thermonuclear destruction of civilization.

Taiwan—an Eastern Ukraine?

On March 18, in Taiwan, several hundred people, mostly students from local universities, stormed the Legislative Yuan (Parliament), and have effectively shut down the legislature since that time. The explicit target of the mob is a trade agreement with Beijing, negotiated last Summer, but not yet approved by the Parliament. Although their main complaint is that the government of President Ma Ying-jeou hasn’t provided adequate opportunities for the opposition Democratic Progressive Party (DPP) and various

FIGURE 1
The Empire's Flashpoints for War Surround China



NGOs to voice their opposition, the target is in fact the dramatically improved relations between Taiwan and China under the leadership of President Ma since he assumed office in 2008. The role of foreign NGOs, as in Ukraine, is central to this subversive process.

The government took no police actions against the anarchist mob in the Parliament, but on March 23, some of the demonstrators implemented a well-planned occupation of the Executive Yuan—the government offices—and the government immediately ordered the forceful removal of the occupiers, resulting in over a hundred injuries, nearly half of them police. Of course, the opposition press and NGOs immediately accused the government of police brutality, and called for a national strike to support the anarchists. As of this writing,

the Legislative Yuan remains occupied, while President Ma has agreed to negotiations with student leaders.

The comparisons to Ukraine fill the press, although there are, at this point, no overtly terrorist or neo-nazi gangs involved with the demonstrators, as was the case in Kiev. However, as is well known, any threat to Taiwan, especially by forces which support the independence of Taiwan rather than the established “One China” policy, which has sustained peace with the mainland since the 1949 Communist Revolution which drove the Kuomintang (KMT—The Nationalist Party) off the mainland into Taiwan, is intolerable to Beijing, which has always maintained the right to use military force against such a breakaway move in Taiwan.

The overt intervention by the Obama Administration and the EU into Ukraine to overthrow the elected government, simply because that government rejected a suicide pact with the EU, and wished to improve relations with Russia, paints an obvious parallel to the potential disaster in Taiwan. The Taiwan student-based organizations leading the occupations—the Black Island Nation Youth Front and the Sunflower Revolution—are well organized.

The primary U.S. sponsor of regime-change worldwide, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), is very active in Taiwan, having created the Taiwan Foundation for Democracy in 2002 in collaboration with the government of Chen Shui-bian, head of the pro-independence Democratic Progressive Party, which ruled from 2000 to 2008. A two-day con-

ference sponsored by the NED in Taiwan in August 2011, featured the NED's Larry Diamond and the "End of History" neoconservative Francis Fukuyama as keynote speakers. The role of these and other NGOs in creating this potential strategic confrontation with China needs to be more thoroughly researched and exposed.

Thailand

Thailand, which is geographically and politically the hub of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), has been in a state of mounting chaos since 2006, when a mob sponsored by the British-backed monarchy and by the opposition Democratic Party, led by the British-born and British-educated Abhisit Vejjajiva, held demonstrations against the overwhelmingly popular government of Prime Minister Thaksin Shinawatra, creating the conditions for a military coup under monarchical sponsorship. Under the military junta, a constitution was imposed on the nation, including a Constitutional Court and an Anti-Corruption Court, with absolute powers, and no recourse to appeal their arbitrary decisions. Although every subsequent election has resulted in overwhelming victories by Thaksin's supporters, recurring mob rule and corrupt rulings by the Courts have repeatedly removed every democratically elected government.

In 2011, Thaksin's sister Yingluck Shinawatra was overwhelmingly elected as Prime Minister, and has moved aggressively to build the nation through expanded support for the peasantry, and through large infrastructure projects, in conjunction with China, Japan, and Korea. While South Korea is investing in a massive water-management program to deal with the destructive annual flooding, and to provide water to the agricultural northeast during the dry season, China is engaged in a huge high-speed rail project, which will eventually connect Kunming with Singapore through Laos, Thailand, and Malaysia.

A resurgence of activity by royalist mobs over recent months attempted to bring down the government, but, despite occupying the streets of Bangkok since December, and disrupting the snap elections called by Prime Minister Yingluck to try to end the demonstrations, they failed in forcing their desired military coup. The Democratic Party of British agent Abhisit then boycotted the elections altogether, knowing it

would have lost in any case, and providing a basis for the corrupt Constitutional Court to rule the elections invalid.

Indeed, in these past few weeks, the Constitutional Court and the Anti-Corruption Court have displayed their subservient role to the monarchy and the British Empire in the most overt manner. They have handed down three rulings which would make even Queen Victoria blush:

- In February, the Anti-Constitutional Court charged Prime Minister Yingluck with criminal responsibility for "corruption" for offering the peasantry a higher than market price for their rice paddies.

- In March, the Constitutional Court ruled that the \$62 billion infrastructure program, passed by the Parliament, for high-speed rail and water management, and for connecting the nations of the region, was unconstitutional. Beyond that, they ruled that Yingluck was criminally responsible for even introducing the infrastructure program into the Parliament!

- A week later, the Constitutional Court ruled that the February elections were invalid, despite the fact that they were violently disrupted by the royalist mobs (with Navy Seal snipers among them), and despite the fact that the opposition Democratic Party boycotted the election with the intention of having the Court do exactly what it has done. The nation is now in limbo.

The genocidal intentions of the British Empire campaign, against feeding the population and against building infrastructure, could not be more starkly displayed.

Uighur Terror Escalation

The al-Qaeda cells in Afghanistan and Pakistan have for many years trained recruits from the Islamic Uighur nationalities in China's western provinces; these recruits have returned to China, launching violent assaults on Chinese security forces and civilians, especially in Xinjiang Province. Recently, however, these terrorist attacks have gone outside of Xinjiang, with a car bombing in Beijing and, in February, a brutal assault by knife-wielding Uighurs on passengers at a Kunming train station, leaving 29 dead and scores injured.

In early March, the Thai government detained 200 Uighurs who had entered Thailand illegally. The Uighurs are reported to have been trying to get to Turkey

for military training, with the intention of returning to China to combat the Chinese government. The U.S. and the British did not hide their support for the Uighurs, and demanded that Thailand not return them to China.

Philippines, Japan, and Obama's Air-Sea Battle

Meanwhile, Obama is moving ahead with the military encirclement of China. Obama's puppet President Noynoy Aquino in the Philippines (the son of Cory Aquino, who was placed in office as President in 1986 when George Shultz and the neocons in Washington overthrew the last nationalist leader of that nation, Ferdinand Marcos), is in the process of ripping up the Philippine Constitution, which outlaws foreign military bases on Philippine soil, to allow virtually unlimited stationing of U.S. troops, military aircraft, and warships within Philippine military bases. The subterfuge that these are not permanent bases, but "rotating" deployments as guests within Philippine bases, fools no one.

Former Philippines Sen. Kit Tatad accurately noted that this massive, illegal deployment of U.S. military forces, under the guise of "detering" an aggressive China, should rather be seen as a "magnet," setting up the nation for destruction, as Obama implements his "first-strike" policy against China—the Air-Sea Battle war doctrine. Tatad notes that President Aquino is not submitting the plan to the Senate, as required by the Constitution for any such military agreement, since he knows it would be voted down, and that he is not worried about the Supreme Court ruling against it, since President Aquino railroaded an impeachment of the Chief Justice through the Congress with bribes paid directly to the Senators—a scandal which was exposed, but has not yet led to an impeachment of the President. Obama and Aquino have a great deal in common.

In Japan, President Shinzo Abe, to cheers from the neocons in Washington (including Dick Cheney's favorite President, Barack Obama), is taking steps to ignore his nation's pacifist Constitution, by "reinterpreting" it so that Japan can assert a right to "collective self-defense." While it could be argued that Abe's intention is, that Japan should be a "normal country" with the right to military options, the fact is that, for Abe to take these steps in the midst of the British/

Obama drive for military confrontation with Russia and China, is a clear provocation of China, and suicidal for Japan.

Obama, attending a Nuclear Security Summit in the Hague on March 26 (when he was not inducing the world leaders to play nuclear war video games with him), pulled Abe and South Korean President Park Geun-hye into a three-way meeting. President Park and the Korean people share China's concern over Japan's move to restore its militarist past, and only reluctantly agreed to the meeting. Obama, on behalf of his British Imperial controllers, is attempting to get South Korea to join with a U.S.-Japan alliance against China. Park, however, is having none of it, and in fact is working closely with both Russia and China to bring about a solution to the North Korea problem—not only by ending North Korea's nuclear program, but by engaging the North in a series of great development projects in Northeast Asia.

Also involved in the anti-China alliance is British Commonwealth stalwart Australia. Not only has Australia agreed to allow the U.S. to station 2,500 marines in Australia, along with open basing for U.S. military aircraft and warships, but Hugh White, the author of a 1990 white paper proposing a massive military buildup so that Australia could "do its part" in confronting China, published a call for war with China in the *New York Times* of March 19. In order to defend "key norms, including the all-important norm against the use or threat of force to settle disputes," writes White, "America should be willing to fight China to protect that norm."

In a classic example of the British Empire's concept of "British brains, American brawn," and the British intention for the U.S. to fight its colonial wars, White writes: "If China persists in threatening the use of force, then America should be willing to fight, and must say so clearly."

With the trans-Atlantic nations in the process of terminal economic and financial disintegration, it is in the interests of every citizen of the world that the impulse for development that still exists in the Eurasian nations be preserved and fostered. If the British Empire's drive for war on Russia and China is not reversed—most immediately by removing Obama from power and from the nuclear button—then civilization is facing an early extinction.

mobeir@aol.com

‘SECURITY THROUGH DEVELOPMENT’

U.S. Sanctions Don't Stop Russian Anti-Drug Proposal

by Rachel Douglas

March 30—One can hardly mourn the demise of the G8, which ended its existence on March 24 when Barack Obama and the other heads of state or government of seven of the group's members announced they would boycott this year's summit in Sochi, Russia, as part of a sanctions package aimed against Russian policy on Ukraine. After all, the group was formed as the G6¹ at a 1975 summit in Rambouillet, France, held to endorse and consolidate the floating-exchange-rate system that had come into being since the termination of the old Bretton Woods agreements on Aug. 15, 1971. The post-1971 financial system that was the G6/G7/G8's *raison d'être* is the cause of many of the world's troubles today.

Russia's accession in 1997, making it the Group of 8, served only to hitch the largest post-Soviet nation to the policies of that speculation-dominated trans-Atlantic financial system, something that was no healthier for the Russian economy than it has been for that of any other member country. "Russia was invited to join the G7 after the Cold War ended, in an effort to facilitate Moscow's Western orientation, but the effort failed," an International Institutions and Global Governance official at the New York Council on Foreign Relations pronounced sourly this week.

What is regrettable about the G7 walkout on prepa-

rations for the June 2014 Sochi summit, however, is that at a moment when those leaders have nothing to offer in the face of the world's grave economic and strategic crises, Russia was bringing a groundbreaking initiative to the G8 meeting. In the framework of confronting the fast-growing plague of Afghan drug production and trafficking, Russia planned to put on the agenda a program for international cooperation on the physical economic development of Afghanistan and surrounding nations. Especially in light of China's New Silk Road perspective, this Central Asia development initiative would become part of the transformation of all Eurasia.

Victor Ivanov, director of the Russian Federal Drug Control Service (FDSC) announced this planned focus back on Nov. 29, 2013, speaking in Minsk, Belarus, at a meeting of the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO)² Coordinating Council of the Heads of Competent Bodies on Countering Illegal Drug Trafficking. He said that the security of all Eurasia had been undermined by Afghan dope, while NATO and the EU paid no attention to this crisis during their "reckless" eastward expansion. Russia would make the "planetary drug threat" a top issue during its G8 chairmanship in 2014, Ivanov revealed.³ He proposed that the CSTO promote a new,

1. France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Canada joined in 1976, making it the G7.

2. Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Russia.

3. "After Ukraine's EU Refusal: Eurasian Development vs. Collapse and Chaos," *EIR*, Dec. 6, 2014.



fkgn.ru

The Moscow meeting on “Alternative Development for Drug-Producing Regions” on March 25 was attended by over 100 experts from 27 countries, despite the U.S. cancellation of Russian-American anti-drug cooperation. Victor Ivanov is at the podium second from right. To his right is Yuri Krupnov.

improved version of Russia’s 2010 Rainbow-2 plan for wiping out Afghan drug production.

The FDCS subsequently set a schedule of three preparatory meetings of experts on aspects of fighting drugs, to have been followed by a ministerial meeting in May. The first expert session did take place on Feb. 25, dealing with the role of law enforcement agencies in fighting the illegal trade. The March 25 second Moscow meeting was dedicated to “Alternative Development for Drug-Producing Regions,” using the UN-approved term “alternative development,” traditionally associated chiefly with crop-substitution programs. This experts’ event was the first one hit by the sanctions: The invited law enforcement agencies, foreign ministries, and ministries of economics of the G7 countries ignored it, failing even to send regrets. The European Union, which is normally represented at G7/G8 events by departments of the European Commission, likewise ignored the gathering.

Crash Program for Afghanistan

Victor Ivanov presided over the March 25 meeting in Moscow, despite the cancellation of G8 preparations and his own inclusion on the U.S. sanctions hit-list of Russian officials. It was a landmark event in both attendance and content. Gathered at the meeting were over

100 experts from 27 countries, including all the CSTO members, all the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa), Iran, Pakistan, Colombia, Ecuador, and Finland. Afghanistan itself sent a government delegation including three deputy ministers.

In his keynote presentation, Ivanov set forth an integrated strategic conception—“Security Through Development.” This was the title of the speech, excerpted below, in which Ivanov declared that “raising countries out of a state of economic depression and developing them is becoming the main resource for security in our time.” Therefore, he said, the idea of security through development must be grasped as “a fundamental

concept or doctrine.”

Implicit in the “Security Through Development” doctrine, as elaborated by Ivanov, is a total transformation of the international financial and economic system.⁴ He said that nations must be independent and sovereign in financial and credit matters, in order to direct investment into earmarked projects, uplift the population, and employ the youth.

Of the two main approaches to eliminating the drug-producing economy, namely law enforcement and the restoration of healthy economic development, Ivanov said that the latter is primary. Insisting that drug production is “the flip side of the global economic crisis,” Ivanov confronted the audience with what *EIR* readers know as “Dope, Inc.”: the fact that the international financial bubble depends on drug-money flows and thus drives the murderous drug consumption epidemic worldwide, while also destroying economies. “The question of ‘how to clear up the financial bubble’ is the same as ‘how to defeat global drug-related crime,’” he said, and “that is why, already back in January 2012 at

4. Ivanov told a conference at the Center for Strategic and International Studies in Washington on Nov. 18, 2011, that the “revival of the logic of the Glass-Steagall Act” on banking separation was a first step toward the needed “drastic transformation of the international financial system” (see *EIR*, Dec. 2, 2011).

the World Economic Forum in Davos, I proposed that solving the Afghan drug production problem would allow us to cure the global economy.”

Analyst and economic project organizer Yuri Krupnov, head of the Development Movement, a Russian NGO, and Supervisory Board chairman of the Institute for Demography, Migration and Regional Development (IDMRD),⁵ then presented a new report elaborating a plan for Afghanistan and neighboring countries, with worldwide implications. Titled *A New Generation of Alternative Development Programs for the Elimination of Drug Production in Afghanistan*, the report was prepared for the meeting by the IDMRD and the Center for Strategic and Foreign Policy Studies, based in Belarus. In their proposal, the “new generation of alternative development,” means going far beyond crop substitution programs such as organizing farmers to grow pomegranates instead of opium poppies. Krupnov called for international cooperation on a “crash industrialization program” for Afghanistan. He urged the experts to think not merely in terms of available financing, but rather about “the enormous political, local, and economic resources at the disposal of our countries.” That includes human resources, among them the people who survive from among the 200,000 Afghani technical specialists trained by the Soviet Union in the 1970s and 1980s.

Krupnov situated the Russian development proposals for Afghanistan within Eurasia as a whole, noting the proximity of China, as well as access to Europe and to other parts of Asia. He detailed projects for hydroelectric power stations on the Panj River, which forms much of the border between Afghanistan and Tajikistan, as well as plans to develop the country’s already prospected and confirmed mineral resource base, and a railway network (**Figure 1**).

This programmatic approach was inspired five years ago, Krupnov said, by a conversation with the Italian military attaché in Afghanistan. Asked how he thought drug production could be eliminated, the Italian admiral replied, “Through electrification.” “In my view,” Krupnov elaborated, “this reply from an experienced, world-class professional expressed the fundamentally new approach that is needed for the drug-production



Yuri Krupnov addresses the Moscow conference on March 25. He is holding his pamphlet on “A New Generation of Alternative Development Programs for the Elimination of Drug Production in Afghanistan.”


situation in Afghanistan. If we do not organize the country’s crash industrialization on the basis of electrification, and with this electricity make it possible to organize mass production both in agriculture and in the cities, we won’t be able to provide jobs for the huge number of young people, who make up nearly half the population.”

For Russians, that discussion brings to mind the famous Soviet GOELRO, the national electrification plan launched by Lenin in the 1920s, less than a hundred years ago. For Americans, the same idea should evoke images of the transformation of the United States through the Tennessee Valley Authority and the Rural Electrification Administration under President Franklin Roosevelt, also within the past century; but, no American officials were at this conference to hear the report and have such thoughts.

The participating officials from Afghanistan proposed to hold a follow-up meeting in Kabul in the near future. A positive response was also quick in coming from Tajikistan, where, the Asia-Plus news group reported, Sayfullo Safarov, deputy director of the official Center for Strategic Studies, told a March 27 conference on Central Asian security that industrialization of Afghanistan is impossible without completion of the Roghun Hydroelectric Power Plant and construction of the Dashti Jum HPP. These are the first two dams in the Panj River cascade project proposal of the IDMRD, which Safarov explicitly cited.

5. Excerpts from the IDMRD’s report *The Path to Peace and Concord in Afghanistan Will Be Determined by the Position Russia Takes* appeared in *EIR*, Feb. 27, 2009.

FIGURE 1



Broken lines on the map show existing (black) and proposed (red) railroads. A “dry port” logistics hub at the Pakistan-Afghanistan border, along a new Indian Ocean-to-Siberia rail corridor, would include switchover between narrow and wide-gauge railroads. The “HPP cascade” is the proposed series of hydroelectric power plants on the Panj River, which forms the Afghanistan-Tajikistan border.

In closing remarks at the Moscow conference, Deputy Director of the FDCS Oleg Safonov brought up the need for Russia to move ahead quickly with creation of a Central Asia Development Corporation (CACD). This scheme was proposed by Victor Ivanov in early 2012,⁶ in line with President Vladimir Putin’s advocacy of setting up state-led corporations for large, integrated economic development programs in key geographical areas. Safonov said that the government-owned VEB Bank, one of Russia’s largest financial institutions, should play a key role in the CACD.

Washington Cuts Anti-Drug Cooperation

One of the participating Russian experts assessed Ivanov’s March 25 speech as “essentially a political declaration, defining Russia’s policy for the period ahead.” The conference, he added, demonstrated “es-

6. “Afghan, Central Asian Development on Agenda,” *EIR*, March 23, 2014.

entially a new approach to choosing allies and areas of work in the fight against drugs, and a fundamental shift in the approach taken to global drug production.”

The presentation made by Member of the European Parliament Pino Arlacchi, one of the few people in attendance from Western Europe, stirred the audience’s interest, as he called the U.S.-NATO military presence an “occupation” of Afghanistan, which was impeding the country’s development. Arlacchi is the former Executive Director of the UN Office of Drug Control and Crime Prevention who, as the European Parliament’s Afghanistan Rapporteur, in 2010, collaborated with Ivanov on a European-Russian plan to eliminate the opium/heroin economy, at the same time as Russia put forward Rainbow-2; the Ar-

lacchi initiative was quashed in the European Commission.

Not only was the United States officially absent from these deliberations in Moscow, but on March 27, the White House Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) ended collaboration with the FDCS altogether. The FDCS, in a press release that day, reported that Acting Director of the ONDCP Michael Botticelli has declined an invitation to visit Moscow in May 2014, when he was expected to attend a meeting of the Working Group on Drug Trafficking of the Russian-American Bilateral Presidential Commission. ONDCP spokesman Rafael Lemaitre confirmed that cooperation on fighting drugs has been suspended.

A week earlier, Ivanov was included on the list of persons subject to individual sanctions by Washington. The FDCS stated in another press release that the USA, “by barring the director of the Russian FDCS from entering the United States, is effectively breaking off

many years of successful and fruitful cooperation with Russia in the fight against drugs.” The statement suggested that “the only possible explanation for Washington’s whim is unwillingness to cooperate against drugs and fear of being blamed for the explosive, 40-fold increase of drug production in Afghanistan since that long-suffering country was occupied by U.S. and NATO forces in 2001.”

The Obama Administration’s cancellation of anti-drug cooperation with Russia coincided with the declaration of a public health emergency in Massachusetts, where Gov. Patrick Duval cited the dramatic rise of heroin use, addiction, and overdose death rates that have nearly doubled in the past 12 years. While the source of most heroin on the U.S. market is now South America, and Afghanistan largely supplies Eurasia, with a sizeable amount of Afghan heroin going to Russia, the sharing of experience in fighting these drug flows has been a major focus of the now halted Russian-American cooperation efforts. The end of this cooperation is also consistent with Obama’s creeping

support for drug legalization: The Administration has decided to let the American states decide their own policies, while “adjusting” Federal regulations to allow banks to accept deposits from drug sales (which are still—for the moment—illegal under Federal law).

Other international outreach by the FDCCS has included discussions with European law enforcement agencies, especially those of Germany, whose police train policemen in Afghanistan. Some German military strategists have sought to bring Europe on board with Ivanov’s economic-development approach to the problem.

The head of the FDCCS has spoken with increasing bluntness about sabotage of these international efforts against Dope, Inc. On Feb. 5, Ivanov said that NATO forces were directly responsible for the surge in poppy plantings in Afghanistan. “According to the U.S. House Foreign Affairs Committee, Washington has no anti-drug strategy for Afghanistan after international troops pull out of the country,” he told the Russian State Anti-Drug Committee.

In the wake of the March 27 suspension of cooperation and his own blacklisting, Ivanov said, “By Washington’s logic, the FDCCS and its director are more dangerous than Iran’s nuclear program,” since the United States at least formally continues anti-drug cooperation with Iran, despite imposing sanctions on that country for having a nuclear power program.

The official news agency Itar-TASS reported Ivanov’s “surprise” at Washington’s linking the fate of cooperation against drug trafficking to the situation around Ukraine. Ivanov pointed out that Russian and American narcotics police have jointly destroyed dozens of drug labs and tons of pure heroin, which was bound for the Russian, European, and even U.S. markets. “It is regrettable that the Washington politicians are pulling the rug out from under their own professionals,” he said. “Whom does this benefit? The drug cartels of Afghanistan and South America.” The latest decisions, Ivanov added, “in effect mean that information on drug labs in Afghanistan will be withheld from Russia.”


In an interview to Anna Nemtsova for *Foreign Policy*, Ivanov suggested one more reason for the sanctions aimed at him and his agency: “The American Democratic Party is against me for criticizing the ongoing process of marijuana legalization in the United States.”

DOPE, INC.

Is Back In Print!

Dope, Inc., first commissioned by Lyndon LaRouche, and the underground bestseller since 1978, is back in print for the first time since 1992. The 320-page paperback, includes reprints from the third edition, and in-depth studies from *EIR*, analyzing the scope and size of the international illegal drug-trafficking empire known as Dope, Inc., including its latest incarnation in the drug wars being waged out of, and against Russia and Europe today.

*This edition, published by Progressive Independent Media, is currently available in limited numbers, so there is no time to waste in buying yours today. The cost is \$25 per book, with \$4 for shipping and handling. It is available through www.larouche.pub.com, and *EIR*, at 1-800-278-3135.*



by the Editors of the Executive Intelligence Review

‘Narco-Money Is the Foundation Of the Modern Financial System’

Victor Ivanov is the head of the Federal Drug Control Service of Russia. He gave the speech that we excerpt here at an international experts conference on “Alternative Development for Drug-Producing Regions,” which was held in Moscow on March 25. Subheads have been added.

... I will be presenting the views of the Federal Drug Control Service on the role of alternative development in eradicating planetary drug-production centers.

But I must add one more thing.

Our meeting of experts was originally conceived as a conference of leading experts on alternative development, to provide expertise in this area in support of Russia’s G8 chairmanship. However, our G8 partners decided to unilaterally break with that format, and, as you already know, yesterday’s session of the truncated (castrated) G7 in the Hague confirmed the U.S. and NATO policy of isolating Russia.

I call to your attention that the West’s disbanding of the G8 was done even while Russia, as part of its Presidency of the G8 this year, has made the fight against narcotics a priority; and that it is hard to evaluate this as other than a radical way for the USA and NATO to evade responsibility for the explosive growth of drug production in Afghanistan that has occurred since the occupation of that long-suffering country by U.S. and NATO armed forces in 2001.

Drug Production in Afghanistan

I would like to remind you, colleagues, that since the start of “Operation Enduring Freedom” in October 2001, the UN has objectively recorded an explosive and catastrophic rise in drug production in Afghanistan.

The production of heroin has increased

more than 40-fold (**Figure 1**). The same applies to areas under opium poppy cultivation in Afghanistan. According to the UNODC [United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime], the area under opium poppy cultivation there grew, in the past year alone, from 150,000 hectares to 209,000 hectares—i.e., by 36%.


Historically, under the Taliban, the area sown to opium poppy declined from 63,000 hectares in 1998 to 8,000 hectares in 2001—an eight-fold decline—while during the period of Operation Enduring Freedom, it increased to an unprecedented historical record of 209,000 hectares, that is, a 26-fold increase! And this means a 26-fold increase in the social base of narcotics production, so that more than 3 million farmers are in-



Director of the Russian Federal Drug Control Service Victor Ivanov, at a meeting in Moscow on March 26 of anti-drug experts of the BRICS countries.

fksn.ru

FIGURE 1



involved in this criminal activity.

Furthermore, the result is that Afghanistan itself is now the world's top consumer of heroin!

Thus, the chief and a quite impressive result of the "Enduring Freedom" military operation has been the transformation of Afghanistan into a planetary-scale zone of drug production, which today produces twice as large a quantity of opiates as the entire world did ten years ago.

Colleagues, it is perfectly clear that it is not Russia that has been excluded from the G8 by the West, but that the West itself has withdrawn from trying to solve the world problem of drug production in Afghanistan.

Global Destabilization

During its Presidency of the G8, Russia had intended to propose a comprehensive strategy to address the problem, in which, following UN policy, modern alternative development programs would be the top priority, as well as the focus of our present expert meeting.

This is because a key problem facing the international community is the existence of


huge planetary drug-production centers (Figure 2), where production of heroin and cocaine has become truly industrial in nature, and has clearly turned into a powerful generator of an entire spectrum of threats, a systemic factor of political destabilization in both hemispheres.

The slide shows two centers of drug production—heroin in Afghanistan and cocaine in Latin America—that have become a global problem, common to both hemispheres.

This global problem can only be solved through the combined efforts of a large number of advanced countries—of course, we are talk-

ing about members of the Group of Eight—but our experience shows that it makes more sense to rely primarily on the BRICS countries [Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa] and those countries that are neighbors of regions of drug production, free of the

FIGURE 2



commitments and secret decisions of the NATO military Politburo.

The negative consequences of the planetary drug-production centers are enormous. This is particularly obvious in Afghanistan, which has become the main victim of large-scale drug production. Thus, for 14 years, since the start of Operation Enduring Freedom, more than a million people in Eurasia have died because of Afghan heroin, at least half of them Russian citizens.

Intensive transit of drugs from Afghanistan, estimated at \$80 billion annually, means the proliferation of paramilitary drug gangs, an increase in violence, and the involvement of several million people in the region in transshipment, which overall distorts the political landscape of Central Asia, the Caucasus, and the Middle East.

In other words, Afghanistan's drug production is a fundamental factor of destabilization for the whole of Eurasia, and means the assured destruction of the future of Russia and Europe, with respect to their security, demography, gene pool, and economic development.

Nevertheless, the eradication of Afghanistan's drug production is still not seen as a priority task by the world community, as shown by analysis of basic international documents.

For Russia, this is unacceptable—the more so, since a solution to the problem exists. That is, to place before all mankind the challenge of completely eradicating Afghan drug production.

There Are Solutions

There are two key ways of solving the problem.

The first is police methods—destruction of drug crops; planning and conducting Strategic Anti-Narcotics Operations (SANO), whereby the Federal Drug Control Service, in conjunction with the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO), is currently creating a Center for Strategic Counter-Narcotics Operations.

The second method is the alternative development of Afghanistan on the basis of a crash program, accelerated industrialization of the country.

The second method should be the principal focus.

Drawing countries out of depression conditions and generating development are becoming the main resources for security. For this, we should adopt the idea of “Security Through Development” as a fundamental doctrine. Let me remind you that Russian President V.

Putin proposed this approach to the international community in his speech on Jan. 1 of this year.

“Security Through Development” means that development is the main resource and factor for security.

To implement this ideology or doctrine, it is necessary to organize the comprehensive development of Afghanistan from 2015 to 2025, with new-generation alternative development programs, including accelerated industrialization and construction of supporting infrastructure. This approach is the only productive solution for this priority task of the world community: eradicating the planetary drug-production center in the heart of Asia.

To this end, Russia considers it necessary to develop and present to the world a new generation of alternative development programs for Afghanistan, which will actually solve the problem of eradicating this planetary drug-production center and source of destabilization of all Eurasia.

The best experiences in alternative development show that modern programs for countries in which coca, opium poppy, or other narcotic plants are grown, should have three levels:

First is the creation of infrastructure for advanced agriculture, including stable markets, a system to extend loans to farmers at low interest rates, technical and technological support to agriculture (scientific and industrial seed growing, fertilizers, agricultural machinery), education and training of agronomists and other professional agriculturalists, as well as strict protectionist measures to protect farmers who are cultivating legal crops.

The second level is the creation of conditions for diversification of employment, with the goal of reducing the proportion of families whose well-being directly depends upon agriculture, in particular, the formation of national high-tech industry that can employ the local population. Malaysia is an example, in which a backward agrarian country became, over a few decades, a leading high-tech country.

The third level is the sovereign development of the state, including its independence in financial and credit matters. States must have the right to sovereign development. The existing world monetary and financial system, built on the destruction of national economies and the exhaustion of resources needed for development, is the main reason for the spread of the global drug trade.

The development of the power industry, electrifica-

tion, and energy self-sufficiency are of fundamental importance.

Eventually, modern programs for alternative development should completely uplift the economy and society, through creation of next-generation infrastructure that is technologically capable of providing most of the population of these countries with a quality of life that befits the modern world.

Creating new-generation infrastructure, without restricted access, but for use by all, will be an essential response to the planetary challenge of narcotics production.

I emphasize that this approach is fully in line with the decisions adopted at the July 2012 Tokyo International Conference on Afghanistan, and the program proposed there for the self-reliance and economic recovery of Afghanistan. The Tokyo Conference also proceeded from the fundamental premise, and I quote, that “there is a dire need to reduce the production of drugs.”

Similar ideas are currently also being developed by various non-profit organizations.

Alternative Development Programs

So, colleagues, among the handouts you have for your consideration, is the report by non-governmental organizations on *A New Generation of Alternative Development Programs* for the Elimination of Drug Production in Afghanistan.” It essentially describes a draft Russian plan for alternative development and an economic boom in Afghanistan and Central Asia.

Russia officially introduced a whole new approach to the problem of drug production back in 2010, at NATO Headquarters. This plan clearly and concretely presented key objectives and mechanisms to guide the efforts of the international community, with an emphasis on a consolidated and unified approach to alternative development in Afghanistan.

Dear colleagues,

In the final analysis, the basic idea of modern programs of alternative development should be that only ambitious economic decisions will allow us to end drug production and the economic ruin that accompanies it. The key idea must be that a planetary drug-production center *can be eradicated* and completely transformed, exclusively by placing it at the center of worldwide efforts for industrialization and development [author’s emphasis].

This is an absolute imperative for Afghanistan.

We see Afghanistan in the near future as a strong and prosperous nation; but this requires making the choice for development policy.

Narco-Money

I would like to draw your attention to the fact that the planetary drug-production centers are inevitably the flip side of the global economic crisis.

The extremely negative consequences of Afghan and South American drug production are well known and obvious. Liquid narco-money is killing the economy of the Eurozone and is even significantly affecting the Chinese yuan. Investigations on money laundering show that major banks depend for their very existence on dirty, but liquid money from the drug traffic.

Just recall the high-profile case of the American bank Wachovia, which, according to official data alone, handled \$378 billion in operations controlled by the Mexican drug cartels’ money-changers (*casas de cambio*). Two other banks, American Express Bank and HSBC, were also under suspicion and were fined in the United States.

What does that mean?

First of all, not only do narco-money and the global drug trafficking that it generates supply scarce liquidity to their sponsors, but they are also, in fact, a vitally necessary part of the entire monetary system, one of the components of the current financial crisis (**Figure 3**).

Moreover, it is this possibility of permanently supplying much needed liquidity, that is in many ways the driver of the financial, economic, and social demand for continuing narcotics production.

The very existence of the global financial bubble, in turn, is based on precisely this opportunity for banks to attract liquid narco-money. In fact, this garbage fertilizes the present economic system.

And considering that, according to generally accepted estimates, including by UN experts, the narco-money in the world is on the order of \$800 billion per year, and the negative consequences for the real economy are double to triple that level, the annual damage to the world economy is at least \$2 trillion, which is equal to the GDP of countries such as France or the United Kingdom.

And this allows us to confidently assert that narco-money is the foundation of the modern financial system. It is not surprising that during the first peak of the financial crisis of 2008-09, the Deputy UN Secretary-

FIGURE 3



General, Executive Director of the UNODC Antonio Costa, announced that the top banks in the world dumped in around \$352 billion of narco-dollars to use for interbank borrowing, to address the critical shortage of liquidity.

This means that the entire global economic system is not only dysfunctional, but headed for self-destruction.

Thus the world economy has become, in a way, hostage to drug production, while Afghanistan, in turn, is held hostage to the ailing global economy.

So the question of “how to clear up the financial bubble” is the same as “how to defeat global narco-crime,” and, above all, eradicate Afghan production.

The priority in eradicating global drug production is transforming the existing economy and shifting toward an economy that eliminates criminal money and guarantees the reproduction of net liquid assets—that is, an economy of development, in which decisions are based on development projects and targeted long-term loans.

In this way, properly organized alternative development will be able to lead the world out of the global crisis. That is why in January 2012, at the World Economic Forum in Davos, I suggested that solving the

problem of Afghan drug production would make it possible to heal the global economy.

Eight-Point Program

Dear colleagues,

In conclusion, I shall enumerate the measures that should be taken by the international community:

1. To raise in the UN Security Council the issue of eradication of the planetary drug-production centers as a self-standing and top-priority question for the world community.

2. To work out together an international plan, the Rainbow 3 Plan, to eradicate planetary drug-production centers, based on national and civilizational development.

3. To develop and adopt the fundamental concept/doctrine

of “Security Through Development,” with an emphasis on modern alternative development programs.

4. To consolidate efforts to develop programs for national, regional, civilizational, and planetary development, as the key instruments for eradicating planetary drug-production centers.

5. To form a Global Alliance for Alternative Development.

6. To initiate, under the auspices of the UN Secretary-General, a Commission on Alternative Development in Afghanistan, based on its accelerated industrialization, as a priority project for the UN.

7. To create an interactive map of alternative development in Afghanistan, as the organizational basis for eliminating Afghan drug production.

8. To hold a special international conference on the alternative development of Afghanistan; it could be in Omsk, in the Autumn of this year.

Dear colleagues,

I propose that we discuss these issues, and I hope for long-term multilateral cooperation in all of these important areas.

Thank you for your attention.

Translated from Russian by Susan Welsh

A WARNING TO UKRAINE

Greece Confronts EU-Imposed Humanitarian Catastrophe

by Dean Andromidas

Why would anyone want to join the European Union? The only sane reason to join an association of nations would be to enjoy expanded economic benefits and democratic rights. Well, the EU offers neither. It is not only its moribund economy, with high unemployment, collapsing living standards, etc., but it is now implementing a policy of mass murder.

The most obvious case is Greece, where the EU has turned a debt crisis into a humanitarian catastrophe.

In 2010, Greece had a debt to gross domestic product ratio of 125%. The EU bureaucrats declared this to be unsustainable, and Greece was forced into a bailout. At the end of the third quarter 2013, that ratio had risen to 183%. The government's gross debt stands at EU339.6 billion. At least EU270 billion is now held by foreigners. Between the first quarter of 2008, and the third quarter of 2013, it has paid EU67 billion in interest alone.

The over EU200 billion bailout has exclusively gone to pay off creditors, and in the process, created ever-expanding new debt. Despite the widely held belief that German taxpayers will foot the bill for the bailout, the fact is that the individual countries of the euro group issue a guarantee for the bonds that are floated by the European Stability Mechanism (ESM), the bailout fund. The bonds are bought by banks and other institutions, and the Greek government pays off the bonds. Only if there is a default will the non-Greek taxpayers lose. For the banks, such as Deutsche Bank,

the bonds are all but free, since once purchased, the bank goes to the European Central Bank (ECB) and receives new liquidity. This arrangement is great for the banks, but lethal for the people of Greece.

Since signing the bailout agreement and implementing its memoranda, Greece has been put under the tutelage of the infamous Troika of experts, or more precisely debt collectors, from the European Commission, the European Central Bank, and the International Monetary Fund. Their policy has destroyed the economy, and is killing off the population by creating a humanitarian catastrophe. Some of the evidence:

- Official Greek unemployment has increased from an average of around 10% to 28%, but in reality, it is closer to 50%. Youth unemployment is an impossible 65%. Of the officially registered unemployed, only 16% are receiving any unemployment benefits. Nominal and real wages have been reduced respectively by 23% and 27.8%.

- One-third of the population lives below the poverty line. While no hard figures exist, the number of Greeks who have left the country seeking work is between 500,000 and 1 million. These are not the poor peasants of the 1950s and 1960s, but highly educated young people—doctors, engineers, academics, and scientists—the future of the country.

- The balance of trade has improved only because of a collapse of imports, while exports increased only marginally. In fact, there has been no real increase in



Twitter

The Troika-run Greek Health Minister Adonis Georgiadis is denying life-saving medical care to cancer sufferers, and others. Here Georgiadis (left) is confronted by an angry audience in London, March 16, 2014.

exports since the nominal increase, given in euros, represents the petroleum products from the country's refineries. There was no increase in the volume of petroleum exports, but only an increase in price. In point of fact, exports to the EU continue to decline. In the 1990s, 61% of Greek exports went to the EU; in 2012, the figure was 29.8%. The entire economy has shrunk by more than 25%, the official figure.

- There is virtually no liquidity in the country. Despite that fact that banks get liquidity from the ECB for virtually nothing, retail interest rates are a usurious 8.3%, the highest since Greece joined the Eurozone.
- Greek banks are loaded with non-performing debts, while companies, including those in the tourist industry are being starved of credit.

The EU Flu Massacre of 2014

Mass murder is occurring in Greece as you reads these words. It is being done very efficiently by taking down the health system, on orders of the Troika.

Use of the term "mass murder" is not rhetorical, as the following examples show.

Look at the death toll during this year's flu season. As of this writing, at least 110 people have died of influenza just in the last several weeks. This is not only the highest rate in Europe, but the second-highest absolute number. The Athens Medical Association (ISA) revealed on March 26 that by contrast, 155 people had

died of flu in Spain, whose population is 46 million, four times that of Greece's 11 million. In France, which has a population six times larger, 52 people died from the virus; while in Romania, which has twice as many citizens as Greece, and is officially the poorest country in the EU, just four people succumbed to the flu. There were also only four deaths in Sweden, which has a comparable population to Greece. Britain reported no deaths from the virus.

Under "normal" conditions, Greece would have had perhaps 25 flu deaths. The balance of 85 deaths must be viewed as murder, since it is admitted by the government that it did not purchase enough flu vaccine, and did not conduct an annual flu-vaccination campaign for the most vulnerable, a fact that the ISA rightfully termed "criminal."

In a statement, the Medical Association charged that the cause of the flu deaths was the lack of vaccinations, and noted that pharmacists had reported a shortage of flu jabs and doses supplied to health centers of the state insurance fund, EOPYY.

"It is tragic and criminal that people are dying for a vaccine that costs EU6 each," the statement said.

EU Promotes Fascists

It is not surprising that the Greek Health Minister, Adonis Georgiadis, is a well-known ideological fascist who was recruited into the ruling New Democracy Party from the right-wing Laos party. He is a publisher and book dealer. Among his favorite authors, whose books he sells, is Konstaninos Plevris, the number-one fascist ideologue in Greece. His son, Thanos Plevris, is also in the ruling party, and serves as legal advisor to the Health Minister.

Georgiadis, under orders of his Troika masters, is implementing a policy that kills.

The British medical journal, *Lancet* has published a study in which it reports that the Greek government and the Troika are in a state of "denial" over the catastrophic consequences of their policy.

It's worse than "denial"—it is intentional: Georgiadis, asked by the *Washington Post* about the fact that the massive cuts in health care left an alarming number of cancer sufferers with having to pay for their own medications and even surgeries which they cannot afford,



PressTV videograb

Medical workers from social clinics and the main state hospital in Athens protest against cuts to health care, Jan. 31, 2014. Eight thousand doctors have been cut from the public health system.

replied that, while there is a special fund (only EU17 million!) for emergency aid for those without insurance, “Illnesses like cancer are not considered urgent, unless you are in the final stages.”

While the exact number of deaths from cancer is not available, it is widely known that hundreds, if not thousands, of cancer patients have no access to medical insurance. And, it is not just cancers; the same conditions apply to those suffering from most serious diseases, while the severely handicapped have seen their benefits cut dramatically.

Unprecedented death rates have been accomplished, according to *Lancet*, through savage cuts in funding of health care. The report showed how uninsured cancer patients are left to pay for their own life-saving treatment as a result of losing their social security coverage due to long-term unemployment. It reports that the health-care budget has been cut by 25% since 2009, and the number of uninsured has increased from 500,000 in 2008, to at least 2.3 million today. Greece now spends less on health care than any of the pre-2004 EU members. For example, the public hospital budget was cut by 26% between 2009 and 2011; in the last two years it has been cut even more. The budget for medicines was slashed from EU4.7 billion in 2010, to EU2.88 billion in 2012, and then to EU2 billion for

2014. Doctors at the country’s hospitals have not been paid for six months, prompting strikes throughout the hospital system.

Mental-health care has been savagely cut. Funding for the sector was cut 20% between 2010 and 2011, and by a further 55% between 2011 and 2012. Cases of depression have risen by 250%; suicides, by 45% between 2007 and 2011. Cuts in mosquito spraying have led to the resurgence of malaria, which was virtually wiped out 40 years ago.

Thousands of pregnant women no longer have access to health care. The result is infanticide by decree of the Troika: Poor nutrition and lack of medical check-ups have contributed to infant deaths, which had previously been falling, but jumped by more than 43% between 2008 and 2010, as families cut off from state health care were unable to afford private treatment. There has been a 21% rise in still-births, according to figures from the Greek National School of Public Health.

Under the guidelines established by the Troika, Georgiadis has shut down major sections of the national health-insurance program. Under the previous program, general practitioners worked for the national health insurance company, while maintaining their private practice. This gave the patient the choice of keep-

ing the same primary doctor, which is the only way a competent general health system works. This system was closed down, essentially overnight, and all 8,000 doctors dismissed. The new system involves general clinics where doctors are hired on contract for as little as one month. Its implementation has been so slow that most of the country is left without access to general health care.

Not surprisingly, Georgiadis has become a hated figure in Greece, and enters public places with caution. His reputation followed him recently to a public event in which he was the keynote speaker at Imperial College in London, where Greek students and academic staff had made it clear before the Minister's appearance that they opposed his invitation to speak there.

"The Minister has repeatedly bullied doctors and medical staff on national television, putting the blame on them for the existing problems, while advertising the need for budget cuts," they said in a statement. They added, "The rise of Mr. Georgiadis in Greek politics is a symptom of the authoritarian, far-right turn of the Greek government."

The EU's Lawlessness

While the European Union ideologues never stop criticizing countries for not failing to adhere to the "rule of law," the reality is that the EU itself is the biggest violator of the law, even its own treaties.

Jorgo Chatzimarkakis, Member of the European Parliament representing the Free Democratic Party of Germany, but of Greek descent, charged that the cuts in the Greek health-care system have set the country back decades.

Speaking at the European Parliament in Brussels on March 5, Chatzimarkakis charged that what the EU allows the Troika to do in Greece goes against fundamental human rights.

Slamming German Chancellor Angela Merkel and European Commission President José Manuel Barroso, Chatzimarkakis said, "There are a lot of legal studies that tell us that the legal security of human rights, basic civil rights, are not given anymore. Mrs Merkel and Mr Barroso present to us another Greece, and not the real Greece."


The United People's Front (EPAM), a new Greek political party calling for Greece to drop the euro and return to the drachma, detailed in its election program for the European Parliamentary elections how the Troika's policies violate EU treaties. Among a long

list of violations they include the following:

- Treaty on European Union: Article 2 on human dignity, freedom, democracy and human rights; Article 3 on peace and well-being; Articles 145-150 on employment and 151-166 on social policy.

- The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU: Article 1 on human dignity; Article 14 on the right to education; Article 17 on the right to property (people have had their property confiscated because they were unable to pay the dramatically higher taxes, especially the property tax); Article 26 on the rights of the elderly; Article 28 on the integrity of persons with disabilities; Article 34 on the right to social security and social assistance. And of course, Article 35 on the right to health care.

In addition to EPAM, the other opposition parties—Syriza, Independent Greeks, Drachma 5, and Plan B—are demanding the suspension of the Troika's Memorandum, cancellation of the majority of the debt, a Glass-Steagall banking reform, and other emergency measures to deal with the humanitarian catastrophe. In addition, EPAM, Drachma 5, and Plan B are calling for returning to the drachma.



THE EURASIAN LAND-BRIDGE
‘The New Silk Road’—Locomotive For Worldwide Economic Development
An EIR Special Report

(1997) 260 pages \$100
(EIR 96-007)

Available from
EIR News Service
P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Phone: 1-800-278-3135 or www.larouchepub.com

'Bail-In Bonds' Signal Coming Financial Crash

by Paul Gallagher

April 1—The simultaneous appearance in Europe and Japan of “bail-in bonds” issued by large universal banks, indicates that the huge non-performing holes in the megabanks’ asset books, particularly those in Europe, are soon to be exposed, and those banks are facing a new financial crash. “Bail-in bonds” are more or less openly worthless securities, made to absorb large losses when the toxic assets filling the biggest banks’ books are revealed again, as they were in 2007-08. Banks have started issuing these bail-in bonds largely to one another, and to shadow banks.

The *Financial Times* reported March 31 that Mizuho Bank in Tokyo will kick off “bail-in bonds” in Japan on April 3, with an issue “worth” \$1.5 billion. These are essentially deep subprime bank bonds; they bear high interest (nearly 5% in the Mizuho Bank case); and they are officially, instantly, permanently worth zero if the bank is even ordered to recapitalize, let alone threatened with insolvency.

The issuance of such “bail-in bonds” by big banks in Europe has been occurring since early March; even known-bankrupt behemoths like the Monte dei Paschi di Siena bank in Italy—the oldest surviving bank in the world, and Italy’s third largest, are selling “bail-in bonds.” Their qualification as so-called Tier II bank capital is part of the requirements of the European Commission’s mandatory bank bail-in regime announced March 20 as the so-called Single Resolution Mechanism (SRM) of a European Banking Union. That long title is intended to establish that, as of 2016, “bail-in” will be the only procedure permitted for resolving “systemically important” megabanks which are insolvent.

The “investors” who are buying these bail-in bonds, which are clearly made for speculative trading, securitization, and derivatization, will be other banks and shadow banks, hot money looking for high yield before the crash. Ironically, the “credit” to buy them is right

now fleeing out of the “emerging markets’ carry trades,” which were created by Federal Reserve and other central banks’ quantitative easing bailouts, and which are now sinking as the Fed tries to “exit” from that hyperinflationary policy. Some of the hot money now running around from losses in the China, Brazil, et al. carry trades is now flowing into the most collapsed economies of Europe and buying such instruments as banks’ bail-in bonds.

'Black Boxes' of Losses To Open

The megabanks’ assets books in the trans-Atlantic area alone are bloated to roughly \$30 trillion for the dozen biggest banks each in the United States and Europe, having been pumped up by \$8 trillion through the “quantitative easing” bailout policies of the U.S. Federal Reserve, Bank of England, and Bank of Japan. In Europe alone, it is estimated that at least \$2-2.5 trillion represents non-performing and largely worthless assets.

The policy of “bail-in” for large banks threatened with insolvency, has been put in place by the European Commission and its European Banking Union so that the balance sheets of these banks can finally be wrung out, with the banks’ creditors, depositors, and taxpayers paying for the huge losses. This will be done through an “asset quality analysis” of the 130 largest European banks, conducted by the European Central Bank (ECB) from now until November, in which it is expected by the SRM’s regulators that 25-30 of these banks will be found insolvent, sold off, or shut down.

Wolf Richter, a bank analyst and former regulator writing Investing.com, described the process as follows:

“European banks, like all banks, have long been hermetically sealed black boxes.... The only thing known about the holes in the balance sheets of these

black boxes, left behind by assets that have quietly decomposed, is that they're deep. But no one knows how deep. And no one is allowed to know—not until Eurocrats decide who is going to pay for bailing out these banks.”

Richter quotes ECB head Mario Draghi about the asset quality reviews, or bank stress tests:

“The effectiveness of this exercise will depend on the availability of necessary arrangements for recapitalizing banks—including through the provision of a public backstop.... These arrangements must be in place before we conclude our assessment.”

“These arrangements” are the bank bail-in process just “legislated” by the European Union Finance Ministers and the European Commission, the March 20, 2014 Single Resolution Mechanism noted above. But Draghi’s reference to “provision of a public backstop” gives away the fact that bail-in is always combined with taxpayer bailout—even though it is touted by promoters of the Dodd-Frank Act and the like as meaning “the end of taxpayer bailouts of banks.”

For example, in the infamous Cyprus case of bail-in, called “the template” by the head of the European Finance Ministers Council, 41% of all depositors’ money in Cyprus’s two biggest banks was confiscated, including business payrolls and operating accounts, and the island’s economy was crushed by austerity. But at the same time, one of those two banks was bailed out by the European Stability Fund with EU9 billion (about \$12 billion) from European taxpayers, so that the Bank of Cyprus could repay its loan from the European Central Bank.

As economist Ellen Brown of the Public Banking Institute wrote in a March 29 analysis of the oncoming bail-in crash titled “Banking Union Time Bomb”:

“Only after the taxpayers—and the depositors—are stuck with the tab, will the curtain be lifted and the crippling insolvency of the banks be revealed. Predictably, panic will then set in, credit will freeze, and the banks will collapse, leaving the unsuspecting public to foot the bill.”

Glass-Steagall or Chaos

A Bank of England policy document of September 2012 which was instrumental in the adoption of the European bail-in, made clear that its origin is the refusal to break these big banks up before they crash, by implementing Glass-Steagall, “in particular for G-SIFIs

[global systemically important financial institutions—ed.] whose operations are too large, complex, or interconnected to split up without threatening the critical services that the bank provides the bail-in power may be used to ensure creditors are exposed to losses without disrupting critical functions.” The depositors are sacrificed to preserve the megabank.

In the deadly austerity of the “bank bail-in” process now adopted for Europe’s 130 biggest banks, the first step after a bank’s collapsing assets have wiped out part, or all of its capital, and fallen below its liabilities, is for a national government to attempt to bail the bank out. But bailouts and austerity have largely exhausted the national governments’ capacity for more national bailouts. And the EU bail-out funds now supposedly will not contribute until *after* bank bondholders and depositors have been confiscated. Thus to step two: The bank defaults on its unsecured creditors, “bailing them in” by issuing them probably worthless bank stock shares in place of their defaulted bonds. “Bail-in bonds” go here.

Step two might improve the megabank’s balance sheet, and even pretend to increase its “capital,” were it not for the fact that these banks’ “assets” are dominated by financial derivatives, so-called “qualified financial contracts” in huge volumes, whose counterparties—other banks and shadow banks—can seize collateral from the bank. The City of Detroit, Mich., as it was pushed into bankruptcy, has been made a glaring example of this brutal looting by derivatives counterparties. In the face of this tidal wave washing over capital and “assets,” it’s on to the next stage: bailing in uninsured deposits. These are wiped off your deposit book and, again, replaced with dubious bank stock. Liabilities of a European megabank held in the United States or in Asia, for example, are also supposed to be taken and “bailed in.” And European bail-out funds, taxpayers funds, are now used as well, in an “EU Bank Resolution Fund” and other vehicles.

But the sheer mass of these derivatives contracts, each taking its pound of flesh, still wipes out the new “capital” as fast as bail-in creates it. The bail-in goes on to stage four: bailing in insured deposits, secured creditors, etc.

Nor should this bail-in process wait until the bank crash actually begins, when it can help to start the crash instead. Thus, “bail-in bonds.”

Obama Faces Senate Impeachment Showdown

by Jeffrey Steinberg

March 30—Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.), who chairs the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, has announced that she will be holding a committee vote on April 3, to approve the draft of a declassified 400-page summary of the committee’s investigation into torture and other prisoner abuses in the CIA’s Bush-Cheney era “renditions” program. The committee is still in the process of completing a comprehensive 6,300-page report to the Congress and the President on the subject.

The vote, along with a full Senate vote endorsing the declassified report, is expected to pass, thereby creating a dilemma for President Obama. He is both siding with Dick Cheney in moving to block the investigation by invoking Executive privilege to prevent the release of 9,000 Bush-Cheney-era White House documents on the torture- rendition program, and claiming that he fully supports its release. Now, he is faced with the decision to allow the public version of the report to be released, untouched, or to follow in the Bush-Cheney footsteps, and redact damaging sections of the document.

Obama, along with President George W. Bush, is in hot water over the suppression of a vital 28-page chapter from the Joint Congressional Inquiry into the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks on the Pentagon and World Trade Center, to cover up the Saudi monarchy’s direct hand in funding and logistically supporting the terror attack.

Last month, three Members of Congress—Walter Jones (R-N.C.), Stephen Lynch (D-Mass.), and Thomas Massie (R-Ky.)—held a press conference with repre-

sentatives of the 9/11 Families to demand that President Obama end the coverup of the 28 pages, by releasing them in full to the public. Jones and Lynch have introduced House Resolution 428 demanding the declassification and urging all Members of Congress to read the classified 28-page chapter.

Feinstein Throws Down the Gauntlet

Senator Feinstein’s confrontation with President Obama and his trusted CIA Director John Brennan erupted into a public brawl earlier this month, when Feinstein took to the Senate floor to denounce the Executive branch coverup of the torture program, including CIA intimidation of committee staff, and a cyber attack on the committee’s classified website to steal documents that the CIA itself had passed to the committee in response to the torture probe.¹ The key document, referred to as the “Panetta Report,” was written before Brennan was named CIA Director, and substantiated many of the committee’s findings about unconstitutional and illegal activities by the Bush-Cheney Administration and the intelligence community.

Two issues were underlying the revolt by these Senate Democrats against Obama. First, there is growing fear that Obama’s provocations against Russia can lead to general war, even to thermonuclear war. While

1. See “Senate-CIA Fight: A Prelude to Impeachment of Obama?”, *EIR*, March 21, 2014.



NATO

President Obama, in Brussels March 26, where he effectively declared a New Cold War against Russia.

the Congress has gone along with Obama's heated rhetoric and British-ordered threats, there is genuine worry that the world could be on the brink of general war. Second, the Senate Democrats are furious that President Obama has continued to rule by Executive order and regulations, bypassing Congress altogether on some vital issues where Congress has exclusive Constitutional authority.

Feinstein was hardly looking for a fight with a Democratic President, but every attempt that she had made to settle the issue through private channels to the White House was rebuffed. The breaking point came when Obama refused to get on the phone with her after her Senate floor speech, according to senior Congressional sources. And when Obama endorsed a Justice Department investigation into the Senate Intelligence Committee on the basis of complaints from Brennan, that kicked the affair into a public confrontation.

The behavior of President Obama has even gone beyond that of Dick Cheney and George W. Bush in

creating an imperial Presidency. Widely read columnist Paul Craig Roberts, a former Reagan Administration official, has been writing numerous columns warning both of Obama's ripping up of the Constitution, and his flight forward to nuclear war. In his latest column, Roberts links the President's provocations against Russian President Vladimir Putin, with Obama's drive for dictatorship at home.

Roberts' Indictment of Obama

Citing Obama's just-concluded visit to Europe, where he delivered a speech in Brussels effectively declaring a new Cold War, Roberts writes:

"Obama, whose government overthrew the democratically elected government in Ukraine and appointed a stooge government that has threatened the Russian provinces of Ukraine, falsely accuses Putin of invading and annexing Crimea.

"Obama, or his handlers and programers, are relying on the total historical ignorance of Western peoples. The ignorance and gullibility of Western peoples allows the American neoconservatives to fashion news that controls their minds."

Roberts then takes up Obama's visit to Europe, where he escalated the war of words against Russia and Putin:

"Obama's speech on March 26 at the Palace of Fine Arts in Brussels is surreal. It is beyond hypocrisy. Obama says that Western ideals are challenged by self-determination in Crimea. Russia, Obama says, must be punished by the West for permitting Crimeans to exercise self-determination. The return of a Russian province on its own volition to its mother country where it existed for 200 years is presented by Obama as a dictatorial, anti-democratic act of tyranny.

"Here was Obama, whose government has just overthrown the elected, democratic government of Ukraine and substituted stooges chosen by Washington in the place of the elected government, speaking of the hallowed ideal that people in nations can make their own decisions about their future. That is exactly what Crimea did, and that is exactly what the U.S. coup in Kiev contravened. In the twisted mind of Obama, self-determination consists of governments imposed by Washington.

"Here was Obama, who has shredded the U.S. Constitution, speaking of individual rights and rule of law. Where is this rule of law? It is certainly not in Kiev where an elected government was overthrown with force. It is certainly not in the United States where the

executive branch has spent the entirety of the new 21st century establishing government above the law. Habeas corpus, due process, the right to open trials and determination of guilt by independent jurors prior to imprisonment and execution, the right to privacy have all been overturned by the Bush/Obama regimes. Torture is against U.S. and international law; yet Washington set up torture prisons all over the globe.”

A Government Based on Lies

Roberts’ trenchant observations continue:

“How is it possible that the representative of the war criminal U.S. government can stand before an European audience and speak of rule of law, individual rights, human dignity, self-determination, freedom, without the audience breaking out in laughter?”

“Washington is the government that invaded and destroyed Afghanistan and Iraq on the basis of lies. Washington is the government that financed and organized the overthrow of the Libyan and Honduran governments and that is currently attempting to do the same thing to Syria and Venezuela. Washington is the government that attacks with drones and bombs populations in the sovereign countries of Pakistan and Yemen. Washington is the government that has troops all over Africa. Washington is the government that has surrounded Russia, China, and Iran with military bases. It is this warmongering collection of Washington war criminals that now asserts that it is standing up for international ideals against Russia.

“No one applauded Obama’s non-sensical speech. But for Europe to accept such blatant lies from a liar without protest empowers the momentum toward war that Washington is pushing.”

Roberts then warns that the actions proposed by Obama in Brussels could trigger a new war:

“Obama doesn’t say what effect the U.S./NATO military buildup and numerous war games on Russia’s border will have on Russia. Will the Russian government conclude that Russia is about to be attacked and strike first? The reckless carelessness of Obama is the way wars start.”

He concludes with this pointed question:

“Why are people so blind that they do not see Obama driving the world to its final war?”

Roberts’ charges against Obama echo Lyndon LaRouche’s documentation of the evidence for Obama’s impeachment, a prospect that has taken on new life with the open revolt of Senate Democrats against the Presi-

dent. LaRouche has called on Congress to file articles of impeachment as the only certain way to avoid a plunge into a thermonuclear war of extinction. He has nailed Obama as a British stooge who is acting on behalf of an empire which has concluded that war is the only way out of the imminent collapse of its financial system.

Two Congressional Voices Against War

March 31—By the end of the Congressional session last week, both Houses of Congress had “debated” and passed bills approving Barack Obama’s war-confrontation policy against Russia. The virtual unanimity of support for the Administration’s lies about Russian aggression qualified both Houses to be dubbed Houses of Prostitution, not government.

The Senate passed its bill by voice vote, and the House also passed it, overwhelmingly. However, unlike the March 6 vote of condemnation of Ukraine, 19 Congressmen (two Democrats) gave “no” votes, and 13 abstained.

The floor debate, both in the bill’s markup and in the final debate, was shockingly one-sided, in favor of the war sentiment. Below, we highlight two notable exceptions, Rep. Alan Grayson (D-Fla.), during the March 25 markup in the Foreign Affairs Committee, and Rep. Dana Rohrabacher (R-Calif.) during the House floor debate March 27.

Grayson

The following excerpt was published by theblaze.com:

“You may say that [Yanukovych] was thrown out of office for good reason. There are allegations against him that he was corrupt; there are allegations against him that he used the military against his own people to stay in power. But the fact is that from the perspec-



Creative Commons/House Committee on Education and the Workforce

Florida Rep. Alan Grayson

tive of the Crimeans, *their leader, the one* that they placed in charge of their country, was thrown out of power. So it should come as no surprise—as Secretary Kerry recognized—that the Crimeans had had enough, and they wanted to leave this artificial entity called the Ukraine.

“Now, in fact, the Russians *did assist*, they assisted by disarming the local Ukrainian army and navy. That’s what they did. They did it virtually bloodlessly. They did that so that the Ukrainian army and navy could not interfere with the referendum that was held. That is the fact of the matter.

“Why are we pretending otherwise? Why are we speaking about *naked aggression*? Why are we speaking about stealing Crimea, why are we speaking about bullying, or the new Soviet Union, or thuggery, or audacious power-grabbing, or *bully-bear* Putin, or Cold War II? I’m surprised [Rep.] Judge Poe [R-Tex.] didn’t tell us that an *Iron Curtain* has descended over Sevastapol.

“This is not some new Cold War that’s occurring. In fact, it’s quite the contrary. We should be *pleased* to see; pleased to see, when a virtually bloodless transfer of power establishes self-determination for two million people somewhere in the world—anywhere in world. And in fact, what we’re seeing here instead, is the vilification of Putin; the vilification of Yanukovych; the vilification of *anybody* that we try to identify as our enemy. Before that it was Saddam Hussein; since then it’s Assad. This does not help. The basic principle here is self-determination. That’s what’s happened in Crimea, and it’s not for us to determine otherwise” (emphases in original).

Rohrabacher

Rohrabacher is the head of the House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Europe, Eurasia, and Emerging Threats.

“Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this legislation, and I realize that I am a lone voice—or almost a lone voice—in this discussion today. I see this legislation as a bipartisan green light to reigniting the cold war. Unfortunately, many of my friends and colleagues, both colleagues today and my friends from the time when I spent in the Reagan White House, 7 years, many of these people feel that the cold war is not over, that it never did end. They are more comfortable with treating Russia as if it were still under Communist rule.

“Well, Putin is not a Communist leader. Putin is a nationalist who loves his country and he is looking out for the national interests of his country. For us to try to demonize him and to try to suggest that he is doing this as he did in the cold war and he is still KGB, et cetera, is not doing the cause of peace any good.

“This is what started this whole slide in the wrong direction toward the type of confrontation we are having today. In Ukraine, a democratically elected President was removed from power, and that was a democratically elected President who is more inclined towards better relations with Russia. He was removed from power. And then the Russian Government, under Mr. Putin, decided to ensure the people of Crimea the right to self-determination. Because even Secretary of State Kerry has verified and testified before our committee that the people of Crimea obviously want to be part of Russia; this is not a power grab. This is defending their right to self determination, and certainly the people of Crimea have the right to make that determination just as the people of Kosovo had their right to leave Serbia behind.

“Our military action there to try to protect the right of self determination of the Kosovars, it cost many, many lives. This Russian military move, with all this power grab, et cetera, has resulted in the loss of one life. That is in stark contrast to when we bombed Belgrade, we bombed Serbia.

“No, we should not permit ourselves to reignite a cold war. We should make sure that we realize that the actions we are taking here suggesting the United States must rush in and be the arbiter in every one of these type of conflicts is always stretching our budget. But in this particular bill, we are going to put our name on a loan of \$800 billion to a country that we are going have to borrow the money from China to get.

“The United States can no longer afford to right every wrong in the world and be the arbiter. In this case we would be arbitrating in the wrong direction.”



Creative Commons/Lingjing Bao
California Rep. Dana Rohrabacher

'New Paradigm' Conference in Mexico Features LaRouche Senate Candidate

Special to EIR

March 31—The principal auditorium of the Mexican Chamber of Deputies was the scene of a conference on March 26, dedicated to developing a “New Paradigm and Cultural Shift for Mankind.” The conference was sponsored by the Mocila, the LaRouche Citizens Movement, and brought together some 130 people from a broad range of fields and civic institutions, to discuss solutions to the leading crises of mankind today.

The second panel of the all-day conference, entitled “NAWAPA-PLHINO: Water, Food, and Fusion Energy,” featured U.S. candidate for the Democratic Party nomination for Senate from Texas, Kesha Rogers, who pledged her support for the fight to deal effectively with the drought, which is devastating agriculture on both sides of the border. The Mocila has led a fight in Mexico for the major water-transfer projects that would link up with the proposed North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), and finally deal with the long-term scarcity and depletion of water resources.

The resolution adopted at the conclusion of the conference read, in part:

“We are here, meeting in the Chamber of Deputies, citizens from different research, academic, agricultural, institutional and civic layers, committed to that which is most crucial for the nation, to uphold a new economic and cultural paradigm in which the ultimate aim of a national development program is the general welfare of the people.

“We know very well that this development strategy, which envisions building the great water and energy infrastructure projects discussed in this forum, such as the Northwest Hydraulics Plan (PLHINO) and the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) and a nuclear development plan, under a ‘Hamiltonian’ system of national credit and a new humanist culture, will be the sure path to overcome, as a nation, in cooperation with other sovereign nations, the current economic and existential crisis which we as humanity suffer today, and which has us at the brink of a thermonuclear war. . . .”

A Full-Day Affair

The day-long conference began with a presentation on the strategic danger, given by Mexican-American Ga-

briela Carr. Then there were three panels: “The Consequences of the Green Agenda in Government Policies”; “NAWAPA-PLHINO: Water, Food, and Fusion Energy”; and “Toward a New Economic and Cultural Paradigm: The Role of the Creative Citizen.” The event ended with a concert in which the Mocila members performed Mozart’s “Ave verum corpus,” two chorales from Bach’s “Jesu, meine freude,” Giuseppe Verdi’s “Va, pensiero” chorus from his opera, “Nabucco,” and several opera arias, sung by Angélica Ramírez and Abraham Ortega.

Mocila leaders provided conceptual shape to the forum, presenting LaRouche’s ideas—ranging from NAWAPA, to nuclear fission and fusion, and the role of Classical music—all in the context of “no limits to growth.”

The event also featured several specialists, including biologist Omar Pensado, who gave a very polemical history of how “sustainability” is the modern name for eugenics, and the history of his political fight against the British apparatus which captured the state of Veracruz (where he teaches) as its first eco-fascist experiment, and then captured the government of Mexico. A researcher from the National Polytechnic Institute, Dr. Beatriz Xoconostle, spoke of the importance of biotechnology for increasing production and fighting drought. Dr. Xoconostle has been harassed in her institution by promoters of the green agenda and the genocidal policy of “subsistence agriculture.”

Héctor Salazar, president of the National Corn Producers Federation, presented a vision of what former Mexican President José López Portillo’s Mexican Food System (SAM) was: a food-production program under which Mexico, for the first time, stopped importing food, and achieved food self-sufficiency of greater than 80%. Salazar worked in the SAM in the southeast, Mexico’s poorest region, and among his plans was the promotion of public works such as the PLHIGON (Northern Gulf Hydraulic Plan), under the motto of “not one drop of freshwater into the sea!”

Respected engineer Manuel Frías, an expert in water management gave an in-depth presentation on Mexico’s necessary water project, the PLHINO.

Kesha Rogers Addresses Mexican Conference

March 27—*Kesha Rogers' videotaped message, dubbed into Spanish, was presented at a conference "Towards a New Paradigm for Humanity," in the Mexican Congress building on March 26, 2014, organized by the Mocila (LaRouche Citizens Movement). It was recorded on March 17.*

Hola, buenas tardes. My name is Kesha Rogers, and I am greeting you today from the state of Texas. I'm a collaborator with economist and statesman Lyndon LaRouche for the past 10 years. I am now a candidate for the Democratic Party run-off election in Texas, for the U.S. Senate, and I am very happy that you have asked me to address this very important conference, on the theme of "Infrastructure Platforms, a New Paradigm and Cultural Shift for Mankind."

And I think that as we now look at the crisis confronting mankind, the threat of thermonuclear war devastating the planet, of destruction of sovereign nation-states, and of a Presidency in the United States that is being run by the continuation of an imperial policy of globalization, of destroying the commitment toward the "common aims of mankind," it is this conference and what it represents, that is going to signify a new direction for mankind. It also signifies a new direction for relationships between the United States and Mexico, as we now face, in the state of Texas and throughout the entire West, from the Mississippi westward, the worst economic breakdown collapse, the worst water crisis and drought, seen in some time now.

It is very important that you are holding this conference, because it will be the development of the necessary projects to bring about a new direction for a scientific commitment to dealing with these growing and dire crises: a shortage of food crisis, a shortage of water crisis; and organizing around how we bring these two nations together once again under the intention of what was once understood by President John F. Kennedy and President Franklin Roosevelt.

Throughout the course of my campaign, I have made it a commitment to revive and restore the legacy in the Democratic Party of the state of Texas, of the

commitment that was once understood by the great projects and the great development toward a mission-orientation by President John F. Kennedy and President Franklin Roosevelt. We have seen through the continued degenerative policies coming from the Bush Administration and continuing through the current Administration of President Obama, the drive toward continued bail-out of the Wall Street financial institutions, a continued bail-in policy, a commitment toward the derivatives policies of globalization, free trade, NAFTA/CAFTA policies, that are destroying nation-states.

We must put an end to this destructive policy now. We must start, as I have been calling for in the United States, with the reinstatement of the original Franklin Roosevelt Glass-Steagall banking reorganization. By putting forth the Glass-Steagall banking reorganization in the United States, and bringing about, as a result of the reinstatement of that policy, a direction toward the development of an intended Hamiltonian credit system, which was the policy which is outlined in our U.S. Constitution, and the development of our United States republic, we can actually bring about a new commitment toward the development of sovereign nation-states, which would allow us to embrace once again, the conception of the Good Neighbor policy, as President Franklin Roosevelt had intended.

End 'No-Good' Policies

Now, what we're seeing today, as a result of the policies coming out of the current Administration of President Barack Obama in the United States, the commitment there is to continued starvation of the population, to not dealing with the drought and water crisis that is devastating all of the Southwestern United States, going into Mexico, the policy which has said that it's more important for us to bail out Wall Street, and continue insane, no-good policies of hydraulic fracturing, or "fracking," which is taking away more of the already depleted water supply.

What we are doing to combat this in the United States, is the continuation of my campaign with the commitment toward the development of the North American Water and Power Alliance [NAWAPA], the collaboration of my colleague in California, who is running for Congress in San Francisco, Michael Steger. A joint collaborative campaign to bring about the necessary initiation of programs, great projects such as North American Water and Power Alliance immediately, is on



Rogers campaign

Kesha Rogers took her campaign to Mexico, identifying the common aims of the American Southwest and the Mexican nation. Here, the candidate addresses the King Street Patriots group in Texas, March 24.

our agenda, for the organization of the commitment toward development in the United States, with the necessary cooperation with Mexico.

The development of the North American Water and Power Alliance, at a time when you have a drought devastating the states of Texas and California, is absolutely critical. We are now seeing in the state of Texas, to give you an example, the loss of our cattle to levels lower than that of 1951; we're losing hundreds of thousands of head of cattle, by the day. We are seeing the continuation of food going into the gas tanks for biofuel, an absolutely insane policy. That is a policy that is a commitment of an imperial British Empire, of genocide, and is the green environmentalism which must be stopped now.

It is the policy coming from this administration and its imperial backers that want to remove the commitment toward feeding our population, developing the necessary infrastructure, and doing what Lyndon LaRouche has been dedicated to for the past several decades now—to bring about the commitment toward great projects in water development, in rail development, massive scientific development toward the unleashing of all of the scientific capabilities of our space program. Which means that we need now, to commit ourselves to a commitment for a fusion economy enhanced by the mining of helium-3, and we need to commit ourselves to doing this through the advance-

ments of Moon industrialization, which will allow us to address the concerns now confronting both your nation and our nation here in the United States, and to work in cooperation on being able to solve those problems.

So once again, as you listen to the presentations that you will hear today, in concerns with the development of great projects, such as the North American Water and Power Alliance program, which was actually defended and supported by Robert Kennedy and President John F. Kennedy, who had a certain vision, in terms of his understanding of the

idea that no drop of water should ever go wasted or unused.

NAWAPA-PLHINO-PLHIGON

And now, as we commit ourselves to carrying on that vision, which was so defined by President John F. Kennedy, I think that we can look at the great potential that NAWAPA represents, in bringing water from Alaska and Canada, down to the interior of the United States, and connecting with programs in Mexico, such as the PLHINO/PLHIGON [Northwest Hydraulic Plan/Northern Gulf Hydraulic Plan] projects which you will hear about today.

And if we do our job here in the United States, which we are fully committed to, to ending this green environmentalist policy of genocide, ending the policy of fracking, of biofuels, and bringing about the greatest breakthrough in scientific development for the cooperation between our two nations, the United States and Mexico, along with other nations, joining in international cooperation for the development of mankind, I think that we will be on the right track toward progress for mankind, the right track toward creating of a new paradigm shift, that will bring about what our great republic had intended.

I thank you very much for allowing me to address your conference, and I look forward to working with you.

Is the U.S. Preparing To Start A Nuclear War with Russia?

by Carl Osgood

March 28—Is the U.S. preparing for theater nuclear war against Russia in Europe? After that question was raised by Dr. Konstantin Sivkov, a top-level Russian strategist, in a March 18 article entitled “West Prepares for War with Russia,” an array of sources—ranging from spokesmen for the Administration to top military analysts, indicate that the measures which Dr. Sivkov described, indeed point to preparations for just such an act of insanity.

“What this reflects is British extremism, and Obama insanity,” Lyndon LaRouche said on March 22, after being briefed on the Sivkov article. “So, he’s now behaving in a way which we would have to consider clinically insane. In other words, he’s Hitler in the bunker. . . . But the author of this whole thing is the British monarchy—the British empire. And that’s the capability. The essential one is a U.S. entry as an ally of the British empire. That’s how it would be conducted. That’s actually how you would run a global program.

“If Obama tries to launch a World War III, the only counterstrike that’s effective is an obliteration of the British monarchy circles and their accomplices. That would be a deterrent. You’ve got to think of a psychological deterrent. The greatest deterrent is to have the British imperial system believe that *it* is a target of extermination, rather than any other part of the world.”

Modernization of the B61 Bomb

Sivkov, president of the Academy for Geopolitical Studies, founded by former senior Russian Defense Ministry official Gen. Leonid Ivashov (ret.), points to the modernization of the B61 tactical nuclear bomb and plans to integrate it into the F-16 and Tornado aircraft of five NATO countries—Belgium, The Netherlands, Turkey, Germany, and Italy—as well as U.S. forces stationed in Europe, a task to be completed by about 2019, ahead of the 2020 delivery date for the first B61-12s. Around the end of the decade, the F-16s are to be re-

placed by F-35 Joint Strike Fighters, which will also be capable of delivering the enhanced B61 bomb. Germany is not buying the F-35, but instead, the Anglo-German Typhoon aircraft, which is not capable of carrying the B61, so what happens to the German nuclear mission after the Luftwaffe retires the Tornado in the mid-20s remains to be seen.

Sivkov is clearly drawing on two recent postings by Hans M. Kristensen, the author of the Federation of American Scientists Strategic Security Blog, although without attribution. In a Feb. 28 posting, Kristensen very strongly suggested that the B61-12 enhancement is a violation of the 2010 Nuclear Posture Review and of, if not the letter, at least the spirit of the Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty, because it essentially creates a new nuclear capability that doesn’t exist with the current versions of that bomb, but does it under the pretext of a life-extension program (albeit one that’s behind schedule and over budget).

Under the program, the upgraded bomb gets a new tail-kit assembly that substantially improves its accuracy, which Kristensen estimates to be a reduction from about 110-180 meters with unguided bombs, down to about 30 meters. The tail kit also gives the bomb the capability of gliding toward its target, something else that current non-guided versions of the bomb can’t do. Kristensen reports that the Nuclear Posture Review “explicitly promised that ‘Life Extension Programs will not support new military missions or provide for new military capability capabilities.’ But the guided tail kit is a new military capability and so is a different explosive yield.” About 200 out of 400-500 bombs to be produced are planned to be deployed to Europe.

Kristensen noted that NATO decided in 2012 “that the Alliance’s nuclear force posture currently meets the criteria for an effective deterrence and defense posture.” If so, he asks, “why enhance it with guided B61-12 nuclear bombs and F-35 stealth fighter-bomb-



EUCOM

NATO's Tornado and F-16 aircraft, shown here, are being modernized to carry the B61 tactical nuclear bomb, for deployment by five NATO member countries.

The Pentagon Responds

On March 21, *EIR* sent a query to the Pentagon regarding the Sivkov article, asking: “How does the DoD respond to the statements made, in the article by Dr. Sivkov, on the B61 modernization and, in general, on US nuclear modernization with respect to Russia’s nuclear deterrent, that, in fact, the US is developing a counterforce strategy to neutralize Russia’s nuclear deterrent?”

Pentagon spokeswoman Cynthia O. Smith responded to the query on March 26 with the

ers?” Indeed, why create this new capability when the publicly professed goal is to eliminate the need for nuclear weapons altogether, and why create it when it’s not even appropriate for the security needs of Europe today?

‘Direct Attack on Russia’

Sivkov asks the same questions that Kristensen did, but then he says what Kristensen won’t: that the failure of American strategy in Eurasia may lead to a more radical policy, “a direct attack on Russia.” Therefore, “In this context, increasing the potential of tactical nuclear weapons in Europe is perfectly understandable: it is about the attainment of superiority in these weapons over Russia.” If the U.S. succeeds in this goal, then an aggressive NATO war will become possible, and Russia’s ability to retaliate in kind will be limited by the risk of a U.S. strategic response.

“Presumably, the American leadership assumes that Russia is waging war against NATO in the European theater of war, and will not decide to use strategic nuclear weapons against the United States for fear of retaliation,” writes Sivkov. He adds that the U.S. decision to increase the capacity of tactical nuclear weapons in Europe is “a sign of the preparation of the United States for war against Russia.” Sivkov’s article is another indication of the close attention Russian military analysts, both behind the scenes, and in writings published by independent organizations, are paying to attempts to neutralize Russia’s nuclear deterrent.

following statement:

“The 2010 Nuclear Posture Review concluded that the United States will not develop new nuclear warheads; the US is pursuing warhead Life Extension Programs (LEPs) to ensure a safe, secure and effective nuclear stockpile without nuclear testing. The objective of the LEPs is to strengthen deterrence by ensuring effective means for holding targets at risk, not to support new military missions or provide new military capabilities. LEPs will not give the weapons new missions or capabilities. LEPs may change measurable performance/design characteristics in the process of replacing age-affected components. For example, the B61 LEP will allow more space to incorporate safety and surety measures in the warhead. The B61 LEP will also allow for increased aircraft survivability, and safer delivery profiles.”

In response to the claim that the U.S. is seeking to negate the Russian strategic deterrent, she said:

“As stated in the June 2013 Report to Congress on US Nuclear Employment Strategy, the United States seeks to maintain strategic stability with Russia. Consistent with the objective of maintaining an effective deterrent posture, the United States seeks to improve strategic stability by demonstrating that it is not our intent to negate Russia’s strategic nuclear deterrent, or to destabilize the strategic military relationship with Russia. Strategic stability would be strengthened through similar Russian steps toward the United States and U.S. Allies.”

In effect, the Pentagon affirms Sivkov’s point. It

argues that the changes in the performance of the weapon referenced in the statement—that it allows for increased aircraft survivability—as well as the improvement in accuracy and its low yield (adjustable from 0.3 to 50 KT, although Kristensen suggested on Feb. 28 that there might be a different yield), actually constitutes a new nuclear capability which blurs the distinction between nuclear and conventional weapons, and makes them more militarily “usable.”

Public testimony from a top-level U.S. military spokesman provides further disturbing confirmation: Gen. Robert Kehler, then Commander of the U.S. Strategic Command, in testimony to the House Strategic Forces Subcommittee during an Oct. 29, 2013 hearing on the B61 modernization. Democrat John Garamendi (Calif.) was questioning why the U.S. had to go with such an expensive program of \$10-12 billion rather than some cheaper alternative. One alternative that Garamendi thought worth exploring was the B83 bomb, which has another ten years to go, according to the witnesses, before it needs a life-extension program.

Kehler explained that “It’s about deterring. It’s about assuring our allies of our extended deterrence commitment to them. And from a military standpoint, it’s about being able to offer the president a series of options that include nuclear options in extreme circumstances as among those from what he can choose.” And the problem with the B83? The problem with the B83, Kehler said, is that “it has a very high yield and we’re trying to pursue weapons that actually are reducing in yield because we’re concerned about maintaining weapons that would have less collateral effect if the president ever had to use them. . . .”

After he caught himself, Kehler said that, in order for it to be useful as a deterrent, there has to be credibility that the weapon will be used. Kehler explained that the B83 “is not as flexible as the B61,” that is, “in terms of our ability to use various yields that will be matched to the targets.” The B83 also can’t be delivered by any aircraft other than the B-2 stealth bomber.

So, the Holy Grail of *usability* is what’s behind the modernization of the B61 into the B61-12 version. No wonder there are concerns that it blurs the distinction between conventional weapons and nuclear weapons.

Military Show Concerns

This is what worries saner elements among U.S. military circles. Retired Defense Intelligence Agency officer Col. W. Patrick Lang, whose blog “Sic Semper

Tyrannis” is widely read among both retired and currently serving military officers who represent an institutional factor in Washington, described the effort to make the B61 bomb “more usable,” as a “worrisome factor” in the confrontation with Russia, in a March 27 posting. “Nuclear weapons can not be used against another nuclear state without risking escalation to mutual annihilation and the use of such weapons against a non-nuclear state would simply be mass murder,” he wrote. “The only justification for the possession of these true Weapons of Mass Destruction is as a deterrent.”

In response to the Pentagon reply, Lyndon LaRouche noted that there is no dodging the fact that the British Empire is pushing a policy of nuclear showdown with Russia and China in response to the imminent death of the current global monetary system, which has entered the “bail-in” doom phase. The fact is that the development and deployment of the new tactical nuclear weapons, combined with the deployment of the European missile defense program, is nothing but a first-strike policy. It is a first-strike policy devised in London by the British Empire and pursued by their White House stooge Obama.



Unsurvivable

A dark, gruesome, but wholly true depiction of the threat of thermonuclear war, its consequences, and Obama’s deployment of a major portion of the U.S. thermonuclear capabilities in multiple theaters threatening both Russia and China.

<http://larouchepac.com/unsurvivable>

SCIENCE & SOCIETY TODAY:

The Necessary End To Anarchy

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 27, 2014

The, relatively speaking, merely accelerated, general loss of science and sanity since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and the catastrophically precipitous, plunge of general morality, since the advent of Dick Cheney and Barack Obama, have now brought the great majority of the population of our United States (as also in Western Europe) into what is an immediately perplexing, almost fatal challenge for most among the nations of this planet.

The essential fact of this matter, at the present moment, is, that the probability for avoidance of a British imperial launching of a global thermonuclear war in the relatively immediate future, depends, immediately, upon the immediately successful ouster from our republic's affairs of both of those British assets, President Barack Obama, but, also, of the continued role in our republic's affairs of former U.S. Vice-President "Dick" Cheney, too. That is to emphasize the fact, that the continuing role of former U.S. Vice-President Cheney (who had been the actually relevant controller of the position of the nominal President of the United States at the earlier time) and, now, nominal President Barack Obama, later, have been, both, agents-in-fact of the British Empire, both

operating on behalf of the world's leading drug-trafficker and mass-killer of entire populations of our planet, the Empress of the British (virtually world-wide) Empire, presently Queen Elizabeth.

In brief:

If a waning virtual U.S.A. dictatorship, now under the nominal Presidency of Obama were, fortunately, terminated by his immediate impeachment in office, the United States would almost certainly refuse to support the general thermonuclear warfare which the current regime of the British Empire would not be able to "pull off" successfully. The momentarily crucial threat lies only in Obama's presently new status, in the attempted role, in practice, that of an actual, Hitler-like dictatorship over the United States, since the beginning of this present year.

The crucial irony among those considerations, lies, chiefly, in the fact, that the center of evil in this case, is not the population of the British Kingdom, but in the essentially Zeusian, global British Empire as such. Without a general thermonuclear war, the continued existence of the almost planetary British empire would crumble into its already, presently onrushing "Bail-in" collapse-function, massively, and quickly.



Avoidance of a British imperial launching of a global thermonuclear war depends upon the ouster of both Barack Obama and Dick Cheney from continuing influence in our republic's affairs.

Such is the presently great historical irony of the immediate, global existential crisis of the world at large presently. Let the members among the ranks of our U.S. Government cease to be such craven fools as they have been lately.

The leading factors to be considered, are as follows.

I. The Global Background

The most relevant tracing of the known history of the roots of empire centered in the region of the Mediterranean, is most conveniently traced from the tyranny of that legendary reign of Zeus, which had provided the principled basis for, most notably, both the successive ancient Roman and presently British relatively world empires, as the characteristics of both had been directly contested by the policies of what has been identified as the Golden Renaissance associated with such most outstanding figures as the scientists Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, both founders of modern European science: in direct conflict with both

the Roman and British empires.¹

Let our human beings become, at long last, what they had been crafted and designed to become, the majestic intellects of the planets of our local Solar system, the true servants of a Creator, within the region of neighborhoods nearby at hand. Such intentions are already manifest in the recent developments of scientific progress to which I had most recently made reference in the text of a summary piece which had been titled, with appropriate ironies, my **The Satan Still Operating, from Inside Bertrand Russell's Corpse.**² The kernel of that argument is located, essentially, in the statement of physical principles presented within Chapter II of that composition, "The Ontology of Economy." The most critical references are to be located, there, under the sub-head of "The Actual Foundations of Today's Physical Science;" these reference two successive cases, which are stated in five summary paragraphs, there, as follows:

"Henceforth, now, there are two sets of experimental evidence respecting well-known principles of physical science whose work is to be traced from the roots of discovery made in the Golden Renaissance, in order of appearance, by the great scientists: (1) Filippo Brunelleschi, for physics in the small; (2) Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, for physics in the very large; and, (3) The discovery of the resolving of the underlying, universal physical principle (not an attempted mere deductive view of the Solar system), and beyond, by Johannes Kepler. All competent science since that triadic achievement, itself, had been rooted in its already given foundations, and has been implicitly defined for all competent practice of science, since, by that triad of that Brunelleschi, Cusa, Kepler principle: that, up to a certain, later point in the progress of modern physical science.

"In recent centuries, especially in matters of science, the new quality of effects has emerged around the pioneering role of, most notably, Carl Friedrich

1. This present report, presumes the reader's knowledge of the core knowledge contained within *The Satan Still Operating Inside Bertrand Russell's Corpse*, with particular emphasis, for a fundamental principle of physical science, as presented under the chapter heading of Chapter II *The Ontology of Economy*.

2. March 19, 2014, see footnote 1.

Gauss, Bernhard Riemann, and, crucially, of the pair of (1) Max Planck (echoing the role of Brunelleschi); (2) Albert Einstein (the large), and the approximation of a still wanted, but not yet attained principle (comparable in effect) to the precedent of Kepler's unique element: in respect to treatment of the principle of life-per-se, by (3) the accomplishments of V.I. Vernadsky. Kepler's role was, thus echoed, in goodly useful approximations, but without completion, by the implications of the universal scientific figure of Vernadsky, implications which require a more exact conclusion.

"These two cases, which I have just identified here, afford us a merely approximate, but, nonetheless, highly relevant, present, access to searching out a quality of principle (the principle of life per-se) which will no longer be confined, presently, to Earth-bound, mere sense-perception, as such.

"The old mathematical physics, while still part of an increasingly limited scale of useful practice presently, awaits the urgent need for realization of the implications of the history of the Solar system itself, as implicitly to be defined by the relationship of my first and second hypothesis pertaining to the combined relationship to be understood as the contradictory meanings of the Earth habitat, with respect to that of the outer parts of Solar space, from which human practical understanding has been generally excluded by the influence of sense-perception, as such, heretofore.

"The latter considerations, just identified, therefore bring us closer to solving the fault in the efforts of such as the practical application of properly refined physics of Earthly relations to the higher order, and, also, the higher authority of the physics of a full, supra-Earthly space-time, which could enable us to integrate the two, much more adequately."

A kind of "religious faith" in mere human sense-perception as such, remains, still, a grave impediment to the comprehension of man's role within the universe outside the crude presumptions, therefore we think too little: thus, we tend to lose connections to the powers of the human mind.

On the Subject of Human Immortality

The history of human scientific progress, should have warned us, long since, of the relationship between the brevity of the life of the human individual and the progress of mankind to which the successful expression

of advancement of the quality of human progress shapes the future of our species, as within the modes of scientific discovery of universal physical principles, and the evolutionary increase of the power over the future exerted by the otherwise mere brevity of the creative individual personality, as the greatest scientists and Classical artists have demonstrated that to be a truly universal principle.

Thus, the common fault among the greater of our human species, thus far, is too much focussed on our sense-perception in the small, and all too negligent in respect to the immortal progress of the development of our human species. The result of the deficiency on this account, is the moral catastrophe of the all-too-commonplace petty selfishness of the ordinary human individual. What is really important, is what we, in passing, achieve for mankind's properly chosen mission in the future; that is the only true, human immortality. That, for example, is the essential experience of a valid quality of belief in the notion of the principle of the only true immortality which the mortal human individual could ever actually achieve by the individual's own will. The great, and good power of the Christian's individuality is exemplified for the Christian as the meaning of the Crucifixion, notably, for example, as the Apostle Paul emphasizes his testimony, this so compellingly, and, yet, so simply, so concisely, in **I Corinthians 13**, to the following effect.

What Is Actual Human Immortality?

To be concrete on this point, consider the following evidence.

The most relevant evidence on this account, is readily supplied by the true principle of physical-scientific progress. The truly great scientists, leave experimentally valid discoveries of universal physical principles, principles which are often justly regarded as being relatively immortal discoveries of universal physical principle. The discoveries which I had emphasized, above, are typical of this. What has been discovered, lives on efficiently for generations to come, and can be demonstrated by its effects as being universal physical principles as such, even if relatively imperfectly. We attach names to valid discoveries, and recognize those as principles which efficiently outlive their putative authors.

It is the proper moral principle that the purpose of the human individual life, is located most clearly, and

most precisely, in this mode, rather than in the flesh as such. The only true immortality available to the brevity of the human individual's life, is those enduring principles which the individual has served in the course of living.


The sacredness attributed to human individual life, is no presumption, no mere fetish, at all. It is on this account, that the difference of man from beast, depends absolutely, especially for the case of the human individual, as such. The discovery of true principles of science and Classical artistic composition, are the essential, moral distinctions of man from beast, or, men and women who live in the mode of bestialities.

The uniqueness, the implicit immortality of the human soul, resides essentially in the *noëtic* expression of the human mind, which is to say, in scientific and Classical culture modes of progress. It is the principles expressed in those categorical terms which are, uniquely, the actual foundations of human morality, and progress. The two such categories are not separate from one another; they are mutual: art and science, so defined are of the same substance, as the particulars of Max Planck and Albert Einstein had demonstrated an

individual life so lived. Universal physical principles, typify the principle of human immortality, an immortality expressed as a universal principle, or its progress, in to often over the course of centuries: as the instances of the greatest Renaissance scientists have kept the mark of their achievement as a still living power in the shaping of mankind's future.

Thus, we live, and serve, properly for the efficient immortality of an effective mission for future society, for future mankind. On this account, true physical science, and true morality as in Classical artistic composition, are identical in their proper intention, and in their worth for mankind generally. All mortal human beings can never be anything but immortal in the meaning, not only of their lives, but for the purpose of their having existed for the benefits, of and by the human individual's contributions in the universe. That is the only true morality. Anything other, is merely as disgusting as criminals or bad political leaders.

This now takes us, back, to the history of great moments of creativity in physical science, such as those I have referenced afresh, in the opening of these presently, relatively modest remarks.



PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY
The Continuing Gifts of Prometheus
21st CENTURY SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY • FEB 2014 SPECIAL REPORT

\$20

21st Century Science & Technology

The Continuing Gifts of Prometheus brings to life the stunning progress made in physical chemistry over the course of mankind's history, in the context of the ongoing conflict between Prometheus, who gave fire and "all the arts" to man, and Zeus who was determined to destroy humanity.

Physical Chemistry is the application of higher forms of "fire" (such as nuclear "fire" today) to transforming the physical world. This report covers the physical world of metallurgy, the new dimension of chemistry, the expanding use of electromagnetism, and the opening of the nuclear era.

A Promethean culture today will build the expanded North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA XXI) and begin mining Helium-3 on the Moon for fusion power.

Get your copy today from Amazon.com \$17.01

A Particularly Evil Aspect Of Bertrand Russell¹

by Jason Ross

Lyndon LaRouche has called Lord Bertrand Russell the “most evil man of the 20th Century,” and the depth of his evil is often underestimated.² While some people think of him as a progressive advocate of peace, or as an esteemed philosopher, historian, and thinker, he, in fact, embodied the most retrograde image for humanity possible: the outlook of Zeus, that the great mass of people must be kept stupid, scant in number, and controlled by every necessary means. The creativity that characterizes the long arc of the development of the human species, was as absent from his writings, as it was from his desires for mankind. His work in science had the aim of eliminating creativity, just as his political aims sought the elimination of great numbers of people.

To give only a single instance of this, take this quotation from Russell’s 1923 *Prospects of Industrial Civilization*:

Socialism, especially international socialism, is only possible as a stable system if the population is stationary or nearly so. A slow increase might be coped with by improvements in agricultural methods, but a rapid increase must in the end reduce the whole population to penury. . . . [T]he white population of the world will soon cease to increase. The Asiatic races will be longer, and the negroes still longer, before their birth rate falls sufficiently to make their numbers stable without help of war and pestilence. . . . Until that happens, the benefits aimed at by socialism can only be partially realized, and the less prolific races will have to defend themselves against the more prolific by methods which are disgusting even if they are necessary.

The hideous, evil nature of this outlook is immediately apparent from Russell’s statement. But a more far-reaching impact of his misspent life lies in his unfortunately rather successful attacks on science. In 1931, Kurt Gödel proved that Russell’s attempt to systematize knowledge, beginning with mathematics, was an exercise in futility. Gödel’s proof made it clear that truth and creativity transcend logic and mechanism, and Russell hated him for it.

Here, I will sketch out the context of Russell’s most famous scientific work, his *Principia Mathematica*, Gödel’s victory over Russell, and the resemblance of the former’s work to that of Bernhard Riemann, and our path forward today.

The Context of Russell’s Dirty Work


The 1900 Paris meeting of the International Congress of Mathematicians is best known for David Hilbert’s presentation of what he considered to be ten of the most important unsolved problems in mathematics. The second of those problems was proving the consistency of the axioms of arithmetic. Those who took up the challenge sought to successfully (consistently) axiomatize arithmetic, which meant transforming arithmetic (which has a basis in reality, and claims to the truth of its propositions, such as $2+3=5$) into a logical system, in which the “meaning” of arithmetic came down to rules for manipulation of logical statements.³

Surely, if there were to be any hope of understanding all the laws of nature, the mathematics used to express them had to be both logically complete (able to decide the truthfulness of any statement made in the language of arithmetic) and consistent (free from the

1. Written as background for Lyndon LaRouche’s “The Satan Still Operating from Inside Bertrand Russell’s Corpse,” *EIR*, March 28, 2014.

2. See LaRouche’s definitive article, “How Bertrand Russell Became an Evil Man” in the Fall 1994 issue of [Fidelio](#).

3. Logic is the application of specific rules about writing new combinations of symbols on a page, based on existing combinations of symbols on a page. The symbols it uses are deliberately separated from any meaning.



Lord Bertrand Russell, whose determination to use any means to reduce the human population, and destroy human creativity, made him, in the words of Lyndon LaRouche, “the most evil man of the 20th Century.”

possibility of contradictions). This is not an unimportant question, and the attempt to formalize knowledge spread far afield from mathematics, into attempts to formalize all of science.

As an example of a contradiction, we will consider a hypothetical remote village and its (male) barber. In this village, every man shaves, and there are only two types of men: first, those who shave themselves and are *not* shaved by the barber; and, second, those who do *not* shave themselves and *are* shaved by the barber. Now, answer this question: Who shaves the barber? If he shaves himself, then he is in the first group, but that group is for people not shaved by the barber. If he does not shave himself, then he is in the second group and is shaved by the barber (himself). Either way, there is a paradox, expressed by posing the question: “Who shaves the barber?” Yet, the paradox actually lies in the description of the town, and the condition we set on the two types of men. The paradox was always implicitly there. As soon as we described such a village, the contradiction was inherent in the conditions, in the axioms of the village system.

The axioms are self-referential: The barber is both a man in need of a shave, and part of the rules of shaving. The rule inherently refers to the barber himself, as a man of the village. Any system that refers to itself has

the potential for contradictions such as this one, and could not therefore be what Hilbert was looking for, and any normal system has the potential for self-reference.

Bertrand Russell (1872-1970) was one of those who took up Hilbert’s task, publishing his enormous *Principia Mathematica* in the 1910s. It was to be for mathematics what Euclid’s *Elements* was to geometry: From an initial set of rules, all of mathematics was to be logically derived. Additionally, Russell had taken great pains to exclude any paradoxes of self-reference, by working very hard to make it completely impossible for any statement in mathematics to refer to itself. Russell incorporated a level of “meta”-ness into every statement. Objects themselves had a level of zero, while statements about objects had a level of one, statements about statements about objects had a level of two, etc. By this scheme, any statement could only refer to lower-level statements or objects, and, therefore, self-referencing statements were forbidden. *The barber paradox could simply not be written in Russell’s system.*

In working on this project, Russell’s goal was not purely mathematical; it was, in fact, not academic at all: *He wanted to eliminate the concept of creativity itself*, through actions that would limit all reasoning to logic, in which all future ideas already reside in the foundation axioms. By axiomatizing mathematics, the language in which Russell (following Paolo Sarpi) presumed that all new physical principles would be expressed, he would have prevented any change in the language itself, and thereby artificially constrained what future concepts could be considered.⁴ Since all new mathematics would only be derived from the basic axioms, the future would be no different from the present (or the past): Russell sought to kill the concept of “future” itself.

Gödel’s Proof, and its Limitations

In 1931, Gödel (1906-78) published a paper with the title “On Formally Undecidable Propositions in *Principia Mathematica* and Related Systems I.”⁵ There,

4. If a language is formally fixed, it cannot express new metaphors, which redefine the language itself.

5. For an excellent treatment of Gödel’s proof, readers are referred to Nagel and Newman, *Gödel’s Proof*, NYU Press.



Kurt Gödel, whose 1931 proof jammed up Russell's attempts to reduce creative human thought to the sterile laws of logic.

Gödel proved that the universe rejected Russell's attempts to reach the "end of science." What's more, he proved that Russell's system itself (and any similar logical system) rejected Russell.

Gödel found the necessarily existing flaw in the log-

Artificial Intelligence and Gödel's Proof

While Russell's co-author, Alfred North Whitehead, admitted defeat, Russell did not. His influence is seen in today's proponents of artificial intelligence (AI), who, rather than recognizing that Gödel proved that the creative human mind is fundamentally superior to logical systems (including all computers), take the opposite approach. While acknowledging Gödel's proof formally, they claim that human reason itself is subject to the same constraints as the logical systems that Gödel showed to be fundamentally limited.

Instead of recognizing, studying, and celebrating the uniqueness of the human mind, AI redefines the mind to conform to the operations a computer is capable of. So, in one sense, calling this intelligence "artificial" is quite apt.

Jason Ross

ical approach, by cleverly allowing the system to make self-referential statements. He did so by transforming any statement about numbers, into a number itself, about which statements could then be made. Since a level-one statement could refer to level-zero objects (like numbers), Gödel's transformation of statements into numbers allowed statements to refer to themselves, as numbers.⁶ Gödel had flanked Russell's defenses, and could now make self-referencing statements in his system.

Then, by posing a statement analogous to "this statement is false," Gödel brought down the entire edifice that Russell had foolishly (and with evil intent) labored on. Russell was dumbfounded and enraged: While the impossibility of his task could already be known by those who understood the work of Nicholas of Cusa, Johannes Kepler,⁷ or Carl Gauss, Gödel had defeated Russell on his own turf!

However, while Gödel's proof demonstrates, from within logic and arithmetic, that axiomatization is impossible, and therefore, that creativity is most emphatically not logical or deductive, it does not itself indicate what creativity actually is. For this, we must necessarily step outside the domain of logic, as Gödel well knew.

Riemann, and Human Will as a Force of Nature

Gödel had a close predecessor in Bernhard Riemann (1826-66), who demonstrated the errors of Euclidean geometry. Two errors were: first, Euclid made an unjustified assumption of the flatness of space, with his fifth

6. Gödel's fascinating technique is hard to describe in a short space. Very briefly, he assigned a numerical code to each symbol (word) in the language, and then encoded a statement—a sentence of words—as a number, formed as the product of successive primes raised to the power of the statement's words. For example, if the letters of the alphabet were encoded by their positions, then the word "META," which has numbers 13-5-20-1, would be encoded as $2^{13} 3^5 5^{20} 7^1 = 1,328,906,250,000,000,000,000$. Similarly, logical statements composed of many words could be converted into such Gödel-numbers. Just as "2+2=4" is a statement about numbers, Gödel used his code-numbers to make statements that could refer to themselves, and therefore, be undecidable.

7. Kepler's concept of the vicarious hypothesis is very similar to Gödel's proof. Kepler, working inside the mathematical system of his astronomical predecessors, proved that their system itself was faulty, and that a physical, rather than mathematical approach, was needed. See the video "[Metaphor: an Intermezzo](#)," and the [Kepler guides](#) at <http://science.larouchepac.com>



Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation was a masterful, explicit demonstration that Euclidean geometry does not represent reality, and that its deductions do not represent the human thought process.

(parallel) postulate, meaning that his geometry does not actually describe physical space. The more important, second error, was that in presenting his geometrical truths as deriving from initial axioms, the discovery process by which they were originally arrived at was obscured, and a false one (deduction) was presented in its place.

While “proof” and “discovery” may be considered as two distinct steps, this is precisely the problem of the gifted experimentalist who breaks down at the blackboard in attempting to *prove* that she observed what she observed. True discoveries are not new deductions from already existing axioms, rather, they expand the field of possibility by developing new principles.

Riemann's habilitation dissertation, “On the Hypotheses which Serve as the Foundation of Geometry,” treats the errors of Euclid, and comes to an astonishing conclusion. After Riemann shows that Euclid's geometry is only one of many that may apply to physical space, and that it has no *a priori* claims to represent reality, he lays out what the true foundations of geometry

must be. The foundations are not geometric, mathematical, or logical, at all. Not only must we decide upon the shape of space by experiment, rather than armchair philosophizing, but the foundations inherently lie *outside of the concept of space itself*.⁸ That is, the physical principles which give rise to and govern the actions that take place, are themselves the only legitimate basis upon which to build a geometry. He concludes this breathtaking work with an understated, but stunning, final sentence: “This leads us into the domain of another science, that of physics, which the nature of the present occasion forbids us to enter.”⁹

Building Today's Future

Any attempt to unify scientific understanding, which does not include human creativity as an active power in nature, is a failure. As expressed beautifully in Vladimir Vernadsky's concept of the noosphere, human thought is itself a physical force—an increasingly powerful one. The work of Gödel and Riemann demonstrates that any attempts to understand either thought or nature as mechanical, fundamentally non-creative processes, is wrong.

Riemann's demonstration that increasing human understanding of nature is itself a force of nature, and Gödel's demonstration that science will never end, paint for us the outlines of a beautiful picture, of a future in which there will always be more to do, more to discover, more music to compose, and more joys to participate in.

Creativity, expressed by the universe as a whole, and by our actions, is the true substance that underlies reality, not geometrical or logical axioms. In that light, the proposals of LaRouche and his associates for the next breakthroughs of continental water management through NAWAPA XXI, controlled thermonuclear fusion, and increasing control over our region of the Solar System, are a part of being naturally human, and increasing our power (and numbers) on Earth and, eventually, farther afield.

And, best of all, Russell would hate all of these proposals!

8. Some might object: “But, I Kant!”

9. For a [video](http://larouchepac.com/riemann) presentation by the present author, on Riemann's habilitation dissertation, as well as the text of Riemann's paper itself, see <http://larouchepac.com/riemann>.

The Incompetence of Twentieth Century Science Education

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 28, 2014

A great, and largely continuing catastrophe for all modern science throughout the world, had begun, in Paris, France, at the opening of the Twentieth Century. It had been launched by a somewhat, scientifically weak-minded David Hilbert, delivered from the platform of that Year 1900's **International Mathematical Congress**, held in Paris at that time.

The foolish Hilbert had abandoned, and implicitly rejected, all actual physical science. He had done that for the sake of a silly pursuit of a merely mathematics, without any actual physical science, thus abandoning, and virtually outlawing all competent physical science. From that time onwards, trans-Atlantic science has been, and that chiefly increasingly so, an often, actually criminal farce, perpetrated against the very name of a polluted, mere caricature of actual science, a fraud conducted in the favor of what was, to repeat, "merely mathematics."

The travesty which had begun with David Hilbert's particular hoax, had launched that year of sheer evils, 1900 A.D. This was, then, soon to be followed by Hilbert's far more evil successor, indeed, the most evil man of the Twentieth Century (and beyond), Bertrand Russell. Unfortunately, the teaching and practice of the underlying, morally wretched principles of practiced attempts at mere mathematics, have, since, tended to persist, as still presently, as a demanded replacement, in high schools and universities, for nearly all, but a dwindling handful of true geniuses, which have survived to have been truly competent modern science, still presently.

What the unfortunate Hilbert had already done to this effect, had been soon, a greatly worsened product, then, but even still later, all

that at an accelerating rate.¹ This offense against the forward progress of human nature itself, has been worsened, since that time, by the added evil of a dominant role of the increasing trend toward incompetence in generally practiced methods of what is often only merely taught as so-called science, up to the present date.

All of this had been done under the presently still continuing decadence of a Bertrand Russell who, even still even deader than dead today, reigns, despite all else, still among the ranks of the reigning royal degenerates of Great Britain, as being their continuing worship of the virtual Satans of pseudo-science, ever since, all the way to the presently royally imperial day. The product of all this, has been, to the present date, a virtual reincarnation of the "Whore of Babylon," in every respect, as so to be judged to be so, by her deeds, still today.

Over the course of the period from the early 1960s, up to the present time, the collapse of even a formerly taught commitment to a continuing general improvement in the competence in the application of physical science, had been rudely, even savagely, reversed, that somewhat by such a silly fool as Hilbert, but, then, rabidly, by the outrightly satanic Bertrand Russell who had soon virtually gobbled-up the pathetic fool, Hilbert. Since then, the consequent worsening of "green" incompetence, has been a dogma of folly now often taught in even our own universities generally, even often as a virtually predominant trend in education and related practices; this has been continued as recently accelerating toward a relative nadir, through to the present date.

That same pollution in scientific opinion has not only remained dominant to the present date, but has been greatly worsened, again, and yet


1. Since the cancellation of President Franklin Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall law.

again, in the halls of our Congress, and other influential institutions, despite some important, even precious minorities of exceptions, to the present time.

The effects of that same reductionists' counter-revolution against any remaining margin of competence in science, as also in morals and science alike, which had been led, originally, by Hilbert, from Paris, and then, with a literally Satanic forcefulness, by Russell. The result has been a general, long wave of economic decline since the very birth of the Twentieth Century, that done in favor of mere number-systems, *per capita*, to the present date. This had been done, as the sheer evil which the Roman Empire had crafted earlier, but, now chiefly at the behest of the British imperial monarchy

which had already led a permanent state of global world-wide wars and related atrocities, since the ouster of he who had been the leading peace-keeper of Europe, Chancellor Bismarck.

The convenient assassination of a great U.S. President, William McKinley, done by a treasonously disposed British imperial asset, the Theodore Roosevelt sodden with the worship of the slave-system's traditions, had set into motion a series of Presidencies, which, but for two exceptions, President Taft, and one eliminated by assassination,² until President Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1932-1933. A worse, politically motivated situation for the United States, than ever before, has followed the close of the incumbency of President William Clinton: thus unleashing a plunge into the worst tyrannies of our U.S. Presidency to present date, all of which has been done since President Clinton's retirement, all the



The literally Satanic Bertrand Russell ushered in a state of decadence, within the scientific community, and in public education and politics generally, which was specifically targeted to destroy the unique human characteristic of noetic power over the universe. Here he is shown before the blackboard at the University of California, where he taught from 1939 to 1942.

way to the presently momentary instance.

History in Motion

While the statement which I have just made, above, is true beyond any actually reasonable considerations, the fact of this matter could not be effectively understood, without first examining the process which has actually caused this presently dismal effect upon the minds of mankind generally, a decadence now still proceeding at an accelerating rate over the successive terms of office of, first, Vice-President Dick Cheney, and, accelerated on the scale of degeneracy, greatly worsened since the Presidency of a frankly evil Barack Obama.

In fact, since approximately the beginning of the present year, 2014, the U.S. economy has been plunging into a hopelessly desperate, Wall Street-led general bankruptcy, that

under an accelerating financial break-down crisis with the present characteristics of the infamous Weimar breakdown-crisis of post-World War I Germany. To wit: the so-called, present state of Wall Street and London panic conditions, now existing under the present, so-called "bail-in" conditions.³

Now, something much worse has happened recently:

The recent reopening of the sessions of the U.S. Congress has begun with an outburst of what is, effectively, hysterical fits of raw political fear, a condition which has driven many members of the U.S. Congress

2. Warren G. Harding 1921-1923.

3. If the British Empire were to provoke a general thermonuclear war before the effects of the present "bail-in" gamble were to strike down the present global economic system, the British imperial scheme for global genocide might meet the requirements of the present British Empress's stated strategic, policy intentions. However, if the general thermonuclear warfare were delayed until after the "bail-in" breakdown, the British empire itself would itself be virtually wiped out, first! Hence the panicked present intent for "world war III," by the brutish Queen's puppet, President Barack Obama. Hence, Obama's desperate demand for thermonuclear World War III.

into strategic-economic clinical bursts of moral inanities. Wall Street “money” is, as a matter of course, a principal, inherently poisonous stimulant for this shameful behavior. There is much which must be immediately changed on that account. I present, first, a few choice high-lights of that case, here, now, that the evil may be diagnosed, and, therefore, remedied. After that, I shall turn, gradually, to the actually scientific meat of the matter.

My following subject here, is, therefore: the principle of what is, and shall be, actually physical science: as it represents the true measure of true human progress.

Prelude: The Human Species

The subject of a competent science, is to be limited, essentially, and ultimately, to the difference which separates the human species, from all other, presently known, living species.

The simplest efficient choice for setting a standard for this feature of the human species’ existence, is the specifically willful expression of increased, physically efficient power, *per-capita*, as that is uniquely characteristic of the human species, as through the means of the effects of advancement in, chiefly, practiced chemistry: the power, to increase the power, *per capita*, of the members of the human species, through increasing the effective energy-flux-density supplied to the aid of the human will, as this may be measured per capita: which is a measurable standard for defining the meaning of human progress.⁴

At the same time, we must take into account, the fact, that the human species has experienced a division, chiefly, between two sub-categories: the evil “Zeusian,” versus the “Promethean.” The Zeusian type is the morally degenerate type; the Promethean type is the viable type which expresses the mode of the naturally progressive growth of the human population. For example, the Roman and British empires are prominent among the category of the Zeusian types.⁵ The Zeusian mode, e.g., the Roman and British empires, are typical of the intrinsically degenerate varieties of human cultures.

For the purpose of illustrating the significance of that unique quality of the human, specifically *noëtic*

4. As measured in terms of increase of relative energy-flux density.

5. See the case of Edward Gibbon, re: **The Decline and Fall of The Roman Empire**.

will, I shall focus my attention here, provisionally, on some aspects from the earlier history of our human cultures; but, much of that is done here merely to situate the relevant record of human process in the history of modern times: which is to say, since the great ecumenical achievements typified by the effects of the leadership supplied by three outstanding personalities now identified, together with the more notably relevant followers into recent, modern times of continuing change:

First, to begin that specific account, for the benefit of those who had missed my earlier account, I refresh, once more, my earlier outline of the original general case for a truly modern science launched since the beginning of the Renaissance led by Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler:⁶

The Birth of Modern Trans-Atlantic Civilization

In my immediately preceding, most recent reports on the subject of the modern history of physical science’s progress,⁷ I had presented, as repeatedly as necessary, a two-phased account of revolutionary improvements in the applied principles of physical science, as follows: (1) The unified common principle of Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler; and, (2) the role of the successive steps in progress of Nineteenth-century physical science typified by the revolutionary, successive steps toward a Nineteenth-century, new scientific revolution prepared, most crucially, by Carl Friedrich Gauss and his follower. Bernhard Riemann, the latter since Riemann’s own, thoroughly revolutionary, June 10, 1854 habilitation dissertation.⁸

6. I refer repeatedly to those cases, unavoidably, throughout this report.

7. Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. **The Satan Still Operating from Inside Bertrand Russell’s Corpse**, March 19, 2014.

8. Notably: Gauss had been sitting in attendance for his protege’s (Riemann’s) famous, 1854, habilitation dissertation; however, the originality of what Riemann had achieved on that occasion, did much to illustrate, this time, more fully, the unique measures which had been already taken by Gauss himself. Riemann’s own work, on that occasion, and following it to the end of his own life, was, in turn, the foundation for the successive, complementary, and actually definitive, revolutionary achievements made by Max Planck and Albert Einstein. With the Paris atrocity led by David Hilbert in 1900, and the ensuing criminality against science led by Bertrand Russell across most of the span of the Twentieth Century, science has made, despite all else, some notable progress based on the foundations of the Gauss-Riemann and Planck-Einstein trends in Nineteenth Century science; but, the practice of that progress had already been collapsing in rate with, most notably, the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and collapsed, in net effect, with the near-impeachment of President William Clinton. The “Green” doctrine, created by the British Empire’s influence, has virtually destroyed the remaining elements of viability in the U.S.A. and European



President Abraham Lincoln defeated the British Empire in the Civil War by applying the Hamiltonian principle of credit. He used the “Greenback” (shown here) to defeat Wall Street, and build the greatest industrial machine the world had seen to that point—exemplified by the Transcontinental Railroad, shown during its construction.



I have already emphasized in an immediately earlier report, that the consequence of those and related stages reflect a precise notion of a progressive development of actually modern physical science, as over the course, in net effect, from the Renaissance typified by the signal achievements of the continuity of (1) Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler, in the actual defining of modern European physical science, which have persisted in their beneficial effects, since then, as I have emphasized on a recent occasion. This has been a continued progress, through the subsequent influence of Gauss and Riemann in preparing the ground for the comparably significant achievements of (2) Max Planck and Albert Einstein, and, prospectively, still, (3) V.I. Vernadsky’s intention for his excellent, but uncompleted dedication to the future progress of physical science as a whole, presently. As I have noted on earlier occasions, the completed perspective for the future of mankind’s development now, depends upon the proximate perfection of the legacy of the great specialist in scoping out the

economies, in net effect, most emphatically, that immediately on the inauguration of the Presidency of the essentially silly, if also nasty, George W. Bush, Jr.

implications of the principle of life, *per se*, that of the same V.I. Vernadsky.

These foregoing cases are the recurring point of reference to matters of principle, throughout this report as a whole.

The continuing development of that introductory phase of the present series of reports on this matter, as by me, and, I think, a number from among my own collaborators, and by, hopefully, other scientific thinkers, have already known, or might be known to me, otherwise, as well. Once the evil “chains of illusion” were broken, we will have been given cause to be hopeful respecting mankind’s prospects for more advanced knowledge of the future: a kind of putting to an end of the matter which might be conjectured as conclusive by such as any nasty little Rumpelstiltskin.⁹

Satan & His Money

Take the case of President Abraham Lincoln’s method for his success in defeating the British empire’s slave-holding puppet: “greenbacks.” Wall Street and kindred forms of money were (wonderfully) driven bankrupt by President Lincoln’s action for a currency based on the authority of the U.S. Treasury: that is how the U.S.A. defeated the British Empire’s stooges from outside and inside the borders of our republic during the course of the Civil War.

It was already all there in our original Federal Republic. Former Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton had already clarified the principle of our Republic’s success. “Simply money,” such as Wall Street money generally, has actually no intrinsic value, still today, Wall Street today is, now, worse than hopelessly bankrupt. With the arrival of the British-Wall Street situation as of the beginning of this present year, the shift from “bail-out,” to “bail-in,” there is no intrinsic value, what-

9. A children’s-story substitute for the too-frightening image of a likeness of an actual Satan, or an Adolf Hitler. As for Obama, most citizens already hate him, with good reasons. (Never fear, so far, they will express rage; but, there has been a fear to do anything more useful than that mere posturing.) Simple impeachment is what is actually warranted in this case; but, Wall Street and its butt-kissers, including members of Congress seeking funding for re-election, are still licking the rumps of hoped-for imminently bankrupt Wall Street’s largesse (not only Republicans!).

soever, in Wall Street's money; it is all, intrinsically, worthless already: *Pffft!*

The true wealth of society—almost any society—exists only in the increase of the actually productive powers of labor. Wall Street's claims are essentially worth much-much less than money; it is, chiefly, no more than the ill-gotten gains extorted from the helpless or, worse, the foolish, by an international system of organized crime, such as the present British Empire and its organized-crime Chieftain of the moment, the current Brutish Empress, Elizabeth.¹⁰

Wall Street's and London's system is, from top, to bottom, remains the “steal business”—still rarely to be properly wanted.

The fraud (the swindle) of U.S.A. and European contemporary financial buggery, reposes, ultimately, in nothing more, or less than the timidity, or sheer ignorance, of the commonly credulous. As productive employment has been taken away from the citizens of the United States, more and more, since Wall Street's implicit rape of the American System, and that with a corresponding, political and practical worthlessness among the citizenry.

This has become, currently, a trend which has, increasingly, reduced the older generations of formerly proud producers to holders of a virtual beggar's bowl, a bowl ever more and more empty, especially since the sheer brutality against our citizens by the Cheney and, even more drastically, Obama administrations. Even what might be considered as the powers of the U.S. Congress are drooling, mostly piteously, at the verge of the beggar's bowl of Wall Street tyrannies: a Wall Street, itself, now about to crush virtually out of continued existence by the greatest financial crash in all actually known history to date.¹¹

10. Nominally, today, the actually current Empress Elizabeth II. (In historical fact), and with respect to peculiarities of temperament, the real, earlier monarch, Elizabeth I, was the actual predecessor (by her own characteristic intentions), as a matter of manifestly efficient, physical principle.

11. I remind the readers, that if the U.S.A. were to adopt three principal reforms immediately, the beginning of a recovery of the U.S. Economy would begin immediately. These are, in order of appearance of the actions: (1) The immediate restoration of President Franklin Roosevelt's exact Glass-Steagall Law; (2) The mandatory requirement that no bank shall be authorized under law, except through the authority of the U.S. Treasury (exactly as President Abraham Lincoln had done during the Civil War fought against the British Empire); (3) A Federal Credit-funded system of public works based on an orientation under high rates of energy-flux density reconstruction and related measures, and science-driver oriented public works and public education and health-care.

Money as such has no intrinsic economic value; value is to be measured in terms of *only* the increase of the effective increase, of the physical-productive powers of labor: not labor *per se*, but, rather that of the productive powers of labor, as defined in the four crucial, founding principles of the U.S. National Economy defined by Secretary of the Treasury Alexander Hamilton. Any contrary opinion, is simply, more or less badly incompetent, merely that of a monetarist expression of public economic masturbation. In brief: both Wall Street and London are, and always had been, essentially, worthless frauds.

Evils of such likenesses, whether relatively less, or worse, are familiar from within the skein of known human history. However, now, the planet is lurking at the edge of the threat of a general thermonuclear war, a war, which were it to occur now, as is presently already threatened: this would mean either the sudden extermination—even (presently) as if in about an hour and half: or, a Zeus-like ancient Rome or contemporary British empire, either of which would possess the sheer evil of such intentions; throughout the planet, of the present human species as such, or an unprecedented holocaust, during which the survivors would have wished that they, had better died, than continued to live. This is the true image of the evil of the Zeus who has been the proper image of a Monster of Sheer Evil, so seemingly distinguished as a relatively greatest force for evil, from among all the greatest known reigns of human life, to the present time.

Such images, such as the legendary Zeus, are the models, such as those of Zeus, the Roman Empire, and the present-day British Empire: they are essentially models for any actual Satan, who might care to make such investments. They have been, and remain, models for the ultimately, more or less, self-extinctions of empires, empires either known, or only as footprints from an obscured past, heretofore. So far, here, I have left much to be explained in the course of the following chapters.

I. Mind Versus Sense-Perception

Let us, now, therefore, go back to the subject of science as redefined in exemplary ways by the models of the true greatest geniuses of the Florentine Renaissance, taken in order of appearance: (1) Brunelleschi, (2) Nicholas of Cusa, and the indispensable achieve-

ments by their follower, (3) Johannes Kepler. The competence of all modern science depends upon the specifically combined contributions of those three greatest (actually), from among the inaugural intellects of modern European history at that time.

The essential distinction of honest human beings, from beasts and usurers alike, is that the human species' existence had laid the potential and actual foundations of all great scientific achievements since the "dark ages" this far. The characteristic of a valid human effort, has always been the increase of the energy-flux-density commanded by commitment to accord with the noëtic principle which absolutely distinguishes the characteristics of the human species, from all other presently known creatures. Already, that potential had freed the willing human minds associated with such manifest characteristics, by freeing mankind from the inherently degrading mental slavery of foolishly blinded faith in the ultimate silliness of what is merely sense-perception.

The Global Prospect

My emphasis, here, on the trans-Atlantic cultures, when it is appropriately referenced, is not exclusive, but merely, necessarily, paradigmatic. For example, if we divide the human population of the planet in a meaningful way, the dominant characteristics of the human populations of our planet had been, since the Great Renaissance: the impact for progress of the colonization of the Americas, particularly in the northern Hemisphere. It had been the freedom of the early American settlements, which had been the indispensable, great drivers of the consequent progress in Europe, generally, as the great German Chancellor Bismarck had learned that great lesson from close study of the then-ongoing leadership of the President Abraham Lincoln administration.¹²

However, since the death of the U.S.A.'s President Franklin Roosevelt, there had been a net downward-turning trend, which had been continued as a set of successions of decline, and plunge into a critical phase, in the trans-Atlantic region, since the assassination of the virtual Presidential candidate, Robert Kennedy, the brother of the already assassinated President John F. Kennedy. A similar attempted assassination had been

12. It had been the role of Chancellor Bismarck which had blocked the persistent threat of what was to become "World War I," and the ouster of Bismarck by the actions of the British monarchy's intervention for the ouster of Bismarck, which had immediately unloosed, with the assassination of the President of France, the decade and a half of warfare leading into "The Guns of August."

made against President Ronald Reagan, that with clearly identifiable motive for that aborted attempt: we in the United States had thus entered "the Bush League" consequent upon, also, the foul legacy of the influence of the Dulles brothers and their like.

The down-turn in the United States, which has been in net progress downward, since the assassination of John F. Kennedy, has been carried over into the follies induced within western and central Europe.¹³ There was nothing necessary in this downward trend throughout the trans-Atlantic-Western European sector; it was a downward trend dictated by the British Empire under the imperial reign of the Empress Elizabeth, a process of imperial tyranny under the British Empire akin to the precedent of "The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire," a willfully (actually *Zeusian*) characteristic of all actually imperialist systems known to ancient through modern mankind presently.

The effect of the decline in the trans-Atlantic region, which has been caused, essentially, by the influence of the present British Empire, has left a relative political-economic value of slightly upward development, as in India and China, for example. This digression has brought a current zest, imported from the ever-evil British Empire, for a presently lively prospect, for a global thermonuclear war.

However, the natural tendency in the history of the human species, when not under the thumb of empires in the actually *Zeusian* tradition, is *Promethean*.

That is not merely a political fact, it is a natural fact: the conflict between the tradition of Zeus and that of Prometheus, reflects a conflict between the *Zeusian* (inherently degenerate) and *Promethean* (creative) modes of organized human populations.

Thus, a Promethean tendency within Russia, India, and China (for example), is now the issue which prompts the British-Empire-dominated, still implicitly *Zeusian* sector of the planet, in opposition to that Promethean tendency shown significantly in the progressively upward direction of the core elements of the Promethean leaning in the Asian sector. This, however, has been continually challenged from within the Eurasian strategic sector, since the two Chechen wars against Russia whose ravages include, consequently, the British-led use of

13. The decline and ouster of France's President Charles de Gaulle, turned France into a political sewer of British-directed so-called "socialism," from which the entirety of western continental Europe has never actually recovered, to the present date.

Saudi terrorist forces in such cases as the so-called “9-11” terror attack on the United States, a mass-murder of U.S. Citizens and others, which was covered over, largely, through the evil actions of U.S. Vice-President Dick Cheney, and as continued by President Barack Obama, both on behalf of the British empire of Queen Elizabeth, as such.

II. Since the Renaissance

The language-cultures of those great, still surviving cultures of that region, can be traced to a convergence of insight among the relatively most successful language-cultures, there, as in the world more broadly. The medium’s differences, are often in essential respects of usages; but the progress of physical science, in the highest meaning of that term, is, or, should be, convergent among them, and, hopefully, successfully, as in the best nations of Eurasia, from the western borders of Russia and its immediate associates, today, to the Pacific coasts of Asia today.

Yet, it must be acknowledged, that there are good and bad cultures among the totality of the assortments, the worst of them have been expressions of what have been, inherently, murderously inclined, religious fanaticisms, such as those cases fostered since the religious warfare in Europe, and beyond, since the crushing of what is namable as that “Golden Renaissance” expressed by such as Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler: those three geniuses must be considered as crucially seminal intellectual forces in action since the birth of the great European Renaissance coming out of preceding, mass-murderous, European “Dark Age.”

Zeus Against the Renaissance

Mass murder in the name of religion, when taken in the alleged pursuit of religious fanaticism, such as fascism, murderously religious fanaticism, or similar brut-



The Golden Renaissance, expressed by the likes of Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler, epitomized the triumph of the Promethean principle in culture that the Zeusian imperial system is attempting to extirpate, up to today. Here, Nicholas-Sebastien Adam’s sculpture of Prometheus being tortured by an eagle tearing at his liver—on the orders of Zeus.

ishness, has sometimes dominated modern European cultures, and others; these are typical of the evils which a true scientific practice must extinguish for the sake of humanity itself. Those murderous fanatics of terrorism, whether inside regions of Europe, or elsewhere, must be subjected to the governing reigns of truly human law, as I shall deal with that subject-matter in the due course of this presently continuing report.

Inspiration, such as religious inspiration, without the same truly human, scientific reason expressed by the original Christian martyrs and their like, is an expression of the essentially evil spirit of the fanaticism of a Zeusian-like Satan himself, and the British imperial monarchy of today, too, as it should be recognized, ex-

actly so, among all the truly civilized nations generally. It is proof of the goodness of the cultures of mankind which is required, when intended for the commonly future benefit of all nations, which is the only proper universal principle of government.

The only civilized differences among the worthy nations of this planet, pertain to the essential role of what can be fairly, by definition, as language-cultures. This means, not the meanings of mere words as such; those words, are merely the footprints left behind by the passage of time. Truly Classical artistic composition, including science and great classical language-cultures, could never be degraded to the form of mere meanings of individual words and sentences; language-culture must apprehend that which is to become discovered as known from the future of the speaker, and, also, his forerunners before him.

My stated view, at this immediate juncture here, needs to become understood, even among the presently unwitting, as something far beyond the mere parochialisms of sense-perception as such. We must inspire the cultures of the nations with the access to the compre-

hension of the true meanings lodged within our Solar system (for example), meanings which are not the captive prisoners of mere sense-perceptual processes and their prejudices.

It is precisely on this specific account, that the otherwise seemingly lost more ancient wisdom, was often regained through the particular quality of true genius exemplified in the great fundamental scientific discoveries of the three figures from the Golden Renaissance, persons aroused by the awakening dawn of an actually modern civilization, that of the Golden Renaissance of, most emphatically, in the order (once more) of: (1) Filippo Brunelleschi, the liberator of science from mere mathematics; (2) Nicholas of Cusa, the discover of the "All;" and the discoverer of the most essential foundation of all competent physical science; and, (3) Johannes Kepler's, personally unique, discovery of the actuality of the Solar system.

This discovery by Kepler, which is admittedly implicit in some degree of foresight, from the true discovery of Earth, by Eratosthenes, has been both the foundation of all essentials of known physical science today since the Renaissance. Through the means of that achievement, by Kepler himself, mankind discovered the keys to understand not only the, otherwise, previously unknowable, practical existence of the Solar system, but, by those same means, the immediate basis in foundations for mankind's escape from the virtual captivity of elementary sense-perceptual presumptions as such.¹⁴

The sane and the insane must not be regarded as enjoying a common standard of opinion or related, common, behavior. I shall continue to emphasize that, henceforth, here, with increasing emphasis. It is man's increased power to defend the existence of the progressive development of the human species itself,¹⁵ which is the only tolerable standard for truthfulness in any region of this planet and, in effect, even within regions of the Solar system beyond, as I shall emphasize that specific point in due course, within the later parts of this present report.

14. Numerous otherwise credible modern physical scientists, including all those who remain ignorant of the essential principles of the set of discoverers, Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler, remain imprisoned in encumbering incompetencies respecting the foundations of a presently efficient physical science reaching beyond the limits of ignorant sense-certainties.

15. As implicitly measurable in terms of increased energy-flux density in human practice.

The commonplace predicament which causes uncertainty in popular opinion on this account, is to be recognized as the potentially fatal error of reliance on the mistakenly presumed authority of mere sense-perception itself, as such. This subject, the separation of mind, from the mere shadows of experience which we regard as sense-perception, is an indispensable separation which, in and of itself, provides the most essential precondition for human sanity-in-fact.

So, what I have already, repeatedly identified as the triad of truly scientific genius represented by the converging elements of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler, or the later, Planck-Einstein-Vernadsky alternative, exemplify the present foundations of all competent scientific method available to our contemporaries presently: as I had done in opposition to reliance on mere sense-perception as such. Kepler's solution, as I had summarized that warning in the case in *Chapter II: The Ontology of Economy*, from my description of "**The Satan Still Operating From Inside Bertrand Russell's Corpse.**"¹⁶

Bestiality Among Humans Now

Foremost, however, we must free our opinions from the farce which is any notion of the human mind as being based primarily in what is merely sense-perception. Sane, adult human beings, unless, perhaps, they are habituated drug-addicts to marijuana or other weeds of their likeness, or clinically insane otherwise, in particular, do not base their beliefs on mere sense-perceptions. Indeed, as a matter of public safety in society, their relative claims to sanity must be discounted accordingly.

Take, for example, the clinical case of recently reported suicides among secondary students in New York City schools. Include the case of the student who commits suicide under social pressures of failing grades, when the failure had been actually that of the educational system referenced, or the cultural conditions of the society of relevance. Who has failed, in the typical such cases? It is the society which has failed, in most among these cases, or, more narrowly, the onset of the clinical absurdity of the secondary class students under the impact of the currently degenerating trends in public education (such as Euclidean geometry) during the course of the recent decades, especially since 2000 (e.g., the Bush-Cheney and Obama tenures, this far). The student has lost an earlier grip on a sense of a mean-

16. March 19, 2014, see footnote 7.

ingful future life: a failing often combining brutal conditions within the society and an often more profound sense of hopeless existential defeat. Usually, the educational program itself, has homicidal effects: the sense of a meaninglessness of life lived itself.

There is nothing intrinsically specific to the case of affected adolescents, or even younger children. It is present U.S. society which is the criminal in this case, especially since the close of President Bill Clinton's terms. Life under Cheney and Obama reeks of mass homicide, in terms of deteriorating conditions of life, in terms of a deeply sensed, society-induced existential defeat, as also expressed in a sense of a meaninglessness of human life under such conditions, per se. Life, for those victims of our present society, has no longer a credible existential meaning. This is no mere sociological phenomenon; it is the characteristic of the fanatic who sheds life readily because human life itself has no credible meaning for him, or her: exactly what has been done to the typical American adolescent (among others) presently, and that increasingly so. The mere sight of the face of a Dick Cheney or a Barack Obama, is a drug-like effect sufficient to promote suicidal impulses of one guise, or another.

Thus, the Saudi mass-killer and our West Coast drug addict are victims of the same, culturally induced homicidal pathology.¹⁷

III. The Study of the Human Mind

The issue to be considered, here, is not that of life *per se*, but of human life.¹⁸ The distinction of man from beast, can not be reduced to a matter of merely relative gradations. Every human life is to be considered, in practice, as implicitly precious to all mankind, and also

17. Since the two Chechen wars launched against Russia's Vladimir Putin, by the British Empire and its Saudi-centered connections in Africa and Asia, have been a major element in the bestiality against the United States (i.e., "9-11" under Vice-President Dick Cheney's arrangements). Veteran Nazi killers operating as a designated government in Ukraine, are a related sort of Nazi-like, or related modes of terrorist modes of epidemic bestiality polluting all continents of this planet.

18. Decent human beings are not cruel to animals, lest they, themselves, lose a grip on a sense of humanity. Let an animal to be slaughtered, not experience the terminating action. We do not wish to eat our pets, or, at the least, not to be reminded of that action. Consider the relationship to "combat fatigue" under stressed-out circumstances. But: man is not, properly, an animal. Those persons who failed to grasp the distinction, are probably not far removed from mere beasts, if at all, on that account: the horrid perversions expressed by President Barack Obama and his purely homicidal kill-ratios, for example.

as essential to the continuation of progress of life on Earth in general. The ban on death-sentences can not be compromised, nor can torture of human beings be tolerated, that for the very reason of the essentially required, ontological distinction of man from beast. While we can, through animal husbandry, and, more so, through cultivation of pets, bring forth an echo-like manifestation of likeness to human behavior in pets, no animal species can ever achieve the specific quality of the viable human mind.¹⁹

The distinction lies within the specific domain of the human mind. It is not the body otherwise, which is sacred in the case of the human individual; it is the *noëtic* principle specific to the human mind: *the power of foreknowledge of a revolutionary change in the future of mankind*. Any adult (for example) human personality which does not know a relatively efficient pre-science of the actual future of history in some significant degree, is intellectually a damaged mind of that human personality. This is the essential factor in inducing human individuals into the habits of relatively human expressions of bestiality among so-called "lower classes." Ironically, it is Wall Street's rich who are the actually most inhumanly bestial, who must not, therefore, be coddled too much, but correctly recognized as more "performing animals," than of actually human intellectual qualities of morality.

In fact, that virtually relative dehumanization of large portions of the human population, has been a legacy of the legendary, evil Zeus, and of the system of government, over those kept in slavery (especially over successive generations) generally, and of the effects of

19. As a matter of principle, there is no competent form of generally accepted notion of the human mind as such. Specifically, the actually noëtic powers of the human have no exact correspondence with that of other forms of life. Man is the only species which commands access to an efficient mode of knowledge of a willfully determined future. While the human brain has correspondence with the function of the human mind, the products of the discovery of truly human knowledge of universal principles generated by the human mind, are implicitly and efficiently immortal: the crucial difference of the human species, from the beasts. Unfortunately, most persons, still today, have no functional awareness of this access to this crucially distinct, superior function of the actual human mind. The cases of the discoveries by Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, Johannes Kepler, C.F. Gauss, Bernard Riemann, Max Planck, Albert Einstein, and V.I. Vernadsky, are cases which I have emphasized in the present series of reports. The same principle is found in Classical artistic composition, as in poetry, drama, and music, but not the common trash which passes for "popular." The accounting for this principle, is located outside the domain of merely ordinary sense-perception-as-such (e.g., crude "sense-certainty" as such).



Library of Congress

The imperial system, by treating men as beasts and denying them access to the fruits of progress developed by the human mind, essentially treats all its subjects as slaves, buying and selling them for "money." In essence, it's the same as the 19th-Century slave trade, depicted in this 1854 etching.

herding imposed upon those treated as inferior classes. The systematical accelerating rates of downgrading of the educational systems imposed upon the majority of the United States student population generally, especially, increasingly, since the close of the 1960s, provides us a generalized measure of the moral imbecility which has taken over the trans-Atlantic cultures, most notably our own, in particular, since the closing years of the 1960s.

A Case in Point

The dependency on human sense-perception can be efficiently overcome, only through systemic insight into the relations between sense-perceptual experience and relations which reach into space (for example). Notable pre-modern discoveries in physical science, such as the measurement of the Earth, from observations of the motion of the Sun, by Eratosthenes, must be regarded as a refined case, beyond the dimensions of the known conceptions of the famous Archimedes.

The more crucial case, beyond the ancients (insofar as we presently know specifically comparable cases of measurements), had been the triadic achievement of Brunelleschi and Cusa, in the still unique achievement of Johannes Kepler in defining the Solar system *ontologically*, as only relatively rare contemporary scientists have actually understood the achievement of Kepler, still presently.

The concluding sentence of Bernhard Riemann's habilitation dissertation, is a similarly unique modern principle of physics still today. The character of those instants of science-discoveries of principle does not exist in the generality of physical-science subject-matters presently: a travesty largely due to the bastardly pseudo-science of such number-mblers as David Hilbert and the dupes of Bertrand Russell. The correct method for such cases is, still the method used by Kepler for defining the Solar system, as such.

When relevant matters are carefully considered, it should not be difficult to locate the distinction of Kepler's original, actually fundamental discovery of Solar principle, from the required point of view located specifically within the presumed ontological framework common to

Planck and Einstein. The definition of object has undergone a pressing requirement for a change in ontological axioms.

However, even that implication is not so simple as something which simply trying could accomplish. But, then, a greater challenge is presented, as the principle of life, particularly human life: which is quite plainly the bottom-line of the human knowledgeable experience: hence, the crucial significance of the work of V.I. Vernadsky.

With that, the definition of ontology itself undergoes a qualitatively higher order of ontological implications, from the object of attributed existence, to the deeper-underlying, universal principle of, immediately life, then, the ontologically still higher human life, and, thence, the notion of creativity *per se*: the water which flows, but through no same river, ever again. It is not a matter of the substance, but of the process represented.

At that stage, something conclusive has been reached here, at least: a principle, not a mere thing. Habit defies acceptance of such elemental notions. The allegedly "smallest" disappears, and the *noësis* takes control. All now has the name of creativity *per se*. The answers remain enigmas, but they are no longer, merely fantasies: push them, and see how they react, or do not react. The result? We define it as a discovered principle.

When Will (Did) You Die?

This week marks the anniversary of the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., one of America's most remarkable leaders, who was shot down on April 4, 1968, by a conspiracy of some of the most abominable traitors this country has ever known, including FBI head J. Edgar Hoover. Along with the British-sponsored murder of John Kennedy five years earlier, and Robert Kennedy, two months after King, the elimination of Dr. King consolidated a process of rapid devolution of the United States, from which we have yet to recover.

What was the quality of Martin Luther King, that our citizens, and most definitely our leaders, have lost today? Listen, and reflect, as he speaks to us across the decades:

“You may be 38 years old, as I happen to be. And one day some great opportunity stands before you and calls you to stand up for some great principle, some great issue, some great cause. And you refuse to do it because you are afraid. . . . You refuse to do it because you want to live longer. . . . You're afraid because you will lose your job, or you are afraid that you will be criticized and will lose your popularity, or you're afraid that somebody will stab you, or shoot at you, or bomb your house, so you refuse to take that stand.

“Well, you may go on and live until you are 90, but you will be just as dead at 38 as you would be at 90. And the cessation of breathing in your life is but the belated announcement of an earlier death of the spirit. You died when you refused to stand up for right. You died when you refused to stand up for truth. You died when you refused to stand up for justice.”

Rev. King gave that speech at the Ebenezer Baptist Church in Atlanta in November of 1967, a few months before his courageous leadership in expanding what we know as a “civil rights” strug-

gle into a full-blown battle against the disastrous war in Vietnam and overall attack on the living standards of the American working population, white and black alike, triggered his enemies to act. His *potential* to break through as a great national leader for all Americans, led him to be cut down with the approval of the very same evil forces that killed the Kennedys.

Today, those who claim that expanded “sexual” rights and the occupancy of the Oval Office by a dark-skinned President represent the realization of Dr. King's dream are spitting on his grave. On the contrary, most American leaders—outside the La-Rouche movement and those collaborating with us—have given up even striving for a human identity, fighting against the powerful financial (oligarchical) forces which control our planet, for the benefit of all humanity. Empires, like that which the British monarchy stands at the head of today, are perfectly happy to see the spread of “sexual freedoms,” as long as they go unchallenged in their determination to maintain power in a depopulated, dumbed-down world.


“An individual has not started living until he can rise above the narrow confines of his individualistic concerns to the broader concerns of all humanity,” Dr. King declared. He was demanding that his fellow citizens act as world historical leaders, and achieve the kind of society worthy of human dignity for *all* mankind. That kind of action meant sacrifice in the short term for the individual, but would result in the survival of the noble ideas for which they fought, eternally.

This is not a timeless goal. The world right now stands on the brink of an abyss, with nuclear war and the economic murder of whole nations staring us in the face. Either we take up Dr. King's challenge now, or there may not be another chance.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 - \$180 for six months
 - \$120 for four months
 - \$90 for three months
 - \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa
 Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____