

EIR


Executive Intelligence Review

April 18, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 16

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

The Choice Is Ours: WWII or Cultural Renaissance
Steger Charges Obama Is Killing the Democratic Party
Top Russian Lawmaker Alexei Pushkov Talks to EIR

**The Prospect for a U.S. Future:
Build the Real American Party**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly

(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach

Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany

Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,

basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.

Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:

eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,

Col Plutarco E Calles,

Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,

Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights

reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without

permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement

#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.

Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

It is impossible to overestimate the importance of our cover feature in this issue, Lyndon LaRouche's "Build the Real American Party" (*Statecraft*) With this article, LaRouche intends to kick off an intensive campaign around what he calls "Hamilton's Principle," the principle of economy underlying the U.S. Constitution, which mandates a *national* commitment to fostering a process of progress, based implicitly on investment in ever-increasing energy-flux density. This principle must again be at the center of American political life, as it was under Presidents Abraham Lincoln and Franklin Roosevelt, among others.

The current strategic context for LaRouche's article was laid out by his wife Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in a speech in Washington, D.C. which forms our second feature. Zepp-LaRouche presents a thorough picture of the NATO/Obama drive for war, which most people want to deny, as well as the global solution in the concept of the Eurasian Land-Bridge (*Feature*).

The rest of the issue provides relevant strategic updates, along with a number of unique contributions. First, our exclusive interview with Alexei Pushkov, head of the Foreign Relations Committee of the Russian Duma (*International*). Pushkov's comments on the roles of Tony Blair and Robert Kagan are trenchant, but, in line with the Putin government's policy, he concludes with a strong promotion of the Strategic Defense of Earth policy, a necessarily cooperative project.

Second, in light of the increasing focus by the British Empire on destroying China, we bring you our exclusive research report on the Uighur terrorist movement, which is being used in a manner not unlike al-Qaeda, as irregular warfare against China (*International*). EIR's record on unearthing such British operations is unparalleled.

Third, we present the state of the battle against British-Wall Street control of the United States, starting with the ongoing revolt in Congress against Obama's protection of Dick Cheney's torture program (*National*). Then we highlight the California Congressional campaign of LaRouche Democrat Michael Steger, who is running in coordination with Kesha Rogers' campaign for the Democratic Party nomination for Senate in Texas. Between them, you can see the qualities of leadership that hold promise for reshaping American politics in precisely the direction LaRouche's article lays out, "rebuilding a real American party" that can save the nation.

Cover This Week

Alexander Hamilton, Father of the American Economic System, and his followers, Abraham Lincoln and Franklin Roosevelt.



Hamilton statue: EIRNS/Stuart Lewis; Lincoln portrait: Alexander Gardner (1865)

4 The Prospect for a U.S. Future: Build the Real American Party

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “The time to make the urgently needed decision for U.S. survival, is, about no later than right now; but only, this time, for a Democratic Party which (hopefully) had soon ousted President Barack Obama from its back. For actually thinking citizens who care for our republic as a whole, that is the only fact to be considered. To succeed, we must just plain rid ourselves of what is the presently, inherently alien cult of the Wall Street tradition, and also, implicitly, both presently Democratic Party’s and Republican Party’s varieties of the continuation of the ultimately national-suicidal, ‘Bail-out—Bail-in,’ monetarist scheme, from, top to bottom.”

11 The Constitutionality of the National Bank

Feature

22 Helga Zepp-LaRouche: The Choice Is Ours To Make—WWIII or Cultural Renaissance

Zepp-LaRouche addressed a private seminar in Washington, April 9, where she stated that the danger of a confrontation between the U.S./NATO and Russia over events in Ukraine, “could evolve either to a complete catastrophe of mankind, or, if we can change the parameters in time, it could also lead to a complete, new peace order for the 21st Century....”

International

- 33 NATO, Obama Lower Threshold for Global Thermonuclear War**
The British Empire and its pawns, Obama and NATO, are determined to crush any resistance to their imperial plans, and are thereby bringing the world to the threshold of global thermonuclear war in the attempt to save their bankrupt empire, Lyndon LaRouche told RIA Novosti in an interview April 14.
- 36 Natalia Vitrenko: Peaceful Negotiations Needed in Ukraine**
- 37 Interview: Alexei Pushkov—Russian Leader Confronts Eurocrats in Strasbourg**
Pushkov is the chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee of the Russian State Duma.
- 41 Hagel Receives a ‘Drubbing’ from Chinese Military Leaders**
- 44 Xinjiang’s Uighur Jihadists and the Wahhabi Empire of al-Qaeda**
An *EIR* Investigative Team analyzes the continuity between the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks on the U.S., the 9/11/12 hit on the U.S consulate and CIA annex in Benghazi, Libya, and the terrorist atrocity in Kunming, China March 1.

National

- 50 Do Congressional Dems Have the Guts To Impeach Obama?**
Behind the scenes, many Congressional Democrats have come to hate President Obama and his Wall Street- and London-directed policies to destroy the United States. They whisper in the corridors of the Capitol, but so far, have failed to summon the intestinal fortitude to move for impeachment.
- 52 Action Revived for Glass-Steagall Law**
- 53 Steger Charges: Obama Is Killing the Democratic Party**
Working closely with Texas Senate candidate Kesha Rogers, California Congressional candidate Michael Steger is leading an aggressive effort to take back the Democratic Party in California, for a real FDR-JFK policy.
- 54 Interview: Michael Steger—California Candidate Looks to Pacific-Centered Economic Development**
Steger, who is running for the Democratic Primary nomination in California’s 12 C.D. (San Francisco), to unseat Nancy Pelosi, was interviewed by Nancy Spannaus on April 10.

Editorial

- 58 Glass-Steagall, a Strategic Necessity**

THE PROSPECT FOR A U.S. FUTURE:

Build the Real American Party

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

April 2, 2014

As of right now, there is no actually optimistic prospect for the survival of either the U.S. Democratic, or Republican Parties at this time; but, if we might presume that President Barack Obama were to be summarily impeached very soon, there is hope.

My just stated remark is perfectly true, without any possible exceptions. The general impression, when carefully considered, is incontestible in fact; but, there are also many essential illustrations of why this is the case, as the case which must be made carefully by serious policy-shapers before rushing to quick responses to the facts reported as presented here. On this account, I have constructed a series of counterposed chapters whose intended, and also necessary effect, must be to restate the case, repeatedly, in a kaleidoscopic series of viewings of the subject as a whole in varying contexts.

The reason for my (admittedly) qualified optimism here, is that which the Obama-hating portion of the Democratic Party represents, for us: what must be the actually best potential for winning the apparent contest for the rescue of our republic, despite that party's own recent

record of frequently terrible mistakes in economic policy-making and related affairs.¹ Good, long-suffering leadership avoids unnecessarily prolonged dissension within the ranks, but, that is to say: only sometimes, as might be, hopefully the case, now.

The simple fact to be considered under the condition of any continuation of the presently dominant section of the Republican Party leadership, is, that the United States itself, could not continue to exist much longer, if it remained under a continuation of its currently present trends in both leading parties. The chief difference is, that the case for the Republican leadership, like Obama's own prospects, is the absolute worst.

The time to make the urgently needed decision for U.S. survival, is, about no later than right now; but only, this time, for a Democratic Party which (hopefully) had soon ousted President Barack Obama from its back. For actually

1. The irony is, that the early impeachment of President Barack Obama, would upgrade the Republican Party to become, quickly, the most hated one. It is Obama's own perverse opposition to re-enactment of Glass-Steagall which is the Republican Party's ultimate desire, while traditional Democrats' growing hatred of Obama is, presently, the Republican Party's presently strongest source of its own appeal for its own support from what had been, recently, Democratic voters, otherwise.



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Alexander Hamilton's crucial role in devising the policies on which the survival of the U.S. Republic depended has been grasped by few Presidents.

thinking citizens who care for our republic as a whole, that is the only fact to be considered. To succeed, we must just plain rid ourselves of what is the presently, inherently alien cult of the Wall Street tradition, and also, implicitly, both presently Democratic Party's and Republican Party's varieties of the continuation of the ultimately national-suicidal, "Bail-out—Bail-in," monetarist scheme, from, top to bottom.

If that cleansing action were once done, then, on the precondition that Obama is dumped by the Democratic Party, very, very, soon: the consequently howling defeat of the pitifully misguided, present Republican Party policies,

would actually give our actual republic, as a whole, an excellent prospect for not only survival, but a serious progress for our nation: although that benefit would have then come, admittedly, long after an all-too long slide into that seemingly endless despair which has reigned over our United States' citizenry since the currently, now four successive elections of the worst Presidencies elected for four, two Republican terms as President, and, then, two, horrid, successive terms of nominal Democratic Party Presidents, of each of them, in office.²

Hamilton's Genius

The key to understanding the causes for the respective rises and declines of the U.S. economy, is to be considered from the time of the founding of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, to the present date.

This outlook must be captured as a concept in the prevalent failure of most Presidents and certified scholars alike: their systemic ignorance of the crucial role of Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton's creation of our crucial stipulations on which the survival of the initial U.S. Republic had depended from the start. There could be no actually competent insight into the successes and failures of our national economy, without noting the decisive influence of the frequent failure to understand the elementary principles, of Hamilton, without which every generation of the U.S. Presidency has failed, as we have failed miserably since the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy, and his brother Robert. This vision of an historic legacy will reappear over the course of this following representation throughout all actually modern history, since Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler, and, later, from Carl F. Gauss, Bernhard Riemann, and then Max

2. The Republican Party currently asserts, that it is waiting until the next Presidential election campaigning, to unleash its allegedly mighty triumph over the Democratic Party. Unfortunately for the Republicans, their own political existence, under their current "Bail-in" policies, will have been exterminated long before that election were to have occurred. "Bail-in" means a presently immediate threat of a total blow-out of the present, trans-Atlantic financial system. Hence, the immediacy of the present threat of an immediate threat of a general, planetary, thermonuclear war already being orchestrated by the Obama administration under British imperial guidance.

Planck, Albert Einstein, and V.I. Vernadsky, throughout the history of mankind's science and Classical arts, from then, to the present date.

The Relevant History

The actual foundations of our United States of America, are sometimes glibly traced, but, only thoughtlessly, to the famous voyages of Christopher Columbus.³ The roots of the United States of America, came much earlier, in the so-called "Golden Renaissance." It had been that Renaissance, led by, early, Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and, then, the great discovery of the principle of the Solar system, by Johannes Kepler, which had brought about the creation of the foundations of our United States.

It was, actually, the consequent shift of European colonists into the Americas, most notably, that the Massachusetts Bay Colony and the Eighteenth-century, revolutionary developments of what would converge to become the United States of America: the American revolution which had forced the systemic creation of physical-economic progress echoed among even those remaining in Europe.⁴

3. Christopher Columbus's trans-Atlantic achievements had been an indispensable precondition for not only establishment of the Americas (the United States most emphatically); however, the rest of the subsequent achievements have been those, chiefly, *developed within the Americas*. Our U.S.A., established by those who established the political systems with the Americas, such as the Massachusetts Bay settlements, from which I, in part, had descended: the greatest factor of progress so far. However, Columbus had been inspired by the influence of Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa: who actually did inspire the trans-Atlantic American settlements, as in the case of the Massachusetts Bay Colony.

4. It was the science-driven passion of that Great Renaissance featuring the leadership of both Filippo Brunelleschi and his greater successor, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, who created the impetus which had, subsequently, explicitly prompted the inspiration for the escape from a hopelessly corrupted Europe, an escape, which had been promoted by the mission of Christopher Columbus. As the case of the founding of the Saugus Iron Works typifies the facts of the culture of that Massachusetts colony of the Winthrops and Mathers, which had founded such notable institutions, then, as Harvard college and the Saugus Iron works, all expressions of relatively advanced economic technologies, per capita, far beyond the skills of the Dutch-controlled creation of the British empire. Benjamin Franklin's creation of the coal-and-iron industries of England, is typical of the legacy of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, which was never out-classed, but only "Dutched." Wall Street today, is the child of the original "Dutch Treat" of swindles centered in the settlement on, and nearby Manhattan. The history of modern science, from its birth in the Renaissance of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and the founder of a

The core truth is, that the actual foundation of our republic was actually laid down under, principally, the Massachusetts Bay Colony, done under the 17th-Century leaderships of the Winthrops and Mathers: although the design of our, later, United States of America (U.S.A.), was achieved as an echo of the Massachusetts colony, then under the leadership of Benjamin Franklin,⁵ as has been done under the Federal Constitution established by, most notably, the command of President George Washington and President Washington's first Secretary of the U.S. Treasury, the extraordinary genius of economy, Alexander Hamilton.

Recently, our United States has indulged itself in great, potentially dooming follies, most recently, under actual "Unitary" Presidents (nominal Republican) Bush-Dick Cheney and the British Queen's⁶ own (only nominally Democratic) choice of another pack of treasonous scoundrels, Barack Obama et al. That recent record has been to blame for the disastrous outcome on the loss of popularity by the defeated respectively, alternating, Republican, or Democratic national political party, each in turn in the role of the temporary "outsider."

The U.S. Republican Party's allegedly current, but utterly foolish prospect for winning a next Presidential election after the completion of President Obama's term, is being estimated, currently, in terms of the already overwhelming popular rage against the actually mounting evils actually committed against the people of the United States, by the increasingly hated World War III-maker, President Obama, just as two similar terms under Vice-President Cheney, had brought Democratic nominee Obama into office with drug-pushing Queen Elizabeth behind it all.

Nothing could be sillier than the prospect of present turnabout, back to the pathetically silly dream of a Republican success to be delivered, as if miraculously, at

competent astronomy, their heir, Johannes Kepler, and later founders such as Gottfried Leibniz, were the founders of all competent strains of development of modern science to the present date.

5. See H. Graham Lowry, **How The Nation Was Won**, Vol I 630-1754, Executive Intelligence Review 1988. Graham had been among the very most accomplished historians for the account of the birth and roots of American Revolution, as far as he had been permitted in presenting the full account. Unfortunately, some scoundrels had intervened, behind my back, to prevent Graham's second volume from being produced: not a mere chronicle, but uniquely insightful living history throughout.

6. The current Empress Elizabeth, was named, notably for the original Queen Elizabeth I of William Shakespeare's time.

the close of Obama's already self-doomed term in office (or, more probably, global, thermonuclear warfare). The Republican Party's ill-fated delusion, has been one which depends, actually, on the typical citizen's clearly understandable, but not really excusable, and mounting, popular rage, a rage rooted in the voters' rising hatred against the leadership of President Barack Obama: something like trying to end a headache, by removing one's own head.

Hitherto, the actual source of the serious problems of our United States Republic, is a rage which has come from time to time, which had been, early on, essentially, the same anger brought on by the crushing of the original, Seventeenth Century Massachusetts Bay Colony, which had been done by those Dutch tyrants who had crushed that Colony with sheer military force: the same Dutch Predators which had, earlier, and later, too, invaded the British Isles to butcher the Irish, still over the course of the Eighteenth Century. These predators were the same Dutch tyrants who established what was actually curiously named, subsequently, as the British Empire: the which had been modeled, both wittingly and systemically, actually, on what had been known, earlier, as the original Roman Empire, as was defined by British historian Edward Gibbon's explicit design of the mapped principles for the **Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire**, as continued through the present date of British (almost planetary) imperialism, still presently.

That was the exact same British Empire which, together with the British side-show, called "Wall Street," presently, a "Wall Street" which is the leading foreign, British imperial enemy operating inside our United States, still today. It was a continuation of the same Zeus-ian tradition as the Roman Empire, as the so-called "Green" policy infestations currently destroying our United States.

From Seeming Side-Shows to Science

Our actually greatest founders of the United States, including the outstanding, actually scientific leaders of the republic, Benjamin Franklin and Alexander Hamilton, beside the sometimes doubting President George Washington; they had understood the crucial distinctions of excellent statecraft with an often scientific precision, as had our truly great model Presidents, such as, later, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, James Garfield, Benjamin Harrison, William McKinley, Franklin D. Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy.

There had been other honorable Presidents, but, nonetheless, the majority of the actually elected Presidents of the United States, had ill-served both the United States and its population, that often brutally. A large number of Presidents had been actually treasonous, or, optionally, greatly to be regretted otherwise. In other words, while the design of the original United States had been superb in its time, the practice of our succession of governments, from time to time, had, also, often been even monstrous, and therefore, by no

The Republican Party's ill-fated delusion, has been one which depends, actually, on the typical citizen's clearly understandable, but not really excusable, and mounting, popular rage, a rage rooted in the voters' rising hatred against the leadership of President Barack Obama: something like trying to end a headache, by removing one's own head.

means an unblemished record of our republic's Presidencies and policy-makings. Great Presidents had been rare; wretched ones were, relatively, the most frequent: London-based bankers in Boston and Manhattan had been the notable agents of treason, as under British-owned skunks such as Aaron Burr's hired, treasonous stooges, Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren.


The immediate issue for us, here and now, is that, recently, the U.S. population generally, has suffered two great errors of its own practice:

Why the U.S.A. Has Failed

The first case, is that, since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, most of our Presidents, since,⁷ have had, most of the time, virtually no comprehension of the necessary physical principles of economy; university Professors of economy, and their influence on Presidents, for example, are to be included generally, most notably, more for their follies, than their

7. President Ronald Reagan had notable promise at the start, but the Bush family influence, and an assassination from which he had survived, had, nonetheless, impeded President Reagan's potential success at a crucial point, early in his two terms in office: the Bushes, not Reagan's preference, had arrived into the Vice-Presidency.

FIGURE 1
Manufacturing Employment Back to Early 1800s Level
 (Manufacturing Workers Per Capita)



achievements. Consequently, second, there is, presently, virtually no actually scientific comprehension of the principles of our republic (as Benjamin Franklin and Alexander Hamilton had shown this to be fact), nor has there been a truly competent modern statecraft among us, a deficiency which has been general throughout most of the modern history of our planet, so far, but particularly shameful for what had been our better own United States itself.

The crucial consideration in seeking to understand the causes for the failure of the United States government since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, remains the elementary fact, that that had been the purpose of the assassinations of the two Kennedy brothers, in the first place. The attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan shows the role of the pattern set by the rabid Anglophile influence of, earlier, one-time Hitler backer Prescott Bush and also the Dulles brothers.

Secondly, recently, the current leadership of the Republican Party has represented a record of the virtually lowest quality of design of economic performance to date, and still plunging at accelerating rates: the present influence of Wall Street on the U.S. economy, is the most obscene, and most explicitly evil practice of economy in the entire history of our republic, to the present date so far.

In both cases, the result of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, created a condition under which there has been no actually net growth of the per-capita standards of production and living since the as-

sassination of President John Kennedy, through to the present date. The growth of Wall Street and related nominal income-wealth has not been actually productive, but, rather, essentially parasitical. The collapse of the productive standard of living in the U.S.A., in physical-productive terms per capita and unit of energy-flux density, since the cancellation of the Glass-Steagall Law, has been the greatest rate of accelerating collapse of the per-capita welfare of the net physical product of the U.S.A. economy in our history (not counting the parasites of Wall Street and comparable mere parasites).

Yet the idiots (e.g., the pretended paragons of the most recent Republican doctrine) had been presently, either directly, or indirectly, the most incompetent and otherwise unpleasant creatures within our nation's recent economic system, since the span from the successful assassination of President William McKinley, until the successful election of President Franklin D. Roosevelt.⁸

So, the great majority of our citizens and their de-

8. Excepting Taft and Harding, Wall Street and the British empire had been in charge.

FIGURE 2
Manufacturing Production Workers and Rail Workers Per Capita, Indexed to 1968 = 1.00





FIGURE 3
NASA Budgets Since 1958

(2007 Constant Million Dollars and % of Federal Budget)



Sources: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Census, EIR

pendents, are being presently looted to the virtual point of accelerated mass death-rates, presently: first, under the nominally Republican figure Dick Cheney, and the worse-than-Cheney, Democratic President Barack Obama, all in the tradition of treasonous creatures such as Presidents (and British sub-agents of Britain's agent, Aaron Burr) such as the disgusting Andrew Jackson and the swindling Martin Van Buren, or other outright scoundrels and virtual enemies of our republic, such as: Theodore Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, Calvin Coolidge, and Wall Street's Herbert Hoover, Harry S Truman, and the Bushes from Prescott through to the Dick Cheney stooge, silly George W. Bush, Jr.

There has been, in fact, no net successful physical-economic performance by the U.S. economy as a whole, since the rapid transition from the assassination of President John F. Kennedy to the beginning of the lunatic launching of an unnecessary U.S. war in Indo-China, as both President John F. Kennedy had demonstrated, and the greatest military commander in recent history, Douglas MacArthur as, in opposition to Truman's intention, at Inchon, and in his warning strenuously against the reckless absurdity of the U.S.A. being lured by the British empire, into an Indo-China war. President Ronald Reagan had heroic moments, despite the imposed Bush factor, and President Clinton had also had his noble moments; but, we have never had a truly

successful Presidency of the United States since the assassinations of John F. Kennedy, and what his brother, Robert could have been, had he not been assassinated, too. The lurking enemy predators were always active in our ring.

Otherwise, since the death of President Franklin D. Roosevelt, the United States' government has been, usually, still, a Dulles-witted trend in bureaucratic tyranny, that since the moment of FDR's death, to the nadirs of the Bushes and their like, and Obama now.

*There has never been, in net physical effect, any actual increase in the physical per-capita productivity of the U.S. economy, since the assassination of President Kennedy, and the associated long and foolish war in Indo-China.*⁹ The rest of the counted money, has been merely useless gambling proceeds which contributed a far less-than-nothing benefit to the U.S. economy, but much to the gamblers and kindred wastrel, monetarist masturbators of mere money. Wall Street's income has always been a parasitical waste, with no net benefit ever contributed to the welfare of the nation. The net growth of income to the nation has always been, on balance, a net loss to the United States as such, since the worse than useless Indo-China war; the net productive powers of labor of the U.S. population have been halted in the aftermath of the administration of President John F. Kennedy; since then, the waste-makers, such as the Bushes, have been in control.

Yet, in Spite of All That ...

Nonetheless, throughout the history of our republic, there has been one tirelessly great principle of our *political-economic* system, that typified by the legacies of Benjamin Franklin, Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, Wil-

9. Money *per se* has no intrinsic value under the principles of the original U.S. Federal Constitution.

liam McKinley, Franklin D. Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy.¹⁰

The crucial fact to be considered most carefully, is, that, actually, Wall Street's doctrine is, from our patriotic standpoint, clinically insane. Remember, that Abraham Lincoln was a Republican, and that despite a number of our political skunks, such as Calvin Coolidge and Herbert Hoover. The greatest Presidents had tended to have been assassinated in the interest of the British empire, and the worst like skunks, such as Confederacy-bred Theodore Roosevelt, the Woodrow Wilson (who have rebuilt the Ku Klux Klan from within the White House), and, skunks such as, in fact, Wall Street and its like, have never contributed a net penny to improve the physical economy of our United States.

Benjamin Franklin had understood all sorts of problem clearly; Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, has remained, to the present time, the greatest eco-

10. See also, publications such as Allen Salisbury, **The Civil War and the American System: America's Battle With Britain, 1860-1876**, Campaigner Publications, 1978. Most conveniently informative reading, available at www.larouche.pub.com.

omic genius, systemically, of his time, that for any nation of this planet, as virtually up through the present day: respecting current U.S. economic principles, physically, as for Wall Street; and Wall Street's very existence is a sheer fraud, as by true standards of net physical productivity. In brief, Wall Street actually earns nothing, and should, therefore, be simply written off the proverbial books, so that we of the United States might now go about our nation's business successfully.

Alexander Hamilton had already specified everything an honest accountant in the U.S.A. might need to know: but, which, in fact, only a very tiny minority of the present U.S. citizenry (or, even members of the U.S. Government) actually do know presently.

The crisis which very, very few members of the present members of the U.S. government actually know, is the truth about the subject of what is called "money." The central issue of the entire constitutional system of economy of the United States under its Constitution, was addressed by Secretary of the Treasury Alexander Hamilton under the topical heading of an "Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank,"

KNOW YOUR HISTORY!


America's Battle with Britain Continues Today

The Civil War and the American System: America's Battle with Britain, 1860-1876

W. Allen Salisbury, ed.

\$15.00



PDF download



The Political Economy of the American Revolution

Nancy Spannaus and Christopher White, eds.

\$15.00 PDF download



How the Nation Was Won

America's Untold Story 1630-1754

by H. Graham Lowry

\$9.99

PDF download

Order online from
store.larouche.pub.com

EIR News Service, Inc. P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
1-800-278-3135

the same principle of economy which enabled President Abraham Lincoln to defeat the British Empire's launching of the British attempt to break up the United States on behalf of the British Empire. We must intend the directly opposite outcome of the moments at hand: I explain that, scientifically, as follows.

I. Money, Production & Trade

The economic principles supplied for the Constitutional system had been outlined by Secretary of the U.S. Treasury Alexander Hamilton under the first Administration of President George Washington. These principles are, still presently, those detailed in the other three of the total of the four categorical stipulations provided by Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton: the same Hamilton who had been the leading scientific genius of the United States since Benjamin Franklin. All four of those stipulations made by Secretary Hamilton, in his report as Secretary, and as resident genius of the Treasury, comprise a particularly single universal principle of the economy for our national system of government, a principle which remains perfect in essential design by Hamilton, to the exact-same set of principles urgently required presently.

I direct the reader's intention to a most remarkable

element of Secretary Hamilton's four papers on the subject of the implications of the original U.S. Federal Constitution, his **Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank**, the most essential and most penetrating among the considerations of the scope and depth of the implications of the actual composition of that Constitution when considered as, as Hamilton does: *in its wholeness*.¹¹

To wit:

There was never a common practice of Wall Street banking and related practices, which was not, by design, a treasonous violation of our Constitutional System of Government. All four principles of law established by Hamilton's office, hang, still, commonly and interdependently, on the detailed elaboration of the argument at law presented in the "Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank." Every violation of that body of law presented by Hamilton's notion of a National Bank, has been the leading cause of those greatest follies of national economic and related social practice which

11. It was the opportunist schemes on behalf of "States' rights" as an exceptional feature of the Republic's law, which had ruined the United States from the death of President George Washington until the elections of Presidents James Monroe and John Quincy Adams. The assassination of Alexander Hamilton had been an action on behalf of British and kindred banking interests centered in the vicinity of Manhattan and Boston (during those relevant times).

'Constitutionality of the National Bank'

Due to the attempt by then-Secretary of State Thomas Jefferson and his allies to get President George Washington to veto the bill establishing the National Bank, Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton produced his definitive "Opinion on the Constitutionality of the Bank" on Feb. 23, 1791.

While his opponents tried to define the issue by saying the Constitution did not explicitly permit the Federal government to establish corporations, Hamilton argued that the Constitution's establishment of the powers of the Federal government specifically gave it that power, in relation to its responsibility to manage "its finances, trade, defence . . . in advance-

ment of the public good." This rule ought to be "construed liberally," he added.

At the very start, Hamilton gets to the core of the issue of the *implied* powers of the Federal government to achieve its purposes by establishing corporations, among other actions:

"Now it appears to the Secretary of the Treasury, that this *general principle is inherent* in the very definition of Government and essential to every step of the progress to be made by that of the United States, namely—that every power vested in a Government is in its nature *sovereign*, and includes by *force* of the *term*, a right to employ all the *means* requisite, and fairly *applicable* to the attainment of the *ends* of such power: and which are not precluded by restrictions and exceptions specified in the constitution, or not immoral, or not contrary to the essential ends of political society" (emphasis in original).

have been self-inflicted upon or by the incumbent government of our United States.

The Hamiltonian notion of national banking, does, indeed, present a design for what may be fairly described as *national economic accounting, as such*; but, nevertheless, at that core, it were, essentially merely an accounting system conceived in the nonsensical dreams of such as the silly David Hilbert and the virtually Satanic Bertrand Russell; it is a reflection, expressed, symptomatically, by merely an accounting system; but, that folly must be rejected, as a matter of principle. *Physical science*, as Hamilton was opposed to the intrinsic silliness of mere financial accounting on this account, depends upon the most fundamental principles of physical-science practice as such. It is not a matter of the apparent, outward clothing, but of how, and by whom, and for what purpose, it is worn. The principle is *human bio-physical* in nature; *it is not monetary, not financial per se*.¹²

The Constitution versus the Parasites

Hamilton remains, still presently, very clear in respect to his scientific principles, and no contrary principles were ever actually competent ones, as experience has demonstrated this fact, repeatedly, and more than abundantly.¹³ So, for example, since the outright lunacy, and near-treason of the Dodd-Frank pollution, which has absolutely ruined our U.S. economy since President Clinton had been virtually driven out of office in the end: as if hog-tied by British-intelligence-services-authored, but also Republican-delivered swindles, all that by aid of a pack of Republican hooligans of the breed of Bushes ranging from the Prescott

12. The currently popular, but implicitly fraudulent views on this matter of law, have been, most notably, on, specifically, the frauds first presented, successively since David Hilbert's 1900 Paris hoax (International Mathematician's Congress), and the continuation of Hilbert's hoax in a more virulent expression by Bertrand Russell and Russell's followers. This hoax had replaced physical principles by mere numbers. Hilbert's crude hoax was soon superseded by the virtual destruction of actual modern principles of physical science, by the radically reductionist schemes of the inherently evil Bertrand Russell. Russell's own version of that hoax has been the principal source of the systemic destruction of the actual principles of physical science since that time.

13. No deductive method has ever made a scientifically systemic physical-economic forecast. I have frequently challenged such would-be forecasters, and I have always been correct, whereas they had always secured a score of performance, as wrong, not only in fact, but in conception, as in my confrontation with Wall Street on that account during the course of Summer-Winter 1971. It is a matter of notable public record.

who (successfully) saved Hitler's ambitions to be a fascist tyrant (and, also, sort of started World War II), through Wall Street's Prescott's personal and biologically kindred contributions to the rosters of our republic's governments. E.g., Dick Cheney, for example, or, looking back, the Dulles brothers' evil rampages at home and abroad.¹⁴

Put aside that political rubbish from our nation's past, but do it definitely, to remove the rubbish cluttering the highway to recovery.

Now, to the Matter of Economic Science

Every such violation of that law introduced to our Constitutional architecture, under our government, has been the fruit of *an intentionally evil contamination of the actually constitutional law of our own republic*, a contamination often perpetrated under the tainted rubric of a commercial corruption of international systems of the law consistent with our own U.S. Constitution, as, for example, in the evils done in favor of Wall Street speculation.

Hence, Wall Street's very existence, is to be shown here as a fundamental violation of actual U.S. constitutional law.

All specifically Wall Street values are, therefore, subject to being disowned, even as if peremptorily, or to be considered as polluting trash, on that specific account: as the cases of the practices of both "Bail Out" and "Bail In," illustrate, in the extreme, the inherently fraudulent characteristics of Wall Street's currently claimed "assets." However, the foundations for defining such determinations are not to be found in matters of monetary practices, but must be the productive powers of labor unique to the specific properties of the human species alone.¹⁵

The best up-to-date explanation of those principles of constitutional law, is best located in the systemic natural distinction of man from beast: a fundamental natural law: a law of nature. The essentially bestial law of economy among nations generally, as distinct from our own Constitutional law, is the scientifically absurd pre-

14. How Mussolini and his girl-friend, went to Switzerland with a trailer of revealing documents, during the day, but came back, hanging upside-down, by the ankles, in a gas-station near Milan, to the astonishment of the surprised Italian observers that next morning.

15. However, even such lawfully summary practices themselves, must not be applied capriciously, but only for reasonably good cause of necessity, as, for example, the generally catastrophic effects of "Bail Out"-"Bail In," or hyper-inflationary trends generally.

sumption, that economic value within and among nations might be permitted to be defined by a measure of money *per se*.


How shall we overcome those popular, but wholly mistaken beliefs concerning economic processes which befuddle the so-called experts in economy: even more than they fool the ordinary citizens themselves? The errors which underlie the causes for the collapse of the U.S.A. economy since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The error is not one of interpretation; it is ontological.

Two Cases of Criminal Fraud & Stupidity


For example:

The idiocies peculiar to the notion of money *per se*, are to be compared to the absurdities of what I have stated above as the merely mean and foolish David Hilbert, and the chronically evil, international war-maker, Bertrand Russell: both cases, together with their followers, have proposed to substitute merely minimalist symbols as proposed equations for living processes. These two idiocies have dominated the nominal practice of a merely alleged “mathematical science” over the entire span of the Twentieth Century, and still, more foolish expressions ever, into the presently new century, thus far.¹⁶

That Bertrand Russell was, and remains, in the extent of his influence, then, as now, among the most evil creatures recently known on this planet, has passed among even some otherwise intelligent observers, as



Library of Congress



The chronically evil Bertrand Russell (left) and the merely mean and foolish David Hilbert, “together with their followers, have proposed to substitute merely minimalist symbols as proposed equations for living processes.” Such idiocies dominate alleged “mathematical science” today.

to-be-taken-in the mere course of time. It is the truly mass-genocidal evil thoroughly permeating his underlying method which has been an actually Satanic intention, the which has been widely overlooked, as much as the identical, essentially Zeusian evil, which is embodied intrinsically, in the British imperial oligarchy as such as the current imperial Queen Elizabeth, a fact which has been stubbornly denied by the culpable, such as by the tradition of the British in India as already shown, already, centuries ago, as, once again, by Winston Churchill in India, more recently.

That evil monarchy’s systemic features are, in historical fact, systemically and explicitly Satanic, as the case of Bertrand Russell merely reflects this. The threat of thermonuclear warfare from the British imperial puppet, U.S. puppet-President Barack Obama, is merely a display of the evil Queen which Obama actually serves as in matters of warfare, and to which he submits, presently.

This is the subject which must be more carefully examined, if we are to get at the proverbially systemic errors in popular opinion respecting the principles of successful economy, presently. We must, therefore, now plunge into the relatively little known, but, nonetheless, presently indispensable principles of physical science which lie, as if outside the domains of native sense-perception as such.

16. E.g., Bertrand Russell’s Russian pseudo-scientific dupe, A. Oparin, respecting the subject of life: vs. that still leading scientist of life of present centuries, V.I. Vernadsky. For all competent cases since the Golden Renaissance of Filippo Brunelleschi (the infinitesimal physically actual), Nicholas of Cusa (the maximal), and the ontological principle of Kepler’s discovery of the existence of the Solar system, or, the minimal of Max Planck, the maximal of Albert Einstein, and the principle of life of V.I. Vernadsky, all competent science is based on comparable relations among absolutes, rather than variables as such. Cf. the implications (already) of Bernhard Riemann’s habilitation dissertation, the bridging principle between the enigmas of Carl F. Gauss, and the maxima of Einstein.

II. Actual Human Nature

As I have emphasized lately, the notion that “human nature” must be expressed in terms of reference to sense-perception as such, is the most significant factor of systemic intellectual incompetence suffered by such as the commonplace intelligentsia of, for example, our United States, and Europe, still presently. The most shameful case of that incompetence recently, came at the beginning of the Twentieth Century, first, as I have already noted here, earlier, in the 1900 nonsense of the hoaxster David Hilbert, and the more satanically inclined Bertrand Russell, shortly after that, and that perpetually. The hoax has been in the form of the silliness of mere arithmetic and similar pseudo-logic, rather than physical science: that, for both of those pioneering hoaxsters, Hilbert and Russell, and for those who practice what they have emulated.

Ask yourself, this: *do you believe in principles of law, or, do you prefer what is commonly called: “popular opinion”?* If you prefer “popular opinion,” you are a sucker for the pitch of any swindler who comes walking

down the block. Take, for example, the case of a newly-wed husband, who comes swindling down the block, showing off the (mechanized) shop-window dummy he had considered most attractive. “She may not be the brightest thinker in the neighborhood, but she does really look good: she meets my needs.” That has often been exactly the way in which many voters have selected their preferred candidates (e.g.: “dates”) for public office, as their slogans should have forewarned you.

It was in just this fashion, that even a majority of so-called scientists, beginning the 1920s, abandoned competent scientific practices for the swindles of Bertrand Russell, and why so many among you, or your forebears, had followed the leadership by President Franklin Roosevelt, soon, later, turned to swallow the pranks of a Wall Street “political hooker,” Harry S Truman.

Science Versus Monetarism

Returning attention to that pair of evil clowns, David Hilbert and Bertrand Russell, and their spiritual likenesses, they have continued (for as long as they have lived) to declare war against all actually competent physical science since, that being since, in particular, the emerging of modern Europe and North America expressed by the Renaissance of Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler. *All successfully great scientists, such as those three, had always premised themselves on a proven demonstration, that the functions of the human mind’s cognitive powers lay outside the domain of mere sense-perception as such. The same has been the characteristic, at a higher level, of the genius of Max Planck, Albert Einstein, and V.I. Vernadsky’s conception of both life in general, and of the qualitatively higher form of human life.*

For this purpose, I have placed emphasis, recently, as in still earlier modern locations, on two sets of systemic proofs of that notion from the work of the greatest scientists of modern society: the first, by the successive steps of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Johannes Kepler, and the second, gradually emerging largely from the work of Carl F. Gauss and Bernhard Riemann, in particular, the discoveries of life introduced implicitly not

More on Brunelleschi

Megan Beets, Lyndon LaRouche, and Jason Ross, “LPAC Weekly Report: The New Paradigm for Mankind,” [video](#), April 9, 2014.

Karel Vereycken, “[The Secrets of the Florentine Dome](#),” pedagogical at SchillerInstitute.org, 2013.

Bruce Director, “[The Long Life of Catenary: From Brunelleschi to LaRouche](#),” *Fidelio*, Spring 2003.

Nora Hamerman and Claudio Rossi, “[The Apollo Project of the Golden Renaissance](#),” *21st Century Science & Technology*, July-August 1989.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., “Brunelleschi and the Quantization of Space,” *21st Century Science & Technology*, July-August 1989.



only by Max Planck and Albert Einstein, but, by the inclusion of the work of V.I. Vernadsky—the latter benefits to be delivered are more than merely substantial, but are still very much in progress currently.

The general basis in proof for those two specific cases, is supplied by the record of evolutionary progress according to the inherently willful characteristics of the development of the human species, as in contrast to all other presently known, but absolutely inferior, other living species. In other words, we are addressing, here, the implications of the history of biochemistry as that continues to be developed voluntarily by mankind, through, essentially systemic expressions of progress of the physical principles of human life.

The failure to progress willfully from relatively lower, into higher powers of human self-productivity,

per capita, and per unit of action, is the measure of proof of the failed self-development of that human progress which accepts the inherent absurdity of the systemically “green” disease of human willful self-degeneration. *The characteristic of our human species as a successful one, is the increase of humanly efficient energy-flux-density per capita.* All other human cultures which are not rising to continually higher intensities of energy-flux density, are to be considered as systemically physically and morally degenerate, and are, thus, intrinsically physically and morally depraved, *per se.*

This standard for progress is also the same as the standard of productivity which distinguishes failed human cultures, from successful ones. The failures have been, chiefly, those which have been chiefly effects imposed by what are generally classed as merely “oligarchical systems,” as distinguished by the effects of outright slavery and serfdom, or, in other words, by the inherent degeneracy of a culture consistent with a so-called pollution known as a “green policy.”

The ability of mankind to survive as a species, has always depended upon a willful commitment to an “anti-green” cultural policy.

End the “Green” Pollution:

This has a certain parallel in the history of evolution of living species generally. Inferior species, die out, as it is said, “more or less naturally.” This kind of failure has a comparable expression in “backward human cultures.” The essential distinction of man from beast, and of human from bestial, is the increase of mankind’s willful expression of relative potential population-density, as through the means of rising energy-flux densities

of the productive powers of human labor, *noëtically*: such was V.I. Vernadsky’s general principle of development through the self-improving action of life as such.

A crucial benchmark leading in the direction indicated by the achievements of Vernadsky, is notable in the achievements of the Classical scientific scholar, Eratosthenes, in his measurement of the Earth from two points on the surface of the Earth itself. All of the best accomplishments of Archimedes had depended upon the crucial success of Eratosthenes’ mapping: a forerunner of the method of Johannes Kepler’s later discov-

More on Vernadsky

Translations of several of Vernadsky’s works are available at www.21stcenturysciencetech.com.

William Jones, “The ‘Greening’ of Vladimir Vernadsky: How the Russellites Sabotage Science,” [21st Century Science & Technology](#), Fall-Winter 2013.

Megan Beets, “Some Thoughts on Vernadsky’s Noö-sphere,” LaRouchePAC [video](#), June 6, 2013.


“150 Years of Vernadsky,” [21st Century Science & Technology](#), Spring 2013.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., “On the Noetic Principle: Vernadsky and Dirichlet’s Principle,” [EIR](#), June 3, 2005.

LaRouche, “The Vernadsky Strategy,” [EIR](#), Feb. 18, 2005.

LaRouche, “The Legacy of Mendeleev and Vernadsky: The Spirit of Russia’s Science,” [EIR](#), Dec. 7, 2001.

LaRouche, “V.I. Vernadsky and the Transformation of the Biosphere,” [EIR](#), July 27, 2001.



ery of the proof of the existence of the Solar system. Eratosthenes' measurement of the Earth, is, thus, implicitly, an early intimation of that crucial, ontological principle discovered uniquely by Kepler, on which all competent physical science has depended ever since, pending the still higher discoveries which have appeared since then.

In other words, the human species neither actually know itself, and, therefore, nor can prove nothing in principle from mere sense-perception as such: until the human mind's progress has discovered systemic evidence, independent of mere sense-perception, that man knows anything other than the inherently dubious evidence which mere sense-perception might provide.

Human Knowledge

All competent citizenship depends upon recognizing the systemic distinction of the human individual from the beasts.

The earlier insight into the distinction of man from beast, corresponds to the fact, that the human mind cooks its food; the beasts do not do so willingly. From that primitive stage of matters, onward, the ability of the human species to continue to exist as a species, depends upon an increase of the relative energy-flux density expressed as human progress per capita: all of which means increases in the energy-flux density of human action taken for the purposes of human progress per capita.

The Massachusetts Bay Colony, under the leadership of such as the Winthrops and Mathers, expressed that intention, and, also, its successful practice with an ingenuity which was, in its own fashion, an emulation of the wonderful, earlier achievements effected under the leadership of the Golden Renaissance's Filippo Brunelleschi, and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and their true heir in physical science, Johannes Kepler: the Kepler who made the original discovery of the principle of the Solar system, from whom all later, legitimate achievements in modern science had ensued.

The consequence of that achievement, from the work of, most notably, Brunelleschi and Cusa, to Kepler's applied resolution of their own great discoveries, has been the basis for all European and trans-Atlantic progress (in particular) sense. This progress has been challenged by such relics of the ancient evil of Zeus, and its legacy of the Roman and presently British (virtually global) empires.

The particular achievement of the development of

our United States, has been our trends of commitment to the legacy of the great, so-called "Golden" Renaissance of, most notably, Brunelleschi and Cusa, and the resolution of their combined achievement by the discovery of the Solar system by, uniquely, Johannes Kepler. All approaches which neglect the crucial significance of that legacy, whether in physical science, or otherwise, have been a source of error for mankind generally.

Against that background:

We must reconsider the evidence that the cases of Hilbert and Bertrand Russell, are still lasting models of a vicious fraud against mankind, a fraud which had been expressed by a British empire which expresses the inherent evil intrinsic to the Roman empire's Zeusian tradition, since. Thus, for the citizens of our United States presently, the legacy of physical-scientific and related progress under such as the Winthrops and Mathers, and under Benjamin Franklin and Alexander Hamilton, embody, for us, today, an essential expression of the highest intentions of mankind this far in history. That has been the true essence of the achievement of our Federal Constitution.

It is the educational and related development of each and all among our citizens, which encompasses, more simply, and more efficiently than anything else, the essential root and mission of our United States of America. Our educational system, for physical science and for the Classical tradition of the greatest scientific discoverers, Classical poets and dramatists, and Classical musicians, had been, thus, the implied essence of the truly American human mind. The quality of mind of the citizen, such as the virtually martyred Secretary Alexander Hamilton—butchered on the orders, delivered via certain bankers of Manhattan, of our most natural adversary, the British empire's agent Aaron Burr, and by such wretched traitors against our Constitution, as Aaron Burr stooges Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren.

Our republic is fit only to serve the mission for all humanity which we, for our own part, must always represent throughout the planet as a whole, and beyond.

We must, therefore, resolve to recommit ourselves, without exception, to the great historical mission which gave birth to our appropriate intention on behalf of mankind, as here, on Earth, but, also, in space beyond. The Solar system is, ultimately, our proper frontier for as far distant as we have been enabled to foresee, this far.

III. Hamilton's Great Principle

Alexander Hamilton's principle of credit, on which the existence of the United States as such has always depended since, locates creation, as *lying not in the product per se, but in the actually creative process of production and consumption, as such, an essential distinction of the behavior of mankind from mere beasts, and from slaveholders' systems, a distinction rejecting the British imperial system and its like, as was done, for example, by Presidents such as John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, President Franklin Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy.*

In fact, every deviation from that policy, by our United States, so far, has been, more, or less, viciously tragic in its effects.

In mentioning Hamilton's policies, we must take into account the fact, that foreign powers had uttered credit to the United States, during the defense of our own republic. The international obligations which we

had so defined, could not be directly incorporated into the domestic system of economy of the United States itself. Therefore, there were four, rather than merely three elements of the U.S.A.'s required system of national economy, as specified by Hamilton.

Whereas, the principle of the Hamiltonian solution, was that the productive powers of labor must be fostered to the effect of the benefits of scientific and technological progress in the system of production and trade. These considerations, as such, were amply presented in the three sections of the **Report on Public Credit**, **The Report on a National Bank**, and the **Report on the Subject of Manufactures**. The principle of the system of the U.S. economy, was, therefore, premised on the systemic increase of the productive powers of labor throughout the unfolding process of scientific-technological-human development processes. The implication is that profit can only exist, *as a matter of intended principle internally*, as an integral expression of the increase of the productive powers of labor, not merely financial profit as such: i.e., the policies of practice by President Franklin Roosevelt, as by Hamilton himself, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, John F. Kennedy, his brother Robert, and the best aspects of the policies of Presidents Ronald Reagan and William Clinton.

Whereas, both all of the leading Bush candidates, have fought, as has Barack Obama, to impose virtual genocide on an increasing ration of the U.S. population itself: in other words the mimicking of the pure evil traditional to the legendary Zeus and those who emulate him, such as the Roman and British empires, and the extension of their influence. The human intention is to weed out such empires, intentionally shrinking their influence, and, ultimately, eliminating that influence for the sake of humanity itself.

The issue of progress is not one of money as such; it is the increase of the creative powers of human productivity, the policy of practice which distinguishes scientists from slaves, and human beings from cattle. This means, from the standpoint of practice, the increase of the energy-flux density of the power of human labor, and of the human from relatively lower, to ever-higher qualities of the existence of the realized productive powers of labor within our Solar system, and beyond.

The issue of progress is not one of money as such; it is the increase of the creative powers of human productivity, the policy of practice which distinguishes scientists from slaves, and human beings from cattle. This means, from the standpoint of practice, the increase of the energy-flux density of the power of human labor, and of the human from relatively lower, to ever-higher qualities of the existence of the realized productive powers of labor within our Solar system, and beyond.

More on Hamilton

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., "Hamilton in Today's World & the Crisis Today: The Principle of Natural Economy II, [EIR](#), Feb. 28, 2014.

Nancy Spannaus, "A Matter of Principle: Alexander Hamilton's Economics Created Our Constitution, [EIR](#), Dec. 10, 2010.

Michael Kirsch, "Draft Legislation To Restore the Original Bank Of the United States: Returning the U.S. Economy to the Principles of the U.S. Credit System," "Introduction to Draft Legislation," [EIR](#), March 8, 2013.

"Three LaRouchePAC Videos: The Hamiltonian Basis of a Global Credit System," [EIR](#), Aug. 14, 2009.

"200 Years Since Hamilton's 'Report on Manufactures,'" [EIR](#), Jan. 3, 1992. Entire issue devoted to Hamilton and his international influence.



Hamilton's Principle is, therefore, to be recognized as at the kernel of our Federal Constitution: the perfectly unifying principle of eternal human progress.

That was the principle of the great Renaissance on whose premises our United States had been built by its true founders. Those who oppose our policy, are either simply stupid, or, if they become too influential, are to be considered as being as inherently evil as slave-masters, drug-pushers, and pimps, are evil: in a word: criminally inclined.

Call it, then, "The Hamilton Principle," as a means for placing the needed remedial emphasis on the underlying most essential cure of our own republic's worst habitual evils. There is, in fact, no present hope for the resuscitation, or even the continued existence of our Constitutional United States, unless the Hamiltonian principle is restored and re-enforced immediately. The habits of rot have been continued too long, and have reached so deeply into the habits of our republic, that there is no chance for the continued existence of our republic, unless the Hamiltonian remedy were put into operation immediately. It is, after all, the foundation of our Federal Constitution's effectiveness.

On the Subject of Foreign Trade

However, the economy of our United States could never have been, only an internal system. From the beginning of our establishment of our republic, and even earlier, the economy of the United States was the subject of both a foreign trade, and obligations to creditors of our United States' economy.

Therefore, whereas the principle of the economy, as Hamilton had carefully elaborated its principles, must include both U.S. debts to foreign obligations to the United States, and the role of foreign trade, in general. These meant, at a minimum, three leading factors to be considered: (1) the physical productivity of labor's product; (2) the necessity of the increase of the per-capita productive powers of labor, over time; and, (3) the costs of foreign commerce incurred from outside internal national productivity.

When all three categories *per se* are taken into account, there is no monetary factor *per se* to be an addition to the needed accounts.

Such are the fruits of Hamilton's; (A) **A Report on National Credit**; (B) **Report on a National Bank**; (C) **Report on the Subject of Manufactures**; and, (D) **Opinion on the Constitutionality of A National Bank**: the latter defines the essential distinction of a national economy from a national collection of varied qualities of

monetarist systems of debt gathered under a single flag.

In other words, an effectively national economy per se; money per se, otherwise, has no intrinsic value in a sane economy. That, and precisely that, is the underlying principle of The American System of political economy. Anyone of a different opinion has been terribly misled; however, most of our citizens today, have, indeed, been misled, exactly in that matter of fact.

This is not competently a debatable issue; it is a matter of ***a universal, physical principle, of natural science***: as follows.

IV. When & Why Physical Science Died

Formally, strictly speaking, modern science began to be destroyed systemically, by the silly David Hilbert, in what I have already referenced here, severally, as a conference of mathematicians, in Paris 1900. A truly modern "numbers racket," as launched by Hilbert, was soon followed, essentially by Bertrand Russell, who had brazenly claimed to have killed the principle of any actually physical science, from that time, up to the present date. Under the global influence of the British empire of today's actually, globally imperial Queen Elizabeth, physics was thus already replaced by merely theories of numbers in one guise or another, but, most commonly, in the guise of the hoax known as monetarism. For such as the followers of Hilbert and Bertrand Russell, actual science did not exist.

In fact, the appearance of Hilbert on the stage in France, in A.D. 1900, signaled the beginning of a subsequently accelerating decline in the culture and economies of the trans-Atlantic regions, in particular. The Satanic figure of the British Empire's Bertrand Russell has been the archetype of all of the principal evil which mankind has suffered since the beginning of the Twentieth Century.

We, in the United States and Europe, are experiencing those effects presently, in the extreme. Typically there has been no sustained rise in the actually net standard of living of the populations of the trans-Atlantic sector, in particular, since that assassination of President John F. Kennedy which had unleashed a prolonged U.S. participation in a war in Indo-China itself (not including by-products). The standard of living of the United States and Europe has been shifting from stagnant to increasingly steep physical-economic declines ever since.

Thus, the great spirit of science, launched on a

modern basis, which had been launched during the Golden Renaissance, under the great ecumenical modern science of such as Filippo Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and, conclusively, by Johannes Kepler's unique discovery of the Solar system as such, was thus consigned to the status of a cargo of merely mathematical junk, all that done in the image of the infamously popular hoaxster of ancient geometry, Euclid, from which the presently virtual death-knell of real science had been re-sounded, once again, since 1900 in Paris, to the present trans-Atlantic domain, in particular, up through the wildly reductionist, "green" insanity at the present date.

This and Related Patterns

Unless that foolishness is stopped, now, there is no future for the United States, nor, most other places on this planet, most emphatically the trans-Atlantic regions.

Like Miniver Cheevy of Hilbert's and Bertrand

Russell's times, they all had their alleged, but actually never existing, "reasons." The root of all such dogmas as those of the pitiable Hilbert and the satanic Bertrand Russell lies in the denial of the existence of actually universal physical principles: a notion which is notoriously that of the evil Zeus who is the actually best-known model for the establishment of the pure evil of the Roman and British empires. In fact, the net effect of the Zeusian cult of Hilbert-Russell cult's influence, has been expressed as a denial of the specifically *noëtic* nature which distinguishes the human species absolutely from all lower forms of life.

Of late, on this account, I have emphasized two episodes in modern physical science.

The first, that of the discoveries of Filippo Brunelleschi (the minimalist concept of nature in the very smallest) and the maximalist of Nicholas of Cusa; but, reaching an interim conclusion with the uniqueness of the discovery of the principle of physical space, by Johannes Kepler. All modern science since that time had been premised upon that triadic principle.

The second case, as I have identified it, emerged in the guise of a phase-upshift in scientific progress, with the new outlook inhering in the work of Carl F. Gauss, but as continued by the work of Bernhard Riemann since the presentation of his 1854 habilitation dissertation: all truly modern science has been borne out through the expressed principle of Riemann. The effect of the Riemann and related developments, emerged in the expression of a new triadic principle of physical science, that of Max Planck (a neo-Brunelleschian minimalist), Albert Einstein (a neo-Nicholas of Cusa in science, a maximalist), and an echo of the resolution of Kepler, in the treatment of the principle of life as in V.I. Vernadsky: the principle of the intrinsically noëtic human mind, an echo of the human mind's progress in the

effort to achieve a discovery of the organization of space¹⁷ beyond the realm of merely human sense-per-

More on Nicholas of Cusa

Translations of several Cusa's works are available at www.schillerinstitute.org.

Toward a New Council of Florence: 'On the Peace of Faith' and other Works by Nicolaus of Cusa, translated and with an introduction by William F. Wertz, Jr., [Schiller Institute](http://www.schillerinstitute.org): 1993.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., "What Was Actually Genius? Nicholas of Cusa, Kepler & Shakespeare," [EIR](http://www.eir.org), June 21, 2013.

LaRouche, "Reflections on a Work by Nicholas of Cusa: The Strategic Situation Now," [EIR](http://www.eir.org), Dec. 23, 2011.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, "Honoring Nicolaus of Cusa: A Dialogue of Cultures," [EIR](http://www.eir.org), July 6, 2001.

Bruce Director, "Nicolaus of Cusa Moved the Earth," [Fidelio](http://www.fidelio.com), Summer 2001.

Zepp-LaRouche, "Nicolaus of Cusa and the Council of Florence," [EIR](http://www.eir.org), Jan. 4, 1991.



17. All physical scientists who reject Kepler's discovery of the principle of the physical organization of Solar space, are not necessarily incompetent as scientists generally, but their degree of incompetence is nonetheless, relatively, very large. Most of today's alleged scientists are not actually scientists; they are, often, mathematicians in the worst possible sense; the academic professors of such merely mathematical inclinations, as for the schools, are the most pernicious cases of them all.

ception as such, the entry into true knowledge of true physical space, rather than merely sense-certainty space.

The political irony which these considerations present to us with a certain forcefulness, must be matched with the essentially systemic differences of mankind from merely animals, the difference of the true human mind, from the depravity inherent in the axiomatic presumptions of both David Hilbert and Satan's own Bertrand Russell. They are self-degraded to creatures of sense-perception, rather than the principle of mind. Indeed, the entirety of the Twentieth Century has been dominated, in schools, universities, and comparable institutions, by a reductionist pathology rooted in the systemic frauds of such as Hilbert and Russell. Secretary Alexander Hamilton, was an absolute scientific genius, when compared to the mere bookkeepers

and kindred mere reductionists who have dominated and wrecked truly scientific endeavors, more and more, over the course of the Twentieth Century, as still now.

The mathematicians prattle, while the actual scientists remain, at their best presently available options, bystanders of the digitalist fray. In a word, most among the present crop of so-called "scientists" either lack knowledge of actually physical principles, or are usually prevented from practicing them; the meaning of their own very existence, is, therefore, essentially nothing much more than yet another deduction, while the rare, nearby-standing actual scientist, sits as an idled spectator, while the fanatical fools on the computers, bankrupt the human species with their maniacally silly, and essentially unproductive play, like the chronic poker players which they are, essentially: like the worse than useless jerks of Wall Street: the models of activity without actual production.

Indeed, we could and should (indeed we must) simply cancel the false assets of Wall Street and kindred wastrels, as John Smith had warned the British wastrels inhabiting the coast of the America in his time. To eat, you must actually produce useful products for the benefit of society.

Diseases of idle play, such as the games of Wall Street, tend to kill human beings; reductionism is such a disease, in fact, one among the worst.

More on Kepler

Megan Beets, "An Eerie Quality of the Future: Kepler's Vicarious Hypothesis," *EIR*, Oct. 26, 2012.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., "The Wonderfully Immortal Ghosts We Must Be: Einstein Viewed Kepler," *EIR*, Nov. 12, 2010.

Jason Ross, "The Pedagogy for Teaching Kepler's 'Astronomia Nova,'" *EIR*, Jan. 8, 2010.

"The LaRouche Show: LYM Breaks British Effort To Bury Kepler at Prague 4th Centennial of New Astronomy," an interview with Jason Ross, *EIR*, Sept. 25, 2009.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., "Kepler's Actual Discovery: Mathematics Is Not Science," *EIR*, Nov. 21, 2008.

"Johannes Kepler's Harmony of the World Webpage," no date. <http://science.larouchepac.com/kepler/harmony/>

"Kepler Exposes Aristotle's Sabotage of Astronomy," *21st Century Science & Technology*, Winter 2001-02.



V. The Mind of Human Life

The human being is the only species which actually cooks its own food. The existence of that species (our own) depends upon the continuing increase of the relative energy-flux density of the self-development of the human species: rising, always, from relatively lower, to higher per-capita rates of manifest energy-flux density. We are the only, presently known species which is enabled to accomplish that seeming miracle of biology.

This human progress depends upon realizing the effect of increasing energy-flux densities in effect of work, from the level of the simple use of fire, to thermonuclear fusion presently. Those persons captured by devotion to the so-called "green" opinions, are essen-

tially degenerate offspring of the human species, and, more than often, degenerates in every sense of the term.

Yet, the characteristics of the human mind are not simple; they are intrinsically **noëtic**, never deductive as such. That is expressed as a non-linear scale of increase of the effective energy-flux density of the activity of the human mind. For our uses here, the economic principles of Secretary Alexander Hamilton meet the requirement of a standard for measuring human progress *per se*. For this purpose, my own representation of the principles of physical science, as typified by me, here, first, as the Brunelleschi-Cusa-Kepler, and, then, the Planck-Einstein-Vernadsky sequence, typifies, in practice the nature of the human mind, as distinct from the mere reductionists.

Thus, it has been considered, seriously, that Hilbert was merely dumb, whereas Bertrand Russell had been malicious. Wrong, both were malicious; Hilbert was only dumber. The requirement for mankind, is that human progress proceeds in qualitative, not quantitative leaps, as the cases of both Brunelleschi-Cusa-Kepler and Planck-Einstein-Vernadsky, exemplify the nature of the human evolutionary process in terms of leaps in relative energy-flux-densities among species, and among the progressive states of the culture of mankind.

In brief, 1900-2014 has been, this far, the interval of a “new dark age” of trans-Atlantic mankind. That is the crisis. There is the remedy.

Hamilton Once More

The intervening considerations, which I have presented, from the beginning of this report, to its now approaching conclusion, lie not in mathematical measures as such, but in qualitative, rather than merely quantitative measures. The essential distinction by which this must be understood, is given in measures of progress ordered in a series of energy-flux densities in the relationship between the energetic and cognitive interdependency of the leaps upward in the ordering of living species generally, and in the weightier measure of leaps in the prowess of the development of the human mind. The relationship between increase of applied energy-flux-density and the leaps in the productive powers expressed as human productive progress, is crucial. The crucial feature, so identified is otherwise to be identified as the creative power of irony, as in progress in scientific principles for work, and in Classical musical

rules of composition, as in Classical modes of poetry, drama, and song.

In his exceptional piece, on the subject of **Opinion on The Constitutionality of a National Bank**, he achieved a relatively highest point of insight into the nature of the human species: the essential wholeness of that species, a wholeness which is, in and of itself, the principle which regulates the process as a whole: the noëtic principle of the human mind.

This principle is located (for purposes of reference) in the nature of the actually noëtic characteristics shared by a congruence of both nature and the human mind. This pertains, specifically, to the essential feature of human creativity: the ability of the human species to order the leaps in power of the human species which we record, from experience, as the leaps in the mental-creative powers expressed as results of the progress of the human mind of both the individual, and the society of that individual: *The implied, as much as the expressed powers of the human mind. Especially, the power to foresee the future in the manner in which history necessarily unfolds. Those who cannot foresee the future, at least some times, do not yet know the present.*


21st Century Science & Technology

The Continuing Gifts of Prometheus brings to life the stunning progress made in physical chemistry over the course of mankind’s history, in the context of the ongoing conflict between Prometheus, who gave fire and “all the arts” to man, and Zeus who was determined to destroy humanity.

Physical Chemistry is the application of higher forms of “fire” (i.e., nuclear “fire”) to transforming the physical world.

A Promethean culture today will build the expanded North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA XXI) and begin mining Helium-3 on the Moon for fusion power.

Get your copy today from Amazon.com \$17.01



HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

The Choice Is Ours To Make: WWIII or Cultural Renaissance

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed a private seminar in Washington, D.C., April 9, 2014. She was introduced by Jeffrey Steinberg, who noted that she had just returned from Germany, where her party, the BùSo (Civil Rights Solidarity Movement), is campaigning for the May 22-25 European parliamentary elections; in February, she had traveled to China where she had a series of high-level meetings. Here is her address.

I welcome you to this discussion which is taking place at a very hot strategic moment. And I think, having been in China in February, in Germany, until just a couple of days ago, and now being in the United States, I can assure you that the world looks quite different from each of these angles, and that there is a growing rift, actually, between what is happening in Germany, and what is the viewpoint of matters in the United States. As a matter of fact, I think that it is much more dramatic than most people who are listening to the main mass media would assume.

The reason is, that the policy which erupted around the crisis of Ukraine last November, and which has subsequently led to a putsch, a coup, in Kiev, which installed a non-legitimate, interim government, which in turn, then triggered the events leading to the independence of Crimea, and its joining the Russian Federation—that these developments are looked at quite differently in the United States, than they are looked at in most of Europe, but emphatically in Germany.

And there is, on the side of some forces in the Anglo-American world, much more willingness to go for a confrontation with Russia, to go for a confrontation with China, than there is in Europe, where people who had two world wars on their territory in the last century are extremely concerned, that if this policy by NATO and the United States, and by the British government, is pursued, that this could lead to a third, and this time, thermonuclear world war, which would lead to the destruction of all humanity.

An Undefined Situation

There is right now an undefined situation. This present strategic situation could evolve either to a complete catastrophe of mankind, or, if we can change the parameters in time, it could also lead to a complete, new peace order for the 21st Century, and therefore, go in the direction of something which would have been the logical development after the Soviet Union's collapse between 1989 and '91. Because, at that point, the "enemy," so-called, Communism, had vanished, and it would have been quite easy to use what was called in Germany, "a star-hour of civilization, a star-hour of history." That could have been used, and we could have established a completely different world order of a lasting peace.

Unfortunately, at that point you had a government in the United States which was very much contrary to the tradition of the American Revolution, or the tradition of



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

"All we need right now is a vision of what the world should look like 50 years from now," Zepp-LaRouche declared, "and how can we transform this poor, tortured globe, from a wretched condition, into something which will be a new Renaissance, based on the ideas of the greatest artists and scientists of all cultures, of all times."

Benjamin Franklin, or Alexander Hamilton, or John Quincy Adams: If John Quincy Adams would have been the President in 1991, it would have absolutely, with certainty, led to an alliance of sovereign republics around the world, for the development of the common good. Unfortunately, you had, with Bush Sr., a President who was strongly leaning in an Anglophile direction, and they decided at that point, to go on the basis of the neo-conservative "New American Century" doctrine, to go in the direction of building a world empire.

And subsequently, with the interruption of the eight years of the Clinton Administration, the Bush Sr. Administration, the two Bush Jr. Administrations, and now, the Obama Administration, the U.S. has been on a policy course of rollback of Russian interests, and also trying to contain China.

A Nazi Coup in Ukraine

Now, this was combined with, for example, in the case of Ukraine and other East European countries, the financing of *thousands of NGOs*; in the case of Ukraine, some 2,200 NGOs were financed by such organizations, like the National Endowment for Democracy, the IRI (the International Republican Institute); unfortunately, also European think-tanks, such as the Konrad Adenauer Foundation, which built up a network of activists which

were selected on the profile of their being anti-Russian. This led to the Orange Revolution in 2004, which brought in Yulia Tymoshenko as prime minister, a government which lasted only very briefly; and it led to other such changes, such as in Georgia, the Rose Revolution; and subsequently, regime-change in many other countries which were regarded as not submitting to the idea of a "new world order."

This was in place, and when the [President Viktor] Yanukovich government, in November, at the last second, refused to join the EU Association Agreement, this triggered this present situation. Now, what Mr. [Russian President Vladimir] Putin, at that point, said, is that what was activated were networks which had been prepared and which were in place for the Presidential election of 2015.

And what then erupted, was that the peaceful demonstrators, a handful of students, a handful of citizens who legitimately opposed the corruption of the Yanukovich government, were immediately taken over, not only by these organized networks of the NGOs, but also some new phenomena emerged: the hard-core Nazi networks, like Svoboda, like Right Sector, and other groupings which all referred to the tradition of Stepan Bandera, the Nazi collaborator, who had helped the invasion of the Nazis in the 1940s, and networks which, despite the fact that they had committed Nazi crimes, like killing over 100,000 Jews, Gypsies, Communists, were never prosecuted.

Now, these networks were never put in front of a Nuremberg Tribunal or other prosecution, for a very simple reason: The Western intelligence services—the CIA under the leadership of Allen Dulles, MI6, and also later, the [West German] BND, took over these networks for a "stay behind" operation when the Cold War started, to be used in the case of a confrontation with the Soviet Union. A lot of the people who became active in the Maidan were people associated with the "captive nation" conception—these were networks in the East, which, despite the fact that they had a very dubious character, never really were questioned.

So, they started to recruit new people in the 1970s



Creative Commons/Sasha Maksymenko

State Department “hitman” Victoria Nuland’s use of vulgar language is the least of her offenses; she collaborated with neo-Nazis such as Defense Secretary Andriy Parubiy (left), to overthrow the elected government of Ukraine, and install the more pliable “Yats” (right) as interim prime minister.



European Council



YouTube

and '80s, and these are the people who then carried out the really violent activities in the Maidan. They snatched this revolution, so to speak, or this protest, and increased the violence over December and January. On Feb. 20, snipers, according to all witnesses, went onto the roofs around the Maidan and killed people from both the police and the demonstrators. And then on the 21st of February, the three foreign ministers from Germany, Poland, and indirectly, also from France, made an agreement for a peaceful transition, including an election for 2015. This was rejected by the Maidan, and that was what then triggered the coup, which brought in Mr. “Yats” [Arseniy Yatsenyuk], as he’s called, which was announced by [State Department official] Victoria Nuland, in the famous phone conversation with Geoffrey Pyatt, the U.S. Ambassador in Kiev, a couple of weeks earlier.¹

And there was a big freakout at the time that Victoria Nuland had used rather vulgar language to express her view that the EU was superfluous and actually a burden, and should be gotten rid of in terms of its influence. But

the real scandal naturally is not that she is a vulgar person; the real scandal is that she was caught red-handed, meddling in the internal affairs of a foreign country. And the fact that Mr. Yats, as she calls him, is now

the interim prime minister, proves that his is an illegitimate government which has no legal authority at all.


The question then was, the openly Nazi character of these networks associated with the government of Yats, which Svoboda is a part of; and the security forces which were organized by such people as [Secretary of the National Security and Defense Council Andriy] Parubiy, who is a member of this Nazi grouping. And you now have a Nazi coup in Ukraine. Among other things, the rule that the Russian language should be forbidden, helped to trigger the events in Crimea, and there is now a big dispute: Is this a violation of international law, and was this an illegitimate coup, establishing a Nazi government in Ukraine?

Encirclement of Russia, China

This has all escalated now, by NATO calling for more troop presence in Poland, in the Baltic States, and it is very clear that we are on a course which could lead to World War III in the short term.

1. See Helga Zepp-LaRouche, “Will Plot Against Ukraine Lead to Coup, Civil War or World War III?,” *EIR*, Feb. 14, 2014.

FIGURE 1
The Military Encirclement of Russia and China



The reason I'm saying this, is, as we have published in the past, you cannot look at the effort to enlarge NATO eastward, up to the borders of Russia, including the expansion of the EU up to the borders of Russia, as an isolated fact. Just a couple of days ago, Mr. [Jack] Matlock, the former ambassador of the United States [to the USSR], in this crucial period, reconfirmed, that there was a promise by [George H.W.] Bush in 1990-91, that there would be no enlargement of NATO. This promise was given also to [former Russian President Boris] Yeltsin; it was given to [former German Chancellor Helmut] Kohl, to [former German Vice Chancellor Hans-Detrich] Genscher, and obviously, this promise was completely broken, and NATO was expanding into all kinds of East European countries, step-by-step encircling Russia.

Now, if you go around to think-tanks in Washington, they will all say this is not true. But it is a fact: If you look at the map (**Figure 1**), the fact is that NATO has expanded. And when it came to Ukraine, and the danger that Ukraine would be incorporated into NATO, whereby the existence of the Russian fleet in Sevastopol, and the access to the Black Sea, and therefore to the Mediterranean, for Russia was endangered, this had

become a point where even Western think-tanks admitted that, if Ukraine had gone into the NATO orbit, Russia, de facto, would have become indefensible. And that is why Russia acted the way it did. Because the idea that Russia would just give up and capitulate was obviously a complete miscalculation.

We have made, emphatically, the point that the war danger comes from a couple of facts. One is, the fact that the NATO strategy has completely changed. If you remember in the beginning of the 1980s, we had the middle-range missile crisis between the SS-20 and the Pershing 2, whereby you had NATO and the Warsaw Pact in a state of "launch on warning" all the time, and there could have been an accidental thermonuclear war, because the warning time of these two missile systems being headed toward each other in Central Europe was just too short! That if one side would have seen a missile on its radar, it would have had no choice but to launch the entire arsenal. And at that point, we had the Mutual Assured Destruction [MAD] doctrine, which was the idea that you can never use thermonuclear weapons, because if you use them, then everybody will be extinct as a result.

Now, at that time, [former Chancellor] Helmut

Schmidt and many others warned that we were on the verge of World War III. You had hundreds of thousands of people protesting in the streets in Germany, and there was a clear awareness that we were on the verge of potential extinction.

In the meantime, a lot of things have happened, and you had an evolution of military doctrines. For example, there have been many articles published about the real significance of the U.S. missile-defense system in Eastern Europe, that it's really a first-strike doctrine. Naturally, this is not officially admitted, because, officially, this missile system is supposed to be against Iranian missiles, but then, if you look at the map, why put it in Poland and Romania, now?

And there were articles, such as, for example, by authors [Daryl G.] Press and [Keir A.] Lieber,² that admitted quite clearly that, due to the development of modern technologies, it would be possible to take out the nuclear arsenal of any opponent without the danger of radioactive fallout, and that therefore, you, de facto, had a first-strike doctrine.

Now, Russia, about two years ago, had a big conference in Moscow, where the General Staff presented video animations which showed very clearly that the Russian interpretation of the U.S. missile-defense system in Poland and in Romania, and in the Aegis destroyer component, is meant as a first-strike doctrine, and that Russia does not accept that, but that they have counter-systems, like the deployment of the Iskander missiles in Königsberg (Kaliningrad), and that they are quite capable of launching a second strike. Chief of the General Staff General Makarov even said that it could come to a first strike launched by Russia, at Central Europe, if the U.S. missile-defense system were to be built beyond a certain stage, after which, Russia would become indefensible.

Another doctrine, called "Prompt Global Strike," goes in the same direction; it essentially has the idea to put conventional weapons in ICBMs and other missile systems, which would have also the ability to knock out the second-strike capability of Russia and other forces, essentially by using cyberwarfare, by eliminating the command and control, very quickly, as it was already demonstrated on a small scale in the two wars against Iraq.

The same logic rules the U.S. "Asia pivot" policy,

2. "The New Era of Nuclear Weapons, Deterrence, and Conflict," *Strategic Studies Quarterly*, Spring 2013.

the Air-Sea Battle doctrine against China, which, again, has the idea that, due to the development of modern technologies, it would be possible to take out the nuclear arsenal of, in that case, China. Against which China, last October, presented, in all the leading Chinese publications, documentation that, given the fact that China has 70 strategic submarines in the Pacific, any such idea is completely ludicrous, and China would be able to react to a first strike, with a second strike, knocking out the entire West Coast of the United States, and then having a second line of attack, via the North Pole, knocking out the East Coast.

This is what we are dealing with: that you have practically a worldwide deployment, whereby, as part of this NATO expansion—the encirclement of Russia and China—the United States has developed more than 1,000 bases around the world, and, in a certain sense, it is a trigger, whereby the world could be blown up. Just imagine how many nuclear weapons are in place, ready to go, launch-on-warning, the Ohio-class submarines in the Indian Ocean and Pacific: We are sitting on a potential Armageddon, which could extinguish civilization.

Bail-In

What is now happening in Europe?

On the one side, the war danger not only comes from these weapons systems and military doctrines, and from the military posture, but the real war danger comes from the fact that the entire trans-Atlantic sector, that is, the EU and the United States, is in a process of total collapse of the financial system.

Many people say, "Oh, it's not so visible yet," but the fact that the EU finance ministers and heads of state, a couple of weeks ago, signed the law for a so-called "bail-in": that is, the idea that the entire policy which followed the banking crisis in 2008—the bail-outs using taxpayer money to finance the debt of the speculators, and thereby turning private gambling debt into state debt, thereby increasing the debt burden of the states—and combining that with quantitative easing, i.e., pumping liquidity practically without limit—theoretically, that that policy has now been exhausted, and that you need to deal with the danger of one or more too-big-to-fail banks, which could collapse at any moment, by the so-called "bail-in."

The bail-in has been a tool which was developed essentially by the large banking associations, the ISDA—International Swaps and Derivatives Association—which authored a law for the EU, and I think also for

Dodd-Frank, which was applied for the first time, a little bit more than a year ago in Cyprus, the so-called “Cyprus template,” by making a “hair-cut,” demanding that all the banks, all the people who have accounts in the banks, the people who have bonds or other assets in the bank, should be drawn into financing the banks, in case of their bankruptcy. This was done in Cyprus, and it led to a collapse of the real economy in the year following, a third collapse of the real economy.

If this bail-in were to be implemented in all of Europe, and in the United States, it would lead to something which my husband, Mr. LaRouche—who is known to be *the* economist who has been right in all of his forecasts about the economy since 1971—said that if the bail-in were implemented, it would be like being in the elevator of a skyscraper on the 70th floor, and the cable would be cut. And then you would fall without any restriction; you would rush to the bottom of the building. And that would be the fate of the real economy: Because if you would apply the Cyprus template to all of Europe and the United States, it would lead to a complete destruction of the real economy, a social explosion, and, I’m absolutely certain, it would also then lead to World War III, because I do not think that the system of free-market economy would collapse as peacefully as was the case with the Soviet Union 24 years ago.

So, the real war danger comes from the fact that there are people in what we call the “British Empire”—and by British Empire, we do not mean the British Isles, or the British people, but we mean that which is generally called “globalization,” and which is historically dominated by the empire which originated in Great Britain, and for which Wall Street was essentially a junior partner, historically, from the beginning, trying to subvert the American Revolution. And when this British Empire recognized that it was impossible to undo the American Revolution by military means—which they had tried to do with the War of 1812 and the Civil War, in which the British Empire was allied with the Confederacy—they shifted, and said, we have to convince the American establishment to run the world as an empire, to apply the model of the British Empire as the model for the Anglo-American “special relationship.”

What is happening right now, is, while the European Union is a failed experiment—look at the condition of Southern Europe: Greece, Italy, Spain, Portugal, are completely desperate. I can assure you, that the people

in Greece are really—it’s a complete tragedy that is happening; and if you have 65% youth unemployment in countries like Greece and Spain, *after* thousands and thousands of young and educated people have already left, because they have absolutely no future in their countries, it means the European experiment of the euro, is a completely failed experiment.

And as you know, the United States situation, if you look at Detroit, or if you look at what’s happening in Texas and California, in terms of the worst drought in 500 years, the situation is not much better.

Geopolitics

So at this moment, you have an impulse which already occurred at the end of the 19th Century in Great Britain: namely geopolitics. At the end of the 19th Century, when, due to the influence of Lincoln’s economic advisor, Henry C. Carey, in changing the policy of Bismarck, Bismarck turned Germany, in a very few years, from a feudal country into a highly successful industrial power. Then, as a consequence of that industrial revolution in Germany, the Trans-Siberian Railroad was built in the 1890s; there was plan to have a railway from Berlin to Baghdad. And with the perspective of Eurasian development threatening the sea-dominance of the British Empire, but also of the United States, at that point, you had the emergence of geopolitics in Great Britain.

Geopolitics: Halford Mackinder, [Alfred Lord] Milner, later [Karl] Haushofer, developed the theory that whoever controls the “Eurasian heartland” brings the Atlantic rim countries to a disadvantage. And then they moved, step-by-step, to create something which can be only called “the chessboard,” leading to World War I. And that geopolitical impulse is now clearly operating.

It is operating from the standpoint that the trans-Atlantic world is collapsing, while, despite all the problems which China, India, South Korea, Japan, and other countries have, the Pacific world is relatively moving in a much better direction. I’m not saying that these countries don’t have problems, but if you compare the absolute determination in China to go for the economic transformation of undeveloped parts of its own country, into highly developed parts, China has made a gigantic economic development leap in the last 30, 40 years.

For example, China has still, despite the problems it has, growth rates of around 7-8%; it has a very successful high-technology program, especially in terms of

energy production; it has the most advanced fusion program; it had a very successful landing on the Moon with the Jade Rabbit [rover], with the idea that China will explore and mine helium-3 for future fusion production on Earth. This Moon landing by China probably could not be replicated without gigantic efforts by anybody! Not by the European Union, ESA, nor by NASA, and therefore, the commitment of China to become the leading space nation by the year 2030 is a very credible proposition.


Also, look at countries like South Korea which has a pro-nuclear policy; look at Russia, which, for the first time since the collapse of the Soviet Union, has a positive demographic curve. Putin announced a couple of months ago, that for the first time since the end of the Soviet Union, the birth rate is higher than the death rate. That means that the genocide policy which was destroying Russia during the Yeltsin period, has been successfully conquered.

So it is very clear that this geopolitical impulse, to not allow the successful development of the Pacific world, at a point when the Atlantic world is going under, is an unspoken, but nevertheless very real factor in the situation.

Some Sanity in Germany

The good thing is that there is a huge debate in Europe, whereby, for the first time—I would say, in 69 years, since 1945—an impulse for sovereignty is emerging in Germany. When the U.S. and NATO and the British demanded sanctions against Russia, because of what happened in Crimea, practically all the representatives of industry came out and said this is absolutely to be rejected, because obviously sanctions would hurt German interests as much as Russia's. Therefore, they all came out—all kinds of industry associations, but also many CEOs of leading firms, such as Siemens and others; but also representatives of the entire political spectrum from the Linkspartei [Left Party] to the CSU [Christian Social Union], came out.

And there was a big discussion that the whole crisis was originated by the mistake of the West! Because the



China, in contrast to the U.S., is progressing: It has growth rates of 7-8%, a highly advanced fusion program, and successfully landed its Jade Rabbit rover on the Moon, with plans to mine helium-3 for future fusion production on Earth.

mistake was made at the time when the Soviet Union collapsed: Why not include Russia in all alliances? Why not include Russia in a missile-defense system? In a Customs Union? Why not pick up on Putin's proposal to have a joint economic space, from Vladivostok to Lisbon? Why not respond to the Russian proposal to have joint missile defense, maybe positioning it in southern Russia or in Azerbaijan, if the worry was Iranian missiles? And so, there is now the sense that this present crisis was *not* caused by Russia, but that it was caused by this capitulating to the idea to isolate Russia, and encircle it, instead of including it.

Then, there were also many discussions in the recent period, that it was a mistake of the EU to put the ultimatum to Ukraine, at the point of the signing of the EU Association Agreement, of including a military clause in that agreement, whereby the military of Ukraine would have been put under the control of NATO, immediately. And naturally, if you look at Ukraine, in the west, it's mostly Catholic and Western-oriented; in the east, it's mostly Orthodox and Russian-oriented. And therefore, to put an ultimatum to Ukraine that it should decide either/or, is now recognized as a big mistake.

Then, you had a poll in Germany, published last weekend, which said, first of all, that 80% of the German people do not trust Russia, but 59% do not trust the United States; 49% believe that there should be an

equal distance between Russia and the West, and only 41% believe that Germany should be firmly in the West.

So this caused a huge freakout: For example, there was a scribbler with the name Jan Techau, the European director of the Carnegie Endowment, who wrote a completely unnerved piece, saying, this is an outrage; Germany is having second thoughts about becoming neutral; this is completely unacceptable, because if it were a small country somewhere on the fringes of Europe, it wouldn't matter, but Germany is big, it's strong, it's sitting geographically in the center of Europe, and this is completely untenable, and not to be allowed.

Now, I do not think that Mrs. [Chancellor Angela] Merkel is going in the direction of neutrality. Mr. [Wolfgang] Schäuble, the unfortunate Finance Minister of Germany, came out with this ridiculous comparison of Hitler and Putin; our new Defense Minister, Ursula von der Leyen, is talking a lot, and very fast, but she doesn't mix this talking with a lot of thought, so she is now calling for a high presence of NATO in Poland, and so forth. So that is obviously still there.

But there is a *huge* discrepancy between what this government is saying, and the tendency in the population. We know that, because we are politically organizing every day in the streets, as part of the election campaign; and especially in eastern Germany, we have now many, many people who are coming on their own to our info-table, and saying, "We do not agree with the mainstream *gleichgeschaltet* media—like during the times of Goebbels, when all the papers would write the same thing—and the people oppose the campaign against Putin, and say, this is completely unjust; it's demonization, which has only one purpose, to prepare for a future war. And people in Germany *do not want war again*. We had two world wars on our territory, and there is a tremendous desire not to make that mistake one more time.

The Eurasian Land-Bridge

So therefore, the situation is very, very interesting, because our policy—and I think we discussed this here in previous luncheons—our response to the collapse of the Soviet Union, was to propose the so-called Eurasian Land-Bridge. When the Iron Curtain had disappeared in 1991, we said, we have to connect the population- and industry-centers of Europe with those of Asia, through so-called "development corridors." And we immediately published, in January 1990, the first report proposing that the old infrastructure connections between Europe and Asia, the Trans-Siberian Railway,

the old Silk Road, and then, other branches spreading out from these main lines, should connect all of Eurasia through a network of infrastructure corridors, 100 km wide, to include not only high-speed trains, maglev, waterways, canalization, computerized main stations, but also energy production and distribution, and communications; and in that way, create the conditions for investment in the landlocked areas of Asia.

So it was the idea to continue the natural process of evolution of mankind, conquering the landlocked areas of this planet, through development. And for a very long time, we were like criers in the desert; people said, "Oh, this is a utopian idea, who should finance this? This will never happen." But we held, in the last 24 years, about 100 conferences, seminars, in major European and American cities. I was invited as a guest speaker in 1996, at a big conference in Beijing, on the development of the Eurasian Land-Bridge. And a lot of things happened to prevent that from developing as quickly as possible: You had the Asia crisis of 1997; you had the Russian GKO crisis in '98; a lot of things happened to slow down this process.

But now, it *is* on the table! Chinese President Xi Jinping, in September, announced at a big conference in Kazakhstan, at the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) meeting, that the new policy of China, is the building of the New Silk Road into Central Asia.

We were extremely happy, as you can imagine. We said, "Oh, this is our policy, what we proposed, or we have been campaigning for and working on, for the last quarter of a century." And subsequently, President Xi visited four European countries, especially in France and Germany; in France, he made a beautiful intervention, and concluded economic deals for EU25 billion.

Then he proceeded to go to Germany, where a strategic partnership was established between Germany and China. And he made a speech which absolutely caught the spirit and the soul of the German people, because he said: What unites Germany and China is that we are the two poles of the world economy. We are both countries which have successfully made an economic miracle, referring to the change of China after the Deng Xiaoping reforms, and the German economic miracle, rebuilding Germany in the postwar period from a rubble field, into the famous German economic miracle. So these two poles must work together: building the New Silk Road, and especially, the great spirit of the German Classical culture must play a big role.



www.bundesbildstelle.de

President Xi Jinping visited four European countries in early April, to strengthen cultural and economic ties. Here, he presents German Chancellor Merkel with an 18th-Century map of China, on April 2.

And he referred to Lessing, Heine, Schiller, to the music from Bach to Mozart, Beethoven, Schumann, and Brahms; and he said that he, all the time, is overwhelmed by the power of these Classical ideas and their beauty.

So therefore, if you look at it now, what is the position of Germany? If Germany capitulates to the war drive of NATO, and to the escalation against Russia, Germany would be the playground for World War III. And there is right now a recognition: Why is the United States modernizing the nuclear weapons stations in Germany, when it was the explicit policy of President Obama to promise that he would *reduce* the role of nuclear weapons? Why modernize tactical nuclear weapons, the B61-12? We looked into this matter: It fits into the already-mentioned first-strike doctrines by having nuclear weapons more deployable, by making them more accurate, by making them less easy to detect, and other modern aspects.

So there is right now, a clear understanding that Germany should not go in this direction, but that there is an alternative, namely the strategic partnership between Germany and China could become the model for the Eurasian economic space, from Lisbon to Vladivostok. And why not really understand: What do we have to gain if we continue on the course of encirclement,

which can only lead to total destruction? Why not recognize that NATO, de facto, has lost its *raison d'être*? Why not go back to the point when the mistake was made, namely in 1991? In '91, we had a clear, historic point of decision, to end the Cold War, to end confrontation, to end the thinking in terms of military blocs, and to go for cooperation of sovereign republics among nations in the world, and thereby establish a peace order for the 21st Century.

Now that this mistake was made—the eastward expansion of NATO, and this regime-change policy against Saddam Hussein, against Qaddafi, attempted

against Assad—now happened in Ukraine. If you look at what the consequences of these policies were, you have a long, long track of destruction. These policies have not helped the interests of the United States. There are former diplomats who made speeches here in Washington, making the argument that even from a narrow American interest, these policies of regime-change have done the opposite! They *hurt* American interests! Look at the situation in Iraq: Iraq is today a hell, a total hellhole, bombed back to the Stone Age, religious war between Shi'ites and Sunnis, misery of the people.

Look at what happened in Libya: Libya, which may not have been the perfect democracy under Qaddafi, is now a hellhole of tribal warfare, total destruction, spread of terrorism. The same in Syria. The beautiful country of Syria is now a hellhole! All the historic buildings—obviously, the human beings are more valuable—but destruction after destruction.

Look at Afghanistan: Thirteen years of war of NATO in Afghanistan—a complete waste! And if you then think about the fact that the premise of the Afghanistan War, evoking Article 5 of NATO,³ may all have

3. Article 5 provides for collective defense of all NATO allies; that if a NATO ally is the victim of an armed attack, each and every other member of the Alliance will consider this as an armed attack against all

been very dubious, because if the Sept. 11 question was not the way it was presented, maybe the whole Afghanistan War was based on a lie, and must be questioned. In any case, it has been a complete failure, and the only result was that, in the 13 years of NATO war in Afghanistan, opium production has increase 40 times, leading to 1 million dead people! This was the figure presented by Viktor Ivanov, the Russian anti-drug chief, just a couple of weeks ago.

Shut Down Wall Street

I'm just ending here, because I want to make the point: We have now two roads to go. One is a continuation of something which is an utterly failed policy, the NATO expansion to the borders of Russia, encirclement of China, changing all regimes which are not submitting to this idea of a global empire, which has led to a hell. It has led to the emergence of new fascism; there is right now the emergence of *true* Nazi movements, in Ukraine, in Greece, in Romania, in Hungary, in Holland, in France, in Germany—and the EU has been condoning this.

So I think this policy is really something which should be questioned, and the alternative should be taken, which is quite feasible: We have to close down Wall Street. I don't know if people appreciate this, but on the plane right here, from Germany, I watched this movie "Wolf of Wall Street." This movie has been criticized that it's too excessive because it shows the various habits of these brokers. But I think the basic artistic message of that movie gets across very well: namely, that Wall Street, and by that token, the City of London, are completely useless, parasitical entities, looting the population, looting the poor for the advantage of the 1%, or less, of the very rich, and thereby destroying the real economy, destroying any kind of human civilization. And therefore, Mr. LaRouche has made emphatically the point that we must close down Wall Street. Because the war danger comes from this high-risk speculation and the effort to keep that system going.

We have proposed to close down Wall Street, through Glass-Steagall, the reintroduction of the separation of the banks, as it was done by Franklin D. Roosevelt. And then replace the present monetary system, through a credit system, in the tradition of Alexander

members, and will take the actions it deems necessary to assist the ally attacked.

Hamilton, by creating national banks in all countries, and then issuing credit lines for large-scale, long-term economic development.

In the meantime, that is, in the last 24 years, we have enlarged the idea of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, to become a World Land-Bridge, involving all of the globe, from the Southern tip of Chile, bringing development corridors up Latin America to Central America, building NAWAPA, the North American water-management project for the United States, which is right now *super*-urgent, because Texas and California, have the worst drought in 500 years.

What is threatening there is not just a drought, but the complete, irreversible destruction of Texas and California, through desertification, which could only be reversed by having large-scale water-management projects like NAWAPA; then combining that with the building of a rail tunnel under the Bering Strait, developing the Arctic coast of Siberia, developing the whole Eurasian Land-Bridge, which is not just development corridors, but it has many, many sub-projects, like the development of the Mekong River Delta, the Tyumen region, the Kra Canal, the re-diversion of water systems, like the Siberian Rivers Ob and Irtush, to replenish the Aral Sea, and use that for irrigation for all of Central Asia.

To include water management into the Silk Road project, and then spread that throughout the whole region from Afghanistan to the Mediterranean, from the Caucasus to the Persian Gulf, take that whole region as one, extend the Eurasian Land-Bridge, and therefore, create an incentive to stop terrorism and drug production, and extend that then, through the Strait of Gibraltar, through a bridge or tunnel from Sicily to Tunisia, and through an extension of the Eurasian Land-Bridge to Egypt, and develop all of Africa.

This is always in reach. We can do it! And in a certain sense we have reached an absolute point of decision of civilization: We end Empire, and the idea of the domination of small, privileged elites over a mass of poor and backward people; and we go in a completely different direction and create a world livable for all human beings on this planet.

Toward a New Renaissance

I think that if you think about the great traditions of European civilization, of which America is a part—for example, take Leibniz: Leibniz, who was the author of a very important idea of the Declaration of Indepen-

dence, namely, that the inalienable right of all people is the right of life, of liberty, and happiness. And this notion of “happiness,” that this is an inalienable right of all people is an idea which has been forgotten! If you think about this word, how *unnecessary* misery exists in Africa! Among the thousands of people who are fleeing by boat every week, trying to get to Europe, knowing that 50% of them are going to drown! Taking that risk despite the fact, that they have only half a chance to survive, they take the risk to flee from hunger, war, disease. Or take the hellhole of the whole larger Middle East region, look at the hellhole many parts of the world

FIGURE 2



LPAC-TV

Over the past 24 years, the LaRouche movement has expanded the concept of the Eurasian Land-Bridge to become the World Land-Bridge, which includes NAWAPA XXI, and numerous smaller projects such as the Kra Canal, and replenishment of the Aral Sea.

**THE
EURASIAN
LAND-BRIDGE**

**‘The New Silk Road’—Locomotive
For Worldwide Economic Development**

An EIR Special Report

(1997) 260 pages \$100
(EIR 96-007)

Available from
EIR News Service
P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Phone: 1-800-278-3135 or www.larouchepub.com

have become, especially for the young people in Europe and in the United States.

The youth culture is the best mirror of how this culture of globalization has completely failed; that we must go back to the noble ideas of the German Classical period, of the American Revolution, and of Leibniz’s idea that the pursuit of happiness *is* a human right for all human beings. And *how easy* it would be to eliminate world hunger: I can assure you, if Mr. LaRouche were President of the United States, world hunger would vanish in half a year. Because technically, it would be very easy to stop it. And in five years, in ten years, we would have overcome most of poverty in the world, and we could start treating our planet as a garden, as a beautiful garden, with lush vegetation, with new cities, a place habitable for human beings.

And I know that all we need right now is a vision of what the world should look like 50 years from now, and how we can transform this poor, tortured globe, from a wretched condition, into something which will be a new Renaissance, based on the ideas of the greatest artists and scientists of all cultures, of all times. And if we go in this direction, I think we have only seen the very beginning of what mankind can become.

So, these are the alternatives, and I think we need all human beings of decent spirit and good soul to work together to accomplish that second result.

NATO, Obama Lower Threshold For Global Thermonuclear War

by Nancy Spannaus and Jeffrey Steinberg

April 14—In an interview with the Russian news service RIA Novosti today on the situation in Ukraine, Lyndon LaRouche responded from the standpoint he has been stressing repeatedly over recent days: There is *no* local situation which is provoking the escalating conflict between NATO and the U.S. on one side, and Russia and China on the other. Rather, the British Empire and its pawns like Obama and NATO are determined to crush any resistance to their global imperial plans—and have thus brought the world to the threshold of *global* thermonuclear war in the attempt to save their bankrupt empire from destruction.

The critical thing is how he and his organization are acting to avert this danger from within the United States, LaRouche concluded at the end of the interview. We quote:

“The U.S. government under Obama, who is a British stooge, is running much of this operation. The British themselves are sitting behind there, running the operation internationally, with the Saudis and these other forces involved. So it’s a very difficult strategic situation: We’re on the verge of *thermonuclear war*. That doesn’t mean it’s going to happen, but it means the threat that it will happen is immediate, and we have to be very careful, and very efficient in what we’re doing.

“What I’m doing myself, is trying to deal with this thing from inside the United States, and for me, it’s very important that I do things, *inside* the United States, in

order to try to get this monster, Obama, under control. If Obama were pulled out of office, this would stop! All we would have to do, is pull President Obama, the British stooge, throw him out of office—and we can impeach him. By impeaching Obama, *this whole thing would break down*, and that’s what I’m concentrating on.”

Step-by-Step Provocations

The Obama/NATO moves over recent days on the Ukraine front have brought the world closer to the brink of global conflict.

First, Obama and NATO have waged a vociferous, lying propaganda campaign, claiming that Russia is about to invade eastern Ukraine, and insisting, like the puppet Kiev government, that anti-coup demonstrators who have taken over buildings in various eastern cities are Russia agents, and that Russian troops are massed on the border, ready to invade—with no solid evidence to back it up.

Second, NATO has expanded its military deployments to the east, most notably with the deployment of the Aegis-equipped destroyer the *USS Donald Cook* into the Black Sea, with other NATO warships on the way. NATO’s top military commander Gen. Phillip Breedlove is scheduled to present further plans, on April 15, for what is called military “reassurance” to NATO countries in Eastern Europe.

Third, Obama sent CIA Director John Brennan to

Kiev over the April 12-13 weekend to discuss handling the crisis of mounting unrest by anti-coup protestors in the eastern part of Ukraine, where he notably did *not* caution the Kiev regime against using violence to put down the demonstrations, as it has threatened to do. Deposed Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich charged April 13 that Brennan had de facto sanctioned the Kiev regime's clampdown, which, in the area of Slovyansk, has already resulted in a number of deaths. Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov asked the U.S. government for an explanation of what was behind Brennan's trip.

The Kiev deadlines to violently evict the eastern anti-coup forces have been repeatedly postponed. However, the most rabid paramilitary forces in and around the Kiev usurper-government, run by the fascist Right Sector grouping, are calling for a mass mobilization to do just that, and are moving their armed followers into the area.

All of these provocations are occurring as the Russian government attempts to reach out to the U.S. to get a quadripartite negotiating process going which could avoid conflict. Lavrov and U.S. Secretary of State John Kerry have had several phone conversations, and a meeting of the U.S., Russia, the EU, and the current Ukrainian government is tentatively scheduled for April 17 in Geneva. Russia has put a proposal for stabilization of the situation on the table. Yet, the rabid support the U.S. is giving to the fascist Ukraine government, and the possibility of a broad, violent crackdown, puts the meeting in doubt.

The Russian Response

The Russian government has carefully resisted responding in kind to the NATO/U.S. provocations, which are, as LaRouche said to Ria Novosti, designed to get it to react so that it is blamed for a confrontation. Instead, Russian spokesmen have repeatedly pointed out that the West is supporting gangs of fascists, who carried out a coup in Kiev, and showing absolutely no regard for the "international law" and "human rights" which they put out so much propaganda about.

At the same time, the Russians are reaching out to China, in particular, to strengthen their political alliances against such provocations.

It was announced today that Lavrov would be travelling to China on April 15, to hold discussions with his foreign ministry counterpart and with Chinese

President Xi Jinping. The Chinese have put out a statement warmly endorsing the diplomatic track and welcoming the scheduling of the April 17 Geneva meeting.

Officially, the Lavrov trip is billed as preparing for President Putin's scheduled China visit in May.

Don't Forget Asia

While Ukraine boils, President Barack Obama will be traveling to Asia, starting with a visit to Japan on April 24, followed by stops in South Korea, Malaysia, and the Philippines. While he "talks the talk" about great state cooperation with Beijing, Obama is in fact moving aggressively forward with an expanded containment and war drive against China.

This follows Defense Secretary Chuck Hagel's recent China trip, where the Chinese openly challenged Washington's "two-faced" behavior (see article below).

Washington intelligence sources confirm that Hagel was sent with orders from the White House and the National Security Advisor Dr. Susan Rice to deliver tough talk to Chinese military and political leaders.

Although President Obama met with Chinese President Xi last month in The Hague, on the sidelines of a nuclear disarmament conference, it is notable that he will not be stopping in China on this extensive Asia trip. The exclusion of a Beijing stop underscores that his tour is aimed at containment. Washington intelligence sources have confirmed this assessment to *EIR*, and warn that the Chinese will likely react sharply to the provocative character of the Obama tour, particularly in light of the President's harsh anti-Putin rhetoric since the Western-backed coup d'état that was launched in Kiev last November.

Under the new war-fighting doctrine of Air-Sea Battle, American pre-emptive military actions targeting strategic sites on the Chinese mainland may be launched, ostensibly to defeat China's "anti-access/area denial" (A2/AD) capabilities. In response to this, Chinese leaders have already suggested publicly that they may be forced to abandon their "no first use" policy regarding their nuclear weapons arsenal. As is the case with Russia and the United States, China has a growing submarine-launched nuclear-missile capability within striking distance of the West Coast of the continental United States.

Thus, the Asia-Pacific region is on a hair-trigger for conflict that could quickly escalate into a thermonuclear war of extinction. The number of strate-

gic crisis spots dotting the globe is growing by the week.

A recent Japanese visitor to Washington to attend the annual Spring IMF meeting expressed shock at the broad-based hatred of President Obama, coming from both Republican and Democratic circles, particularly national security and foreign policy specialists. He noted that a number of prominent retired military officers were unusually candid about their disgust with Obama's policy failures and lack of leadership qualities.

The level of hatred for Obama, the visitor noted, is not at all understood in Asia. He forecast, however, that the Obama war agenda will not be well-received in Tokyo, Seoul, or Kuala Lumpur. Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, he noted, is losing a great deal of popular support over his hardline anti-China rhetoric and his ill-conceived visit to the Yasakuni Shrine, where a number of World War II Japanese war criminals are buried (along with a large number of Japanese military heroes). Despite the backlash, however, it is expected that the American military buildup in the region will continue under the "Asia pivot," and will fuel growing

anger in Beijing that Washington has adopted a containment policy against China.

President Obama's effort to force a dialogue between Japan and South Korea on the sidelines of The Hague summit did not go well, despite the fact that a trilateral meeting did take place. It is clear that Obama will be pressing even harder to forge a Tokyo-Seoul military partnership to tighten the military pressure on China as a top priority of his upcoming visit.

One senior U.S. intelligence source noted that American and Chinese leaders are in strategic harmony over the threat to regional stability coming from North Korea, and that the detailed cooperation over handling the North Korea crisis is the brightest spot in the Washington-Beijing strategic relationship at this moment. The United States and China agree that it is in their best interests for North Korea to dismantle its nuclear weapons capabilities and accept a nuclear arms-free peninsula. But this one area of promising U.S.-Chinese cooperation on a genuinely dangerous regional flash-point is a thin reed in the context of Washington's escalation of the "Storm Over Asia"¹ policy, first exposed by LaRouche in late 1999.

EIR Special Report

The British Empire's Global Showdown, And How To Overcome It


In the face of a potential thermonuclear World War III, a confrontation being engineered from London by a desperate British-centered financial oligarchy operating through the vast—yet often underestimated—powers of the British monarchy, EIR has produced a 104-page Special Report, documenting both the drive for war, and the war-avoidance efforts of patriotic military/intelligence circles in the U.S., and the Russian and Chinese leaderships. The British hand behind the warmongers, and the concrete economic and strategic programs which can defuse the threat, are elaborated in depth. These include the Russian proposal for collaboration on the Strategic Defense of Earth (SDE), based on Lyndon LaRouche's original Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI).

The Global Showdown report is available in hard copy for \$250, and in pdf form for \$150, from the [EIR store](#).

Call **1-800-278-3135** for more information.

EIR
Special Report

The British Empire's Global Showdown, and How To Overcome It



June 2012

Peaceful Negotiations Needed in Ukraine

April 13—Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, chairman of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, today issued an urgent call for world powers to support “peaceful negotiations” to defuse the situation in southeastern Ukraine, rather than unquestioningly supporting the coup-installed Kiev regime and its announced “special operation.”

Vitrenko described the framework of the crisis this way: “Washington and Brussels, in defiance of all standards of international law, which forbids interference in the internal affairs of sovereign states, organized an armed coup d’état in Ukraine.” Furthermore, she writes, “lazy, illiterate, and politically engaged Western officials have turned everything on its head, calling a neo-Nazi coup a ‘popular uprising.’” She asks if Angela Merkel, François Hollande, Barack Obama, and Bronislaw Komorowski¹ have forgotten “how World War II started, and the Nazis’ role in unleashing it.”

In February-March, Vitrenko and fellow members of the National Resistance Front against the Eurocolonization of Ukraine toured Paris, Strasbourg, Milan, and Florence, warning that Western support for the coup in Ukraine would provoke a deeper crisis and increase the danger of World War III.

In her new statement, she points out that those who today “are sending special forces and armed Right Sector bandits to the Donbass,”² are the same people who “the day before yesterday were chanting at the Euromaidan, ‘Ukraine for Ukrainians,’ ‘Knife the Muscovites,’ ‘Glory to the Nation, Death to the Enemies,’ while pledging, before the portrait of Stepan Bandera, loyalty to his ideology.” She supports those citizens from the Donbass, as well as cities like Kharkov and Odessa that are protesting “against Ukraine’s transformation into a Nazi reservation, an enemy of Russia, and NATO cannon fodder,” because “unlike the zombies of



EIRNS/Daniel Grasenack-Tente

Washington and Brussels, the inhabitants of southeastern Ukraine remember the heroic sacrifices of their fathers and grandfathers, who defended our country (and the whole world) against German and Ukrainian Nazis.”

On Feb. 19, Vitrenko recalls, “all the ambassadors of the European Union ganged up to forbid Yanukovich³ to carry out an anti-terrorist operation against the armed guerrillas of the Euromaidan. They forbid even to use the term ‘terrorists’ against those ‘peaceful protesters,’ as they called the armed-to-the-teeth bandits. On Feb. 21, in the agreement between Yanukovich and the opposition, the foreign ministers of Germany, France, and Poland inserted a point on ‘the unacceptability of any use of force by the authorities.’ Why, then, now, European officials, have you turned into bloody vampires, demanding the forcible suppression of an actual popular uprising in the southeast, cynically calling the insurgents ‘separatists’ and dreaming up Russian footprints, as well?”

Vitrenko blames “the Nazi character of the current authorities and their policy toward NATO,” for having “lost Crimea and touched off mass popular protests in southeastern Ukraine.” In conclusion, she writes, “Stop! The putschists had better not deprive people of the right to their opinion and to defend their vital interests, or the right of the people to be the source of power! An immediate amnesty of all activists in the Southeast and a peaceful negotiation process (rather than a special operation!) can save the situation!”

1. Respectively, the leaders of Germany, the United States, France, and Poland.

2. The Donbass (Don River Basin) region is located in eastern Ukraine and southwest Russia. The Ukrainian city of Donetsk is considered to be the unofficial capital of Donbas.

3. Viktor Yanukovich, President of Ukraine until Feb. 22, 2014, when he was overthrown in the coup.

Russian Leader Confronts Eurocrats in Strasbourg

April 15—Alexei Pushkov, historian, journalist, and member of the United Russia Party, is chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee of the State Duma of the Russian Federation. *EIR* interviewed him April 8 in Strasbourg, France, on the occasion of a week-long session of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE). Mr. Pushkov, head of the



Russian delegation at the PACE, fought against a British-led motion to suspend Russia's right to vote, work in the administrative structures of the Assembly, and take part in election monitoring until the end of this year. The PACE voted up a resolution calling the "annexation" of Crimea illegal. It was based on a report, written by Mailis Reps of Estonia and Marietta de Pourbaix-Lundin of Sweden, which comes down to a Goebbels-style whitewash of the illegal regime in Kiev. The resolution states: "There was no ultra-right-wing takeover of the central government in Kiev, nor was there any imminent threat to the rights of the ethnic Russian minority in the country, including, or especially, in Crimea."

Pushkov had withdrawn the Russian delegation from the debate of the sanctions, calling the proceedings "a political farce" and "an inquisition." He charged that the majority had thus betrayed the principles of the rule of law and of human rights, to serve the interests of states that are intent on "the geopolitical seizure of Ukraine."

The interview was conducted by Claudio Celani and Dean Andromidas.

EIR: We have prepared four questions for you. The

first question is: Yesterday, Tony Blair told the BBC he thought it was "terrible" that the West had not intervened militarily in Syria last Summer. You, Mr. Pushkov, talked many times about the dangers of such a military intervention. Do you think that those who wanted a "big war" around Syria are trying to achieve their goals now, around Ukraine?

Alexei Pushkov: I think that Mr. Blair and Mr. [Sen. John] McCain and all those who were upset about the intervention not starting in Syria, are proponents of the so-called regime-change doctrine. It is not about democracy; it is about changing the regime in countries where the neoconservative forces, to which Mr. Blair definitely belongs, think the government in power contradicts the interests of the Western world. And to oust those governments, people like Mr. Blair are ready to victimize as many lives of American or British soldiers as is needed.

I think that Mr. Blair is sincerely hated in his own country. There have even been attempts at public trials of Mr. Blair, and the reason is, that he made up completely the reasons for the war against Iraq. He cheated his own nation. His actions led to the death of about 1,000 British soldiers, and by all standards, people like Mr. Blair should be tried by an international court. Unfortunately, the fact is, that he is not [being tried], and he is calling for new wars—a war against Syria.

For the time being, this plan failed and so, I think, those forces who were behind a war against Syria, decided they could use the situation in the Maidan in Ukraine, to achieve a regime-change there. And it was achieved, actually, because we are under no illusion: There was a very strong Western presence on the Maidan. We have seen foreign ministers and members of Parliament from Western countries coming, and basically calling for the overthrow of the existing government, which was a legal government, elected by the population. And this is the reason why now the same politicians and the same political circles try to close their eyes to the right-wing and extremist forces in Ukraine, to the neo-Nazi forces in Ukraine, try to close their eyes to the illegal character of the Ukrainian authorities, and to the fact that it was definitely a coup d'état that was conducted in Ukraine.

So, yes, I think that it is not that they are trying to achieve their goals *now* around Ukraine: I think that these people have had a very consistent approach to in-

ternational affairs, which supposes a change of regime in all countries which should be brought into the sphere of influence of NATO and the United States. So, I think that is the goal, and it can happen in Ukraine, it can happen in Kyrgyzstan, it can happen in Syria, or in so many other places.

So I think that, yes, Ukraine is part of the succession of regime changes which occurred in the last years around the world, starting with Serbia, and going through Iraq, Libya, and so on.

Behind War Danger: Trans-Atlantic Bankruptcy

EIR: The founder of *EIR*, Lyndon LaRouche, has said many times that the driving force behind the confrontation policy and the danger of war, including the regime-change policy, is the bankruptcy of the entire trans-Atlantic financial system—both the City of London and its junior partner, Wall Street. After the 2008 crisis, the speculative derivatives bubble has become even bigger and more explosive than before. Do you think, as some people in Russia have mentioned, that Russia can take the opportunity of the sanctions imposed by the West, to greatly accelerate the “de-offshorization” process and decouple its economy from the bankrupt London-New York-Basel system?

Pushkov: Well, I think that whether Russia takes this opportunity or not, the sanctions which are being imposed by the West lead Russia to an inescapable process of reconsidering its economic and financial policies. So, I do not think that it is a Russian choice, in a way; it is that we are put in a position, where we become much more on our own.

About the decoupling of the economy from the London-New York-Basel system: I don't think this is easy. London and New York are extremely important centers of economic and financial might, and we may see that all the main actors in the world have quite important ties with these centers of power: the Chinese, the Arab world, whoever. So, I don't think that Russian business will set itself the task to shut down all contacts with the stock exchanges in London and New York, and with banks there, and so on.

But, to a certain extent, we will be forced to operate on a more national basis. For instance, Russia did not have a national [credit] card payments system; we proceeded only through Visa, Mastercard, and other internationally accepted cards. Now we will be creating a

national card system which, of course, will be active only on the territory of the Russian Federation, and the only currency it will use will be the ruble. Until now, we did not have anything like this; we were completely dependent on the systems which exist outside of Russia and are controlled by the American government. But when Visa and Mastercard decided to block the activity of certain banks, and then reconsidered it (at least in one case, because they declared that there had been a mistake in assessment), we got the message. We got the message that we cannot rely completely on these companies, because being America-based companies, they have to follow American laws. This is a small example, but it shows how the Russian financial system will reconsider its ties.

Also, I think that one of the consequences of this change of economic policy will have to do with enlarging our ties with Far Eastern economies like China, and Southeastern economies. These nations are not taking sanctions against Russia. Even Japan, which I think has frozen the negotiations on the visa-free regime [establishing visa-free travel between Russia and Japan—ed.], did not take any economic sanctions against Russia. The Chinese were mostly supporting Russia throughout this crisis, and the countries of Asia, such as South Korea, or Malaysia, or Singapore have their own approach, which has nothing to do with the approach of the NATO countries.

So, I think that Russia will have to redirect a part of its economy, like a part of its gas exports and of its oil exports, to the East, where, more and more, the center of economic might is. So, I think that it will not be a conscious decoupling of the economy; it will just be that the consequences of the sanctions will lead Russia to do something it would not be doing in a different situation. We will just have to find new markets and new opportunities for development.

Cooperation on Afghanistan Jeopardized

EIR: This leads me to the next question, which has to do with Asian development. Victor Ivanov, head of the Russian Federal Drug Control Service, last November, announced that Russia planned to put on the G8 agenda in Sochi a very optimistic plan to fight Afghan narcotics production through a program to industrialize Afghanistan, with big hydroelectric projects and other infrastructure. These ideas, including creation of a Central Asia Development Corporation, were welcomed by some European figures, such as Member of the Euro-

pean Parliament from Italy Pino Arlacchi, the former UN drug czar.⁴ But now, the G8 has been cancelled. You partially answered this question already, but do you think Russia can pursue this specific Eurasian development idea together with China, India, and other nations to the south and east?

Pushkov: I think that the decision by NATO to freeze our cooperation on Afghanistan until June of this year, if it is followed by a further freeze, will definitely lead to an absence of cooperation between Russia and the West on the industrialization of Afghanistan. It will also probably lead to a reconsideration of the transit for NATO troops, equipment, and facilities from Afghanistan to the West. This should have been following two routes: one by air, and another was a railway transit route through the territory of the Russian Federation. And I think that the plan to industrialize Afghanistan will fall victim to this political decision.

It is not our choice. We would like to have cooperation on Afghanistan, but here, of course, NATO, by cutting all programs of interaction with Russia, is also, I would say, putting us in a very difficult position. At some point, if NATO continues this policy of restricting ties with Russia, the Russian Federation can also take some decisions which would be, probably, not very welcome in NATO, but which will make any further cooperation in Afghanistan impossible.

If we speak about a larger Eurasian development, I think that Russia has engaged already in an important Eurasian development project, which is the Customs Union project. The Customs Union is working, and I think that in 2014 it will probably be joined by Armenia, which will make already four countries. I think that some other nations may join the Customs Union; I know that India would like to have a certain status with the Customs Union, and there are some other countries which have expressed interest.

So, I think that, definitely, the new leaders of economic development, such as China, India, and some others, will be our important partners in our further economic development. Unfortunately, the economic ties with the West may suffer because of political positions. That is probably not bound to happen with the Asian nations. The Asian nations do not try to dictate any kind of political conditions to Russia; they are not taking sanctions against us. They consider us as partners and

not just a nation which should follow Western advice. And that is a big difference in dealing with the Easterners in comparison with dealing with the Westerners.

When we deal with the West, we always feel that we are being pressured, we are being criticized, we are being told what to do and what not to do, as if in Washington and Brussels, they know better what we should do, we Russians, and what is better for our national interests. The Chinese, the Indians, the Malaysians, and the South Koreans, even the Japanese, have a completely different approach. They think that, as they decide for themselves in Tokyo and Seoul, Russians can just as well decide for themselves in Moscow. And that creates a very positive political setting for the development of economic cooperation, too.

Defense of Earth vs. Geopolitical Fantasies

EIR: A growing number of Americans, including in military and political circles, agree with LaRouche, who says that the President of the United States is playing with the danger of a thermonuclear war, involving the United States and others against Russia and China. Some of them even agree that Obama should be impeached because of that. Others are very upset about the shutdown of Russian-American cooperation in a whole range of areas, from space exploration to nuclear energy research. You personally have stated that nations should be working together to defend the planet from asteroids and comets—the Strategic Defense of Earth. What would you say now about the importance of international cooperation on solving the common tasks of mankind?

Pushkov: I will say that, unfortunately, a big part of the political class of leading nations (and I mean, first of all, the United States) is blinded by geopolitical issues, and the desire to dominate in the world. It is called “American leadership” but I think Mr. [Zbigniew] Brzezinski put it more correctly when he called it “American hegemony.” I think that the goal of achieving American hegemony is a false goal. It’s also false for the United States, because it diverts its potential to something which may endanger the United States, much more than promote their case.

When I said that nations should be working together to defend the Earth from asteroids and comets, this is something that seems to be a distant danger, but in fact, if you look at the scientific data of the last ten years, you will see that on two or three occasions, very big asteroids passed at a distance which, by cosmic

4. See “Interview: Pino Arlacchi: The ‘Mackinder Mantra’ in U.S.-Russia Conflict,” *EIR*, March 21, 2014.

space measures, is just a hair's breadth from the Earth. Something like 300 million km—it's almost nothing! A slight shift somewhere, and they hit us. And then, nothing will be important: It will not be important whether Mrs. Hillary Clinton will be the next President of the United States or not; it will not be important what Mr. Robert Kagan thinks in Washington, and what the United States thinks about the reunification of Russia with Crimea. All of a sudden, things will get to their, I would say, real value. And the real value will tell us that we have missed a huge opportunity to defend ourselves from absolute evil, because we were following Mr. Robert Kagan's fantasies of how America will dominate the world.


So, I think that these false goals are something which is blinding the American political class. Not all of them: I know that in the American Congress, I would say, probably 10% of the Senators and Congressmen display a reasonable approach. But the majority is blinded by this issue. It is as if the most important issue in the world were to tell everyone how they should behave, and how they should look inside and outside, and what kind of democracy they should have! But if we have a global

climate crisis, if we have the oceans rising only one meter, half of New York will be submerged, and then, all of a sudden, we will see that this is not important—what we are debating now with the United States. What is important is to survive as humanity.

And, unfortunately, I am afraid we are much closer to this than we think, and nothing is being done for this. There is no program for using the Earth's missile potential and nuclear potential to fight asteroids, for instance. This problem "does not exist"! But there is, of course, a program that costs billions and billions of dollars—to establish an ABM system that will try to neutralize the Russian nuclear potential.

How can I put it? What is it? blindness? Lack of understanding? Or just a self-serving image of might that people fool themselves with? I am afraid that something will happen which will show us the relativity of those geopolitical goals, which are being set and promoted as the most important thing in the world. I think that there are other things that are more important, and if we don't understand it ourselves, the course of events will show us that we were wrong.

EIR: Thank you very much.



There Is Life After the Euro!
Program for an Economic Miracle in Southern Europe, the Mediterranean Region, and Africa
 AN **EIR** SPECIAL REPORT

CONTENTS

- Introduction by Helga Zepp-LaRouche
- Greece, and a Marshall Plan for the Mediterranean Basin
- Spain: Bridge to African Development
- The Rebirth of Italy's Mezzogiorno
- Africa Pass
- The Transaqua Project
- North Africa: The Blue Revolution
- What Europe Can Learn from Argentina
- A German Economic Miracle for Europe

http://www.larouchepub.com/special_report/2012/spec_rpt_program_medit.pdf

Hagel Receives a ‘Drubbing’ From Chinese Military Leaders

by William Jones

April 11—U.S. Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel ran into some serious flak as he navigated through his first visit as Secretary to Beijing. His problem: His boss in the White House, Barack Obama, on behalf of the City of London and Wall Street, is conducting a global drive for war, based on lying propaganda that Russia is out to restore the Soviet Union, and that China is aggressively utilizing its newly developed power to seize territory and exclude the U.S. from the South and East China Seas.

On the other hand, Hagel works very closely with U.S. Joints Chiefs Chairman Gen. Martin Dempsey. Dempsey has spoken openly against the war plans of Obama and his Cheney/neocon backers, and has intervened to stop Obama’s intended war on Syria, while promoting close cooperation with the governments and militaries in both Russia and China. Dempsey has often warned against the “Thucydides Trap” in regard to China—the danger that the U.S. believes it must confront China simply because it is now a rising power, as Sparta did with Athens in ancient Greece, leading to the disastrous Peloponnesian War.

Aware of the intense factional situation within the Obama Administration over China policy, however, Hagel’s Chinese hosts went out of their way to provide access to some of their latest military equipment, and agreed to the Pentagon request that the Secretary be given a tour of their new aircraft carrier, a renovated Soviet vessel which they had purchased from Ukraine, and refitted to Chinese specifications. Hagel was also invited to speak before a group of PLA (People’s Liberation Army) colonels at the National Defense University and to have lunch with a group of non-commissioned officers.

‘We Are Dissatisfied’

It was only after his visit to the *Liaoning* aircraft carrier, that Hagel ran into a buzzsaw. At a joint press conference with Hagel following a private meeting,

Defense Minister Gen. Chang Wangquan expressed his deep concerns about Hagel’s actions and statements at a recent ASEAN defense ministers’ meeting in Honolulu, and in meetings with his Japanese counterparts in Tokyo. “I’d like to reiterate that the territorial sovereignty issue is China’s core interest,” Chang said. “On this issue, we will make no compromise, no concessions, and not even a tiny bit of violation is allowed.”

Chang also issued a blunt warning to the U.S. against any attempt to “contain” China: “With the latest developments in China, it can never be contained,” Chang said. The U.S. is “a country of worldwide influence, and the Pacific Ocean is big enough to hold both China and the U.S. for common development, and also big enough to hold the other Asia-Pacific countries.”

Hagel was treated to more of this in his meeting with Gen. Fan Changlong, the vice chairman of the Central Military Commission, the body governing China’s military. “I can tell you, frankly,” Fan told Hagel, “your remarks made at the ASEAN defense ministers’ meeting and to the Japanese politicians were tough and with a clear attitude,” but “the Chinese people, including myself, are dissatisfied with such remarks.” Fan was careful to make these comments in front of the press so that they would reverberate widely. What he told Hagel privately is anybody’s guess.

The following day, the official state news agency Xinhua editorialized: “The unusual harsh tone delivers a clear message. Beijing is resolved to defend its core interests, particularly territorial sovereignty, and will not allow any country to make waves. The frankness is expected to reduce the possibility of miscalculation by other countries when they gauge China’s red lines, and consequently reduce rashness in their China policy-making. As a responsible player in regional and global affairs, China expects the United States to respect its core interests, but has been repeatedly disappointed by the latter’s double-faced tactics.”

Nonetheless, reflecting the desire of China to bol-



DoD/Erin A. Kirk-Cuomo

While Defense Secretary Hagel received a verbal thrashing from some of the top Chinese military leaders, his meeting with the non-commissioned officers (shown here), was a bit more cordial. “I appreciate what you are doing for your country and I know your country is proud of you,” he told them.

ster U.S.-Chinese relations, Xinhua appealed to those who retain their sanity in the West: “Regardless of disagreements in various fields, both Beijing and Washington clearly know they are friends, not enemies, and a healthy U.S.-China relationship is a *sine qua non* for world peace and stability.”

U.S.-ASEAN—A New NATO?

The Chinese concerns were focused on the inaugural meeting of the U.S.-ASEAN forum in Honolulu last month, and the visit of Hagel to Japan just prior to his arrival in Beijing. Hagel had invited the ASEAN defense ministers at the Shangri-La forum last year to hold their next meeting in Honolulu. He established thereby a U.S.-ASEAN forum as a focus of cooperation. In Honolulu, where the ministers were fêted and shown much of the U.S. naval capability there, Hagel reiterated that the U.S. wished to strengthen its ties with the ASEAN nations. “It is trade, it’s exchanges, it’s about free people,” the Secretary observed. “And as I have said, and you all know, the United States has been a Pacific power for many years. We intend to continue to be a Pacific power [and] to cooperate with our ASEAN partners and all nations in the Asia-Pacific.”

But there is great concern—not only in China, but also in the Southeast Asia nations—that the U.S. “Asia

pivot” is also aimed at forcing ASEAN to choose between the U.S. and China. The U.S. has intervened in the maritime border disputes with China—especially in the Philippines, Vietnam, and Malaysia—in a manner which is viewed by Chinese military leaders as a move toward creating a NATO-like alliance in the Pacific, an alliance targeting them. While the U.S. officially denies taking sides in the maritime disputes, and claims it wants to create a “new major-power relationship” with China, these moves seem to contradict that intention.

Speaking in New York April 9 on the subject of the U.S. military presence in the Asia Pacific, Cui Tiankai, the Chinese

Ambassador to the United States, warned against such an idea. “If your intention is to establish an Asian NATO, we are back in the Cold War era. This is something that will serve nobody’s interest,” he said.

Beijing also worries that the U.S. policy toward ASEAN is designed to counter its successful Maritime Silk Road diplomacy, announced by President Xi Jinping in Indonesia in October, which encompasses a program of extensive infrastructural development in the region. The U.S.-ASEAN forum also appears to be promoting the U.S.-backed Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) agreements, whereby the U.S. hopes to create a free-trade zone which excludes and isolates China.

The Specter of Japan

More ominous, perhaps, in Chinese eyes, were Hagel’s statements in Japan with regard to the Diaoyu Islands (called the Senkaku in Japan). In addition to warning that the U.S. could impose the same type of sanctions against China that it has imposed against Russia for its alleged “annexation” of Crimea, were China to act with force on its claims of territorial legitimacy, Hagel also clearly stated that the Diaoyu Islands would be covered by Article V of the U.S.-Japan Security Treaty, which means that the U.S. would come to the defense of Japan were its control of the Islands to be

contested. During the initial days of the crisis over the Islands, the U.S. was ambiguous as to whether the treaty would actually apply to the Diaoyu.

The U.S., in fact, has never before accepted Japanese sovereignty over these islands. On the contrary, during World War II, in the Cairo Declaration, and later in the Potsdam Agreements, all territory that had been taken from China by Japan was to be returned, including the Diaoyu. The U.S. refusal to recognize the new Communist government in China after the 1949 revolution allowed Washington to disregard these agreements. Japan was thus ceded “administrative control” over the islands.

Does this mean the U.S. is willing to go to war on behalf of the rather flimsy claims of Japan that the Diaoyu Islands are a part of their sovereign territory? This is a question which is still left in doubt—but with the financial system of the Anglo-American alliance collapsing, the imperial interests see no way to retain their power outside of war, and such seemingly minor territorial issues are precisely the means used by the Empire historically to provoke its desired war plans.

Hagel’s support for a more active role for the Japanese Defense Forces and for a more outward-looking JDF has become a cause of even greater concern for China. Leading figures have charged that this stance has encouraged Japanese right-wing forces to move more aggressively against China. This was underlined at the press conference with Chang, who warned the U.S. against encouraging Japan in this manner. The U.S., Chang said, must “be vigilant” and “keep [Japan] within bounds and not be permissive and supportive. . . . Japan is making provocative comments on China . . . and China is exercising maximum restraint. . . . But if you conclude China is going to resort to force against Japan, that’s wrong. On the Chinese side, we will not take initiatives to stir up trouble, but we aren’t afraid of any provocation.”

Hagel was again confronted with Chinese anger when he addressed 120 colonels and their staffs at the National Defense University in Beijing April 10. In his speech, Hagel called for greater cooperation between the two militaries. “As the PLA modernizes its capabilities and expands its presence in Asia and beyond,” he said, “American and Chinese forces will be drawn into proximity, increasing the risk of an incident, accident, or miscalculation. But this reality also presents new opportunities for cooperation.”

“The high cost of conflict will not make peace and

stability inevitable,” he went on, “so we must work together and in partnership with all the nations of the region, and develop and build on what President Xi and President Obama have called a new model of relations.”

But the young Chinese military officers openly expressed this skepticism, with one officer commenting that he felt the U.S. policy was to “make trouble and to hamper China’s development.” This put Hagel on the defensive, reiterating his claim that the U.S. was not interested in “containing China.”

Hagel, who was a non-commissioned officer in Vietnam, had an easier time of it when he was invited to have lunch with a group of Chinese non-coms. He noted that he was something of a “dinosaur” in their midst, having taken off his sergeant’s stripes so many years ago; he said he was flattered by their invitation to eat with them. He was given a badge with the Chinese characters for *Ba Yi* (Aug. 1), the date of the founding of the PLA. He said he would cherish the badge and display it in his Pentagon office. “This visit is very important to me,” Hagel said, “and I appreciate what you are doing for your country and I know your country is proud of you.”

Hagel Meets with President Xi Jinping

Before leaving, Hagel met with President Xi, which was far more diplomatic than his other encounters. Xi stressed the importance of the recent high-level visits and said these were leading the Sino-U.S. relationship in the right direction. He urged both sides to increase cooperation in a number of fields on the principle of “no conflict, no confrontation.”

“Both countries should manage differences and sensitive issues to establish a model of major-country relations and further develop military ties,” Xi told Hagel. Important agreements were made during the visit, including a commitment to closer institutional relations between the two armies, and to establish an Asia-Pacific Security Dialogue between the assistant defense secretary for the Asia-Pacific and China’s director of the Ministry of National Defense Foreign Affairs Office.

Whether this “new relationship” can be realized depends on how quickly patriots in the United States can revoke, through impeachment of the President, the license of *this* President to run roughshod over the most important relations the United States has in the world—with Russia and China.

Xinjiang's Uighur Jihadists and The Wahhabi Empire of al-Qaeda

by an EIR Investigative Team

Turkestan. And what do you know about Turkestan? It is a wounded body with swollen eyes and bitter agony. Although the West commits crimes against the Muslims from time to time, and their crimes become known to media outlets (people hear and see about the crimes), Muslims rise to aid their brothers with every method and trick. However, the crimes committed by the pagan Chinese against the Muslims in Turkestan are carried out in silence and in the most despicable ways.... It is a duty for Muslims today to stand by their oppressed and wounded brothers in East Turkestan, and support and aid them with everything they can.

—*Sheikh Abu-Yahya al-Libi, al-Qaeda No. 2 commander, Oct. 6, 2009 (video entitled, "East Turkestan: The Forgotten Wound.")*

April 14—In the southwestern city of Kunming, China, on March 1, a team of terrorists numbering between 6 and 12 launched a blitz-attack on civilians at the train station in that provincial capital. Wielding knives and machetes, the attackers, including several women, killed 29 people and injured more than 140 others. It was the most effective and sophisticated attack by the Islamic extremist Uighur fighters, and according to high-level military and intelligence officials from the United States, India, and Israel, the attack was reminiscent of the deadly attack in Mumbai, India, in November 2008.

The parallel is not accidental. According to a retired U.S. intelligence executive, the Pakistani-based **Lashkar e-Tahibi (LeT)** trained the Mumbai terror team and has been engaged in training Uighur jihadists in Pakistan as well. The official noted that there were common features to the Mumbai and the Kunming terror attacks, in terms of infiltration of the teams and coordination.

But there is more to the connection than training or similarities in modus operandi. The attacks by Uighur jihadis have been mandated by the same top leadership of the Anglo-Saudi al-Qaeda terrorist network that pulled off the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks in the United States and the attacks on the U.S. consulate and CIA annex in Benghazi, Libya, on Sept. 11, 2012—the anniversary of the original 9/11 attack.

That continuity from the World Trade Center attacks, to Benghazi, to Kunming is the significance of the above quote by **Sheikh Abu-Yahya al-Libi**, the second in command of al-Qaeda, from 2010 to 2012, when he was killed by a U.S. drone attack in western Pakistan. Yahya al-Libi's call for jihad *by all Muslims* against China is not something to be dismissed. The Benghazi attack that killed U.S. Ambassador to Libya Christopher Stevens and three other Americans was carried out by al-Qaeda networks two days after **Zayman al-Zawahiri**, the successor to Osama bin Laden, called for more 9/11 type attacks in revenge for the murder of al-Libi.

"What do you know about Turkestan?" al-Libi bellows in his 2009 video, never calling the region by its actual name: Xinjiang, China. This province, the Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Republic, which sits in the western reaches of China bordering seven countries including Russia, Pakistan, and Afghanistan—is rich in raw materials and badly in need of development. Because of its strategic location and riches, Xinjiang has become a playground for the British Empire to play a version of its "Great Game" against China, utilizing ethnic differences to support groups in the province that want to establish "East Turkestan," as it was once called, as an independent country.

Now, because of *EIR's* groundbreaking investigative work on the two 9/11 attacks (2001 and 2012), a detailed examination of the Islamist networks that are growing among the Uighur national movement is possible.



al-Qaeda's No. 2 commander, behind al-Zawahiri.

But by Sept. 12, 2012, the White House's euphoria over the drone killings evaporated when, in revenge for al-Libi's death, al-Qaeda affiliates in Benghazi killed the four Americans in a fiery inferno and pitched battle that the White House tried to palm off as a demonstration gone awry. Two days before the murder of Ambassador Stevens, al-Qaeda's No. 1, Zawahiri, had, for the first time, confirmed al-Libi's martyrdom, saving the announcement for the anniversary of the 9/11 attacks, and calling on all Muslims, particularly Libyans, to avenge him. In a video that taunted and railed against Barack Obama for hunting down al-Qaeda, Zawahiri told al-Qaeda supporters that al-Libi's blood urged and

The Wahhabi Empire of al-Qaeda

On June 5, 2012, White House spokesman Jay Carney announced that al-Libi, a teacher, trainer, and top officer of the al-Qaeda command, was dead. Another U.S. official anonymously said that he had been killed in a drone strike in Pakistan, and that there is no one who even comes close, in terms of replacing his expertise for al-Qaeda. Al-Libi "played a critical role in the group's planning against the West, providing oversight of the external operations efforts," the official added.

For Obama's White House, it was another triumph, like the killing of Osama bin Laden. Carney gloated that al-Libi's death was "another serious blow to core al-Qaeda. His death is part of the degradation taking place in core al-Qaeda in the last several years." With the elimination of bin Laden, Yahya al-Libi had become

incited them to fight and kill the "Crusaders." The Obama Administration ignored Zawahiri's threat, just as it had ignored threats to the embassy and consulate in Libya since the Spring of 2012.

Two days after Zawahiri's call to take revenge for al-Libi, Stevens was dead, the first American ambassador to be assassinated in more than 30 years.

Obama's narcissistic folly of declaring victory against al-Qaeda carries a gruesome price for the United States. But according to U.S. intelligence sources, Obama, on behalf of his British puppet-masters, is committing an even greater error: believing that the Saudi-created Wahhabi jihadists can be used in a surrogate war against Russia—in the case of the Chechen and Caucasus Islamic extremists; and against China—in the case of the Uighur/East Turkestan Islamic radicals.

For more than a decade, protests from Beijing, that



Sheikh Abu-Yahya al-Libi, who was the second in command of al-Qaeda, called for jihad by all Muslims against China.

within the Uighur dissidents and separatist movement is a hard-core terrorist danger fueled by al-Qaeda and other Saudi-spawned terrorist groups, have been downplayed and ignored by the United States. In the self-proclaimed democracy circles of the United States and the United Kingdom, such as the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), Freedom House, Voice of America, and the Unrepresented Nations and Peoples Organization (UNPO), Chinese requests for cooperation in cracking down on the Uighur terrorist networks are dismissed as an exaggeration and an excuse to suppress the national identities of the Uighur and other minorities in China.

Over the last two years, as a result of the funding efforts of the British Empire's two leading Wahhabi states, Saudi Arabia and Qatar, joined by Turkey, Kuwait, and the United Arab Emirates, to a more limited extent, the jihadists have become an international army far larger than the Osama bin Laden/mujaheddin/al-Qaeda networks that came out of Afghanistan's war against the Soviets from 1979 to 1989. With the full complicity of the British Empire, the Obama Administration, and British puppet oil-state Saudi Arabia, the new al-Qaeda has been handed a golden opportunity to develop seasoned terrorist fighters in a Jihad Army that includes fighters from Saudi Arabia, Syria, Libya, Algeria, the Caucasus, Turkey, Lebanon, Jordan, Egypt, China's Xinjiang province, Ukraine, and Britain.

There are also fighters from various African sub-Saharan countries where al-Qaeda networks are active, and increasingly from Europe. These foreign fighters have been receiving training for the last two years in southern Iraq and Syria. Before that, their bloodlust was fueled by the NATO-backed overthrow and murder of President Muammar Qaddafi in Libya. Once Qaddafi was dead, the weapons from the Libyan war went into Syria, via a Turkey-Saudi Arabia-Qatar network that has been exposed by the United Nations.

In short, the Anglo-Americans, in league with the rich Arab oil countries led by Saudi Arabia, have built an Army of the Caliphate for the jihadis out of the three-year war in Syria—something that the jihadis themselves could never have accomplished. The spiritual leaders of that jihadi army proudly surface on YouTube videos, issuing *fatwas* to trigger mass terrorism.

Declaring China 'the Enemy of All Muslims'

On March 14, 2014, two weeks after the attacks in Kunming, **Abdullah Monsour**, the elusive Uighur leader who heads the rebel **Turkistan Islamic Party (TIP)**, gave an interview to Reuters. He spoke by satellite phone from an undisclosed location, believed to be in western Pakistan, where intelligence sources have told Reuters that some 400 Uighur fighters have stationed themselves. Monsour claims that Xinjiang province and the fight against China is now a universal jihad obligation.

Referring to the Kunming attack, Monsour is quoted by Reuters saying, "If the fighters of East Turkestan are now fighting with swords, knives, and mallets, our dear Allah will soon give us opportunities to fight the Chinese using automatic guns."

He also expressed his support for suicide tactics, saying the blood of those who are killing themselves is not being spilled for nothing, for their "blood will bring tens of more to carry out jihad."

"The fight against China is our Islamic responsibility and we have to fulfill it," Monsour said. "China is not only our enemy, but it is the enemy of all Muslims. . . . We have plans for many attacks in China. We have a message to China that the East Turkestan people and other Muslims have woken up. They cannot suppress us and Islam any more. Muslims will take revenge."

The Kunming attack was one of the largest single terrorist actions by Uighur extremists, but 2013 was one of the most active years of Uighur terrorism. On Oct. 28, 2013, five people died and 38 were injured in an apparent suicide terrorist attack in Beijing's Tiananmen Square, when a Jeep drove through a crowd of pedestrians and caught fire after crashing into a bridge.

Monsour's TIP released an audio statement in November 2013, calling the Tiananmen attack a jihadi operation. He declared, "O Chinese unbelievers, know that you have been fooling East Turkistan for the last 60 years, but now they have awakened. . . . The people have learned who is the real enemy and they returned to their religion. They learned the lesson." That statement was posted by the SITE organization, a U.S.-based anti-terrorist institute that specializes in Islamic extremism.

The Chinese government links the escalation of Islamic terrorism in China to the Uighur jihadis fighting in Syria, a charge that Beijing has been making since 2012. News agencies in the U.S., Britain, and the Middle East have been reporting the Uighur involvement with the Syrian rebel jihadis as well.

In one of the most detailed accounts of the Syrian connection, China's *Global Times* published on July 1, 2013 a story by reporter Lin Meilian that detailed the case of one Uighur jihadi recruited in Turkey in 2012:

"**Memeti Aili** was recently caught by the police when returning to Xinjiang to complete his mission to 'carry out violent attack and improve fighting skills' assigned by the **East Turkistan Islamic Movement (ETIM)**. ETIM is a terrorist group that aims to create an Islamist state in Xinjiang, which works alongside the **East Turkistan Education and Solidarity Association (ETESA)**, an Istanbul-based exile group.

"'After hearing their lectures, all I could think about was jihad and I totally abandoned my studies and my family,' he told the police. 'But thinking back, it was



The central Chinese town of Changsha, where six people were stabbed to death by terrorists on March 5.

like a nightmare.'

"An anti-terrorism official told the *Global Times* in an exclusive interview that about 100 people like Memeti Aili had travelled to Syria to join the fighting alongside Syrian rebels since last year. . . .

"In 2011, after graduating from university in Urumqi [Xinjiang], Memeti Aili went to study in Turkey like many other Muslim Uighur students. Soon after settling down in Istanbul, someone from the ETESA approached and offered him 'help.'

"A year later, after studying the lessons they provided, Memeti Aili was informed by ETESA and ETIM that he had been selected to travel to Syria to join the fighting.

"Together with other young people, Memeti Aili travelled to Aleppo, the largest city located in northwestern Syria, and arranged to join the rebels. The percentage of foreign fighters in Syria has reached up to 80 percent from 29 countries such as Libya, Turkey, Lebanon and Yemen, according to Omran Zoubi, Minister of Information in the Assad government.

"Before arriving in Syria, Memeti Aili said he had never touched a gun.

"Together these young people received seven days of training in the suburbs of Aleppo, where there was no water or electricity supply, and food was scarce.

"'We had to change sites four times a day in fear of possible bombings from the Syrian army, therefore we didn't learn that much during that week,' he recalled. . . .

“After this rushed training, Memeti Aili was assigned to join the Free Syrian Army (FSA), an armed opposition structure operating in Syria.

“‘We were running around Aleppo like madmen to avoid possible bombings and air attacks,’ said Memeti Aili, ‘We didn’t see the Syrian army, but we saw the places where our guys got hit by bombs and died.’”

After the *Global Times* article, the ETESA immediately denounced the Chinese government for a fake report about any connection between its Istanbul-based organization and any fighting in Syria.

But for anyone who has studied Anglo-Saudi international recruitment and training—especially in London—what Memeti Aili described is almost identical to the known jihadi method employed by the Saudi-created and -funded operations in London, particularly the **Finsbury Park Mosque** run by Saudi-financed cleric **Abu Hamza**, and other British mosques in and around London frequented by cleric Omar Bakri Mohammed, who were both followers of Osama bin Laden.

So blatant was the recruiting for jihadi terrorists out of the Muslim student population of Britain, that a French judge, while presiding over a terrorism investigation in France, dubbed the British operation “Londonistan.” For over a decade, operatives such as Abu Hamza and **Omar Bakri** did their recruiting in the United Kingdom, apparently immune from prosecution, reported veteran British journalists Sean O’Neill and Daniel McGrory in their book *The Suicide Factory: Abu Hamza and the Finsbury Park Mosque* (2010).

With the documented role of al-Qaeda’s al-Libi in demanding that the entire Muslim *Ummah* (community) rise up against the “pagan” China in defense of East Turkistan, there is no question that the Uighur jihadis and the Anglo-British operation are the same.

Al-Libi is not the only al-Qaeda voice spotlighting Xinjiang. In August 2009, **al-Qaeda in Iraq (AQI)** posted an Arabic-language video urging jihad in support of Turkestan. The video begins with a computer-generated cartoon showing an oil truck on a highway, which drives head-on into a large wooden crucifix in an urban area, and explodes, destroying the whole town. The video openly supports the Turkistan Islamic Party led by Abdullah Monsour (quoted above).

On July 15, 2013, the Jamestown Foundation’s Jacob Zenn wrote in *Asia Times* that the Chinese reports of Uighur militants returning to Xinjiang from Syria



The Chinese government has linked the escalation of Islamic terrorism in China to the Uighur jihadis fighting in Syria.

came amid a wave of violent incidents in China:

March 7, 2013: Five people were killed in a fight between Uighurs and Han Chinese in the commercial district of Korla (Radio Free Asia, March 7; *South China Morning Post*, March 8).

March 9, 2013: A group of unidentified men attacked a police station with a petrol bomb in Hotan (Radio Free Asia, March 12).

April 23, 2013: 21 people were killed in Kashgar after a community patrol uncovered a group of Uighurs making explosives (*China Daily*, April 30).

June 26, 2013: As many as 47 people were killed in Turpan when a group of about 15 Uighurs attacked a police station and other buildings, with daggers and petrol bombs (*China Daily*, July 8).

June 28, 2013: In Hotan, as many as 15 Uighurs were killed after the police broke up a sermon at a mosque and arrested the imam, which led to large protests (Radio Free Asia, June 30).

Zenn also notes that the late June 2013 attacks in Xinjiang occurred close to the fourth anniversary of the July 5, 2009 ethnic riots in Xinjiang. China’s response to those riots included a communications blackout, reports Zenn; but rather than focusing on the roots of the terrorism, U.S. agencies responded by stepping up the attacks on China for human rights violations and made Uighur separatism a cause célèbre for the “humanitarian” interventionists in the Obama regime.

Waiting for Advanced Weapons

The Turkistan Islamic Party is fully part of the anti-U.S. fighters in Afghanistan, but is based in western

Pakistan, where it works with al-Qaeda, the Taliban, and the **Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan (IMU)**, Zenn reports. He adds that a series of TIP videos released in July 2013, called “Military Quick Guides,” is intended to train viewers in the use of arms, such as Tokarev pistols and AK-47s.

But while pistols and automatic rifles have not been characteristic of Uighur attacks inside China, where more basic irregular warfare by means of vehicle and knife attacks have been used, the seriousness, expert timing, and coordination of the Kunming attacks has been underlined by military and intelligence officials in the U.S. and Israel.

A retired senior U.S. intelligence executive strongly advised Chinese authorities to consult with their Indian counterparts, particularly Indian officials involved in the investigation of the 2008 Mumbai attacks. The official emphasized that investigations should follow the links between the LeT in Pakistan and the Uighur jihadis.

Dr. Ely Karmon, a senior research scholar at the Israeli International Institute for Counter-Terrorism (ICT), also underlined the unique importance of the Kunming attack, compared to earlier operations. “While it is true that there were previous terrorist attacks using knives or even syringe stabbings, this [Kunming] attack was a well-organized operation by a relatively important 10-12-member team, on a very high-profile target where it was sure to find numerous civilian victims and also high media coverage,” Karmon wrote. Citing the participation by at least two women, he asked, “Are the Uighurs imitating the Chechen women active in terrorist attacks against Russia? Are these women widows or parents of dead or arrested terrorists?”

Karmon also draws the parallel to Mumbai: “The use of bland weapons can be explained first by the difficulty in smuggling firearms into a secured perimeter, but also by the hint of symbolism in jihadists’ ritual slaughtering of the enemy and the courage of the assailants,” he said. “In a sense, this tactic is an imitation of the November 2008 Mumbai attack in India and the September 2013 Nairobi mall attack in Kenya.”

Obviously, not all Uighurs subscribe to the jihadist beliefs and agenda of the Anglo-Saudi-sponsored terrorist networks that have now been activated in what appears to be the start of a major asymmetric warfare campaign. It is precisely because of the clear al-Qaeda links of the recent terrorist teams that it is essential that

the Chinese authorities work in close collaboration with other nations at the front line of the same battle—including India and Russia.

To effectively combat this growing menace, it is essential that the top-down nature of the controls over these networks be fully fleshed out. Both the al-Qaeda and LeT leads are key in exposing the guiding hand of high-level circles in Britain and Saudi Arabia, in particular. Only concerted international cooperation among leading nation-states can effectively combat this scourge, and that is the first lesson to be learned from the emerging profile of a new jihadist focus on China as a prime target for further attack.

Further Reading

Ramtanu Maitra, “The Uighurs: Britain’s Double-Edged Razor To Cut Up China and Beyond,” [EIR](#), April 11, 2008.

“Put Britain on the List of Terrorist Sponsors,” [EIR](#), May 3, 2013.

Ramtanu Maitra, “The House of Saud: British-Programmed Killer of Muslims,” and “What is Wahhabism,” [EIR](#), Sept. 28, 2012.

EIR Special Report

Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two

**NEW, UPDATED
EDITION**

A new, updated edition of the EIR Special Report, “Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two” is now available from larouchepub.com.

The expanded report is an urgent intervention into the ongoing strategic crisis brought on by the British/Saudi/Obama alliance behind the overthrow of Qaddafi, and the subsequent explosion of jihadist uprisings throughout Africa and the Arab world.

Price **\$100** (Paperback or PDF. For paper, add shipping and handling; Va. residents add 5% sales tax)

Order from **EIR News Service** 1-800-278-3135

Or online: www.larouchepub.com



Do Congressional Dems Have The Guts To Impeach Obama?

Special to EIR

April 16—At the height of the Watergate probes in the 1970s, a group of leading Congressional Republicans concluded that President Richard Nixon had to go, for the sake of the nation and for the survival of the Republican Party. Ultimately, a delegation of House and Senate GOP leaders went to Nixon and offered him three options: Resign, face a bipartisan Senate impeachment, or face removal from office under the 25th Amendment. Nixon wisely chose to resign, with the assurance that his successor, Vice President Gerald Ford, would issue a Presidential pardon to the disgraced Nixon.

Today, leading Congressional Democrats face the same choice. President Obama has so tarnished the Democratic Party that hordes of former loyal Democratic voters are deserting and will likely vote to bring in a Republican majority in the U.S. Senate in the November mid-term elections. Such a Republican victory would doom the United States, just as the continuation of President Obama in office does. The question now is: Will a grouping of patriotic Democrats act in time to save the nation and the Democratic Party?

Behind the scenes, a vast number of Congressional Democrats have come to hate President Obama and his Wall Street- and London-directed policies to destroy the United States. They whisper in the corridors of the Capitol about their disgust with the man and his policies. With his recent actions, President Obama has given them cause to act on their private anger and bring him to Constitutional account. During the next two weeks of Easter recess, Members will be facing the

wrath of their constituents and will do well to consider the consequences of their continued capitulation to Obama and his masters.

Obama In-Your-Face

President Obama has gone out of his way recently to taunt Congressional Democrats, daring them to act against him, in a manner which could fuel Democratic anger at Obama for covering up the monstrous crimes of his predecessors George W. Bush and Dick Cheney.

And it's not just a political coverup: Under U.S. statutes and international treaties, the United States government is required to investigate and prosecute war crimes such as torture. Obama's refusal to investigate the crimes of Bush-Cheney puts Obama himself in violation of U.S. and international law.

When Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.) led the Senate Intelligence Committee to submit a 400-page summary of the findings of their 6,300-page report on the CIA interrogation, detention and rendition (i.e. torture) program to the President for review pending public release, Obama instead sent the report to CIA Director John Brennan, and gave the Agency the authority to decide what should be redacted from the report. This was despite an explicit request from Feinstein for the White House, not the CIA, to take the lead on a declassification review.

When the Senate Intelligence Committee voted 11-3 on April 3 to send the report to the White House for declassification and public release, Sen. Mark Udall (D-Colo.) noted that the Administration had three op-



White House Photo/Pete Souza

President Obama with “Yats” (Arseniy Yatsenyuk), the unelected Prime Minister of Ukraine, who was installed by a U.S.-backed coup in February. Obama’s war confrontation with Russia is one of many reasons he should be impeached.

tions: The White House could undertake declassification itself, refer it to the Director of National Intelligence, or give it over to the CIA. In an April 7 letter to the President, Feinstein wrote: “As this report covers a covert action program under the authority of the President and the National Security Council, I respectfully request that the White House take the lead in the declassification process.”

(Feinstein made an important point: that the detention and interrogation program carried out by the CIA did not originate there, but in the White House—then under the control of Bush-Cheney. In fact, as *EIR* reported at the time, many in the CIA were opposed to Cheney’s program, both on moral and ethical grounds, and because they expected it would come back to haunt the Agency.)

McClatchy news service reported that Feinstein’s letter also highlighted concerns over the CIA’s relationship with the Senate committee and the Executive branch, further showing why it would be inappropriate to allow the CIA to determine which parts of the Senate report should be withheld from the public. “The committee’s report contradicts information previously disclosed about the CIA Detention and Interrogation Program, and it raises a number of issues relating to how

the CIA interacts with the White House, other parts of the Executive branch and Congress,” McClatchy quoted the letter as saying.

The next day, April 8, defying the Senate, Obama did indeed send the report to the CIA for review and redactions. On April 9, Feinstein sent another letter to Obama, politely but firmly asking that he reconsider his decision.

Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.) came to Feinstein’s support, saying that he fully understood her concerns. “She doesn’t trust the CIA. I think she’s probably right. I don’t trust them either,” he told McClatchy. “This is the same outfit that destroyed the videos of the interrogations. That’s one of the most outrageous things I’ve ever seen in my life.”

Support for Feinstein’s position also came in an April 8 editorial in the *Los Angeles Times*. “It’s now up to President Obama to ensure that the [CIA] doesn’t mount a rear-guard attempt to censor or sanitize the committee’s findings in the name of national security,” the editorial said. Noting that the CIA has complained about alleged inaccuracies, and has a vested interest in suppressing information that would damage its reputation,

the editorial concluded: “That is why the President, who has sent mixed signals about the importance of confronting the abuses of the past, must make thorough and timely declassification of this report a personal priority.”

House Democrats Weigh In

A significant portion of House Democrats sent a letter to Obama on April 10, asking him to declassify and release the Senate report’s executive summary *in its entirety*. Forty Democratic caucus members signed onto the letter initiated by Rep. Adam Schiff (Calif.), a leading member of the House Intelligence Committee.

“We respectfully urge you to order the declassification of the report’s executive summary and findings expeditiously and in their entirety,” the House Democrats said. “The American people need and deserve a full account of the actions that were taken in their name through the use of torture and enhanced interrogations on detainees.”

The letter is particularly significant, in that it is the House that impeachment proceedings against the President must be initiated. And as Obama continues to demonstrate that he is nothing but a continuation of the criminal Bush-Cheney regime, impeachment becomes an increasingly urgent imperative.

Action Revived for Glass-Steagall Law

The new year has seen renewed action for a return to President Franklin Roosevelt's Depression-busting Glass-Steagall law, as lawmakers, journalists, and economists confront the unravelling of the global financial/economic system. Here are a few of the latest developments.

New York State Assembly Resolution: A Glass-Steagall resolution was officially introduced into the State Assembly April 10, as [K. 1012](#). Its chief sponsor is Democrat Phil Steck, who introduced a similar measure last year. There are 13 official co-sponsors, with potential for others.

Six LaRouchePAC activists visited Albany April 8, holding 24 meetings in the state capital, six with legislators. A LaRouchePAC leaflet, "The Cable Has Been Cut" (<http://larouchepac.com/node/30395>), was handed out, along with copies of an *EIR* article reporting on Russia's top anti-drug official Viktor Ivanov's call for Glass-Steagall, as the best way to identify and shut down drug-money laundering (*EIR*, Dec. 2, 2011).

New York state is the 12th state where a Glass-Steagall memorial has been introduced in 2014; several more states have memorials still live from 2013.

Big Six 'Monstrosities'

Financial journalist Naomi Prins, a former director at Goldman Sachs, and author of the just-released book *All the Presidents' Bankers*, has issued a call for reinstatement of Glass-Steagall, as the way to finally put an end to the dominance of the Big Six banks (JPMorgan, Wells Fargo, Bank of America, Citigroup, Morgan Stanley, and Goldman Sachs) over "not just the U.S. banking industry, but American and global finance, traversing the power corridor between the White House and Wall Street to help themselves . . . in good times and bad, in partnership with the President."

In a *New York Daily News* commentary April 9,

Prins labels the Big Six as "monstrosities," which hold \$9.4 trillion, or 84% of U.S. FDIC-insured deposits, \$12.5 trillion, or 85% of all U.S. bank assets—and control 96% of all U.S., and 43% of the \$693 trillion of global derivatives positions. She notes that "American inequity is reaching pre-1929 heights" today, and that the only sane solution is to break up the banks "in such a manner as to split our deposits and taxpayers' dollars from their speculative activity—by finally reinstating the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933."

Sanity in the City of London

Two notable British advocates of a return to Glass-Steagall spoke out in early April, to insist that financial disaster is imminent without putting that crucial step back on the agenda.

Andrew Haldane, the Bank of England's financial stability head, who supports a return to Glass-Steagall, warned in a speech at the London Business School that the \$87 trillion fund management business is now a major risk for the entire financial system.

"Future illiquidity pressures in financial markets, generated by asset management distress or wholesale portfolio reallocation, may be larger and more potent. In other words, Black Swan risk in asset management may be real and rising," Haldane said, according to the April 7 *Telegraph*. Pointing to the danger of a sudden "fire sale" of assets by fund managers, Haldane added, "Falling asset prices may be the prompt for withdrawal or sales. In some respects, this would mimic a banking 'run,' albeit operating through non-conventional channels. This could itself induce a further round of asset sales in an amplifying loop."

Liam Halligan, in his *Telegraph* financial column April 5, wrote that fears about the impact of quantitative easing (QE), and the awful state of the banks could be "likely to reopen the U.K.'s debate about the need to impose a Glass-Steagall split between retail-commercial banks, where firms and households store their deposits, and investment banks, which take big risks." He noted recent parliamentary testimony by **Lord Lawson**, who renewed his long-standing call for the U.K. to implement a full Glass-Steagall separation of investment and commercial banks. "Regulators will never succeed in regulating the universal banks, never," Lawson warned. "It is foolish to think that you can."

Obama Is Killing The Democratic Party

April 14—Working hand-in-glove with the Kesha Rogers campaign for the Democratic Party nomination for Senate in Texas, LaRouche Democrat Michael Steger is leading an aggressive effort to take back the Democratic Party in California, for a real FDR-JFK policy. Steger is running in the June 3 primary for the Democratic Party nomination in the 12th Congressional District, now un-represented by Nancy Pelosi.

Steger co-hosted with Rogers a webcast on an Emergency Program To End the Drought, on April 12. He is also leading an offensive at the California State House, which is controlled by the Democratic Party, with the determination to force real solutions to the water crisis onto the agenda—which, of course, begins with the re-enactment of FDR’s Glass-Steagall law, and includes the removal of faux-Democrat Obama from office.

On April 7, Steger issued an Open Letter to California’s State Legislature and the Members of Congress, in which he presented the “about-face” in national policy required “before this election period, or we face the end of both the Democratic and Republican Parties to a British Empire-Bush family fascist program, endorsed by the most rabid promoters of the green genocide agenda and the drug lobby. . . .

“The only solution to the immediate threat of the bail-in hyper-deflationary financial blowout, and the increasing threat of nuclear world war, is a sudden return to the principles of President Franklin Roosevelt, expressed in the best policies of Presidents Eisenhower and Reagan, such as the Atoms for Peace and the Strategic Defense Initiative, but typified most by the commitment to progress by President John F. Kennedy.

“The soul of our nation, and the true Democratic Party, must be revived based on the same principles developed by Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams,

and Abraham Lincoln, with the intent to restore a Constitutional system for the people of the United States. This, and only this, will be sufficient to save the Democratic Party, and the nation.”

Confronting Delusions

The Steger campaign’s interventions at the California State House are taking due note of the fact that the Democratic Party majority folded, back in August 2013, when, after the introduction of a memorial for Glass-Steagall, the Democrats were told by their party “leadership” and Wall Street lobbyists to back off from the resolution.

Currently, the Democratic Party is swept up a delusion of “success,” because of the state’s budget surplus. That “surplus,” which follows heavy cuts to the social safety net, is primarily attributable to a Wall Street bubble in Silicon Valley and in certain areas of San Francisco, which has had the palpable side-effect of driving up housing prices so high that homelessness is reaching boom proportions. The uproar against people being kicked out of their apartments so that the building can be converted into high-priced condos, is so great that there have been rallies held, and legislation introduced, to attempt to stop it.

During their April 8 day of action in Sacramento, the Steger campaign found considerable demoralization among the legislators, which has been intensified by the indictment of three State Senators, one black, one Hispanic, and one Chinese-American. At the end of the day, however, the organizers found a serious response among many legislators on the subject of the drought and the NAWAPA solution, which Steger and LaRouchePAC have been campaigning for.

Despite a certain amount of grandstanding, neither the Democrat-dominated California state government under Gov. Jerry Brown, nor the Obama Administration, has offered any credible solution to the historic drought, and the legislators know it. The only two live legislative discussions are a water bond, which would build more storage in *ten years*, and a legal mandate to force towns in the Central Valley with very limited water supplies, to share their water with towns that have none.

In the midst of his busy schedule, Michael Steger took the time to answer the following questions from *EIR*.

California Candidate Looks to Pacific-Centered Economic Development

Michael Steger, who is running for the Democratic Primary nomination in California's 12 C.D. (San Francisco), was interviewed by Nancy Spannaus on April 10.

EIR: I'd like to begin by asking you to introduce yourself to our readership. They may know you as a member of the LaRouche Policy Committee, and thus a leader of the LaRouche movement. Would you like to add anything else?

Steger: Well, I should probably give a little bit of background. I've been political since I was young. My parents are both from the Midwest, Michigan, and loved Reagan. Having been born in 1977, my first vote was for Bill Clinton. I watched what happened in Kosovo closely in 1999, and remember distinctly the bombing of the Chinese Embassy there. I had also interned in D.C., right before the Lewinsky scandal broke, so these events stuck out in my mind.

In college, I studied the famines in Ethiopia. You know, when I was growing up, there were these TV ads to help the starving children of Africa, and all the celebrities asking for money, but you have to ask, why are there famines at all? Was it simply a lack of food? I found out that there was food in Ethiopia, but there was not a political commitment to make sure the people were fed. There was not the infrastructure to deliver the food where it was most needed. This stood out, this failure of economics, this depopulation program, which they teach in school.

So when I was introduced to Lyn [LaRouche]'s work on economics, it was like a drop of water in Death Valley. I soaked it up, because it was not only an advanced sense of economic science, as well as a revolution in scientific thought, by debunking the laws of thermodynamics, but it was also human and moral, and a beautiful sense of human potential. And coming from the culture of my generation, especially in California,



that meant a lot. And joining Lyn's campaign was an obvious step.

Impeachment Is on the Table

EIR: I understand you are running for Congress in the 12th District in California, against quite an entrenched incumbent, Nancy Pelosi. I know your campaign is not oriented to local issues or personalities, but to bring strategic reality and solution to the voters, but I'm curious—what are the characteristics of the electorate you're addressing?

Steger: The district is all in San Francisco and heavily Democratic.

This city is facing the greatest disparity in the U.S.

There is a massive financial Wall Street bubble throughout San Francisco and Silicon Valley, while nearly half of the city is facing an inability to pay basic bills, and rents are skyrocketing. Honestly, this city would not survive without the Chinese community. They are now over 30% of the population, and are a typical middle-class constituency. They are policeman and civil servants; they have families and want a better future. The irony is, that for their children to have that better future, they have to go back to China.

And this is a key factor in the campaign, that for the U.S. to revive a commitment to development and progress, to build the great projects like NAWAPA, and go nuclear, and create a driver for fusion energy, we are going to have to open up an immediate dialogue with Russia and China, which are the largest producers of nuclear power plants, of large infrastructure, and are our intrinsic partners in a Pacific-centered economic system.

China and South Korea are leading the way on fusion, while we, under Obama, have shut down our fusion research. Literally, it's shut down. I just talked to the former head of Lawrence Livermore Lab—there is total demoralization and anger, because we have shut down our scientific potential, and instead, are driving towards nuclear war.

That's the other side of this campaign. Pelosi was directly responsible for protecting Cheney and Bush in 2006 when she took impeachment off the table. Now, she is protecting Obama, while Obama protects Dick Cheney and Co. This is criminal, it is literally war crimes we are talking about. Right now we face the threat of nuclear extinction under Obama, so our slogan is, "Hey Nancy, impeachment is *on* the table," and "If you want to survive, flip the table over and impeach Obama."

The Drought Disaster

EIR From where I sit, it would appear that there is a shift among California Democrats away from Obama—as shown in the actions of Sen. Dianne Feinstein, and maybe even Pelosi herself. How do you assess the situation?

Steger: There is hatred of the California Democratic Party in California. People here are not going to vote Republican for obvious reasons, but the Democratic Party in California is all gimmicks. They talk about an economic recovery for the state, but it was based on Facebook and Twitter tax revenues. These companies are either NSA fronts or Potemkin Villages for Wall Street organized crime. It's not an economy.

And now the drought is hitting hard, and the real economy of California, what's left of it after [former Gov. Arnold] Schwarzenegger, which is now mainly agricultural, is being decimated. Whole groves of nut and fruit trees ripped up, nearly a million acres fallowed because of no water. By this Summer, entire towns, productive food-growing areas are going to be turned into ghost towns. This is a disaster, and because of the residual problems of brushfires, etc., this drought is going to undermine any surplus the state thinks it has, forcing more cuts to education, social programs, etc.

And the California Democratic Party—what is their plan? Try to build more dams, which won't be built for ten years, and try to legally negotiate water contracts so that these small towns which will have nothing, may get a little water. This is a disaster. Add to this, the fracking hysteria, because California has the largest deposits of natural gas for fracking in the U.S., and you see the breakdown in the Wall Street-controlled green hysteria of the California Democratic Party.

Because California has to frack to survive at this point. They have shut down the nuclear power plants; they have forced out any coal or oil plants because of carbon emissions; and solar and wind are simply monstrosities. Solar will work for an outhouse in Death Valley but that's it; it won't power a state like California. So now we're fracking, pumping precious water along with chemical cocktails into the ground and water supply, ruining these resources within a generation, all to "save the environment." It's lunacy, and it's breaking down around them. The problem is, they are paralyzed by impotence and stupidity, and the most vulnerable, like the farm families, like the growing poor throughout the city areas, are going to face murderous consequences.

So, what we presented to the members of the state legislature was straightforward: The only solution is to throw Obama out now, and revive the real Democratic Party tradition of FDR and JFK. Add to that, the La-Rouche program of development and a Hamiltonian banking system, and California and the rest of the nation can be revived in the near term. Otherwise, the only way to solve the drought would be to actually break off and fall into the Pacific Ocean, and that's not my kind of vacation.

Food Production vs. Green Insanity

EIR: You have put a very heavy focus in your campaign on combatting the worst of the California scene, with the very best, the former being the Green ideology

which has allowed the current life-threatening drought to go unaddressed for decades, and the latter being the scientific capability embodied in the nation's most prominent laboratories, some of which are located in your region. Can you give us an idea of how you are mobilizing, and the response?

Steger: The drought is reaching a critical juncture. There are almost no short-term steps that will suffice to get us through this year. It is triage, a war-time situation here for the Valley. And I want to remind people, the Central Valley is the most productive food-growing area in the world. It's got rich soil, in some cases 20 feet deep. There are some thin clay layers, but the rich soil runs deep because the Valley was at one time under the ocean. So there are rich deposits. Plus the air is arid, which plants love, as humans do also. It's dry, which means there are fewer bugs and other pests.

So when we brought water to the Central Valley, as well as the Imperial Valley, we developed one of the main food-growing areas of the world, and set a precedent for human economy with our intervention into so-called nature, which was breeding desert before, and we built an oasis of rich food production. This Valley can grow everything, and grow it better than anywhere else.

I will give you an example. A friend who teaches at CSU Fresno: He's from China, the western regions, and he grew up without plumbing until he was 16, so he has a sense of upward development, and he's one of the best scientists in hydrology that we have. His friends in China, in the Academy of Sciences, are working on arid farming in the west of China, and they are making great breakthroughs, like growing watermelons, and even rice, with arid farming! It's good scientific work on food production. But they also grow what's called a Chinese date, which is usually smaller than the dates we're used to, but still sweet.

So when his friend visited, he wanted to see those particular dates here, and my friend said, we wouldn't grow those; there's no reason to grow those here, when you can grow the best of everything. Then, while they were literally driving down the road near Fresno, they saw a Chinese date tree. My friend was shocked, but not nearly as much as his friend was, because when they looked at the dates, they were three, even four times bigger than the ones in China! He was amazed, and could only imagine what he could grow in this Valley.

And that's the point. Anyone caught saying we shouldn't grow food in California should be locked up,

or put in a mental hospital at least, because it's an insanity that will lead to mass death. The real green agenda is what we did up through the 1960s with the water projects, and so it must be with NAWAPA XXI today. That's the only answer; and because of the drought, we are finding a strong response to this proposal, from farmers and local leaders, to state engineers and institutions.

Just to take up the last part of your question, it's these state engineers, who are responsible for the resources of our state, the land, water, and power, who must come up with a solution to this drought crisis. Their hands have been tied for decades, but things are now getting desperate. We have been presenting the question of fusion energy to these layers, and they get it. It's not jubilation, because the pessimism is high, but they get the engineering potential of what this technology brings. The temperatures alone for desalination could double potable water worldwide. We can transform our entire resource base, and this is the answer to the crisis.

Unfortunately, as I said, fusion research is shut down, and the scientists are relocating, literally as we speak. This is a crisis, but it's one we can solve. It's going to take some leadership, and some larger mental


Nuclear NAWAPA XXI Gateway to the Fusion Economy

A 21st Century Science & Technology Special Report

By the
**LaRouchePAC Scientific
Research Team**

Articles include:

- A Call for an International Crash Program: Creating the Fusion Economy
- Increasing the Productivity of the North American Water Cycle
- Nuclear NAWAPA XXI and the New Economy
- Nuclear Agro-Industrial Complexes for NAWAPA XXI
- The Pacific Development Corridor: Maglev Through the Bering Strait
- The 'Common Aims of Mankind': A Strategic Defense of Earth



Available from
21st Century Science & Technology

Print **\$20** post-paid

PDF **\$10**

Print report with
one-year subscription **\$40**

wards for the die-hard, rabid greenies out there, but we can solve the problem, and that's the role of the campaign, I would say.

The Empire Goes for War

EIR: As I believe you have pointed out in recent conferences of the Schiller Institute in California, the state is unique in the nation, as a threshold area for a U.S. orientation to the Pacific, particularly, collaboration with China, and with a very significant Asian population.

Steger: Well, since I've already addressed this, let me say something more, but from a different standpoint. We are facing the threat of world war. The situation is similar to the drive for war in the last century. We are facing a Goebbels-style media campaign against Russia and China, especially Russia over the Ukraine situation, but also Syria. We are now seeing a massive build-up of troops, NATO troops, along the borders of Eastern Europe.

But this war is not a conventional war. In World War I, the Germans introduced the large artillery which practically drove the French all the way back to the Rhône before the trench warfare began. In World War II, the modern tank changed the nature of warfare—its speed, along with aircraft—and this created even greater damage, as we saw in Berlin and Tokyo.

But since the end of World War II, we have faced the threat of thermonuclear warfare, extinction warfare, and the only place the bombs have been dropped is in Asia, and by the U.S., by Truman, under direction from that Malthusian and British imperialist Winston Churchill. As with those wars, we are now seeing an attack on Germany, as the centerpiece of collaboration between Europe and Asia. The sanctions against Russia will hit Germany the hardest, and there is real resistance by German business and political layers. The President of China [Xi Jinping] just made a very significant trip to Germany because of its important economic ties for global development.

So, one must understand that we are in a drive for war, now, and it could be launched at any moment—another world war, a thermonuclear World War III, and this must be prevented at all costs. The drive is coming from the same location as before, because the wars were not provoked by Germany—obviously, Hitler was the aggressor, but he was not German in character, he was a British and Wall Street creation. And that's the cause for the extinction war today.

The British Empire is bankrupt, as it was before. But through the wars, they dumped their debt onto Germany and others, and rescued their empire with the assistance of the U.S. under FDR, who knew that first Hitler had to be stopped, before we could dismantle the British Empire. Lincoln also knew that about the British, but both of them were killed, essentially, and what followed was a treason that allowed the Empire to continue.

So today, if we are going to end this century of warfare, and it has now been a full century, we must end this treason against our own Constitution. We must revive the real political tradition of our revolutionary nation, that of Washington and Hamilton, John Quincy Adams and Lincoln, FDR and JFK—that's the American tradition, and today it lies at the feet of the remaining patriotic layers in the Democratic Party.

They must now act, and the first step must be to throw Obama out, send him back to Hawaii, let him hang out with the Choom Gang,¹ keep him away from people. But the Republicans, as we saw under Cheney, will accelerate the drive for war! So this must be stopped now! Obama must go; then we can open the door for the Pacific Century, of a nuclear renaissance, and a cultural one as well. NAWAPA tends towards Asia, to the Bering Strait tunnel; it's all there by design, but we must have a commitment from these layers, as Mr. LaRouche has taken up. This is the fight, now, before the disaster of the next elections.

A Unified Campaign: Texas and California

And this is why the unified campaign of Kesha Rogers in Texas, along with my campaign in San Francisco, is so important. Kesha's campaign represents the breakout of the Democratic Party against this Wall Street faction. Our unified campaign is the real Democratic Party and the people know it, they want it, but we have to fight for it. Kesha and I have a sense that this could be the breakpoint, and we are going to do everything we can to inspire this revival of the FDR tradition. It depends on others, now, to join our fight, and that's the point. We can win, but are you willing to risk victory, or are you content with defeat? That's the question that patriots in the Democratic Party must ask themselves—not about the election, simply, but our survival as a nation, and as a species.

1. Obama's pot-smoking high school friends. See "Choom Gang: President Obama's pot-smoking high school days detailed in Maraniss book," *Washington Post*, May 25, 2012.

Glass-Steagall, a Strategic Necessity

How can the British Empire-driven rush toward thermonuclear confrontation between U.S./NATO forces and Russia and China be stopped? Besides taking British stooge Barack Obama finger off the nuclear button in the U.S., the irreplaceable strategic solution is Glass-Steagall.

Why is Glass-Steagall strategic? Because, in fact, the bankrupt British Empire can be brought to its knees by its enactment, its power stripped, exactly as Franklin Roosevelt enacted it 81 years ago. As Lyndon LaRouche said in his April 11, 2014 webcast, the action required, is “first of all the immediate installation of the Glass-Steagall law. Number One. That will solve the problem, because that leaves Wall Street and London barefaced, and bare this and bare other things. That’s the essential solution.”

LaRouche continued: “Now, the assumption is, that Wall Street has a lot of money: monetary assets, monetary obligations, etc. But I say that’s nonsense, and I speak on the authority of the U.S. Constitution, as defined by Alexander Hamilton, and Alexander Hamilton’s four terms defining a policy of the United States, as the policy of the U.S. system—under law! No one gets bailed out, out of Wall Street debt. You got the debt? Wear it, if you can!

“Because what we’re going to do is simply cancel—recognize that one way or the other—*cancel all Wall Street obligations*. Now, that doesn’t mean we’re going to shut down the banking system; that would be a myth. The problem is, you see, most people are so stupid when it comes to economy, and most economists, and monetarists generally, are so stupid, they don’t know the obvious. And as long as they can kid the average citizen into believing that they have assets there that are

worth something, *it’s a delusion!* At any moment, anybody who walks in who is an incarnation of Alexander Hamilton, and he’ll say, “You guys are bankrupt! Get outta here! You’re gone! You *have* no assets!” Because the U.S. system does not provide for money assets! Our system is the same system that was used by the Massachusetts Bay Colony—the same principle.

“The point is, money has no intrinsic value. That’s the point. What *has* value is production of good things, useful things, the things that increase the productive powers of labor, and so forth. And we operate on a credit system, exactly the way Alexander Hamilton, *who designed this system*: This part of the constitutional system was designed by him.”

LaRouche’s point is not unknown to intelligent economists and even Congressmen and Senators in the United States and parliamentarians in Europe. In fact, there is a persistent refrain in banking circles these days that the world is on the cusp of a total financial blowout worse than 2007-08, and that nothing but Glass-Steagall could deal with the situation.


Wall Street and certain circles in London are desperate to squelch the motion for Glass-Steagall. They are funding candidates against Glass-Steagall advocates such as Rep. Walter Jones of North Carolina, and sending messages to other endorsers, in Congress and state legislatures, that if they want their money for upcoming elections, they should lay off. And they have Barack Obama on their side.

The question comes down to one of courage. A bloodless blow, with Glass-Steagall, can crush a financial empire now killing millions. Can it be forced through, before it’s too late?

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
- \$180 for six months
- \$120 for four months
- \$90 for three months
- \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa
 Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____