

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

May 9, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 19

www.larouche.pub.com \$10.00

Long Waves of History: We Have To Think Galactically!
Film Exposes Royal Stonewall of Diana Murder Probe
LaRouche: Stop British Nazi Stooges' Drive to WW III

**The American Principle: Return
To the Actual U.S. Constitution**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
Editorial Board: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz

Editor: Nancy Spannaus
Managing Editors: Bonnie James, Susan Welsh
Technology Editor: Marsha Freeman
Book Editor: Katherine Notley
Graphics Editor: Alan Yue
Photo Editor: Stuart Lewis
Circulation Manager: Stanley Ezrol

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg
Economics: John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher
History: Anton Chaitkin
Ibero-America: Dennis Small
Russia and Eastern Europe: Rachel Douglas
United States: Debra Freeman

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: Javier Almaro
Berlin: Rainer Apel
Copenhagen: Tom Gillesberg
Houston: Harley Schlanger
Lima: Sara Madueño
Melbourne: Robert Barwick
Mexico City: Gerardo Castilleja Chávez
New Delhi: Ramtanu Maitra
Paris: Christine Bierre
Stockholm: Ulf Sandmark
United Nations, N.Y.C.: Leni Rubinstein
Washington, D.C.: William Jones
Wiesbaden: Göran Haglund

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com
www.larouchepub.com
www.executiveintelligencereview.com
www.larouchepub.com/eiw
Webmaster: John Sigerson
Assistant Webmaster: George Hollis
Editor, Arabic-language edition: Hussein Askary

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>
e-mail: eirna@eirna.com
Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,
Col Plutarco E Calles,
Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,
Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights
reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without
permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.
Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

As the world appears to be careening toward a confrontation between the U.S./NATO and Russia, it is crucial to keep in mind two global realities. First, that the fundamental source of the problem lies with a bankrupt, although evil British Empire which is desperately fighting for its life, and willing to take the rest of the world down with it. Second, that the only sane approach to dealing with this enemy of mankind is to create a new Renaissance of commitment to real science, increasing the power of humanity over nature.

This issue of *EIR* provides three features intended to educate readers on these realities. The first is Lyndon LaRouche's "Return to the Actual U.S. Constitution," which deals with the fundamental principle of economic progress on which that document is based, and how the British have successfully subverted it, to this point.

The second is a review of the largely suppressed movie "Unlawful Death," which deals with the evidence showing that Princess Diana was murdered, most likely by members of the British Royal Family. For those who have not read *EIR*'s previous coverage, this will be a shock—and the recent spurt of circulation for the film reflects the big problems which the Monarchy is encountering at this time.

The third is our science feature, "We Have To Think Galactically," which is comprised of a transcript of the April 30 New Paradigm for Mankind show on the drought crisis now devastating the American West. The dialogue between LaRouche and members of the LaRouchePAC Science Team provides a case study of how one has to upgrade, and supercede, one's thinking on crucial questions of physical economic survival, in this case, on the matter of NAWAPA.

The rest of the issue is primarily dedicated to strategic news updates, including on the global war confrontation, the Kesha Rogers for Senate campaign in Texas, and developments in Germany.

We would like to draw your particular attention to an additional short article included in this issue—our celebration of 40 years of publication of *EIR*. In this piece, we highlight the distinctive features of reporting which have made *EIR* a must-read item in the capitals of most major nations on the globe, from the standpoint of our philosophic outlook. We hope you will circulate this item to others, in the interest of expanding our readership—and the intelligence of the world's policymakers. That could make the difference between a prosperous future, or no future at all.

Cover This Week

"I am exceedingly anxious that this Union, the Constitution, and the liberties of the people shall be perpetuated in accordance with the original idea for which that struggle was made...."

—Abraham Lincoln,
Feb. 21, 1861



Lincoln statue, Daniel Chester French/Wikimedia Commons

4 **The American Principle: Return to the Actual U.S. Constitution**

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. "The point which I am presenting here," LaRouche writes, "is the fact, that the economic value in all matters which is properly to be measured by mankind, here and now, is to be measured by neither any other, nor lesser means of authority than that: as being in accord to the physical-scientific principle of the relative powers of both production, and of reproductive progress of the human species in its essential characteristics as a species...."

International

19 **Ukraine Crisis Deepens: Putin Pursues Diplomacy as Brits/Obama Push War**

President Putin has continued to defy pressure and threats from British puppet Obama to sacrifice Russian strategic interests in the ongoing Ukraine crisis. In the most recent provocation, anti-Kiev protesters were killed in an arson attack by Right Sector fascists in Odessa. Ukraine is now on the verge of civil war.

21 **Resistance Grows in Germany to War Party's Drive Against Russia**

The German government has been put under enormous pressure to agree to onerous economic sanctions against Russia, even though that would be suicide for the German economy.

24 **Film Review: Suppressed Film Exposes Royal Stonewall of Diana Murder Probe**

A review of "Unlawful Killing," a gripping documentary about the murder of Princess Diana, first shown at the Cannes Film Festival in May 2011. It was reviewed by Robert Barwick of the Australian LaRouche organization last September.

33 **EIR at 40: Providing the Intelligence Needed To Create a Future Worthy of Mankind**

By Nancy Spannaus, Editor. A philosophical/political history of the newsweekly's four decades of publication.

National

37 **Impeach Obama: LaRouche—Stop British Nazi Stooges’ Drive to WW III**

Lyndon LaRouche and his political movement are demanding that impeachment proceedings begin *immediately*, before the impending financial bail-in policy blows out the world system, or the lunatics in London and Washington detonate World War III.

40 **Texas Senate Runoff: Rogers Campaign Cannot Be Contained**

Kesha Rogers’ Senate Campaign is electrifying the state of Texas with hard-hitting radio ads, billboards, town hall meetings, and old-fashioned door-to-door organizing, while her opponent is relying on his ill-gotten personal fortune to buy the election outright.

43 **Conference Report: Baiting Germany, Atlantic Council Beats the Drums of War**

Economics

46 **Under Obama, Labor Force and Wages Shrinking Alike**

The government’s May 2 announcement of “288,000 new jobs in April,” can’t hide the reality of accelerating U.S. labor force shrinkage and continued low wages, a signal of the death of the nation economically.

48 **Book Review: Are We Controlled by the Whims of Nature, or Will We Create Our Future?**

A review of *The West Without Water: What Past Floods, Droughts, and Other Climatic Clues Tell Us About Tomorrow*, by B. Lynn Ingram and Frances Malamud-Roam.

Science

53 **LaRouchePAC Weekly Report: Long Waves of History: We Have To Think Galactically!**

The April 30 installment of the “New Paradigm for Mankind Weekly Report,” featuring Lyndon LaRouche and the LaRouchePAC Science Team’s Megan Beets and Ben Deniston.

Editorial

68 **The Wicked Witches of Windsor**

THE AMERICAN PRINCIPLE:

Return to the Actual U.S. Constitution

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

April 24, 2014

A Foreword:

Warren Buffett et alia, traveled to, and from Britain, to concoct a great swindle inside the United States. That was done, by Buffett's own methods of rail-roading, which he performed in the name of fraudulently concocted laws. It was done in the clear intent to cheat the most of citizens and dependents of the United States, all of this for the cause of actually "no fracking good." The effect of this particular, still-on-going swindle, is now delivering death at increasing rates, against our legitimate citizens and their dependents, alike.

So, the intention of the U.S. Federal Constitution had been, once more, foully betrayed, that done by the aid of a morally corrupt doctrine of "States' Rights," the most infamous of the loopholes which are most often the pretext for actually circumventing the originally actual Federal Constitution: using, a loophole which most frequently serves to destroy the very essence of the Federal Principle of our Constitution. Already, since that time, the "states' rights" pretext had already been the tunnel of lies adopted by Presidents from John Adams through James Madison,

and, repeatedly thereafter: a wicked means through which the British empire had already, so often cheated and, often, even caused mass-death-rates among our own population: as it had done, so, as the example of the actually murderous practice of "fracking" illustrates the point most simple and directly at this time.

The Matter of History

The original system of the United States Constitution, had actually relied on the principle of physical, rather than monetarist standards of valuation, as Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton had specified in his January 9, 1790 **Report on Public Credit**; his December 13, 1790 **Report on A National Bank**; his February 23, 1791, **Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank**; and, his, relevantly concluding, December 5, 1791 **Report on The Subject of Manufactures**.

Nonetheless: all four of those prescriptions by him, as combined to a singleness of effect, have been, both explicitly and implicitly violated, repeatedly, with some relatively rare exceptions, as violated, repeatedly, by most among the elected National Presidencies of the United States—this far. In virtually all cases, the violations of those principles which had been set forth by Alexander Hamilton, have been, in effect, as, both,


Pro-‘States’ Rights’ Presidents



Wikimedia Commons
John Adams; portrait by John Trumbull
(ca. 1792-93)



Thomas Jefferson; portrait by Rembrandt
Peale (1805)



James Madison

Since the time of the adoption of our Federal Constitution, “the ‘states’ rights’ pretext had already been the tunnel of lies adopted by Presidents from John Adams through James Madison,” LaRouche writes, “and, repeatedly thereafter: a wicked means through which the British empire had already, so often cheated and, often, even caused mass-death-rates among our own population....”

combined, must be considered as having been violations of the U.S. Federal Constitution, and, also, as a practice of incompetence which has been, in each instance, a *de facto* practice of treason against the sovereign intention of the United States Constitution’s principle of a perfect union.

The essential faults in all the cases of violations, have been the fruit of delusions which are, implicitly, monetarist in nature, and, which, also, locate economic value wrongly, that done according to matters of monetary price, rather than a true physical principle of valuation. That truly physical principle of economy, is, itself, located, and that precisely, so, in the systemically characteristic distinction of the human species from both the beasts and from other representatives of still lower forms of life: that is to say, a uniquely human principle which distinguishes the entirety of the human species from all lower forms of life.

The point which I am presenting here, is the fact, that the economic value in all matters which is properly to be measured by mankind, here and now, is to be measured by neither any other, nor lesser means of authority than that: as being in accord to the physical-scientific principle of the relative powers of both production, and of reproductive progress of the human species in its

essential characteristics as a species. It must be, so, as this effect is measurable in terms of the optimally beneficial, relatively, *ever higher energy-flux-density of the intended systemic effects of human practice*, and as no other living species known, has ever actually been known to have replicated that same distinctive ordering principle of uniquely human, voluntary achievement in the relevant ordering of human economic values.

In fact, it is only the most worse-then-useless parasites in the world, who customarily enjoy the relatively largest monetary incomes. This perversion includes the characteristic practices by Wall Street and London, for example, both of whom should be, therefore, paid the relatively least, if at all.

Money may be, and often has been used as a useful convenience, or not; but, as *a matter of physical scientific practice, money, as such, money has no inherent value for sane members of the human species, but merely a pragmatic potential, when properly used*. In fact, the notion of money, in each and all of its manifestations, has no truly inherent intrinsic value *per se* in the healthy functions of the human species.

It was Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, who gave our republic its relatively unique, scientifically precise notion of the human economic values of physi-

Anti-‘States’ Rights’ Presidents



Wikimedia Commons

James Monroe; portrait by Samuel Morse (ca. 1819)



George Washington; portrait by Gilbert Stuart (1795)



Wikimedia Commons

John Quincy Adams

“From the close of the second Presidency of George Washington, until the corrections introduced by Presidents James Monroe and John Quincy Adams, against ‘states’ rights,’ the British empire and its international banking system, had, with relatively rare exceptions, usually dominated the fate of the citizenry of our United States.”

cal-economic practice, as he had done that within the implicitly sufficient terms of a principle of (implicitly) rising energy-flux density, as Secretary Hamilton had done, in effect, under the rule of relative energy-flux-density in the productive process.

The Lesson To Be Taught Here

I shall demonstrate, with successive examples, in the course of this present report, here, that that implicit intention for the benefit of all mankind was already implicit in the U.S. economic practice as typified by the principles of Hamilton; any contrary view of a standard of practice, has been, in fact, the promise of a relative disaster for our republic, and for those of many other nations, by comparison.

The essential fraud: the hokum widely practiced by the half-witting, or less, is the prevalent practice of confusion used to confuse current custom with the present lack of proper conception of a principle of a coherent body of both formal and scientific universal law. That folly is located, in either simply mental incompetence, or, by the division of law, into categories: categories which divide notions of law into a collection of effectively incoherent sets of impulsively set, law-making practices: which share no actually, inherently systemic coherence with one another.

In the case of our United States, in particular, that quality of general irrationalisms in the practice of law, has been notably a particular foolishness in our nation’s practice of law, a foolishness which was set into motion, early on, by the fraudulent, and also widespread corruption of the reading of the intention of our actual Federal Constitution, as has been done by the subversion of the Federal Constitution, as done through the specific agency of corruption expressed by the agency of the moral corruption known as “states’ rights.”

From the close of the second Presidency of George Washington, until the corrections introduced by Presidents James Monroe and John Quincy Adams, against “States Rights,” the British empire and its international banking system, had, with relatively rare exceptions, usually dominated the fate of the citizenry of our United States. Otherwise, exceptionally good Presidents, such as Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, Franklin D. Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy, have been outstanding as notably successful exceptions to the general trend of moral decadence which has dominated our U.S. economy and the opinion of our schools, universities, and related institutions, otherwise. The inherently corrupting force of international monetarism, has been the chief mechanism by means of which this virtual cancerous presumption is spread internationally, as among us here, too.

The notion which must be placed foremost on this account, instead, is one aptly defined as *the relative productive powers of labor of the human species' performance*, when these are measured in terms of, effectively relative rate of productivity per-capita, and *when relative value is measured in no other choice of terms than as actualized and realized energy-flux density, all in the nature of relative historical action.*¹

On this account, the assassination of U.S. Secretary of the Treasury, Alexander Hamilton, was, in fact, not only an expression of efforts to destroy the sovereignty of the United States, that for the alleged benefit of “States Rights,” or the like. It was, actually, more to the point, in defense of the alleged rights of foreign powers, chiefly those of the British Empire, to corrupt the United States. The assassination of the former Treasury Secretary of the United States, Alexander Hamilton, had been such a specific and direct attack against any threat to British interests, upon the United States; it was, therefore, no coincidence, that the British professional assassin and spy who had assassinated Hamilton, Aaron Burr, was acting on behalf of the “States Rights” hoax, a hoax by means of which the economy and citizens of our United States have been implicitly robbed, as they are being robbed by Wall Street, still today.

That is the particular grievance to be considered still today.

For Example: How the Law Is Often Violated

The root of that corruption was expressed in the form of the fraudulent presumption of a “States Rights system,” which has been directly in opposition, as an exception to U.S. Federal Constitution. This has meant, in effect, a U.S. economy already dominated by the then, British-imperial system’s accumulated control



The British imperial influence, exerted through a combination of “states’ rights,” combined with the depredations of the Wall Street system, continues to undermine the sovereignty of the United States. Shown: the famous 1858 Lincoln-Douglas debates over the future of slavery in America, in which Lincoln defended the sovereignty of the Union, against Douglas’s defense of states’ rights.

within, and over international markets, including those of our own United States. This alien, British imperial influence, was a means of a control exerted in usually effective defiance of the sovereignty of our United States itself through the mechanism of combining “States Rights” within the United States, with the Wall Street system which has since dominated the effects of the international implications of the Wall Street system: the latter a system which has been the chief British-imperial agency used to promote and maintain the corruption of the law of our United States.

The rabid spread of “fracking” recently, has been a leading expression of the crushing of the interests of the United States, for the advantage of both destruction of the U.S. economy, and placing the United States under the domination of foreign forces which presently ruin our economy, and starve, even kill our citizenry, increasingly, and that, more and more openly, of late.

The Principle of Human Life

For example: consider the principle of human life.

The human species has no principle in common with plant or animal life, nor with infectious diseases. The human species is a unique one. All that is actually human life, expresses an intended purpose unlike that of all other categories of life.

So, for the same reason, the British System, like its most notable immediate precedent, the Roman impe-

1. In brief: “John Smith’s law: to eat, you must, first, work, or, some generous person (or, scapegoat) must do it for you; otherwise, you shall, in the end, all starve.”

rial system, contains no body of law which actually corresponds to the actual Constitution of the United States; but, it is in intentionally murderous defiance of the founding principles of U.S.A. law. Morally, the immorality of that British species is, provably, far worse than merely morally inferior to the quality of the American patriotic species; the most notable and necessary distinction to be made on that account, has been typified, still presently, by aid of principled American considerations, such as the original Massachusetts colony, as that on universally principled grounds (before it was crushed by the morally bestial Dutch mass murderers)².

The Principles To Be Chiefly Considered

The principles which I am emphasizing, and shall emphasize in this present report and its following content, are to be traced historically for human beings, in their unique expression for all modern European culture, by the leadership of what was called the “Golden Renaissance” of (1) Filippo Brunelleschi (the principle of the physically efficient minimum); (2) Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa (the physically efficient maximum) and their follower, (3) Johannes Kepler, the unique discoverer of the existence of a specifically defined Solar system.

All competent physical science since that Renaissance has taken its modern origins,³ has been a science based, in the genius of the leading roles of Filippo Brunelleschi, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler. These were the actual foundations which had composed the basis for the development of the foundations of a truly modern European science, such as those had been developed, most notably, thereafter, as by Gottfried Leibniz (most notably), and, thereafter, led by means of the apparent enigma of the general physical principle of the work of Carl F. Gauss, and Gauss’ truest “prophet,” Bernhard Riemann.

Riemann’s work had led across a veritable bridge of the human mind, into and, also, beyond Leibniz’s achievements, and into the discovery of a new set of a higher-order physical principled category: (1) a new minimum principle (Max Planck), and, new maximum principle (2) Albert Einstein; and (3) by the uniquely original treatment of the principle of life (thus, com-

plementing the earlier discovery by Kepler), of V.I. Vernadsky.

The Presently New Dark Age

However, since 1900, science *per se* has been in a process, still presently, of being taken over by a process through which it was virtually cancelled, as was done according to the doctrine of the pathetically foolish David Hilbert, and what had been done by Hilbert’s successor, the utterly satanic, modern “British Satan,” Bertrand Russell.

With advent of the Twentieth-century Hilbert and Russell, physical science, as a practice, has been, then, and since, suppressed in schools and universities. That has been done, increasingly, as successive degeneracies expressed through the practice of decadent substitutions for actually scientific methods. These methods have been progenitors of worsening degeneracies, which have taken over, successively, the forcing of a process of degeneration in the work of schools, universities, and, also, culture generally: especially since the indicative assassinations of President John F. Kennedy, and his brother, Robert.

Since that time, the trans-Atlantic World has been, chiefly, skidding into a virtual ride into a Hellish sort of genocidal, British imperial, truly “Zeusian” trap, within a recently avowed, truly Satanic intention of sheer “genocide:” avowedly reducing that present Queen’s permission for the global human population from the vicinity of seven billions souls, toward less than one: a truly Satanic (e.g., Zeusian) Hell from which mankind must be always promptly freed, from which it must be rid.

I explain:

That much said now: what is the matter of principle to be considered on this account?

The essential distinction of the human species from all other, is fairly identified as the “voluntary principle,” a principle which is unique to mentally healthy members of the human species.⁴ All lawful human behavior, is a reflection of the discovery of a universal physical principle; the standard to be used

2. By aid of such miscreants as the Salem “witchcraft trial” abominations.

3. I.e., since the Florentine Renaissance.

4. Animal species, when cultivated by actively human influence, as distinct from the “wild,” can be induced (“trained”) by persons to approximate human, or human-like behavior; but, beasts lack access to knowledge of the *actual future* which they have not been trained to perform. In other words, they can not know the actual principles pertaining in an uniquely specific way, to a future quality of experience.

for such descriptions, is best described as the creative practice of (literally) chemistry *per se*: a notion of chemistry which does not exist efficiently among either lower forms of life, nor, also among underdeveloped human individual types of even alleged scientists today.

What had happened in the trans-Atlantic world, and beyond, since, nominally 1900 A.D., with the initial foolishness of David Hilbert and the consequent, clearly Satanic influence of Bertrand Russell, has been a systemic expulsion of the notion of actually physical principles, for their replacement by the insanity of mere arithmetic misconceived in the sheer idiocy of the tradition of schoolbook Euclidean virtual masturbation. Thus, in the United States, today, the prevalently stated policy of the U.S. Government, is the genocidal reduction of the human population of the United States, in particular. That is what is otherwise known as the so-called “Green Policy,” which, for example, is plain and simple genocide against the U.S. population itself, as throughout the British Empire.

To wit: with the advent of the brilliantly and successfully great dogma corresponding to the perniciously silly practices of David Hilbert and Bertrand Russell, the intellectual development of human beings’ ability to think, had been diminished at an accelerating rate throughout, as in, predominantly, the trans-Atlantic regions, and the British empire more generally: all this has occurred, chiefly, over the course of the Twentieth Century, especially since the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and his brother, Robert. The characteristic of the declining and actually decaying intellectual capabilities of the U.S. population (in particular), has accelerated downward, since that time, toward a moral and intellectual degeneration which was greatly accelerated into cultural degeneracies, as shown by the cases of the politics of U.S. Presidencies since the George W. Bush, Jr. and Obama administrations, in particular.

Indeed, since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, the U.S. economy has continued to be gripped by a net physical-economic decline in physical terms of productivity, per capita: some productivities have increased, but with a net decline for the economy as a whole. Since the close of the Presidency of William Clinton, the economy as a whole has been in a state of highly accelerated physical economic collapse, when

matters are measured per capita, and per unit of net productivities of land area.

History Since Bismarck’s Ouster

In effect, the entire span since the combined effect of the ouster of both Germany’s Chancellor Bismarck and the subsequent assassination of President Carnot of France, has been a nightmare of accelerating intellectual decadence for the majority of the people of the United States and Europe, alike. Such has been the case, since the immediate aftermath of what has been dubbed “World War II.” At the present rate, since about eight years from now, civilization in the trans-Atlantic sector will have fallen into a deep “new dark age,” when I shall almost certainly have been deceased, and there will be little that remains of actually civilized life, given a continuation of the present trends accelerating downward now.

Thus, the intellectual decline and fall of the trans-Atlantic world’s culture must be dated, pragmatically, with the British Empire’s intervention to be brought about by the ouster of Chancellor Bismarck: as follows.

World history throughout the course of the Nineteenth Century, had been centered in the pulsations of the occasional rise and frequent failures of the Presidencies of the United States.

The defeat of the British Empire, by the actions taken by President Abraham Lincoln, had prompted the perennially vengeful “Evil-One,” the British Empire, explicitly, to direct such cases as the assassination of President Lincoln, and, for the same motives, the later assassination of President William McKinley, all according to the same British-directed source. The same is true of the evil wrought by evil Presidents Theodore Roosevelt; Ku Klux Klan fanatic Woodrow Wilson; Calvin Coolidge; and, Herbert Hoover. Similarly, since the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, we have had the disastrous Presidencies of Harry S. Truman; and, after President John F. Kennedy’s assassination, the U.S.A.’s moral and economic culture has been plunged, in net effect, ever deeper into the present moral and economic morass. In fact, the assassinations of U.S. President John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert, despite resistance against treason in the Ronald Reagan and William Clinton Presidencies as such, represent a present strategic situation tending toward the genocidal breach of the gates by

the Horse of Troy: the Bush-Cheney and Obama Presidencies.

The History of Cultures, Not Regimes

The actual history of our human species, is defined, not by events and dynasties as such, but by historical processes spanning the order of virtually centuries of rises and falls which are comparable to those of the rise and fall of the Golden

The human individual sometimes exerts a sudden spurt of progress in the skein of history over the course of centuries; but, the determination of the outcome of these more or less global processes, lies with the exceptional cases of the human will, not with so-called “historical trends” which the sillier would-be historians cite in their babbled mere opinions.

Renaissance and the colonization in the Americas, versus, the New Dark Ages of the following centuries. The commonly designated political, cultural, and other social events within history, are merely twitches and turns governed by far more powerful, and longer-reaching, good versus evil, trends.

The human individual sometimes exerts a sudden spurt of progress in the skein of history over the course of centuries; but, the determination of the outcome of these more or less global processes, lies with the exceptional cases of the human will, not with so-called “historical trends” which the sillier would-be historians cite in their babbled mere opinions.

For example:

Flatly: there has been no net physical-economical form of cultural progress in the United States since, generally, the 1970s and, similarly, since the early 1980s: none since the attempt to save civilization by the international forces allied in support of President

Ronald Reagan’s valiant defense of my prospect for a Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI). Nonetheless, when I and others were mobilized for both the causes of thermonuclear fusion and the SDI, we had been the only real hope for the trans-Atlantic sector then.⁵

The only real hope for a better turnabout now, lies in two factors, chiefly. First, in the tragedy of the trans-

Atlantic region: the conditions of life in 2014 are vastly worse than during the late 1980s; but, the pending threat of a British-Empire-led global thermonuclear war has given us a warning almost impossible to ignore. Mankind hovers presently, thus, at the very precipice of potential human, thermonuclear extinction: throughout the trans-Atlantic region, and much of Islam presently, this represents sheer, truly Satanic evil, with the British empire under the nominal reign of the Empress Elizabeth in the role of service to a Satanic (i.e., Roman or British imperial) model expression of a contem-



Wikimedia Commons

“The Inspiration of Christopher Columbus” by José María Obregón (1856).

porary, truly Zeusian-bestial cause.

In brief, let it be said, the hopeful prospect for civilization, comes at virtually the last available moment. Those who deny that fact, are awful fools.

5. Originally, I had been the author of the original Strategic Defense Initiative; that done in the context of my collaborations with not only leading institutions of the United States, but also Italy, France, Germany, the Soviet Union, and others. I, for example, had personally opened the (temporarily) settled collaboration with the Soviet Union on this prospect, that done in cooperation with the Presidency of Ronald Reagan. President Ronald Reagan, with whose official circles I had dealt with these matters, was a leading sponsor of the project. A change in regime within the Soviet system, thus led into the collapse of the Soviet Union, as I had warned, publicly, about five years later, a collapse resulting, within the five years later which I had forecast, from the errant policies of the Soviet Union.

How the United States Itself Had Failed

For the needed answers to such questions, go back to the Golden Renaissance science of the time of the true saintly heroine against the Norman beasts, Jeanne d'Arc and that of a great king from that same century, the great King of France, Louis XI (King from 1461-83), who held off the greatest evils by outflanking them, during that time.

However, again: the crucial development, to be taken from what I have already emphasized here: the already referenced, true founders of modern physical science: (1) Filippo Brunelleschi (the minimum); (2) Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa (the maximum); and, (3) Johannes Kepler (the unique discovery of the Solar system). All competent modern physical science is expressed most clearly by the model of those three initiators of all competent modern science.

Physical science's progresses were succeeded, since the discovery of the Solar system by Johannes Kepler, as a matter of extended progress, chiefly, by Gottfried Leibniz, and after his singular leadership in principle, most notably, those, since Leibniz, such as those turning toward the direction of Carl F. Gauss and his successor, Bernhard Riemann. Riemann was crucial in preparing the way for a new ontological minimalist, (1) Max Planck; (2) a new ontological maximalist, Albert Einstein; and, then, an echo of the role of a virtual Kepler in his own time, (3) V.I. Vernadsky, the actual discoverer of the actual principle of the physics of human life.⁶

However, what I have just stated in the preceding paragraph, had already depended upon an implicit recognition of the intrinsic error of reliance upon mere sense-perception as such, the intrinsically physical power expressed efficiently in the notion of human life *per se*. The relevant argument runs as follows.

The Contemporary Reductionist School

The contemporary reductionist school, treats the social and related phenomena of human behavior as combining sense-perception and experience of life-forms as such, as it were, into a single package of sense-perception, so composed as being a single experience of knowable reality, physical and allegedly spiritual-

6. The enemy of V.I. Vernadsky, is therefore to be recognized as having been echoed in the person of a pseudo-scientist from the radically reductionist school of the Satanically evil Bertrand Russell: i.e., the Soviet reductionist of pseudo-life, A.I. Oparin.

emotional, alike. Hence, A.I. Oparin's pathetically fraudulent efforts to define a principle for life.

In contrast to Oparin's nonsense, human reality lies not in a reductionist's sense-perception as such, but, instead, in a principle of life *as such*: a function of the human individual will's power to affect the universe which we inhabit: hence, a principle of action *per se*, a principle of human action on the universe which mankind inhabits. E.g., man on Earth, acting willfully to protect life on Earth from being obliterated by a satellite: a deed in God's world, not by the forces of mere Earth as such.

I. The Principle of the Human Mind

The most common fraud concerning the meaning of science, is met in a virtual lunatic's belief, that the actual ideas of the human mind are definable in terms of the experience of human sense-perception as such. This error was addressed in a relatively most notable scientific discovery made by Eratosthenes, who had corrected the earlier errors of presumption by Archimedes' and others measurement of the Earth.⁷ Once mankind escapes the presumption, that Earthly sense-perception is a reality only within the larger scheme of our Solar system, and beyond, which we have entered, as the great Johannes Kepler had discovered the Solar system *per se*, into a reality beyond, and superior to mere human sense-perception as such: We are thus freed from mere sense-perception, to discover the higher realities for which Earthly sense-perception is merely a shadow, rather than an essential substance.

All competently physical science, must be now considered as intrinsically galactic, rather than merely terrestrial. Therefore, the notion of the scientific mind, must be located beyond the popular presumptions of mere human sense-perception, to be discovered, afresh, within the domains defined by both the very large and

7. The sundry shifts, back and forth, from the respectively contrary standpoints of Prometheus and Zeus, had been aggravated by the implicitly pro-Zeusian standpoint of conventional Roman and modern British imperialist expression of the Zeusian cult. The case of Eratosthenes and Archimedes, as relatively contemporary, is, therefore, a convenient "landing-area," betwixt the minimum and the maximum, where, in between, there is a reality which, in this matter, is neither; it is the universal, the Creator's domain.

very smallest, as Bernhard Riemann had already threatened the silliness of the reductionists of his own time. Thus, as V.I. Vernadsky freed us, most considerably, from the British and like reductionists of mere sense-perceptual delusions, so, the shift from reductionist mechanism in the tradition of moral and intellectual degenerate, Euclid, and his like, places the reality referenced by the human mind's experience, as now, as with the work of Vernadsky, in a cosmic experience of the universe, within which we are inhabitants, and the other merely objects and domains, alike. There lies the rigorously defined distinction between mind and mere matter, as Kepler's unique discovery of the Solar system has implicitly indicated.

The crucial point for consideration, on that specific account, is the essential distinction of the principle of the human mind from the far more lowly human brain. To wit: the distinction of *mind*, from merely brain whose death terminates the life of each among us, as in a human social process of creative experiences passed down into the memories of the future populations of society; but, the principles discovered by the mind, remain within the customary memory of the life which had been formerly lived. The distinction of mind is most directly expressed in the proof of the discovery of universal physical principles, as distinct from mere sense-perceptions and mere deductions: the discovery of universal principles, such as, for example, Johannes Kepler's unique discovery of the Solar system. This means, in general, truly universal principles of knowledge which transcend objects as such, otherwise considered.

It has been to that purpose, that the notion of the human mind as such, pertains, as in contrast to all mere sense-perceptions.

This view from the vantage-point of a truly modern physical science for the present time, is one which I had come to adopt, initially, from my encounter with the work of Gottfried Leibniz, an adoption which I had later relocated in the unique discovery of the Solar system by Kepler, but, then, more deeply into the implicitly triadic coherence of the work of Filippo Brunelleschi (the discovery of the physical principle of the minimum), and, thence, the discovery of the maximum (Nicholas of Cusa), and the conjunction of the two in Kepler's discovery of the reconciliation, in his uniquely original, discovered notion of the Solar system. A comparable discovery was made later, as through the developments by Carl F. Gauss and his follower, Bernhard Riemann, leading into the discovery

of a new "triadic" model: Max Planck (the new minimum); Albert Einstein (the new maximum), and the achievements of V.I. Vernadsky in the implicit development of the superior mental-biological principle of the human mind as such, the principle of human life *per se*.

The common feature of the triad of the Brunelleschi-Cusa-Kepler triad, and, of the Planck-Einstein-Vernadsky triad, alike, is that these each represent, respectively, a truly universal state of the expression of human mind, as such, rather than crude sense-perception as such. Or, in other words, approximations of a true science of the Solar system and beyond: approximations of ideas on such a basis, rather than the moral and sensory bestiality inherent in mere human sense-perception as such: the truly proper meaning of the human mind in the development of its proper maturity within the Solar system, and beyond.

All contrary views respecting human opinion respecting sense-perception and its implications, are more or less either bestial or simply pragmatic (or, worse: Zeus-like), such as the cases of the Roman Empire and its notable mimic, the British (e.g., Satanic world) Empire presently.

To state the case otherwise: it is necessary to follow the trail left by Johannes Kepler's process of the discovery of the ontological actuality of the Solar system, that far, and into spaces beyond, such as the galaxy and its subject, the Solar system, as mankind's necessary duty of discovery for practice of the meaning of the universe on and into the broader scale, and ever-deeper meaning, just, for example, as mankind has already entered, the presently indispensable scale of the thermonuclear and beyond, presently.⁸

The American Mind (and in other places) of the present, must adopt that perspective for our patriotism in practice. The practical man or woman is a silly fool, often with purpose, but, usually, without a competent sense for choice of direction. Merely abstracted notions of a Creator, must be superseded with duties required implicitly by the Creator as His reality is in the process of being discovered: by the application of ther-

8. For example: the present portent of the accumulations of Helium's potential for us on the surface of the Moon presently. Mankind's power within the Solar system and beyond that, corresponds to the development of the progressive power of the human mind in terms of ever-broader, and ever-deeper meaning of the universe as it becomes known to us. The bounds of sense-perception are thus breached for a belief in eternity, rather than the foolishness of mere sense-certainty.

monuclear fusion technologies, for example, presently.⁹

The Principle of the Human Species

The crucial consideration in matters bearing on the form of life, is the distinction of the human species, itself, from all lower forms of plant and animal life. The precise standard for this categorical choice of distinction, lies within the bounds of the human species itself. All truly natural law on the surface of this planet, and beyond, lies within the parameters of specifically, humanly upward progress of the effective energy-flux density achieved by a human culture's evolutionary progress toward well-defined orders of increases of energy-flux density *per capita* for the human individuality as such, exactly as the United States' greatest original political thinker, Alexander Hamilton, defined the uniquely underlying principles of human economy, as in, for example, the four physical-economic principles of national economy: **Report on Public Credit**,¹⁰ **Report on A National Bank**,¹¹ **Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank**,¹² and **Report on the Subject of Manufactures**.¹³ Each stage of production and circulation of produced product was reported in sequence of accomplishment in terms of production and trade; the correlated realization of values of circulated product were treated accordingly: according to historical se-


9. During the recent years, the watersheds west of the U.S.A.'s Mississippi River, have undergone a level of collapse in rainfalls which is already disastrous, and threatens a virtual exit of the U.S. economy and population from the relevant, large and wide areas of the United States. The situation is already such, that without a combined resuscitation of full-scale nuclear power and high-priority re-establishment of major nuclear power facilities, there is no significant hope for a recovery of the United States in the major portion of its present territory.

10. January 9, 1790.

11. Dec. 13, 1790.

12. February 23, 1791.

13. Dec. 5, 1791.



Wikimedia Commons

Alexander Hamilton, America's "greatest original political thinker," in his four revolutionary Reports to the Congress of 1790-91, "defined the uniquely underlying principles of human economy." Portrait of Hamilton by John Trumbull (1806).

quences and relative performance as to quality and effect of circulation of accomplished intended improvements.

The specifically scientific meaning of Hamilton's approach, as in the four reports taken into account, was intended to reflect the intended and actual net increase of the physically manifest relative productive powers of human labor. This scheme for accounting, as by Hamilton, corresponds, relatively more or less, to a table of energy-flux densities for the productive powers of accomplished labor, per capita, along the course of the relative physical-productive powers of labor of the human population taken in the order of occurrence: a measure of the rise or decline of the productive powers of labor for entire societies, and for the estimation of the efficient effect of the social-productive standards for human productivity as a species.

In other words, the "Greenies" of the United States today, are increasingly worthless when measured in terms of the mean value of their net productivity and existence for society generally. This presents us, here, with cause for recognition of the moral and physical decline of the net powers of labor in the United States since the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert Kennedy. This trend, since that time, corresponds, as a trend, to the appropriate meaning for sense of direction, up or down, in fluctuations

for the productive powers of relative compositions of the ebbs or flows of such productive powers, per capita and by composition of the labor-force estimated for its net physical productivity of per-capita advances in intellectual and related capabilities, such as increases of the energy-flux density of scientific progress.

In summary of this particular point: there has been a moral and intellectual decline of the U.S. labor-force since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and a general erosion in the productive and mental powers of labor in the general U.S. population. This downward trend, since the assassination of the two Kennedy brothers, has been reflected in the history of the Twentieth Century of Europe and the United States since the decline and warfare in Europe and elsewhere since the ouster of Chancellor Bismarck in Germany, as that trend was emphasized in a signal way by the 1890s warfare spreading in Europe since Bismarck's ouster, which was in a general state of continued increase up to and following the official outbreak of World Wars I and II, and an accelerated decline in the trans-Atlantic region since the launching of the foolish U.S.A. war in Indo-China following the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

This pattern of U.S.A. moral and scientific decline into the state of the present U.S. economic breakdown-crisis of the successive Bush and Obama Presidencies, which had occurred since the close of the U.S. William Clinton Administration, and, most emphatically, the termination of the Glass-Steagall law, is now being expressed in the form of a general genocidalists' trend within the intellectual and physical life of the U.S. population, as in respect to per capita physical productivity, decline of morals, and of sanity.

There has been nothing surprising in these trends of decline in Europe and the Americas since the several downturns in relatively positive productivity since the close of World War II, and the actual collapse of productivity; these have each come about with a certain finality, since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

In effect, what this evidence of trends demonstrates, presents us with a general, presently accelerating physical-economic decline of the viability of the human species, per capita, generally, but most emphatically in the trans-Atlantic regions.

In effect, what has happened to produce such patterns, has been the effect of an induced decline in the standard of physical-economic life and productivity in

the United States and Europe (most emphatically), since the death of President Franklin D. Roosevelt, but, also the deeper decline of European civilization.

This decline has reflected the effects of the systemic corruption of the United States since the assassination of President Abraham Lincoln, and the earlier follies of all U.S. Presidential terms in office, since President George Washington's and Alexander Hamilton's shared incumbencies, until the advent of the Presidencies of James Monroe and of John Quincy Adams. The fraudulent election of Andrew Jackson and his Wall Street swindler Martin Van Buren, and virtually every non-assassinated U.S. President, after then, until the British-assassinated President Abraham Lincoln, had been followed by set-backs to some more promising elected Presidents (occasionally assassinated) until the assassinated President William McKinley replaced by (a Confederacy-trained agent and British admirer) Theodore Roosevelt, and, with two exceptions (one assassinated in office) from McKinley's assassination to Franklin Delano Roosevelt. Truman was a Wall Street, British-loving louse. From there on, the best we had were the often harassed victim of an intended assassination, President Ronald Reagan, and, later, William Clinton: the remainder have been either political trash, or even worse.

In short, the British war of repeatedly attempted re-colonization of our United States has never actually ceased. What we have lacked, chiefly, is Presidents who were not virtually (or, actually) stooges for the British Empire and Wall Street, in large degree, for one sort of mental or moral deficiency or another, with all too few meeting the properly mandatory standard for Head of State or members of the U.S. Congress. If you, for example, wish to really know who is betraying you, that includes a substantial number of cases in which prudence might prompt you to consider: who did you put into the Presidency or the Congress on your own latest occasion. The fact that you knew no better, is a very shabby, and very foolish excuse.

II. The Twentieth Century Follies

Once elected, when other governmental officialdoms are taken into account, the education system comes next, as the worst offender against the tender minds of students and most constituents. Increasingly, the contemporary educational systems of the United

States, have often echoed Europe in their corruption of the minds and morals of our students, and university graduates alike.

Take the case, for example, of the teaching of the inherently fraudulent Euclidean geometry as a largely dominant standard for secondary education, and, more generally, the standard of teaching-down to students in classrooms, as done in competitions for grading, rather than actually for thinking. There, in such schools, history, as taught, is, chiefly, more and more a farce, all of which tends more to shackle young minds by “behavioral methods,” rather than promote the stimulated generation of actual knowledge. Thus, public and university education in public schools and universities, is often a moral obscenity in practice, with relatively rare exceptions, and which is, presently, becoming worse all of the time, that with increasingly outright scientific lying, as under the “evil green” pollution of the minds of our population and, also, in terms of currently prevalent legal standards generally.

In its more far-reaching implications, this disgusting trend, had taken on a more outrightly evil twist both in general and in physical science, beginning the very opening of the Twentieth Century by the fool of the year in Paris, the pretentious fool who was then teaching arithmetic in Paris, Professor David Hilbert. That silly Hilbert was soon followed with a certain Satanic fanaticism, by the virtual Satan of the Twentieth Century in the large, Bertrand Russell. Between the two, together with their numerous sycophants of radically reductionist, pseudo-science of that same century and beyond, real science has been driven increasingly out of currency in our universities, and most notably, during the course of the Twentieth Century, and beyond, up through the present date.

The latter change for the worse, came from practice of an actual science driven toward inherent silliness, and, worse, of mere mathematics. By the beginning of the 1960s, the practice of actual science had already tended to be obliterated, that with a combination of the inherent idiocy of mere mathematics, and, more and more, a medley of the virtually and explicitly Satanic, all, increasingly, through the substitution of mathematics for physical science.

The practical difference between mere mathematics and actual physical science, is, that between mere numbers (as in the mere arithmetic of the new dogma of Hilbert, Bertrand Russell, and their followers), in opposition to all of what had been, earlier, modern, actu-

ally physical-science drivers, such as those including the span of time from the Golden Renaissance, through to such as Carl F. Gauss, Bernhard Riemann, Max Planck, and Albert Einstein, among others notable of those times, in particular, who were being suppressed. Thence, today, as in the United States’ institutions and most of Europe today, the standard has become the merely-mathematical methods of description, for and by mere numbers.

There are, notably, important actual scientists, still living on the record presently; but, the currently practiced side of merely so-called “science” has been dominated, increasingly, with respect to the increasingly flagrant imbecility of the use of mere numbers, a cult worshipped on Wall Street, in particular. That imbecility is being treated, more and more, as statistical trends have shown: as a substitute for a more and more banished actually physical science (and, similarly, typical human behavior, generally, all that done in the place of actually physical-scientific principles). That degeneration is the key to the intrinsic, net decline, and even the increasing spread of virtual insanity, of the U.S. physical economy and educational systems, as since the aftermath of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and the similar assassination of his brother Robert.

The source of that moral and spiritual decline of populations in many parts of the world, is, what is properly to be identified, as the Satanic Principle: the tradition of the ancient Zeus, and the legacies of such actually satanic practices of the Roman and currently British empires.

The Satanic Factor

For the sake of modern times, it is to be said that the mental disorder which I have, in a certain way, lambasted, immediately above, is usefully compared to the early errors of the government of the United States’ decline from its victory in the war for U.S. independence, into the Twentieth Century’s decline into the lower level of a plunge into the substitution of mere symbols for science, mere numbers, rather than the physical-science tradition, as, for example, mere money, rather than actually principled human physical achievement. The virtue actually intrinsic to mankind, lies in the physically revolutionary advances in the human condition which the peculiar noëtic powers of the uniquely individual human design proffer as a unique talent employed for the improvement of society, a design which

should be assigned to work for the purpose of the advancement of the power expressed for the human cause and its mission.

To illustrate that point, I have employed, as in an earlier report, reference to two sets of cases of the advancement of modern science, beginning with the achievements of the modern renaissance's trio of Filippo Brunelleschi, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler. I have also presented a parallel case, the later revolution expressed by the combined efforts of Max Planck, Albert Einstein, and V.I. Vernadsky. Between those two sets of cases, I have emphasized the genius of Gottfried Leibniz, Carl F. Gauss, and Bernard Riemann, as typical of the activity linking modern scientific progress to such as the Renaissance's geniuses and that of the fruits of the work of such signal creative scientists as Planck, Einstein, and Vernadsky's development of the science of life *per se*.

Those sets are to be associated when properly defined as principles, including the principle of human life as such, which exists only "outside" the realm of deduction as such. The meaning of the categories which I have chosen to illustrate this point, is that they represent truly universal principles of the known universe, rather than being merely quantifiable measures of experienced sense-perception *per se*.

These true notions of a knowable physical science, reside, actually, beyond mere human sense-perception as such, a difference in quality which partakes of the meaning of life *per se*, most notably, human life rather than matters of mere sense-perception as such.

To illustrate the relevant points of distinction, take the case of the discovery of the measurement of Earth by Eratosthenes, which Archimedes had failed to comprehend earlier.¹⁴

The particular significance of the point from history, is that what Eratosthenes accomplished in the measurement of Earth from the observation of the Sun, was a pathway of escape from the mere reductionist's prison of merely human sense-perceptual powers as such. The general principle was clearly established by the com-



"What Eratosthenes accomplished in the measurement of Earth from the observation of the Sun, was a pathway of escape from the mere reductionist's prison of merely human sense-perceptual powers as such." "Eratosthenes Teaching in Alexandria," by Bernardo Strozzi (ca. 1635).

bined efforts of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler; it was Kepler's unique solution, in his discovery of the actual principle of the Solar system, which established modern physical science, that done by freeing mankind, truly, from the prison of mere, blinded faith in sense-perception: That discovery of the identity of the existing Solar system as an ontological actuality, which freed the modern mind, explicitly, ontologically, from the prisons of mere sense-perception. Thus, the combination of the achievements of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler, established the actuality of a basis for modern physical science. It was precisely that achievement, which the modern worshippers of Zeus had worked to deny and destroy by the effort to replace physical science with the contemporary sheer lunacy of a tyranny of merely mathematics, as by such means as the Satanic follies of Hilbert and the actualized Twentieth Century, truly modern Satan, incarnate, Bertrand Russell.

God & Mankind: The True Principle of Fire!

All beasts fear fire, but with little reason, but only fear; only the true human mind conquers such a fear, by mastering the use, and efficient control over fire. Man is, indeed, the only fire-bringer, in his own true nature: the universal principle of the human species' true

14. Although Archimedes had later shared the benefits of Eratosthenes' principle for other measurements.

nature, as contrasted to the mere worshippers of Zeus, and other beasts, such as Satan. The universal principle of “energy-flux density,” and the universal power of the articulated Will which it expresses: *energy-flux density: man as the fire-bringer*.

The truly bestial creatures are worshippers of the likenesses of the truly Satanic Zeus, such as the late Bertrand Russell and those who are still his admirers. The only truly moral human beings are what are fairly identified as the Prometheans, who are disposed to create more than they have consumed, as measured in the increase of the power of mankind, per capita, for the benefit of a future society.

As I have emphasized, repeatedly, the still merely popular explanation for the meaning of human life, is an intrinsically depraved one: the notion that success is essentially the possession carried into mouldering in the grave. The truth is, that the increase must come from those gains in the power of mankind’s productive powers which are expressed in the increase of the power of the human species (human reason) to exist in the universe, and are thus to produce the seeds of a greater future harvested by the soul of the deceased. The natural increase, for humanity itself, is expressed in the terms of the advancement of mankind’s creative increase of the productive powers of human labor, each over the course of, implicitly, successive generations.

President Obama as a True Emblem of Evil

The characteristic emblem of human qualities in the work of mankind, has always been the notion of a fiery quality of perpetual progress of the particular society, but, better, humanity in its entirety.

This notion of progress, when properly considered, is the enemy of those virtual Satans, which reign as a Zeus, a Roman Empire, or a British one presently. Against those virtually mortal Satans, the time had come when a new era of mankind, had sprung from the ashes of a martyred Jeanne D’Arc, in the concurring launching of what was to become known as “The Golden Renaissance,” a Renaissance of such as Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler, which was destined to give birth to a resurrection of the human intention which was to become known as the trans-Atlantic revival of a truly human civilization across the Atlantic, where the seeds of Brunelleschi, Nicholas of Cusa, and their heir, Johannes Kepler, were

planted for the harvest there. Theirs had been a harvest planted to the purpose of eradicating the intrinsic, truly Zeusian evil of ancient empires of slavery and the like, as in Eurasia, Africa, and beyond.

Thus, in fact, the birth of the U.S.A. as a new republic, had been the signal of this new and higher intention which had been born in the Golden Renaissance. Yet, even in the Americas, the hooves and claws of European and like oligarchical traditions, soon crossed the waters to attack our United States, and infected our nation, so, most foully, as from the Island of Manhattan, among other places, infecting us, so, with the same old diseases which had infected the British Empire created to be a rebirth of the old Roman evil, and a renewal of the origins of that evil cast in the model of the old Satanic Zeus.

So, accordingly, the evil Zeus and his tradition lived, stubbornly, on, to the point that Satan himself often seemed ever triumphant. With the advent of President Barack Obama, Satan and his own self-infected evil threatened to be the last note to ring over the concluding corpses over a barren global field of some rotting corpses of some new Hamlet’s own brutish, imperial Armageddon.¹⁵

The truth of the matter, has been that mankind is properly Promethean in our true nature as a living species. Each among us will die, that sooner or later; but, the intention of the actually intended design is that we must each create the harvest from our coming and going. Like even the crudest form of the seeds of future harvest of the corn, we must each be obliged to reappear in a fresh surrogate’s form as richer and better than that which preceded the better which we shall have done. There’s the immorality for our species!

This is no mere guess, no mere supposition. So, mankind has risen from its past, to achieve powers within the Solar system ever higher than before; our comprehension of what we call “Our Heavens” presently envelopes the actuality of places within our Solar system which we have never actually reached, but which we must become determined that we shall reach. It is that expression of a vision of the never known future before, which is the substance of our existence as

15. “Take up the bodies—Such a sight as this, Becomes the field, but there shows much amiss. Go bid the soldiers shoot.” **Hamlet** (William Shakespeare).

a species within the universe. We may name it as ever-increasing energy-flux-density of human achievement, a pilgrimage, or mission, if you please.

This is no mere fantasy: it is the apprehension of the superiority of the future of the proper choice of the future, in authority, over the past. We are, what we, in fact, either apprehend as our future's mission; or, if we are stupid, or corrupted, we never see, nor achieve what had been forgotten, in our rotted graves.

This is no mere speculation. The characteristic which distinguishes the human failure from the achievement of a future meaning for one's life, is presently planned in a pre-experienced evidence of the future, in the future of the uniqueness of human evolutionary progress in making what is precisely the actually necessary future, either in our own lives, or, at the least, in the foresight which is a fruit of what we have designed to make come true. The already dead men and women were those who had failed to comprehend an efficient apprehension of a living future dwelling among generations to come.

I, for one, live in such an actual apprehension of our present future. Everything notable which I have accomplished in life, has always come into being through my foreknowledge of the future to be made real. All the failures which I have actually known, or merely known implicitly, have been human failures in their lives, on that specific account, alone.

The proper name for this optimism, is the principle of the future. Life exists only in its own future. I explain, as follows.

The Living Fire of Human Progress

The essential quality which distinguishes human successes from failures, is located, uniquely, in our accomplishments of what we had only dreamed before. Not merely, what we have imagined could be, but by locating in oneself the willfully brought into being for a good cause to occur during the foreseen course of the future before us: a sort of motto for my life ever since some most faintly remembered thought-experience from the past, perhaps somewhere from deep into my childhood, for example (it sometimes just seems to



EIRNS/Pavel Penev

“Everything notable which I have accomplished in life, has always come into being through my foreknowledge of the future to be made real.” Here, Lyndon LaRouche celebrates the birthday of his wife, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, August 2013.

“pop up” in exactly that way from deep in my memories of a now distant past).

The notion of an apprehension of a truth yet to be discovered, is the essence of all true human achievement. We should recognize the ironic significance of mankind's notion of “fire.” It is often quite literally so.

Mankind is the only form of life known to us, which practices the use of fire as the essential root of the species' achievements. The name for such “fire” is often, properly, called “chemistry.” Mankind's power to continue to exist, as a species, depends upon precisely that achievement, as Eratosthenes' discovery of the measure of Earth, from study of the Sun, neatly makes the point. Mankind can not prosper as a species, without the increasing power of the chemistry to which we give the alternate name of “fire” as such. It is essential, however, to foresee, rather than merely recognize. The human being who lacks insight into his or her own foreseen future, is scarcely human at all; and, there is the common tragedy which we must all, seek, most zealously, to prevent, lest we be something like mere beasts in life, ourselves, lest we have nothing as much as merely pain, in the end of it all.

UKRAINE CRISIS DEEPENS

Putin Pursues Diplomacy As Brits/Obama Push War

by Jeffrey Steinberg

May 4—Russian President Vladimir Putin has continued to defy pressure and threats from British puppet President Obama to sacrifice Russian strategic interests in the ongoing Ukraine crisis. That crisis was precipitated in February by an illegal coup d'état by neo-Nazi organizations enjoying the backing of Obama, the EU, and NATO. In the most recent provocation, at least 38 anti-Kiev protesters were killed in an arson attack by Kiev-sponsored Right Sector fascists in the Black Sea port city of Odessa. In response to the ongoing military operations in eastern Ukraine, Russia convened an emergency session of the United Nations Security Council on May 2. Just hours after the UN session, the Odessa attack took place, leaving Ukraine on the verge of civil war.

The next day, May 3, Putin, at the request of German Chancellor Angela Merkel, dispatched former Russian ambassador to the United States, and former Human Rights Commissioner Vladimir Lukin to eastern Ukraine to successfully negotiate the release of German and Scandinavian military observers, who had been detained a week earlier.

Merkel contacted Putin to make the request just hours before she met in Washington with President Obama. During the four-hour meeting between the German and American leaders, Merkel made clear that the Europeans are opposed to new “sectoral” sanctions against Russia. The fact is, that Western and Central Europe are dependent on Russian oil and gas deliveries, particularly in the wake of the German shutdown of its entire nuclear power program. Despite President Obama’s grandiose claims

that the United States can export gas and oil to offset the Russian deliveries, no such capacity exists, and it would take a minimum of three years for the construction of liquid natural gas conversion plants in the United States and Europe, according to industry experts.

Merkel also clashed with Obama over his administration’s refusal to turn over NSA spy files on the German Chancellor and sign a treaty agreement covering intelligence cooperation and sharing.

World War Threat

In his weekly international webcast on May 2, Lyndon LaRouche emphasized that, under the circumstances of U.S., Russian, and Chinese overkill arsenals of thermonuclear weapons, war is no longer feasible—unless you are prepared for the extinction of the human race.

Yet the danger remains, as long as British puppet Obama is in office, that the Ukraine confrontation, stirred up by the British Empire and the Furies who surround Obama, will be used to create the opportunity for thermonuclear warfare.

Fortunately, German strategic instincts and Russian strategists have worked to frustrate the ability of the Queen’s Obama-puppet to deliver the *casus belli* which the current Witch of Windsor has directed for the intent of achieving a thermonuclear reduction of the human population in general: general thermonuclear extermination warfare.

This war-avoidance view is shared by top American and Russian military commanders, including Gen. Martin Dempsey, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff,

who has told President Obama that there are absolutely no U.S. military options in the unfolding Ukraine crisis. LaRouche also emphasized that there is no real danger of a new Cold War between Washington and Moscow—because the entire trans-Atlantic financial system is hopelessly bankrupt, and the plans to impose bail-in and bail-out will lead to disintegration of the entire financial in the very near future. Under those circumstances, the Obama bluffs and threats against Russia are being largely dismissed as hollow. Putin has made clear that he will not sacrifice Russian strategic interests.

As part of the Russian response to the continuing threats from Washington and NATO, economist Dr. Sergei Glazyev, a leading advisor to President Putin, is preparing a comprehensive plan for defeating the threatened new sanctions against Russia (see last week's issue). And Putin is preparing for a May 20 visit to China for a summit meeting with President Xi Jinping, by working overtime to complete a 30-year oil and gas deal with the People's Republic, a deal that will mark a major step towards Russia's own "Asia pivot" and distancing from the Euro-centric energy export policies that Moscow has pursued for decades.

Obama Going Down

Obama's mishandling of the U.S.-Russia relationship and his provocative actions around the Ukraine crisis are among the reasons that his approval ratings at home are plunging to an all-time low. In polls released last week by several news organizations, including CNN and the *Washington Post*, Obama's missteps on Ukraine have been ranked next to Obamacare as the worst administration failures. The polls showed that a war-weary American public has no stomach for getting into another crisis—especially a direct conflict with Russia.

In stark contrast, a recent Asian visitor to Moscow reported to *EIR* that there is growing patriotic support in Russia for Putin's stance. The prevailing assessment inside the Kremlin is that if there were to be fair and honest elections in Ukraine later this month, the extremists of the Right Sector, Svoboda, and other Banderist (neo-Nazi) networks would be crushed.

It is no secret that Obama's credibility at home and among allies is crumbling. The President's Asia trip was largely a fiasco. At a conference in Washington on April 30, sponsored by the Sasagawa Peace Foundation, speakers conceded that Obama failed to make any prog-



Wikimedia Commons

In her meeting with President Obama in Washington, Chancellor Merkel made clear that the Europeans, who are dependent on Russian oil and gas, oppose new "sectoral" sanctions against Russia. Merkel is shown here with President Putin in Moscow, March 2008.

ress on the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) free-trade treaty. When Obama met with Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe on the sidelines of an international disarmament conference in The Hague, Netherlands, last month, he emphasized that his Asia trip would rise or fall on the basis of progress on the TPP. Key Asian ally South Korea made clear that it is not interested in being drawn into any kind of conflict with China. And even Abe refused to succumb to U.S. pressure to join with the United States in imposing sanctions against Russia.

The *Economist*, the semi-official voice of the British Crown, carried a scathing cover-story attack on Obama in their latest issue, complaining bitterly that Obama was too weak to confront Putin and Russia.

As LaRouche emphasized in his May 2 webcast, now is the moment to take the war danger totally off the table by removing Obama from office. It is only so long as Obama remains President that the British-Wall Street forces will maintain the prospect of provoking war with Russia and China. On the basis of the proposals being developed by Dr. Glazyev, a true community of principle between Washington and Moscow could be developed, by wiping out the London-Wall Street unpayable gambling debt and launching an international program of state-directed credit for great projects, starting with an international crash effort to achieve a breakthrough in thermonuclear fusion power.

Obama's removal from office on Constitutional grounds will not solve all of the world's problems. But it will end the danger of global war, and set the stage for cooperation on what the late Dr. Edward Teller called the "common aims of mankind."

Resistance Grows in Germany To War Party's Drive Against Russia

by Alexander Hartmann

May 5—Jakob Augstein, in his April 28 SpiegelOnline column “War by Miscalculation,” elaborated a scenario for how a military conflict could develop out of the crisis in Ukraine: “In eastern Ukraine, a warlord kidnaps a group of Western observers. The fate of the men remains uncertain. Russia loses control over the hostage-takers. But the West does not believe Putin. NATO decides to intervene. The separatists call upon Russia for help. What could be avoided during the Cold War, now becomes reality: fighting between NATO troops and Russian forces. In Estonia, the Russian population revolts. There are casualties in clashes with security forces. The Russians cross the Narva. The government in Tallinn invokes NATO’s mutual defense clause. Neither side can back down now. U.S. President Obama and Putin have only one goal left: to save face. In order to counter the technological superiority of NATO, the Russians deploy tactical nuclear weapons.”

Augstein comments: “Anyone who thinks this is absurd, should consider: The first part of this scenario has already occurred.” Russia has made mistakes, he argues, but Europe should stop comparing Putin with Hitler, which is absolutely false; he asks whether Chancellor Angela Merkel will have the same guts that Gerhard Schröder had when, as Chancellor, he refused to join the Anglo-Americans in the Iraq War. “The U.S. has taken up Putin’s gauntlet with amazing willingness,” Augstein writes, blaming U.S. domestic political considerations.

Unfortunately, this is wishful thinking along the lines of “what should not be, cannot be.” For the real scandal in Western policymaking is that there are actually leading circles in the West who *want* confrontation with Russia.

Teltschick: Keep Channels Open to Russia

Helga Zepp-LaRouche reported, in her most recent webcast at www.bueso.de on April 30, on an April 29-30 Washington conference of the Atlantic Council, “Toward a Europe Whole and Free” [see article in *National*—ed.], in which this drive for confrontation was very much in evidence. She described the prevailing attitude there: “You would think that you were in a closed system, where assumptions are repeatedly recited like a mantra, formulas that have nothing to do with reality.” Almost all the speakers emphasized how well the expansion of the EU and NATO was going, that it must go even further, and that the doors are open to new members and we must show all the other countries—except Russia, of course—that they are welcome. Further forward deployment of troops, jets, and all sorts of military equipment was defended.

“The only person there who spoke rationally and responded sanely,” Zepp-LaRouche continued, “was Horst Teltschik,” a former national security advisor to German Chancellor Helmut Kohl. Teltschik noted that



Creative Commons/ImageLink Photo/Dennis Kan

Germany's Horst Teltschik, former national security advisor to Chancellor Helmut Kohl, introduced the only note of reality to the Atlantic Council meeting in Washington on April 29-30.

even at the height of the Cold War, the dialogue with the Soviet Union never ceased, and that Putin's reactions today could only be explained as utter disappointment that all the proposals from the Russian side—such as the idea of a “Eurasian Union from Brest to Vladivostok,” which originated with EU Commission President Romano Prodi—were repeatedly rejected by the West.

Teltschik's position was quite respectfully received, since he spoke as a confidant of Kohl and an on-the-scene witness from the time of Germany's reunification; but he was still a “lone voice” among people “who are really seeking confrontation,” Zepp-LaRouche said. Instead of admitting that a Nazi coup had taken place in Ukraine, conference speakers demanded that Russia itself be destabilized, using the same methods with which the West set up the anti-Russian networks in Ukraine, with the help of online social media and 2,200 Western-funded NGOs.

Putin on Ukraine

In fact, Putin continues to hold the door open for a peaceful settlement of the crisis. At the meeting of the Supreme Eurasian Economic Council on April 29 in Minsk, Belarus, he said: “Can the situation be resolved? It probably can, but this would require the parties to the conflict to sit down at the negotiating table and respect the Geneva agreements. This would mean that the authorities in Kiev would have to release from prison the people in whom Ukrainians have placed their trust and chosen as leaders, and would have to begin direct dialogue with these people. It would mean disarming the radicals, Right Sector and other radical groups, and clearing them out of buildings in Kiev rather than legalizing their activities. It would mean equal respect too for the lawful rights of people in other parts of Ukraine, especially in the east and southeast of the country.

“Engaging in dialogue and looking for compromise solutions is something that must be done. The wrong thing to do is to start looking for scapegoats elsewhere.”

Rather than responding to Putin's offer, the Kiev regime began an offensive against the pro-Russian separatists in eastern Ukraine, so that the Kremlin felt obliged on May 2 to issue a declaration that the assault “effectively destroyed the last hope for the implementation of the Geneva agreements” of April 17.

Pressure on Germany

The background to this drive for confrontation in the West is the bankruptcy of the Western financial

system. With courage born of desperation, the leadership of the British-led financial empire is ready to go to the brink of nuclear war to compel Russia and China to submit to this empire of globalization.

The reluctance of German politicians to participate in this confrontation is a thorn in the side of the warmongers in the West, and therefore, the German government has been put under enormous pressure to agree to more economic sanctions against Russia, even though that would be suicide for the German economy. SpiegelOnline quoted a senior U.S. official saying that “he was confident that the Europeans, in the worst case scenario, would support sanctions against key sectors of the Russian economy, ‘for example, if Russian troops were to cross the border into Ukraine.’ That's what is under discussion.”

Actually, it is quite conceivable that the hostage crisis in eastern Ukraine was deliberately provoked by the Kiev regime in order to overcome the resistance of Germany in particular. Pro-Russian authorities justified the arrest of eight OSCE (Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe) military observers, including four Germans, in Slavyansk, on the grounds that the Kiev regime had not provided them with the requisite documents. They did not belong to the official OSCE observer mission, but were sent under the pretext of this mission to Slavyansk.

The fact that the Western media immediately started talking about a “liberation operation” by German KSK special forces (although the government quickly denied these rumors), and that this all happened just before the German Chancellor's visit to Washington, also makes one suspect that the incident was provoked deliberately.

The intimidation strategy against Berlin certainly included a 12-page paper by the U.S. law firm Rubin, Winston, Diercks, Harris, & Cooke, reported by SpiegelOnline on May 1; by all accounts, it was forwarded by the German Embassy to the German government.

This paper makes it frighteningly clear how little respect the leading circles of the financial empire have for the sovereignty of even “friendly” governments and for the rights of parliaments: The authors contest the German Bundestag's right to hear testimony by NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden at the NSA Committee of Inquiry, and threaten the German deputies that such a hearing would be “actionable.” “It is already a ‘criminal offense,’ according to the U.S. lawyer, if the main perpetrator” (meaning Snowden—SpiegelOnline) is enabled by German parliamentarians to disclose secret informa-

tion. If necessary, it may be considered ‘theft of state property.’ Depending on the facts, prosecutors could even proceed on the premise of a ‘conspiracy.’”

SpiegelOnline on May 5 further quoted a partner of the law firm, Jeffrey Harris: “We are of the opinion that if Snowden provides classified information or documents to the Bundestag or to German diplomats who interview Snowden, such acts give rise to criminal exposure under the laws of the United States. The United States would have jurisdiction to prosecute these acts regardless of where they occur.”

In fact, a capitulation by the German government would not only be suicidal, but also entirely unnecessary. Zepp-LaRouche pointed out in her webcast that U.S. President Barack Obama’s current standing in the polls shows him to have perhaps the greatest unpopularity that any U.S. President has experienced, since Richard Nixon. In several newspapers within and outside the United States, the discussion is about how much the initial enthusiasm for Obama has soured, how very disappointed with Obama the people are, and that Obama’s Presidency has in fact failed. Even his recent trip to Asia was a failure.

When asked what she would advise German Chancellor Angela Merkel with respect to her visit to America, Zepp-LaRouche replied: “If you go to someone who is already in an extremely weak position, then perhaps you might not be quite as obliging—to put it politely—as might have seemed necessary in the past, but instead you could make it very, very clear that Germany is a strong economic power, has a strong position within Europe and has its own interests.” Mrs. Merkel should “unequivocally and publicly announce that Germany will not participate in further sanctions against Russia, but on the contrary, that Germany shall make constructive suggestions as to how the crisis may be solved.” Merkel should say something along these lines in Washington, otherwise there is no point in her going.

If Germany refuses to take part in the escalation, the war party in the West cannot succeed. “We Germans could stop world war!” is therefore the message of the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo) to voters in the May 22-25 European Parliament elections.

Translated from German by Daniel Platt.

EIR Special Report

The British Empire’s Global Showdown, And How To Overcome It


In the face of a potential thermonuclear World War III, a confrontation being engineered from London by a desperate British-centered financial oligarchy operating through the vast—yet often underestimated—powers of the British monarchy, EIR has produced a 104-page Special Report, documenting both the drive for war, and the war-avoidance efforts of patriotic military/intelligence circles in the U.S., and the Russian and Chinese leaderships. The British hand behind the warmongers, and the concrete economic and strategic programs which can defuse the threat, are elaborated in depth. These include the Russian proposal for collaboration on the Strategic Defense of Earth (SDE), based on Lyndon LaRouche’s original Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI).

The Global Showdown report is available in hard copy for \$250, and in pdf form for \$150, from the [EIR store](#).

Call **1-800-278-3135** for more information.

EIR
Special Report

The British Empire’s Global Showdown, and How To Overcome It



June 2012

Suppressed Film Exposes Royal Stonewall of Diana Murder Probe

by Robert Barwick

Unlawful Killing

Keith Allen, Director Associated-Rediffusion
Allied Stars Ltd May 2011

This May 2011 documentary video on the murder of Princess Diana, and the subsequent coverup by the French authorities and the British Royal Coroner of the true events surrounding her death, has been suppressed for the past three years. In it, director Keith Allen provides extensive background on the Nazi links of British Royal Consort Prince Philip, including an exclusive photograph of the Prince, marching in a funeral procession for his brother-in-law, a member of the Nazi Party, amidst men in SA and SS uniforms.

In the past month, however, the documentary video has been made available on the [Internet](#), and is getting wide attention globally. After its initial appearance at the Cannes Film Festival in May 2011, the video was made available to the public in a showing in Australia last year. The film was reviewed on Sept. 23, 2013, by Robert Barwick of the Australian LaRouche organization, Citizens Electoral Council/CEC (cecaust.com.au/). We re-publish that review here.

“Unlawful Killing,” the 2011 Keith Allen film that the British Crown establishment has suppressed world-

wide for more than two years, surfaced and was screened at the Sydney Underground Film Festival on Sept. 7-8. The British documentary on the death of Diana, Princess of Wales, in a car crash in Paris in the Summer of 1997, and on the 2007-08 inquest into it, leaves any viewer with indelible questions about the role of the British Crown: unmistakably involved in shaping the inquest, what was its role in the killing itself?

The Crown’s suppression of “Unlawful Killing” has been so complete, that its two Sydney screenings were the first anywhere since it premiered at the Cannes Film Festival and a festival in Galway, Ireland, both in 2011. Not only the film itself has been suppressed, but also any public reporting of its actual content. Instead, where the international media has deigned or been



All images are taken from the video, “Unlawful Killing.”

Princess Diana’s death, on Aug. 31, 1997, was found to have been an “unlawful killing” by the jury at the official Inquest, yet no one has, to this date, been arrested or charged for her murder. In this October 1995 letter to her brother, Diana writes, “My husband [Prince Charles] is planning ‘an accident’ in my car. Brake failure and serious head injury....”



At the Inquest, the experts agreed that Diana's life could have been saved, had it not been for the "suspiciously slow and furtive actions" of Dr. Martino, who supervised the ambulance, and his crew.

forced to mention it at all, they have uniformly denounced the documentary as "grizzly" and "salacious," usually citing a single, 3-second grainy black and white image of Diana in the back seat of her car after the crash, while excluding any coverage of the entire rest of the 78-minute film.

The "rest of the film" leads inexorably to chilling, still unanswered questions about a British Royal Family hand in orchestrating Diana's murder. Its title, "Unlawful Killing," refers to a type of verdict rendered under English law when a death is determined to have resulted from murder or manslaughter, but the perpetrators are unknown. Media coverage has left most people unaware that "unlawful killing" was the official verdict of the inquest concluded at the Royal Courts of Justice in 2008—the longest such hearing in British history.

An Inquest into the Inquest

On Aug. 31, 1997, a Mercedes carrying Princess Diana, her companion Dodi Fayed, bodyguard Trevor Rees-Jones, and driver Henri Paul crashed head-on at high speed into the 13th pillar of the Place de l'Alma tunnel in Paris. Paul and Fayed, the son of Harrods department store owner Mohammed al-Fayed, were killed instantly, and Rees-Jones was badly injured, but survived. According to expert testimony at the inquest, Diana, too, would almost certainly have survived, had she been taken immediately to one of the five major hospitals in the vicinity. Instead, she suffered an inexplicable hour and three-quarters delay from the time an ambulance arrived at the crash until she was delivered to a hospital only four miles away. "Unlawful Killing"



reviews these circumstances, together with eyewitness reports that the Mercedes had been chased into the tunnel by several motorcycles and a white Fiat Uno. Contrary to media assertions, none of these vehicles belonged to the paparazzi outside Diana's hotel that evening. Witnesses also reported that a bright light was shone into the tunnel from its far end shortly before the crash, while the Fiat Uno bumped Diana's vehicle and sped off, never to be traced by law enforcement.

The film highlights evidence of Diana's own concerns that she was under threat, at a time when even public accounts acknowledge that the Royal Family was conducting a vicious campaign against her. The opening footage includes an image of her handwritten message, dated October 1995, stating that "this particular phase in my life is the most dangerous—my husband is planning 'an accident' in my car, brake failure & serious head injury..." Prince Philip had also written several threatening letters to her.

The crash occurred at 12:23 a.m. Diana was injured, but was conscious and alert. An ambulance soon brought Dr. Jean-Marc Martino to the scene, who took charge and made a series of inexplicable decisions that sealed Diana's fate. It took him 37 minutes to put Diana in the ambulance, though she was accessible because the back car door next to her opened readily. Only after 81 minutes had ticked away, did the ambulance finally set off for the hospital. And though Diana's identity and the nature of her injuries were by then well known, the ambulance made no radio contact with the hospital throughout the journey. Only after one hour and 43 minutes had elapsed, did the ambulance finally arrive at

the hospital, travelling at a snail's pace on empty roads. Allen reported, "At the inquest experts agreed that her life could have been saved, had it not been for the suspiciously slow and furtive actions of Dr Martino and his crew, the other members of which have never been officially identified, or interviewed."

While details such as these are crucial to unravelling the mystery of Diana's killing, film director Allen emphasizes at the outset that he constructed "Unlawful Killing" as an examination not of the event itself, but of the inquest into the crash. The vast majority of the public worldwide knows nothing of the testimony presented at that inquest, he said, or of its official findings. Based on media accounts, people assume that the inquest found the deaths to be accidental.

But the inquest found that there had been an "unlawful killing." As the film unfolds, it dramatizes the extent of the efforts made to prevent even that open-ended conclusion, through rigging of the inquest itself. Clearly, the viewer is left thinking that those with the power to orchestrate such a high-level, far-reaching cover-up would also have had the power to order the murder with confidence that they would get away with it.

Standing in front of the Royal Courts of Justice where the inquest took place, Allen observes, "The inquest was held in the Royal Family's own court, so is it any wonder that the Coroner, the Royals' representative in charge, decided that the key Royal suspects need not even appear at the inquest to be questioned?... Note that name: 'Royal Courts of Justice'—a sure sign of impartiality in a case where the credibility of the *Royal* Family is on trial in the Royal Courts of Justice, with a judge, or Coroner as he is called here, who has sworn an oath of allegiance to the Queen, and has Queen's Counselors on every side, and has already said that he is minded not to call senior Royals as witnesses."

Prof. Stephen Haseler, a founding member of the Republic organisation in Britain, is interviewed: "Historically, the relationship between the Royal Family and the Courts has been difficult, mainly because every judge has taken an oath of allegiance to the Queen. Now, if you've taken an oath of allegiance to the Queen, and you have that legal case involving the Monarchy, I mean, you're going to be biased, aren't you?"

Sure enough, the Coroner, Lord Justice Scott Baker, announced at the outset that he would not call any Royals to give testimony. And he clearly had advance notification about the testimony other Establishment

figures would present, including the Police Commissioner, allowing him to instruct the jury on how they should interpret such testimony. Before the jury retired for its final deliberation, Lord Baker tried to direct them to return a simple verdict of "accident."

Meanwhile, to make sure that little or no honest coverage of the inquest appeared in the press, most media, instead of sending their legal reporters to cover it, assigned their Royal correspondents. These are journalists who spend their careers "sucking up to the Royals," Allen notes, which guaranteed uniformly biased reporting. Indeed, Allen had sent his own undercover "mole" into the press gallery to take notes on the attitudes and behaviour of the Royal correspondents there, who were manifestly biased from the outset. As Allen observes dryly, "It's difficult to get a man to understand something when his salary depends on him not understanding it."

We summarise here some of the other key points of Allen's film on the inquest, along with related evidence which has emerged since it was made. These include evidence that Britain's MI6 and SAS were involved in the crash; that the French authorities falsified evidence and repeatedly lied, after having ensured that Diana would be dead before or soon after arrival at the hospital; that the Queen's Private Secretary lied to the inquest; and that the Royals had been conducting a long-standing vendetta against the al-Fayeds and Princess Diana.

The Inquest Evidence: No Royals Testified

Both in a handwritten note to her butler Paul Burrell, and in a conversation with her lawyer Lord Mishcon, from which he wrote down his recollection soon afterwards, Diana insisted that the Royals intended to kill or badly injure her in a car accident. Lord Mishcon's notes, which were available to the inquest (he had died in the interim), though withheld from the immediate post-crash investigation, recorded that he then spoke to Diana's private secretary Patrick Jephson, who told him that the threat was credible. Diana confided the same fear to her close friend Simone Simmons, who later said, "Of course Diana was bumped off. She knew she was going to be bumped off." Yet no member of the Royal Family was required to appear at the inquest. An observer noted, "What if this woman's name had been Diana Smith, and she'd written in a note which had been subsequently unveiled, 'My husband Charles Smith wants me to die in a car accident', and subse-

quently she did? In any other family, or any other country, surely Charles Smith would have been called to the witness stand at the inquest into his wife's death."

Three weeks after Diana's death, Lord Mishcon gave his written account of his conversation with her to Britain's top cop, Metropolitan Police Commissioner Paul Condon. Instead of handing the letter over to the French police investigation as

required by law, Condon locked it in his office safe for three years. His successor John Stevens kept it hidden for a further three years. Narrating the documentary, Allen observes, "Both men broke the law. Both men were [subsequently] made Lords by the Queen."

Secret Services Assassination?

The inquest ruled that Diana's death was caused not by harassing paparazzi, as universally portrayed by the media, but was an "unlawful killing"—in other words, an assassination. French police testified to the inquest that although the paparazzi assembled outside the Paris Ritz did initially follow Diana's Mercedes on their mopeds and scooters, by the time the car reached the tunnel where the accident occurred, they had been left far behind. They also presented eyewitness reports that as the Mercedes entered the tunnel it was chased and surrounded by several high-powered motorcycles and a white Fiat Uno, and that there was a bright flash. In this high-speed context, physical evidence showed that the Fiat had swiped the Mercedes, causing it to crash.

Former MI6 officer Richard Tomlinson testified via video link from France that he personally had seen an MI6 plan to assassinate a Serbian diplomat in an identical fashion: in a car crash in a tunnel caused by blinding the driver by flashing a very bright light. Anticipating the obvious question in the minds of the inquest jury, Her Majesty's Coroner asked incredulously, "Do MI6 kill people? Are they allowed to?" Baker then answered his own question: "Sir Richard Dearlove [MI6 chief,



Former MI6 officer Richard Tomlinson testified that he personally had seen an MI6 plan to assassinate a diplomat in an identical fashion to that which Diana had been killed: in a car crash in a tunnel, caused by blinding the driver by flashing a very bright light. Right: MI6 headquarters in London.



who testified at the inquest] said he was unaware of MI6 having assassinated anyone." Veteran TV host Piers Morgan, now a CNN anchor, when asked by Allen to comment on this claim, scoffed, "When you have the head of the British security services calmly announcing 'We have never killed anybody, in the last 50 years,' I laughed out loud—what's the point of them then? I didn't believe it. And so if you don't believe that, where does that leave the rest of the Establishment evidence?"

The account of the Aug. 31, 1997 events established at the inquest, which included numerous indications of a role played by the British secret services, dovetails with that just published in the Sept. 15 *Melbourne Herald Sun*, whose began, "A former SAS soldier confessed to his wife that Princess Diana was assassinated and that a bright light was shone into the Paris car she was being driven in. . . . The soldier, known only as Soldier N . . . told his wife that a former colleague, who had since left the SAS, was involved in the plot and that a motorbike and white car were used." Though sworn to secrecy, the wife confided to her mother, and the two women went to the police with what has been described as a "compelling account" of the events. As to perpetrators and their motive, Soldier N's wife "also told detectives that her husband had claimed that the 'hit' had been carried out on the orders of individuals within the royal inner circle because they didn't approve of Diana's relationship with Dodi Al-Fayed."

Certainly the British secret services were spying on Diana and tapping her phone, as she had confided to

close friends. The Allen documentary emphasizes that the U.S. National Security Agency has also admitted having 1,200 pages of transcripts of Diana's calls, but refuses to release them on grounds of "national security."

The French End of the Coverup

Normally, the traffic cameras in the Place de l'Alma tunnel in Paris operate 24 hours a day, and would have caught the murder on tape. On this particular day, however, they happened to be turned off. Within hours the French police inexplicably allowed a road-sweeping van to wash down the crash site, thus obliterating the crime scene. British Establishment figures quickly claimed that chauffeur Henri Paul had been staggering drunk and that this had caused the crash, though he appeared fully sober on the cameras at the Ritz Hotel, where his bill showed that he had consumed only two small drinks that evening. Following this "Paul was drunk as a pig" line, Her Majesty's Coroner reported to the inquest, "Two searches were made of Henri Paul's home by the French police. More alcohol was recorded as discovered on the second search, than on the first." Observed Keith Allen of these Inspector Clouseaus bumbling around Paul's apartment, "The first time, all the police found was an unopened bottle of champagne, and a quarter bottle of Martini, which hardly supports the claim that he was an alcoholic. So the police returned a few days later, and—would you believe it? This time, they claim to have found enough alcohol to stock an entire bar—beer, wine, Ricard, bourbon, vodka, port, champagne, cassis, pinot..." Even Her Majesty's Coroner was forced to admit to the jury that "There's no obvious explanation for this" astounding discrepancy, and instructed them, "You must consider whether there is any sinister implication."

The inquest heard Henri Paul's parents testify that in 2006 former British Metropolitan Police Commissioner Lord John Stevens had told them in front of other policemen, that their son was definitely not drunk; six weeks later, however, Stevens reversed himself in his official report. (In 2004, the Coroner of the Queen's Household, Michael Burgess, asked then-Metropolitan Police Commissioner Stevens to conduct an inquiry, "Operation Paget," into allegations that the Royals had conspired to murder Diana using MI6. Stevens retired as Police Commissioner in 2005 and was knighted, but continued to supervise Operation Paget, which in De-



Diana's chauffeur Henri Paul, shown here leaving the Paris hotel just before the fateful ride, was accused by Her Majesty's Coroner of having been "drunk as a pig." But hotel records showed that he had only two small drinks the prior evening.

cember 2006 concluded that the deaths of Diana et al. were the result of a "tragic accident.")

The French pathologist who examined Henri Paul's body and verified the "drunkard" line, was Prof. Dominique Lecomte, identified in the documentary as "a doctor who is notorious in France for covering up medical evidence that is likely to embarrass the state." Moreover, the documentary continued, "If her own account is to be believed, she coordinated the world's worst autopsy on Henri Paul, committing at least 58 basic errors." Indeed, every other scientist involved in the inquest signed a joint statement saying that Paul's blood test was "biologically inexplicable," and that Lecomte's report was "untruthful."

The inquest also heard expert testimony that the most likely explanation for the "lethally high levels of carbon monoxide" supposedly found in Paul's blood, is that it wasn't even his blood. Professor Lecomte refused to attend the inquest, even though under European law she was obliged to. The French Ministry of Justice excused Lecomte's refusal to participate, citing the French law covering "the protection of state secrets and the essential interests of the nation." When, in 2006, a team of scientists offered to carry out DNA testing on the blood samples to verify that they were indeed those of Henri Paul, they were told the samples no longer existed.

With all the resources of the French and British police and security services, authorities somehow never managed to locate the white Fiat Uno which had side-



James Andanson, a wealthy paparazzo based in France, owned a white Fiat Uno (shown in this photo), like the one identified in the tunnel at the time of the crash. He had been following Diana and Dodi earlier in the month. Andanson was later found murdered in his car.

swiped the Mercedes, causing the crash. They failed, even though a well-known millionaire paparazzo based in France, named James Andanson, owned a white Fiat Uno and had been following Diana and Dodi earlier in the month. He also, it emerged, had connections to the British security services. Though Andanson claimed he wasn't near the scene that night, neither among the paparazzi at the hotel, nor in the tunnel, he gave police two different accounts of his whereabouts, while his wife and son provided him with alibis that contradicted each other. A friend of Andanson's later said that he had admitted he had been present in the tunnel at the time of the crash. Three years after Diana's death, Andanson was found dead, locked inside a burnt out car on a Ministry of Defence firing range in France, with no keys in the car and two bullets in his head. The French police ruled it a suicide.

The Royal Vendetta Against Diana

The only senior member of the Royal household to appear at the inquest was the Queen's Private Secretary Sir Robert Fellowes (Diana's brother-in-law). Diana had told friends that Fellowes was one of the three men she feared, because he hated her and wanted to get her out of the Royal Family. To avoid answering questions about the Palace's actions relating to Diana's death, Fellowes testified under oath that he had been on holidays from the first week of August until after Diana's



The only senior member of the Royal household to appear at the Inquest was the Queen's Private Secretary Sir Robert Fellowes, Diana's brother-in-law. Diana had told friends that she feared Fellowes, because he hated her, and wanted her out of the Royal Family. He was later knighted by the Queen.

funeral, and therefore not involved at all in the process. He lied. In 2011, Tony Blair's press secretary Alastair Campbell published his diaries, which record that the Prime Minister's office was in daily contact with Fellowes to make all of the arrangements for the return of Diana's body, and for her funeral. In 1998, the year after Diana's death, the Queen made Fellowes a Lord.

The Royal animus against Harrods owner Mohammed al-Fayed and his son Dodi and Diana was well known in Britain. Typical, though not reported in the film, was an article in the London *Sunday Mirror* on the very day of the crash. Entitled "Queen to Strip Harrods of Its Royal Quest," the article, by Andrew Golden, began, "The royal family may withdraw their seal of approval from Harrods as a result of Diana's affair with the owner's son Dodi Fayed," noting that "the royal family are furious about the frolics of Di, 36, and Dodi, 41, which they believe have further undermined the monarchy."

The *Mirror* singled out Prince Philip as central to the Windsors' campaign against Diana and Dodi. "Prince Philip, in particular," Golden wrote, "has made no secret as to how he feels about his daughter-in-law's latest man, referring to Dodi as an 'oily bed hopper.'" But the Queen herself was intimately involved. Reported the *Mirror*, "At Balmoral next week, the Queen will preside over a meeting of The Way Ahead Group where the Windsors sit down with all their senior advi-



Mohammed al-Fayed has repeatedly charged that Prince Philip ordered the murders of his son Dodi and Princess Diana. Al-Fayed told an interviewer, "It's not a murder, it's a slaughter, by those bloody racist Royal Family."

sors and discuss policy matters. MI6 has prepared a special report on the Egyptian-born Fayed family which will be presented to the meeting. The delicate subject of Harrods and its royal warrants is also expected to be discussed. And the Fayed family can expect little sympathy from Philip."

The piece continued, "A friend of the royals said yesterday: 'Prince Philip has let rip several times recently about the Fayed family—at a dinner party, during a country shoot and while on a visit to close friends in Germany. He's been banging on about his contempt for Dodi and how he is undesirable as a future stepfather to William and Harry. Diana has been told in no uncertain terms about the consequences should she continue the relationship with the Fayed boy.'" The article, which hit the newsstands almost simultaneously with the news of Dodi and Diana's deaths, concluded ominously, "But now the royal family may have decided it is time to settle up."

Indeed, Philip had written several menacing letters to Diana, but they were so heavily redacted when shown to the Inquest as to be meaningless. When Diana's friend Simone Simmons wanted to testify to the content of Philip's letters to Diana, she was forbidden to do so.

Mohammed al-Fayed has repeatedly charged that Prince Philip ordered the murders of his son and Princess Diana. For instance, in video clips of an interview between radio personality Howard Stern and al-Fayed, included in "Unlawful Killing," the Harrods owner said



Prince Philip's little-known ties to the Third Reich, including his education in Germany under the Nazis, and the marriages of his two sisters to high-ranking officers of Hitler's SS and SA, are identified in the film. This is a photo of Philip as a young man, marching with a group of high-ranking Nazi officials, including his in-laws.

of the Aug. 31 crash, "It's not a murder, it's a slaughter, by those bloody racist Royal Family." Stern queried, "Do you think Prince Philip is so smart that he could mastermind all this and orchestrate it?" To which al-Fayed replied, "Yeah, he's vicious, of course. You think a guy like that would accept my son, different religion, different nationality, would be the future step-father of the future king? You think this bloody racist family will accept that?"

The film also documents Prince Philip's little-known ties to the Third Reich, including his education in Germany under the Nazis, and the marriages of his two sisters to high-ranking officers of Hitler's SS and SA. A photograph is presented of Philip as a young man, marching with a group of high-ranking Nazi officials, including his in-laws.

As al-Fayed said to Stern, "Powerful people in this country, my country, don't want to hear me talking about Prince Philip's Nazi background, but I have to, because it's just 100% true. They wouldn't accept me, or my son, and when he fell in love with Diana, they murdered them."

Rumours had it that Dodi and Diana were about to announce their engagement, and that Diana may even have been pregnant. Although she had been stripped of her Royal status upon her divorce from Charles (while retaining the title "Diana, Princess of Wales"), the Royals immediately claimed custody of her body, and had it embalmed within a few hours of her death. This



The Queen and Philip hated Diana, and could not tolerate her alliance with Dodi Fayed, a Muslim. So they did what the monarchy has always done: They eliminated the problem. Right: Diana's coffin carried at her funeral.



made it impossible for a post-mortem to determine if she were pregnant, and was done even though Paris is a quick plane flight from London, so there was need to rush an embalmment.

The film presents evidence of Prince Philip's personal degeneracy, such as author Noel Botham's assertion that, "Certainly Philip's been in half the beds in England, including two of his wife's close family ... Princess Margaret and Princess Alexandra." Clinical psychologist Oliver James recounts, "I have a friend of mine who was at a party where he [Philip] was. He had to observe the disgusting sight of Prince Philip at a party wearing a leather jacket, dancing to a Stones song, with his hand halfway up the skirt of some young woman. That's not an unusual event at all for Prince Philip. He's done that kind of thing many times." More to the point is psychologist James' professional diagnosis of Philip: "I think Prince Philip is somebody who is devoid of any internal sense of right and wrong, so deep down he cares nothing about anybody else. He regards everybody else as potentially a threat. He is completely selfish. And that is very like [serial killer] Fred West, or any other psychopathic individual."

The Verdict, and Allen's Summation

After the longest and most expensive inquest in British history, Her Majesty's Coroner instructed the jury to find that the deaths were merely the result of an

accident. The jury, however, took its responsibilities seriously. They took a week to consider the evidence, and then delivered the strongest verdict not explicitly ruled out by the Coroner, that of "unlawful killing." They specified that the blame for this unlawful killing lay not with the paparazzi, but with the high-powered motorcycles and the white Fiat Uno, the "following vehicles" chasing Di-

ana's Mercedes. Despite this unambiguous verdict, the establishment news media continued their role in the cover-up by claiming that the jury had blamed the paparazzi.

Allen delivers a summation of what he discovered while making the documentary:

"There is no doubt that the entire inquest was skillfully manipulated by powerful, unelected forces, to the advantage of the Royal Family. This could only happen because Britain is, in essence, a monarchy, not a democracy. Much of Britain still operates on a system of unelected power, and at its centre are the Windsors, the old aristocracy, and their vast wealth. Just as in medieval times, the Royal Family live a life of unfettered privilege, the British taxpayers funding their lavish existence."

"Despite presenting itself as a charming and picturesque relic of the past," Allen continued, "the Royal Family retains a ruthless grip on power in 21st century Britain. It presides over a corrupt and corrosive honours system, that keeps tens of thousands of public officials in permanent obedience to the monarchy, all hoping for a knighthood, or an OBE, in return for a lifetime's loyal service. These are the people who operate Britain's system of government—judges, coroners, civil servants, police chiefs, permanent private secretaries, members of the secret services, and privy counselors. ... The Royals don't only use honours and oaths of allegiance to preserve their power, they use intimidat-

tion too, as Diana found to her cost. They demand absolute secrecy and loyalty from their subjects, and they stifle dissent. . . . That's why many people regard them as gangsters—gangsters in tiaras. And given Prince Philip's Nazi background, is it so unthinkable that those at the top of the present day British establishment might go to any lengths to rid themselves of a turbulent princess?"

In conclusion, Allen says, "The British Establishment think that they have got away with murder. But then, what's new? They've been getting away with murder, for centuries."

Postscript: On to Prince William

Due in large measure to the relentless exposés conducted by American statesman and economist Lyndon LaRouche over the last several decades, Prince Philip is widely understood to be a mass murderer, one who intends to slash the world's population from 7 billion to 1 billion or less. He established the WWF [World Wildlife Fund] in 1961 for this purpose, and the WWF in turn spawned the world's entire "green" movement, including the Australian Conservation Foundation (see http://cecaust.com.au/main.asp?sub=pubs&id=NC_07_06.html). Notable in "Unlawful Killing" was the decision to feature the same quote which LaRouche has made infamous, Prince Philip's credo as he expressed it to the German Press Agency in August 1988:

"In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."

Prince Charles has also taken a lead in coordinating the world's green fascist movement founded by his father. Indeed, the film features psychologist Oliver James' observation that "The Royal Family, it is true, are much more, at least in that generation, are much more interested in animals than they are human beings." Notwithstanding those "bloodlines," many credulous people believe that because he is Diana's son, Prince William will be different, somehow more human, a wish to which the film itself lends some credibility by opening with William's expressed devotion to his mother. On the contrary, William is clearly deployed to continue the family business of genocide under the rubric of "protecting endangered species"—the same slogan under which Prince Philip originally launched the WWF, notwithstanding his

own shooting of various [endangered species](#) in India and elsewhere.

The TV show Good Morning America on Sept. 15 [2013] reported on William's intended career plans, "Prince William says his concern for conservation and endangered species . . . will be one of his prime areas of focus now that he is leaving the military." An official statement from Buckingham Palace, said the show, announced that William will "expand his work in the field of conservation, particularly in respect of endangered species." Indeed, the first red carpet event which William and his wife Kate Middleton will make after the birth of their first son is a gala fundraiser for Tusk Trust, which funds "wildlife conservation" in Africa, the scene of innumerable crimes by the WWF, against both humans and animals. Evidently preparing their son, Prince George, to continue the family business, the show also reported that William and Kate have chosen to decorate his nursery with a safari theme.

Under the influence of Diana, whose own charity work defied the Royal Family's agenda, by emphasizing saving the lives of people, William conceivably could have turned out to be different. Absent Diana, future King William has conformed to his father's family and their agenda.

For Further Reading

Jeffrey Steinberg, "Battle Royal Shattering the British Empire," [EIR](#), May 27, 2011.

Jeffrey Steinberg, "Princess Diana Murder Cover-Up Turns Deadly," [EIR](#), July 7, 2000.

Jeffrey Steinberg, "Al Fayed suit targets intelligence ties to Paris crash, Diana's death," [EIR](#), Aug. 6, 1999.

Jeffrey Steinberg, "New Diana wars in Britain put focus on LaRouche," [EIR](#), June 19, 1998.

Jeffrey Steinberg, "New evidence emerges in Princess Diana murder plot," [EIR](#), Dec. 19, 1997.

Scott Thompson, Princess Diana's war with the Windsors, [EIR](#), Sept. 12, 1997.

Additional articles on this topic can be found at www.larouchepub.com

Providing the Intelligence Needed To Create a Future Worthy of Mankind

by Nancy Spannaus, Editor

May 2—Forty years ago, on April 27, 1974, the political movement led by economist Lyndon LaRouche launched the newsweekly which survives today as *Executive Intelligence Review* (EIR). From the outset, the news service eschewed the standard “objective” reporting, which is, in fact, simply a means of hiding a philosophical/political point of view. We approached reporting from the standpoint of both patriots and citizens of the world, who were determined to take the leadership necessary to *change* it for the better, specifically by educating and recruiting people and institutions to the principles of physical-economy, which were needed to replace the murderous global financial system that was already firmly in place.

Our political/philosophical point of view is writ large on our sleeves, so to speak: an unwavering commitment to scientific and technological progress, republican government, and the nurture of the creative powers of mind for all people, as the true source of progress in all areas of endeavor. Our assessment of circumstances, personalities, and history has surely evolved, but the core philosophical commitment has been constant.

The Opening Statement

The editorial policy statement in our first issue speaks volumes about our conception of the role of our publication and our movement—which we can proudly report that we have successfully maintained despite intense attacks from powerful foes. It consisted of the Preamble to an Energy Policy Statement, addressed to the participants in a United Nations Raw Materials Conference that was about to occur, from the LaRouche movement’s founding organization, the National Caucus of Labor Committees. The full text, with its rejection of Malthusianism and its emphasis on fusion power, is worth reprinting:



“The major difficulty now confronting all nations in

respect to industrial development and the well-being of their populations is that a group of interlocking ‘multinational’ interests, effectively beyond remedies of control by any one government, has wilfully imposed a ‘Malthusian crisis’ of shortages and de facto technological stagnation upon the masses of the entire world population.

“These same ‘multinationals’ have falsely represented the world’s problems in terms of the need to ration out short supplies of raw materials and food. Contrary to that view, given adequate per capita ratios of usable energy, and the determination to rationally apply such energy supplies, there need be no shortage of raw materials nor of food production for the foreseeable future. In that view, the establishment of an appropriate world energy resources development policy is the proper basis for rational approaches to all other developmental issues, including raw materials development, prices and distributions.

“The key to solving all these interlocked problems is uniquely located in the immediate adoption of a ‘brute force’ development of *controlled thermonuclear fusion power and technology*. The necessary scale of such an undertaking demands international cooperation among developed and developing nations. This cooperation in development would be [the] prelude to the equitable access by all nations to the new technology thus established.

“Under the terms of such an adopted program, the participating nations would enjoy a rational basis for design and implementation of special short-term programs concerning energy use, raw materials, and development. These programs would obviously be designed to span the period of transition up to the point that each phase of realization of benefits from the thermonuclear program could provide the alternatives of a qualitative step upwards in general technology.



“During this same period of transition, the cooperating nations must provide one another mutual support to the end of frustrating the lawless manipulations of stocks and prices of energy and other raw materials by internationals. A related set of remedies must be provided for those developing countries whose further development is significantly attenuated or even aborted by accumulations of foreign public and private debt-service obligations. The impoverishment of a developing nation, an impoverishment which is essentially a symptom of underdevelopment, must not be permitted to become a pretext to obstruct the flow of needed development aid.

“Since these policies directly represent the most urgent interests of the overwhelming majority of humanity, wherever such policies conflict with the formal rights and self-interests of the ‘multinationals,’ such rights and self-interests must promptly yield, even to the point of extinction.”

LaRouche’s Economics



The driving intellectual force behind *EIR* has, of course, been the work of Lyndon LaRouche, the Founding and Contributing Editor, whose writings and political activities have been at the center of our coverage, and whose ideas have guided our emphasis on breaking news developments. LaRouche has built his life work around his genius as an economic forecaster, and the history of those forecasts is uniquely available in *EIR*. Similarly, with the in-depth presentation of the scientific-philosophical outlook on which they are based.

From the start, as you can tell from the Issue No. 1 quotation above, we emphasized programmatic *solutions* to the economic immiseration of humanity, not commentary, or mere exposé. Our Aug. 22, 1978 edition—the first with a glossy color cover and picture—featured the need for a crash program for thermonuclear fusion, under the title “Fusion’s Spectacular Promise.” It also identified the chief saboteur of that promise at the time as the Carter Administration, the first Green administration in the United States.

Already, in the 1974 to 1978 period, *EIR* had uncovered what had long been buried—the American System of Political Economy, as the Constitutional, historical antithesis to the British System of imperial economics which had come to dominate United States policy. A review of *EIR* over the decades will find a treasure-trove of original research in this area, with a special emphasis on our first Treasury Secretary, Alexander Hamilton, whom LaRouche has identified as the seminal genius behind the American System. *EIR* has also published a number of books on this topic, which can be found at www.larouchepub.com.

The implications of this bedrock understanding are huge, and led to *EIR*’s groundbreaking exposés of the true history of Empire—from Zeus to the British—and its diffusion into the general population through such genocidal frauds as Malthusianism and systems analysis.

One additional breakthrough in *EIR*’s economic studies came with the uncovering of the role of the British Empire’s drug trade in subverting the world finan-



cial system, its economy, and its population. When book-length exposé of what has become popularly known as “Dope, Inc.” hit the public in 1978, it caused a huge uproar and political blowback against LaRouche and his associates, which has not totally subsided to this day. *EIR* has periodically updated and amplified the original study, including its crucial extension into analysis of the British role in sponsorship of international terrorism.

In this connection, it’s appropriate to note that this blowback, and not only against the Dope, Inc. exposé, has come from the highest levels of the British and Anglo-American establishment, which arranged hoked-up criminal prosecutions against LaRouche and his leading associates, which sent them to prison for years. Our enemies also take our ideas seriously—as threats to their power.

Strategic Intervention

EIR, along with the Fusion Energy Foundation, has also played a crucial role in supporting LaRouche’s history-making interventions to stop nuclear war, ranging from the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) proclaimed by President Ronald Reagan in 1983, to the Eurasian and World Land-Bridge proposals of the post-Soviet era.

While publishing the theoretical writings by LaRouche on the concepts on which the SDI was based, *EIR* uniquely chronicled the battle around this idea, which was an international one, and one which was largely suppressed in the mainstream media, which turned it into “Star Wars”—a parody of LaRouche’s

actual conception. *EIR* interviewed leading participants in that fight, and developed the scientific concepts in depth, concepts which are still critical for mankind to move ahead into an era of peace and prosperity.


International Dimensions

From the outset, *EIR* has had an international perspective, and very early on, made its influence felt on the international stage. Our magazine became the vehicle for many leaders in nations under assault from the British financial and political forces, to get out their story to the world at large. In our archive you will find interviews with many world leaders who availed themselves of that opportunity.

In addition, many international leaders have looked to *EIR* and LaRouche for input on economic and strategic matters, often seeing the LaRouche movement and its publications as a source of hope for their countries, a reflection of the “real” United States.

At the time *EIR* was launched, it already had bureaus in Mexico City and Wiesbaden, West Germany, but these bureaus have expanded over the years to the point that *EIR* has highly placed sources, if not direct correspondents, in virtually every major nation in the world, including Russia, Japan, China, Australia, India, and Egypt. We also have an Arabic-language division, with unsurpassed expertise in the history and culture of Southwest Asia.

One major means of expanding *EIR*’s international scope has been the holding of conferences on economic development themes throughout the world. Such con-



ferences have often brought together leading scientists and political figures in the countries where they were held, and, in many cases, *EIR* has published the proceedings as a magazine of record.

Science and Culture

EIR has never been intended to be an “easy read.” Unlike other newsweeklies, our aim is to get behind surface events to reveal their causes, and the flaws in thinking which have led not only the “experts” and governments, but the population at large, to submit to the current British imperial paradigm.

Thus, our issues have frequently featured major philosophical articles by Lyndon LaRouche, his wife Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and their collaborators. These are polemical, idea-dense, often lengthy articles aimed at *changing* the thinking of the reader who is preparing to become a *leader* of his or her nation. They are dedicated to putting out the truth, no matter how deeply entrenched erroneous contrary popular opinion may be. Our features on “Obamacare” come to mind.

Among the hottest topics in this vein is Classical culture, specifically music and drama, and you will find many significant articles on these topics over the years. In addition, *EIR* has featured the interventions of the LaRouche movement into the music world, with its campaign for lowering the concert pitch to Classical tuning (C=256 Hz). We insist that these “non-political” issues often turn out to be the most important determinants of how people think, and thus whether mankind will survive.

Similarly, with advanced scientific conceptions, particularly in space and nuclear science.

Home Base

In reflecting upon *EIR*’s crucial role, in the past, present, and future, one should not neglect its “home base,” the Washington, D.C. area. *EIR* has been a tool of intellectual influence upon the U.S. Capitol from the outset, bringing in an international and economic perspective available nowhere else, and fighting for the adoption of crucial policy changes—such as the Fusion Energy Act featured on one of the cover graphics with this article. This input has frequently included testifying before Congressional committees on crucial issues, from economics, to foreign policy, to matters of social justice.

On the other side, *EIR*’s daily discussions with decision-makers in Washington, both on Capitol Hill and off, give it unique insights upon which international policymakers have come to rely.

As we go into our fifth decade, we at *EIR* are committed to upgrading and expanding our effectiveness in our basic goals: improving the intelligence of the world’s policymakers, and their ability to fight effectively for a prosperous future for all mankind, by giving them the crucial ideas and information they need. Just think of how much better off the world would be if, for example, the United Nations had listened to *EIR* in 1974.

N.B. The back cover of every issue has information on how to subscribe to EIR.

IMPEACH OBAMA

LaRouche: Stop British Nazi Stooges' Drive to WW III

by Nancy Spannaus

May 6—Barack Obama's brazen offenses against all human decency and the U.S. Constitution, on behalf of the British Empire, have reached the point where he can only fight to delay his political demise. The White House's defense of the Nazi-riddled Kiev regime, which burned its opponents alive in Odessa on May 3, its blaming of Russia for these horrors, and lies about the reality of the provocative Nazi takeover of Ukraine, have crossed the line. Coming on top of the recent exposure of Obama's coverup in the Benghazi operation, and multiple other violations of law which put the very existence of the U.S. Republic at risk, this latest behavior by the President calls out for his immediate impeachment.

But time is of the essence. Lyndon LaRouche and his political movement are demanding that such impeachment proceedings begin *immediately*, before the impending financial bail-in policy blows out the world system, or the lunatics in London and Washington detonate World War III.

British stooge Obama's behavior on Ukraine, Benghazi, the Cheney-Bush torture policy, the coverup of the British-Saudi role in 9/11, and his Nazi-like "security" policy—to name just a few—are not his own idea, LaRouche has stressed. Joined by other British stooges who run the U.S. lying mass media, or dominate U.S. policy-making, such as State Department official Victoria Nuland and former Vice President Dick Cheney, Obama is simply playing his part in the Queen's script

for thermonuclear confrontation with Russia—a script written many decades ago.

"If this war propaganda succeeds," stated LaRouche on May 4, "World War III could be the result. The U.S. press and the Obama Administration do not have the authority to tell brazen lies about the situation in Ukraine, with impunity. They must be held accountable, and now, or we could be looking at a global catastrophe."

What must be done, LaRouche elaborated on May 5, is to combine two things, in a relentless campaign. First, expose the British-supported Nazi operation in Ukraine, carried out by members of an organization originally allied with Adolf Hitler, and which still carries the banner of those who committed mass murder against Jews and Poles. Second, wage a ruthless campaign against the fact that "under British pressure, the leaders of the United States, including the President of the United States, are telling *total lies* about this mass murder, and they are openly supporting *Adolf Hitler*."

A special target, LaRouche said, should be the major U.S. press, such as the *New York Times* and the *Washington Post*, which have carried out a de facto British policy of brainwashing the American population with war propaganda. Also to be singled out are former Cheney aide Nuland, who still takes the point in pushing the confrontation with Russia, based on brazen lies.

A Turning Point

The Nazi atrocity in Odessa, run by Right Sector thugs embraced and/or deployed by the Kiev government, is a turning point, in many respects.

First, it was a most vivid example of the real nature of the coup-makers in Kiev, and it is being publicized in videos, including those by the perpetrators, all over the world. Ukraine regime supporters are shown making Molotov cocktails and throwing them into the Trade Union building into which anti-Kiev demonstrators had been driven. Those inside who were trying to escape the fire, were prevented from doing so, and many jumped out of windows to their death.

Other videos show Right Sector killers touring the building after the fire, inspecting dead bodies and making jokes. And at least two members of the Kiev parliament went on social media to cheer the massacre. Svoboda MP Iryna Farion tweeted, “Bravo, Odessa!” Lesya Orobets, Batkivshchyna Party candidate for Mayor of Kiev, posted a Facebook message about “stamping out a swarm of Colorado beetles” in this “great victory.” These fascists are now referring to the anti-Kiev people, who support a federal state that would give greater autonomy to eastern Ukraine, as insects! “Colorado beetles” because of their orange and black stripes.

Not surprisingly, this incident has hardened the resolve of eastern Ukrainians to resist the fascist regime in Kiev—lest they meet a similar fate.

But the atrocity also represents a major vulnerability for governments like that of Obama, who is already on the skids for condoning Nazi activities like the Cheney torture program, the surveillance state, and support for the jihadis who carried out the murder of Americans in Benghazi on Sept. 11, 2012.

On Feb. 2, *EIR* released a fact sheet documenting the Nazi pedigree of the “Maidan” demonstrators, who have now taken over the government in Kiev, with the blessing of the West, and exposing the fact that British and pro-British American intelligence factions had protected and nurtured these Nazi forces since World War II. With the exception of a few courageous journalists in the United States and Western Europe, this reality has been ignored in NATO countries. Instead, the current Kiev government is touted as “democratic,” while, in fact, it was only brought to power by the Nazi thugery that caused legitimately elected President Viktor Yanukovich to flee. And the most violent Nazi forces, those who sought to incinerate police officers on the

Maidan with firebombs, have been incorporated into the government and the “militias,” now functioning in eastern Ukraine.

Indeed, these right-wing thugs, ensconced in organizations such as Right Sector and Svoboda, are “democrats” only in the same sense as is the vice president for programs of the U.S. National Endowment for Democracy, Nadia Diuk, who was trained by members of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN-b), the operation of Hitler collaborator and fascist Stepan Bandera.

The prominent role of these Right Sector Nazis, such as Dmitry Yarosh, and their associates, such as Secretary of the National Security and Defense Council Andrei Parubiy, in carrying out the current murderous assault on Ukrainian civilians, is well known. But Americans and other Westerners are being *lied to*. Thus, in the coming days, *EIR* will produce a second fact sheet on the Nazi nature of the Ukrainian government, aimed at putting every media outlet, Congressman, and government official on the spot:

Will you repudiate the Obama Administration’s support for these Nazis, or will you let them provoke World War III?

Other Offenses: Benghazi

British stooge Obama’s flagrant abuse of all morality and international law in the case of Ukraine comes on top of a record of offenses against the U.S. Constitution in other areas, which rivals that of the worst of the treasonous Presidents who have presided over the U.S. Republic. Indeed, both Democrats and Republicans are confronting Obama on his offenses, with increasing intensity, the Democrats led by Sen. Dianne Feinstein (Calif.), who is demanding an end to the coverup of the Cheney torture policy, and the Republicans on a myriad of issues, primarily around Obama’s assertion of Cheney-like Unitary Executive powers.

On May 2, a major escalation in the Republican-led attack on Obama took place when House Speaker John Boehner (Ohio), who had previously opposed the creation of a Select Committee to investigate the events in Benghazi, announced that he intends for the House to vote to create such a committee. Boehner issued the following statement:

“Americans learned this week that the Obama Administration is so intent on obstructing the truth about Benghazi that it is even willing to defy subpoenas issued by the standing committees of the People’s



White House/Pete Souza

Congress is now doubling down on its investigation of the Benghazi coverup by the Obama Administration, prompted by the release of an e-mail confirming that Susan Rice lied, on five Sunday talk shows, about the Sept. 11, 2012 terrorist attack. Rice is shown here briefing Obama in August 2013.

House. These revelations compel the House to take every possible action to ensure the American people have the truth about the terrorist attack on our consulate that killed four of our countrymen. In light of these new developments, the House will vote to establish a new select committee to investigate the attack, provide the necessary accountability, and ensure justice is finally served.

“The administration’s withholding of documents—emails showing greater White House involvement in misleading the American people—is a flagrant violation of trust and undermines the basic principles of oversight upon which our system of government is built. And it forces us to ask the question, what else about Benghazi is the Obama administration still hiding from the American people?”

The occasion for Boehner’s shift was the release of a set of e-mails by the White House, in response to an FOIA suit by Judicial Watch, regarding communications about the Benghazi attack and its aftermath. Among them was an email with the subject “PREP CALL with Susan [Rice],” by White House Deputy National Security Advisor for Communications Ben Rhodes, which knowledgeable intelligence sources have characterized as a “smoking gun” on the White House coverup. Rhodes wrote that one of the goals for Rice’s appearance on the next day’s Sunday talk shows

was to “underscore that the protests are rooted in an Internet video, and not a broader failure of policy.” Even the *Washington Post* concluded, concerning the Rhodes e-mail: “It clearly showed that a White House top priority was to shield Obama from criticism less than two months before voters decided whether to give him a second term.”

Rhodes also wrote that a main objective of Rice’s interviews should be to “reinforce the President and the administration’s strength and steadfastness in dealing with difficult challenges.” To do that, they intentionally covered up a known terrorist

incident in which the American ambassador, among others, was killed.

The e-mail was copied to White House political operatives David Plouffe, Dan Pfeiffer, and Jay Carney. The “prep call” was to take place at 4 p.m. on the Saturday before Rice appeared on five Sunday TV talk shows.

Boehner’s decision to bring to the floor H.Res. 36, which calls for the creation of a Select Committee to investigate Benghazi, means it is virtually assured of passage. The resolution has 190 co-sponsors, all Republicans, at present.

Also justly riling the Republicans is the fact that these e-mails from the White House had been subpoenaed by the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee more than a year and a half earlier, and yet were never turned over.

The test of the Select Committee will be whether it moves beyond political posturing against former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, who was in office when the Benghazi killings occurred, and moves on to the substantive issues of British stooge Obama’s treasonous alliance with the al-Qaeda forces who carried out the attack; and the Administration’s *lies* about the event—lies that were outlined in some detail in a May 1 hearing on Libya, and have been documented in fact sheets by the LaRouche Political Action Committee.

Texas Senate Runoff: Rogers Campaign Cannot Be Contained

by Harley Schlanger

May 6—From Amarillo, in the far northwest of the drought-stricken Texas Panhandle, to Brownsville, in the far southeastern corner of the state, on the Gulf of Mexico, the Kesha Rogers for U.S. Senate campaign is determined to deliver a shock, by winning the Democratic runoff election on May 27. There are teams of full-time organizers deploying statewide, concentrating on the major urban areas, as well as small towns devastated by the Bush-Obama depression; billboards are up in the three largest cities, Houston, Dallas, and San Antonio; radio ads are going out throughout the state (see box); and even in the mainstream press, such as the *Texas Tribune* (whose coverage was reprinted in the *New York Times*), the *Houston Chronicle*, and the *Austin American Statesman*, there is grudging acknowledgment that Rogers, a LaRouche Democrat, is presenting a “formidable” challenge to the Obamacrats.

The *Chronicle* article, which appeared on May 5, even highlights the fact that Rogers has raised more than double the amount of money collected by the Party-endorsed candidate, David Alameel. While Alameel, a multimillionaire, who made his millions selling dental clinics he built to a Wall Street hedge fund, run by protégés of “junk bond king” and convicted swindler Michael Milken, has loaned his campaign well over \$4 million, he has raised only \$23,794 in contributions.

Rogers has raised almost \$60,000, mostly in small donations.

As all three articles admit, the Obama-controlled leadership of the Democratic Party of Texas, which has reacted to Rogers’ candidacy as though it is still the 1950s, and the Party is still a good-ol’-boys club which



www.kesharogers.com

Kesha Rogers Senate campaign proposes to address the crippling drought with NAWAPA and desalination. Here, she tours the Kay Bailey Hutchison desalination plant in El Paso, April 18.

can choose who can be a member, has been stunned, repeatedly, by the rejection of their plantation mentality by voters. Rogers won contested Democratic primaries in 2010 and 2012, in the 22nd Congressional District, by campaigning for the impeachment of Obama, and a return to the national science and technology-oriented economy of the John F. Kennedy era.

In those elections, party-selected candidates were told to ignore Rogers, while party hacks denounced her, insisting that her call for impeaching Obama means she is “not a Democrat.” Despite such nasty negativity, including racist attacks against Rogers from Fort Bend County Democratic leaders, a majority of Democratic voters rejected the hacks and sided with Rogers, giving her more than 50% of the vote in the primaries.

It should have been obvious by then that the problem for the Texas Democratic Party leadership was not

Rogers, but the increasingly unpopular President it was defending, whose policies have continued the Bush-Cheney agenda of destroying the physical economy of the U.S., while ripping up the Constitutional protections of Americans.

Yet, after Rogers won her way into a runoff for U.S. Senate this year, gaining 22% of the vote in a five-way race, while holding Alameel under 48%, the predictable fools running Alameel's campaign, under the direction of Obama operatives, dished up more of the same slanderous garbage, denouncing Rogers at every opportunity.

Breaking Containment

Instead of containing Rogers, this has led to a breakout, which is forcing the unknown and poorly prepared Alameel to actually campaign. While Rogers has been doing several radio and newspaper interviews a day, along with several meet-and-greet events a week, across the state, in an aggressive outreach campaign, to bring new voters to the polls, Alameel had been hiding in the shadows, passing out money, hoping the Democratic Party attacks on Rogers would suppress the vote, and allow him to squeak by with a win.

This change in strategy, to put Alameel more out front, given the inability to contain Rogers by slanders, has been backfiring. On May 3, Alameel held two events in San Antonio, in which he offered free food to



EIRNS/Robert Baker

Billboards, like this one, are now up in the three largest cities in Texas: Houston, Dallas, and San Antonio.

anyone who would listen to him. At one in San Antonio, attended by fewer than 50 people—despite well-publicized offers of free ribs and chicken—Alameel was confronted by a Rogers campaign worker, who asked why he refuses to debate his opponent. “Kesha has asked you numerous times to debate, others in this community have asked you, yet you refuse. I think you’re afraid. The people of San Antonio want to hear from you—what are you afraid of?”

Rogers Radio Ads Blanket Texas

The following campaign radio ad is now playing in Texas.

I’m Kesha Rogers. I’m in the Democratic Party runoff for U.S. Senate on May 27.

For too long, both parties have been pathetic pawns of Wall Street bankers, insurance companies and wealthy corporate interests—*both parties!*

That’s why President Obama and the Congress

bailed out the crooked bankers and insurance companies, but continue to do nothing to help Americans struggling with unemployment, low-wage jobs, foreclosures, killer Obamacare, and a devastating drought.

That’s why Obama and the Republicans will go to war, but do nothing to help veterans.

Most of you already know this, that’s why you don’t vote.

Stop submitting to these criminals. Join my campaign, as I offer real solutions. I am fighting to impeach Obama, crush Wall Street with Glass-Steagall, and bring water from Alaska with NAWAPA.

I’m Kesha Rogers, and I authorize this message.

Alameel was caught of guard and said nothing, a fake smile frozen on his face, while aides pulled him away. When the organizer challenged him, “You can turn your back on me, but not on the people of San Antonio,” Alameel turned around and mumbled “This is my event,” then attacked Rogers for calling for Obama’s impeachment.

One well-connected San Antonio political activist marveled that a major candidate could be so unprepared, but was even more shocked by the low attendance at the event. “He can’t even draw a crowd by giving away good, free food at a Texas barbeque joint,” he joked.

This week, Rogers is touring the heavily Hispanic Rio Grande Valley, with events and meetings in Brownsville and McAllen. While Alameel has been attempting to buy Latino votes, with a corrupt scheme to take over LULAC (League of United Latin American Citizens), Rogers has been meeting with groups concerned about declining jobs and wages, loss of homes, inaccessibility to health care due to Obamacare, and about Obama’s fake immigration plan, while he is actually functioning as “Deporter-in-Chief.” Rogers has also been speaking with veterans, who share these concerns, and who have borne the brunt of the long wars of Bush-Cheney and Obama, only to come home to find little access to health care, no jobs, and no future.

Rogers has also sponsored two major events on restoring the FDR/JFK legacy of an America of producers. In collaboration with LaRouche Democrat Michael Steger, who is seeking the San Francisco Democratic Congressional nomination, Rogers held webcasts in which she, Steger, and scientists from LaRouchePAC’s “Basement Team” presented reports on how the Green policy imposed on America by Obama, as a puppet of the genocidal Queen Elizabeth II, has had devastating effects, including the current deadly drought. They also discussed how a program of Classical scientific discovery will fuel technological progress, funded by a return to regulated banking (Glass-Steagall) and a Hamiltonian credit system, which can enable the U.S. to soar out of this depression, and into the future.

The key to this, as Rogers has been insisting, is to impeach Obama, who has committed numerous crimes on behalf of his anti-human controllers, operating through the City of London and Wall Street banks. Obama not only rejects a return to Glass Steagall, but has continued the bailout policies initiated by Bush and Cheney, and has refused to take any action against the

swindlers of the too-big-to-fail banks, and their allies in the shadow banking system—the same swindlers who gave Alameel the money he is throwing at party hacks.

‘Crush the British Empire’

Rogers’ victory in 2010 generated a paroxysm of hysteria from the British-linked press, with the *Economist* magazine in the lead. A March 4, 2010 *Economist* article, “LaRouche Democrat wins primary, America on verge of war with the British empire,” written after her first primary win that year, was a weak effort to mock her charges that the British Empire is still the enemy of the U.S.

This limp sarcasm has not deterred Rogers in the least, as she put out a statement during the present campaign on the coming demise of the British Empire, as its puppet, Obama, is headed for impeachment.

Last week, in response to the release of Obama advisor Ben Rhodes’ e-mail confirming that the White House orchestrated the infamous “talking points” of then-U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, Susan Rice—who is a leading figure in the witches’ coven that runs Obama—that the attack on the U.S. Consulate in Benghazi was due to the posting of an anti-Islamic video on YouTube, Rogers issued a statement, which is being circulated widely, especially among veterans, who are sick of wars.

“We now have yet another ‘smoking gun,’” she said, “that proves that the White House knowingly lied and covered up the al-Qaeda-directed attack on the U.S. Consulate, in Benghazi, Libya, on Sept. 11, 2012, that resulted in the murder of four Americans, *for political purposes*. I endorse Lyndon LaRouche’s statement, ‘New Revelations on White House Role in Benghazi Demand Impeachment of Obama.’ I join Mr. LaRouche in his demand for a full investigation into this crime . . . and demand an end to covering up the crimes of both the Cheney-Bush administration, and President Obama, on behalf of a British- and Saudi-led attack on our nation. We must now put aside Party interest, and act to defend the interest of the nation. Obama must be impeached now!”

Unhappily for the backers of the hapless Alameel, they have no answer to this, as it is no longer possible to defend the indefensible Obama. Their only recourse is to suppress the vote—or steal it—to keep alive the belief that “they” cannot be beaten. The infectious, American optimism of Kesha Rogers may prove that, as in 1783, “they” can!

Baiting Germany, Atlantic Council Beats the Drums of War

by William Jones

WASHINGTON, D.C., May 5—On the eve of a key meeting between German Chancellor Angela Merkel and President Barack Obama, the Atlantic Council held a love-fest on April 29-30 entitled “Toward a Europe Whole and Free.” Invited to the conference were an array of ambassadors and envoys from Eastern Europe and the Baltic States, to speak of the wonders of being members of NATO and the need for heightened vigilance against the “Russian threat.”

Given the face-off in Ukraine as the conference began, with Ukrainian forces preparing to move against Russian-speaking activists in eastern Ukraine, this particular confab had a clearly designed purpose: to push the confrontational policy of bringing Ukraine under the EU/NATO umbrella. It was also an attempt to mobilize Congress and the general public, neither of which has shown enthusiasm for getting involved in a new military venture in the heart of Europe.

History Upside-Down

While the conference consisted of two days of Putin-bashing, the real target seemed to be Germany. The question of direct military action against Russia was never mooted directly, as it presently lacks any support and would quickly escalate to a nuclear showdown; rather, the prime means of targeting Putin was a gradual strangulation of the Russian economy through ever more stringent economic sanctions. This would require, however, forcing the German government to agree to “sectoral” sanctions against Russia, notably against its vital oil and gas industry.

Such sanctions would be suicidal for Germany, which is dependent on Russia for 35% of its oil and 30% of its natural gas—and many German politicians and industrial leaders have made that crystal clear. Conference organizers apparently hoped that by bringing the countries of the “new Europe” together in a round of

“Germany bashing,” they might just overcome the resistance.

The nature of the event was underlined from the first panel. Neocon ideologue Robert Kagan, the spouse of Victoria “Fuck the EU” Nuland, the Assistant Secretary of State for Europe who had so generously provided cake and cookies to fascist activists on the Maidan during the coup in Ukraine, presented his take on the history of Europe. Kagan serves on the State Department’s Foreign Affairs Policy Board and was instrumental in the creation of the Project for a New American Century, which paved the road to the Iraq War.

The problem, Kagan explained, was that Europe “could never successfully deal with a “hegemon.” “The only time they could,” he explained, “was with the help of the United States.” The “hegemon” he was referring to was Germany in World War I, which had simply become “too big for Europe,” he said.

Kagan failed to note that World War I had nothing to do with the size of Germany, but rather with its loss of its “pilot,” in the person of Otto von Bismarck, whom the British had succeeded in getting Kaiser Wilhelm to fire in 1890. The machinations of Britain’s King Edward VII with Russia and France left Germany without those alliances which Bismarck had so carefully created and which had long preserved the peace of Europe.

In this case, as in World War II, Kagan said, salvation came only with the intervention of U.S. forces.

Guerrilla Warfare in Ukraine?

This set the stage for that “Merlin” of Atlanticism, Zbigniew Brzezinski, to spin his own web on the same theme. While rejecting any outright security treaty with Ukraine, Brzezinski opined that “Putin’s reaction is not a strategic calculation, but is based on pure rage. He was humiliated by his isolation in Sochi,” the Olympics having been boycotted by many Western heads of state.

In Brzezinski's worldview, Putin comes off even worse than Stalin. "Stalin was quite rational," he said. "Putin is more volatile." Brzezinski lamented that no shots had been fired when the Russian activists in Crimea took over military posts. "Poles would have reacted differently," the Polish-born emigré said with a grin.

"Can Putin survive?" Brzezinski asked. "We're not going to go to war," he claimed, repeating the mantra of the very people in the West who are making war preparations. The key, he said, was to support the "other Russia," the Russia of the "new middle class." And also to undermine Russia's allies, like Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan, both of which, he noted, had refrained from supporting Russia in the United Nations on the vote for sanctions (they abstained). As for Ukraine, it is no match for the Russian Army if it came to an invasion, Brzezinski, but it could conduct urban guerrilla warfare. The West should provide weaponry for such a conflict, including hand-held rockets and anti-tank weapons!

Brzezinski also targeted the Germans for their reluctance to press sanctions, blaming the "industrial complex that controls Germany." He related the objections raised by a representative of Siemens to Brzezinski's proposal for tougher sanctions. Brzezinski noted that Robert Zoellick, President George W. Bush's trade guru, had pointed out to the Siemens representative that the company's trade with Russia was only 2% of its total trade, whereas its trade with the U.S. was 20%. Therefore, Brzezinski warned, they should take heed of the consequences of non-cooperation with the sanctions.

Theater of the Absurd

The Atlantic Council organizers tried to get some of the more hawkish Senators to come and speak, but the Senate voting schedule prevented half of them from attending. But that old, faithful warhorse, John McCain (R-Ariz.), did attend, and along with Democratic sparing partner, Christopher Murphy (Conn.), who never saw a war he didn't like. Both seconded Brzezinski's call for weapons for Ukrainians to conduct guerrilla warfare. "Ukraine has a history of successful guerrilla partisan warfare," therefore the West should provide equipment for such an undertaking, McCain said with satisfaction.

In contrast to Brzezinski, who had some concerns about the purpose of President Obama's recent trip to



AtlanticCouncil.org video on YouTube

Zbigniew Brzezinski at the Council meeting on April 29. Disappointed that not a shot was fired when Russian activists in Crimea took over military posts, the Polish-born Brzezinski quipped, "Poles would have reacted differently."

China, thinking that it would not be appropriate at this point in time to also make China an enemy, McCain was proud of Obama's trip. "We're assembling a fine array of countries in that region," he said, noting with some satisfaction that Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe was intent on revamping the Japanese Constitution in order to expand Japan's military capabilities and radius of operations.

Secretary of State John Kerry put in an appearance, no doubt to hype the event, reiterating his tired and false claims that the Ukrainian government had lived up to its Geneva commitments, whereas the Russians had done nothing. This, although Kiev did not disarm the Maidan Right Sector and other fascist thugs, but rather brought them into the government fully armed, and at a time that Ukrainian troops were moving in an attempt to crush the uprising in the east. Kerry also fed into the overall frenzy by saying that NATO must now return to its traditional role of defending Europe, stressing that the borders of the NATO countries were "invulnerable." Kerry did not say, however, what the U.S. would do with regard to Ukraine. He called on the Europeans to do more for their own defense and to make arrangements for alternative sources of energy. He also played into the fantasy bandied about in Washington that the U.S., with its shale oil and gas boondoggle, will now become an alternative to Russia in supplying gas and oil to Europe.

The Council also trotted out European Commission President José Manuel Barroso to talk about the energy

situation in Europe and to babble about democracy, which the EU has done its best to eliminate. Barroso was given a Distinguished Leadership award by the Council.

The Atlantic Council meeting overall had the air of a macabre charade, completely detached from reality.

- A Moment of Sanity - The only moment of sanity occurred in what was supposed to be a retrospective of the history of the Atlantic Alliance, with Brent Scowcroft, former National Security Advisor to Presidents Ford and George H.W. Bush; Horst Teltschik, former security advisor to German Chancellor Helmut Kohl; and former Netherlands Prime Minister Timmermans. While Teltschik was probably invited to also serve as the recipient of the rebukes of this crowd for German recalcitrance, he succeeded in turning the tables on his accusers. [See article in *International* for a German perspective on Teltschik's intervention—ed.]

Teltschik placed the present crisis in the context of the history leading up to it, which included the gross blunders made by the Western countries in their dealings with Russia after the break-up of the Soviet Union. He reviewed the "broken promises" given to Russian leaders, including Putin, over the years, describing how Romano Prodi, then head of the European Commission, had called for a united Europe from Lisbon to Vladivostok. Putin later came to Brussels, Teltschik said, and repeated this proposal, but it fell on deaf ears.

"If the EU had said, 'let's go,' the results would have been different," Teltschik said. Chancellor Kohl, he explained, had signed 22 agreements with Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachov in order to align the Soviet Union closer with Europe. "The Russians were particularly sensitive on the security issue, so Kohl was keen on embedding security guarantees in the agreements." But these were later abrogated. "More could have been done to develop the relationship between Russia and NATO," Teltschik said, in something of an understatement. He talked about the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia in 1968. A few months after the invasion, the Soviets proposed to have a conference on security in Europe. This was accepted by NATO, he said, and it led to the establishment of the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE).



AtlanticCouncil.org video on YouTube

Senators John McCain (center) and Chris Murphy (right) yuck it up with panel moderator Jim Sciutto of CNN. They agreed that the U.S. should send weapons to Ukraine for "urban guerrilla" warfare.

Similar negotiations and dialogue ought to be maintained now with Russia, in spite of the Ukraine crisis and Russia's incorporation of Crimea, Teltschik said. "This was not as bad as the invasion of Czechoslovakia," he added. "And I have no understanding that we [should] give up everything that has been achieved with Russia because of Ukraine."

Teltschik's words may have caused a bit of a shock among those attending, but they quickly returned to their fantasy-filled back-slapping, delivering further Distinguished Leadership awards to some of those individuals who have made the biggest ruckus on behalf of the war party. Vice President Joe Biden joined the fray in a speech in which he also urged heightened vigilance and warned of increased sanctions. He also put the onus on German reticence to go for stronger sanctions. "We have to be resolute in imposing costs," Biden said. "And I'll note parenthetically that costs are going to be shared in some cases disproportionately. That's the reality."

While there is little support among the U.S. population, or even within Congress, for military action against Russia, the deployment of U.S. troops on the borders of Russia, in the Baltic States and Poland, and the strident rhetoric coming from the think-tanks and the White House, indicate that President Obama is intent on conflict with Russia. Until we impeach this berserker, the world will be heading for conflagration between nuclear powers.

Under Obama, Labor Force And Wages Shrinking Alike

by Paul Gallagher

May 6—Following the May 3-4 weekend in which Democratic officials touted the government's May 2 announcement of "288,000 new jobs in April," the realities of accelerating U.S. labor force shrinkage and continued low wages reasserted themselves. The loss of just under 1 million Americans who dropped out of the labor force in April was the largest in one month in many years; and hourly and weekly wages, again, did not change at all. As AFL-CIO President Richard Trumka was quoted after the report's release, average weekly wages in "real" terms (when deflated only by the phony Consumer Price Index), are lower than they were in 2009; and the median income of American households is equal to the level of 1978, despite more individuals working in each household.

This is not just a disaster for the current American population; it's a signal of the death of the nation economically, which must be reversed immediately by removing all blocks to a Hamiltonian credit policy.

Legacy of Bush and Obama

Putting aside the Labor Department's month-to-month announcements of job-growth totals—which come from putting the data it actually collects through various seasonal and other "adjustments," both dramatic and mysterious—the Department's *unadjusted* reports show that 2.2 million more Americans were working to some degree in April, than in April 2013. That rate of about 180,000 increased employment per month is still somewhat below the rate at which Amer-

ica's labor force *would* increase from population growth and immigration, if creation of actual employment allowed it. The economy thus, again, made no net progress in the past year in restoring the 9 million jobs eliminated in the two years following the 2007-08 crash.

The condition of the American workforce remains extremely depressed, and even creative economists are having to stop attributing this to "structural" factors (the "desire to retire," etc.). Productive, steady, and well-paid employment has simply disappeared.

About 70% of the U.S. population of 315 million—those between the ages of 16 and 65 and not in an institution (the armed forces, a hospital, prison, etc.)—is considered eligible to be in the workforce. The number of such work-eligible Americans without a job has reached 102.3 million (9.8 million "officially" unemployed, and 92.5 million out of the workforce by "choice"). This is 27.3 million more than were without a job in 2000; and this 27.3 million represents the *entire potential growth* of the workforce in those 13-plus years. That entire potential growth was wiped out by economic stagnation and unemployment under the disastrous Presidents G.W. Bush and Obama.

Through three and one-half consecutive presidential terms and a 40 million increase in population, the workforce—those working or looking for work—has grown by less than 10 million, and most of that "growth" is increased unemployment.

The percentage of eligible Americans with employment remains lower (58.9%) after five years of "recov-

ery” than when Obama took office in January 2009 (60.6%). And 20% of all American families have no one working.

A Brookings Institution study released March 21, entitled “The Plunging Labor Market Fortunes of Teens and Young Adults” considers precisely the period of the three terms of those disastrous Presidents, 2000-12. It found that the younger the cohort of Americans, the worse the collapse in employment. For teenagers, the *employment rate* has fallen nearly by half, to 26%. For those 20-24, it has fallen by a fifth, to 60%. For those 25-34, it is down by one-ninth, to 72%; for those 35-54, by one-tenth, to 75%. Ironically, the only increase for any age cohort is among those 65-74 years old, 25% of them working well past the previously traditional retirement age.

Even in the Labor Department’s ballyhooed April report, the attributed employment increase of 288,000 went predominantly to the oldest part of the workforce; for all of those 25-54 years old, a net job *loss* was reported.

Job Creation at McBama’s

The National Employment Law Project (NELP)’s April 27 report, “Tracking the Low-Wage Recovery,” dramatized the devastation during Bush’s and Obama’s dismal presidencies.

Since 2000, while total government employment has slowly but steadily fallen by about 2 million, private-sector employment first fell by 3 million (through 2003), then rose by 7 million (through late 2008), then fell rapidly by 8 million (through mid-2010), and now has recovered by 9 million (through first-quarter 2014).

For Obama’s Presidency alone, the picture is even worse. The number of Americans “choosing” to stay out of the workforce has jumped by 13 million in his five and one-half years. While governments have eliminated 625,000 jobs, the private sector lost 8 million jobs in the 18 months after Obama’s inauguration and has then gained 9 million over four years. While total employment has thus gained essentially nothing, the *potential* workforce has increased by that 13 million, predominantly younger and/or immigrant labor-force entrants.

Some 40% of the private-sector jobs created during this time were in restaurants and food services, administrative and waste services, and retail trades, many paying only the Federal minimum wage.

The ten most common jobs categories in the U.S. economy, now accounting together for 60% of all employment, earn \$35,000 a year or less.

NELP’s analysis of impoverishment within the workforce is based on industry data. Some 1.85 million jobs making \$22,000 a year or less have been created since 2010, over and above those that were lost until that point; about 30% of these jobs are less than full-time, meaning in the \$15-17,000/year range, *if* kept for a year. This range is the government definition of “low-wage employment,” and now represents about 45% of all U.S. employment.

About 950,000 “mid-wage jobs” (up to \$40,000/year) remain lost since 2007, net of those which have been created since 2010; and about 950,000 “high-wage jobs” (up to \$70,000/year) were lost since 2007, net of those which have been created since 2010. Thus there has essentially been a straight replacement of just under 2 million decent jobs lost, by just under 2 million low-wage jobs created; and to this add the loss of non-wage benefits which accompanies the down-waging.

The continuing disappearance of full-time employment is part of this development. Among the employed, some 28 million were working part-time in April—20% of those working, the highest on record. Several survey agencies have developed indices of full-time employment of the whole U.S. population on payrolls—as opposed to freelance and self-employment. Gallup’s “payroll/population rate” has dropped a long way since 2007, from 47.9% to 43% now. A *Forbes* report on April 14 put this full-time work ratio even lower, at 37%, and estimated full-time employment at 4.3 million less than Fall 2007.

This combination of sharp shrinkage of the labor force and stagnant or falling wages is historically unusual. A study by Adam Posen and David Blanchflower appeared May 5, purporting to show that wages are being driven down by the disguised unemployment. It did show that the two are correlated, and that both workforce shrinkage and low-wage economy accelerated over the past year.

But the issue underlying both is national credit policy. U.S. households have reduced both their debt and access to credit, and their living standards, since the crash. U.S. corporations, said to be “flush with cash,” have actually become “flush with debt”; non-financial corporations’ cash holdings divided by their debt average of 40%, is more than 15% lower than in 2007. The Obama White House’s blocking of bank reorganization and national productive credit, and the Federal Reserve’s promotion of new bank debt bubbles, are the problem.

Book Review

Are We Controlled by the Whims of Nature, or Will We Create Our Future?

by Patrick Ruckert

The West Without Water: What Past Floods, Droughts, and Other Climatic Clues Tell Us About Tomorrow

by B. Lynn Ingram and Frances Malamud-Roam
Berkeley: University of California Press, 2013

Modern engineering has allowed the exploitation of all available water sources for human use, and water policy has favored water development for power, cities, and farms, over sustainability of the environment and ecosystems. These policies have allowed populations to grow right up to, and perhaps beyond, the limits that this region can support, leaving us vulnerable should drier conditions return.

If you agree with the above statement, you are probably reading the wrong publication. It comes from the concluding chapter of *The West Without Water: What Past Floods, Droughts, and Other Climatic Clues Tell Us About Tomorrow*, by B. Lynn Ingram and Frances Malamud-Roam, a book that provides the reader with, at least, some useful education in the paleoclimatic history of the West, and an understanding of the dynamics of the secondary causal processes that determine weather and climate, but glosses over the real controlling mechanism of climate—galactic processes and the Sun—and is polluted with malthusian statements like the above.

As Lyndon LaRouche has stated, we have few if any real scientists today. Most are either mere mathemati-

cians, or they have succumbed to “going along to get along” by at least giving lip service to environmentalist stupidity and the fraud of man-caused global warming.

Our authors, I think, fall into the later category, as they repeatedly write, for example, “Most scientists believe that man-induced global warming will...”

But, for now, let’s put the stupidities aside and look at what is of value in the book. Paleoclimatologists study climates from the past, from both before recorded history, and the more recent human-documented climate of the past millennia or so.


Ingram is a professor of Earth and Planetary Science and Geography at the University of California at Berkeley. She has been studying past climates for several decades, and has been often quoted in the media over the past several months for her analysis of the present Western States drought.

Malamud-Roam is an Associate Environmental Planner and biologist at

Caltrans (the State of California transportation authority), and visiting scholar in Ingram’s department at the University of California.

Climate History

In news articles, Ingram has summarized the last 2,000 years of the climate history of the American West, emphasizing that the current drought is the worst to hit the region in 500 years. In addition, she makes the point that, especially over this 2,000-year period, the West has experienced megadroughts lasting decades, and megafloods which far surpass the famous 1861-62 flood that put Sacramento and the entire Central Valley of California under 10-20 feet of water for months. She



states that the climate of the past 150 years or so is an anomaly, being one of the mildest and wettest on record, and that soon or later, perhaps now, California will return to the megadroughts interspersed with megafloods pattern that characterized the region for millennia.

The past 2.5 million years of our Earth's history is known as the Pleistocene, which has been dominated by Ice Ages, each lasting about 100,000 years, interrupted by interglacial warming periods that last about 20,000 years. The last Ice Age, or the "Last Glacial Maximum" as it is called, ended about 20,000 years ago. The authors' focus is on the last 11,000 years, the Holocene, which is characterized by increased Summer temperatures thought to be caused by a slight change in the Earth's orbit around the Sun, increasing the amount of radiation received by the Earth. More generally, the Holocene has been a period of variable climate, and, beginning about 2,000 years ago, that variability has been characterized by alternating megadroughts and megafloods.

The authors, usefully, go into great detail about the investigative methods the paleoclimatologists use to understand the climatic history of the West. They highlight the studies that reconstruct the past climate, including precipitation, temperature, stream flows, droughts, flood events, and wildfires. The evidence, they report, is found in tree rings, landforms, the debris left by glaciers, and the sediments and fossils found in lakes, estuaries, marshes, and the coastal ocean.

The tree species that provides the longest record of climate is the Bristlecone Pine, which is found only in the White Mountains of California, a range just north of Death Valley. Bristlecone Pines live at very high elevations and in an area that receives less than 12 inches of rain per year. Thus, it is a short, scrawny, very slow-growing tree, the longest-living tree on Earth, living more than 4,000 years.

El Niño and La Niña

The book's discussion of the causal factors determining weather and climate answers many questions the non-scientific reader may have about, for example, El Niño, La Niña, and why storms occur where and when they do. But, as to explaining why Ice Ages occur, and how, for example, sunspots affect climate, we have



Wikimedia Commons

The Bristlecone Pine, found only in the White Mountains of California, a range just north of Death Valley, is the longest-living tree on Earth, living more than 4,000 years; it provides the longest record of climate changes.

to judge the book as inadequate and, in a way, misleading, since, although these phenomena are briefly discussed, they are largely dismissed, as the authors appear not to want to open a discussion that is almost by those promoting the fraud of man-caused global warming.

Weather differs from climate in that weather is what you experience today, while climate is the description of weather over a given period of time and for a given region. The authors' simplistic definition is: "Climate determines the timing, amount and form of precipitation that a region receives...."

Climate and weather in the West are characterized by irregular precipitation, which is determined by the complex behavior of the Pacific Ocean and its exchange of water and energy with the atmosphere. Just how and when evaporated seawater drops as rain or snow depends on patterns of ocean currents and atmospheric winds, and on the interaction of moisture-laden air with the landscape as it advances inland. The atmosphere above the Pacific Ocean moves East toward the American Pacific Coast, and as it hits the Western U.S. mountain ranges, the air is forced up the slopes. As the air moves to higher elevations, the moisture condenses and falls as precipitation, because rising cooling air cannot hold as much water vapor as warmer air. Thus, the eastern side of the mountain ranges receive very little precipitation, creating the Western deserts.




This is not the place to review all the details of this

process, so here we shall just mention a couple of phenomenon that many may have heard of, but little understand. The El Niño Southern Oscillation, for example, which brings drenching storms to the American West Coast. These storms are mainly a product of atmospheric rivers, which form when water vapor from the tropical Pacific Ocean is carried to higher-than-normal latitudes through a narrow corridor of concentrated moisture. Briefly, they are currents in the lower atmosphere (about 1 mile high) and may be 250 miles wide, and extend for thousands of miles. They carry water vapor and warm air from the eastern North Pacific to the West Coast of the Americas. When these atmospheric rivers hit the mountain slopes, they release huge amounts of moisture as precipitation. California, which only gets rain from late Fall to early Spring, normally receives only about five or six storms per year. Of these, about half will be atmospheric river storms.

An El Niño occurs when the trade winds in the eastern Pacific Ocean are lessened or completely cease for months. This phenomenon usually begins about Christmastime and it takes about nine or ten months for their impact to hit the West Coast of the Americas. The interruption of the trade winds allows for the western Pacific warm pool to flow eastward, at about 150 miles per day, toward the American coast. This warm water, which suppresses the colder water downward, pumps water vapor, heat, and enormous amounts of energy into the atmosphere above. This process then spawns large storms carried by westerly winds into northern Mexico and the southwestern United States. In the western Pacific, El Niños produce the opposite effect, such as droughts in Australia.

El Niños occur every two to seven years, with none having occurred over the last several years. Press reports in early April indicate that an El Niño system began in January, and, it is hoped, will bring storms to California next Winter, to break the drought. Regardless of whether next Winter is a wet one, merely breaking the current drought for a time will do nothing to alleviate California's larger problem: that, even without drought, there is not enough water available to the water-management system of the state to sustain its current population, not to mention another 20 million people who will live there by 2050.

That reality requires the immediate policy of moving our entire economy to a higher energy-flux density regime, by an urgent mobilization of the nation to achieve the scientific breakthroughs required to bring



NOAA

nuclear fusion on line in the next decade or so. That mobilization will also allow us to seriously take the immediate short-term measures required to deal with the present drought. We can, first, revitalize the 50-year-old policy of the John Kennedy Administration to build nu-

clear-powered desalination plants up and down the coast and in the Central Valley of California, to purify brackish water. By restarting the nuclear industry we can then begin to build the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), another Kennedy-era policy, which will bring water from Alaska and northern Canada down to the Southwest and the Midwestern States.

‘Climate Change’

Now, back to the Holocene, which, as mentioned above, is the focus of the authors, who highlight the last 1,800 years. This period, they report, has exhibited larger and more rapid changes than the earlier Holocene, and has been characterized by prolonged droughts interspersed with sudden periods of great precipitation. For example, the Medieval Drought, A.D. 900-1400, saw repeated megadroughts, some lasting as long as a century. The period between these droughts saw megafoods.

With the end of the Medieval Drought, the entire planet entered a cooling period, including the Little Ice Age of the 17th Century, which saw rivers that were usually ice-free year around completely freeze over. The authors develop in some detail that the megafoods that hit California during this period were bigger than any in the human historical record. The 1605 flood, for example, which swamped the entire state, was much bigger than the one of 1861-62 mentioned above.

Finally, about two-thirds of the way through the book, the authors take up the effect of the Sun in producing changes in climate, in other words, climate change. That phrase, “climate change,” is the term now preferred by the proponents of the idea of anthropomorphic global warming, since some of them are smart enough to recognize that we may soon get global cooling. Either way, the idea that climate change is something bad and must be prevented is absurd. The climate is always changing, as this book makes very clear.

The authors’ discussion of the effect sunspots have on the climate, while accurate scientifically, is both brief, and in the end, along with other extra-terrestrial



The Little Ice Age of the 17th Century saw rivers that were usually ice-free year 'round completely freeze over. This scene, “Winter Landscape with Skaters,” by Hendrick Avercamp, depicts conditions during the Little Ice Age in the Netherlands ca. 1608.

phenomena, simply put aside, as the book heads toward its concluding chapters, and the argument they will make, that mankind must learn to adjust to whatever nature brings us. One point that the authors do make in regard to sunspots is that the Maunder Minimum, a period of low sunspot activity, from 1645-1715, coincided with the peak of the Little Ice Age.

The authors present no solutions for the people of California, for their ability to survive, much less flourish, during what they assert is a future that will see decades-long megadroughts, which may have already begun. All that civilization can do is learn to conserve, and to adjust to whatever nature gives us, since, obviously to the authors, mankind cannot adjust nature. Only once, do they even mention the possibility of seawater desalination, and in a manner that virtually dismisses it. But, unlike author Marc Reisner, who goes further, demanding that mankind virtually abandon the West and let nature prevail, they do not directly attack the water-management system that was completed in California 40 years ago. Yes, it has been 40 years since any significant water project has been built in California, and in those 40 years, the population of the state has almost doubled.

Reisner, who published *Cadillac Desert: The American West and Its Disappearing Water* in 1986, is a hero of those who wish to dismantle the existing water-management systems of the West, including the destruction of all the dams on Western rivers. Reisner’s book at least recognizes and acknowledges his enemy. In his

chapter “Civilization—If You Can Keep It,” he highlights the one policy that scares the hell out of environmentalists: “Suppose, though, that it were possible to solve at one stroke all the West’s problems with water. Suppose you could import into the American West enough water to allow irrigation to continue, even to expand, for another three or four hundred years...” He then goes on to describe NAWAPA in great detail, and the history of how environmentalism killed it by the 1970s. Then, he writes:

“Suddenly, the monster project that had been all but given up for dead began to twitch again. In October of 1980, at a California conference on ‘A High-Technology Policy for U.S. Reindustrialization’ sponsored by the Fusion Energy Foundation [co-founded by Lyndon LaRouche—ed.]—an offshoot of the U.S. Labor Party, which despises the Soviet Union but envies its inveterate commitment of gargantuan public works—Dr. Nathan W. Snyder of the Parsons Company reintroduced NAWAPA to a large and enthusiastic audience...”

Creating the Future

Perhaps we should not be too harsh on Ingram and Malamud-Roam, for they are products of 100 years of

the destruction of science, beginning with the 1900 conference in France that ushered in the determination by David Hilbert and Bertrand Russell to replace science with mathematics. Then, with the assassination of President Kennedy 50 years ago, and the beginning of the cultural paradigm-shift away from at least building infrastructure, and the adoption of the green agenda of depopulation, the last two generations of scientists have been more and more shaped and controlled by an oligarchical intent to destroy man’s creativity.

As the great Ukrainian-Russian scientist Vladimir Vernadsky demonstrated, rather than man merely adjusting to “nature,” man is not only created by nature, but is nature’s most perfect creation, in that, unlike the rest of nature, man is a willfully creative force in the universe. That creative power has given the human species the power to adjust nature to accord with mankind’s needs and well-being; to reshape nature’s processes by increasing man’s understanding of the principles of the universe, which are really only known to man by the effects of his action on that universe. That is how mankind knows the future—because he creates it from his imagination, an imagination that brings into being that which never before existed.

LaRouchePAC Special Report

NAWAPA XXI

A North American Water & Power Alliance For the 21st Century

FROM THE AUTHORS:

This report is written as a proposal for action, to be immediately undertaken by elected officials of government; and as a handbook for patriots who seek to re-establish the United States as a leader in science, technology, and industry.

IN THIS REPORT, YOU WILL FIND A PLAN TO:

- **Employ millions in productive labor and restore U.S. manufacturing.**
- **Re-establish water, food, and power security for North America, establish a continental system of drought and flood control, and develop new infrastructure corridors involving most of the continent.**
- **Restore the U.S. system of public credit.**
- **Demonstrate man’s ability to improve on nature.**

ORDER ONLINE: www.larouchepac.com



LAROUCHEPAC WEEKLY REPORT

Long Waves of History: We Have To Think Galactically!

Megan Beets of the LaRouchePAC Science Team hosted this “New Paradigm for Mankind Weekly Report” on April 30. Lyndon LaRouche and Ben Deniston were her guests. The video is at <http://larouchepac.com/node/30655>.

Megan Beets: People who have been following this website know that Mr. LaRouche has been warning for a number of years now, that if nothing were done to address the emergency conditions of collapse of the United States industrial, and infrastructure, and agricultural capability, in the face of what has been an increasing collapse condition, an increasing threat of so-called “natural disasters,” the extreme weather conditions typified by the dire drought that’s currently hitting the American West and Southwest, that if we did not do this, the United States and beyond would be facing conditions of food shortages in the immediate period, and starvation and mass death.

That condition is now here, and emergency measures must be taken. Now, that call is being led currently by the campaigns for Senate of Keshia Rogers in Texas and Michael Steger [who is running for Congress] in California.

But to go to a higher level, last night, Lyn, you, in a discussion with your associates, put out the challenge that if we are going to solve any crisis, like the drought today, like the threat of thermonuclear war, if we’re going to be capable of making any competent strategic

assessment of history, we can not go from the individual parts, up. You would be incompetent to think that you could take the so-called causes and so-called characteristics of the individual parts or sections, and somehow come to an understanding of the whole and a solution. Rather, as Johannes Kepler did, you have to have an insight into the top-down cause which is animating all of the separate parts. And last night you used the image of an ocean: that you have to have an insight into the ocean in which the different happenings are merely a piece of turbulence, or a fish, or a part contained within that ocean.


So, if we intend to save humanity today, we have to be able to have an insight to understand and act on that top-down force which is unfolding all of these separate events. So Ben, I think you’re going to give us an insight into that.

Rivers in the Atmosphere

Ben Deniston: I’ll just open it up by giving a updated picture on the drought conditions we’re facing, as you so clearly stated them.

The headlines have been focussed on California a lot, but it’s also a national crisis (**Figure 1**). Texas, for example, is facing very severe conditions. We’ve covered a lot of this, and it’s a real threat to the entire country. California produces one-third of all the produce for the entire nation, so a drought and threat to agriculture in California is a threat to the American people being

FIGURE 1




Courtesy of NDMC-UNL

able to eat. It's a threat to the entire food supply of the nation; it's not limited to California.

And really, if you want to be serious about what we're looking at, it's not just limited to the United States. **Figure 2** is a map from UNESCO, from the United Nations, on water stress globally. And you can see that in the western United States, as we're very familiar with, and down into Mexico, you have major water stress in the United States; but also Northern Africa, even sections of Europe; obviously the Middle East; and India and China, which each have well over a billion people they're trying to support. So water is an existential issue for the future of mankind on

FIGURE 2
Global Water Stress Indicator (WSI) in Major Basins



World Water Assessment Programme, UNESCO, 2012.

this planet. And we have to be serious about how to address this, and how to address this in the short term, if we're actually going to keep the world's population alive and fed at this point.

I want to start with the focus on California as a case study. In some of our work in Washington, D.C., we were told that some people's view is that the California water shortage should be solved with California water. Now, that's absurd for a couple of reasons: First of all, California water is not really California water. It's part of a global system—we'll get to that in a second. But second, the conditions in California over the past 150 years, on a longer time scale, have actually been anomalously wet and anomalously stable.


A report from a professor from Cal State East Bay/Cal State Hayward showed, through looking at tree rings and different evidence of climate variation over the past 7,000 years in California, that the past century has actually been one of the wettest centuries of this whole seven-millennia period. So the conditions that the water projects were built under, the conditions that California's water infrastructure was built under, were conditions of actually *more* water than they would regularly expect.

So we're going into a period where the whole system in California could naturally adjust to a much drier condition for many years—potentially decades, potentially centuries to come. That's completely consistent with the record of California.

Another professor, out at UC Berkeley, had a similar study, looking at the past 2,000 years, saying the past 150 years in the West in general has been also among the most stable and wettest periods of that whole region.

So, right away, we know that there's no reason to assume that the water we saw 50 years ago, 60 years ago, 100 years ago, is going to return in any natural way. That's the first, immediate issue that needs to be

FIGURE 3
Total Precipitable Water, May 2009
(Millimeters)




University of Wisconsin, CIMSS

put on the table, in looking at California and the West with the water. But to get more to the substance of it: The idea you can solve the “California water crisis” with “California water,” means nothing. There’s no such thing as “California water.”

Figure 3 is an image of atmospheric water distribution; these are called “atmospheric rivers.” So you can see this greenish-blue stretch across the Pacific, which is a measure of the amount of water in any vertical column in the atmosphere. The reddish-purple regions have less water; the green-blue is a higher concentration of water—and again, this is in the atmospheric structure. And they find that there are actually these periodic streams, these columns of high concentrations of water, which they call “atmospheric rivers,” transporting huge volumes of water across ocean territories.

Figure 4 shows three other images of different cases

FIGURE 4
Atmospheric Rivers



The color scale represents the total amount of water vapor between the ocean surface and space.

when this happened. And you can see they vary in structure and length, but these are cases where large volumes of water are being transported from the Equatorial region, where we have greater amounts of evaporation and moisture content in the atmosphere, and directed up into the mid-latitudes. And a number of these come and hit the West Coast of the United States.

water throughout the ocean systems onto land in different places.


They found, for California in particular, and for the West Coast of the United States, that these atmospheric river structures account for 30-40% of the entire freshwater supply of the West. So you get general precipitation, general water coming from evaporation from the

On the bottom, the colors indicate, in centimeters, the volume of water, the height of water contained in a height of atmosphere. So if you took one section of atmosphere straight up, and you took all the water that was in that column, how much water would there be? And as you can see, it varies from just 1-2 cm or less, up to 7 cm of water in that section of atmosphere. So you can see, these rivers are very concentrated structures up in the atmosphere, carrying large amounts of water.

Now, around the time of the California drought (Figure 5), there were some presentations by representatives of NOAA [National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration] and NASA, looking at the relationship between these atmospheric rivers and California, in particular. You can see on the bottom, they noted that any one of these atmospheric rivers can easily have a flow 5-10 times greater than the Mississippi River.

So these are not small structures of water. It's kind of amazing to think about: If you've ever seen the Mississippi River, imagine five or ten of those flowing constantly, up over your head, carrying huge amounts of

FIGURE 5

An Atmospheric River (AR), October 2009**Atmospheric Rivers:**

- Transport as much as 5-10 times the flow of the Mississippi River
- Account for 30-40% of the freshwater supply in the West
- About 9 AR days per winter provide ~40% of Calif.'s snowpack
- 40% to 50% of Calif. droughts are "busted" by landfalling AR

NOAA

Pacific Ocean, bringing that water onto land, into the West. But you also have nearly half of that in the form of these very structured processes of atmospheric rivers.

They also found that, for California in particular, nine days of atmospheric rivers per year will provide nearly half of California's snowpack, which is a huge supply of water for California. And they also found that 40-50% of all California droughts are busted or stopped by the coming of these atmospheric rivers.

So the idea that California's drought, water crisis, can be solved by "California water," is a *non sequitur*: There's no such thing as "California water." California water is Pacific water, coming from this global system.

The point is, California's water, but any water on land, comes from, ultimately, the water being evaporated from the ocean: That's the supply of the entire global system. And to put this into scale, **Figure 6** shows comparative values of the flow of water for different systems, measured in cubic km/year. So the Colorado River, under its natural conditions if we didn't draw any off at all, would have an outflow of about 20 km³ of water per year into the ocean. The full NAWAPA [North American Water and Power Alliance] system,

including southern regions of the PLHINO/PLHIGON [in Mexico], including nuclear power, would be about 200 km³/year moved, as the full, expanded system. The Mississippi River itself, just one river, is about 400 km³/year, and for scale, the Amazon, which is by far the largest river in the world, multiple times any next-closest large river, has nearly 7,000 km³/year, so it dwarfs some of these other ones. But total evaporation, the total amount of water flow from the oceans into the atmosphere, being transported up into the atmosphere every year, is 413,000 km³. That's the equivalent of either 60 Amazon Rivers flowing straight up into the atmosphere, continuously; or, 1,000 Mississippi Rivers, or 20,000 Colorado Rivers, flowing con-

tinuously, straight up, and bringing this water up into the atmosphere, continuously, at all times.

So this is a *huge* store of water, constantly being pumped up into the atmospheric system. That's the basis for the whole global water cycle, the whole global precipitation system.


We see that in certain cases, you get these very

FIGURE 6

Water Flow Comparison

LPAC-TV

FIGURE 7

Precipitation and Direction of Water Flows, North America

structured processes, called “atmospheric rivers,” which play a critical role in the distribution of this water to the West Coast and to California. But if we’re going to have any serious attempt to address the water crisis in the United States, in the West, and we’re going to need to look at this global moisture evaporation system as a whole.

Figure 7 is a schematic of the precipitation and the river flows of the North American system, and this was the basis for the design of the NAWAPA system in the 1950s: the fact that you have large amounts of precipitation up in the North, which runs off into ocean. And people came up with the correct idea that we can bring some of that water down into the West.

But now we’re at a point, at which, because NAWAPA wasn’t built, the crisis is much worse. The crisis is immediate: We’re already losing half a million

acres of the most productive farmland in California, a place that produces a third of the produce for the entire country. We don’t necessarily have 25 years to build NAWAPA to address it. We can’t wait that long to save the food supply, stop the drought in the United States. We’re going to need NAWAPA and a NAWAPA-type system, but we can’t rely on dusting off the original NAWAPA design and just trying to start to build that today, which wouldn’t be online for another couple of decades, to address a crisis that’s immediate.


A Super-NAWAPA System

But even beyond that, Lyn, you’ve stressed the idea of a Super-NAWAPA system, and I think this is where you have to go to think about it. Because if you’re just relying on redistributing existing precipitation patterns, you’re still making mankind subject to those precipitation patterns. NAWAPA is assuming that you’re still going to have the rainfall up North and

the precipitation up North which will allow us to draw it down South, but there’s no reason to guarantee that’s going to be sustained for any long period of time.

Again, as we referenced in the beginning, we know that the recent period in the West was somewhat of an anomaly, relative to the longer period, where the past century in California, the past century in the West, just happened to have more water regularly available than we can expect on any long-term basis. These are not permanent systems that we can just depend upon forever.

So, if we’re going to have any serious attempt, any serious way of actually addressing the global water demands of mankind into the future, we have to think about the systems that subsume NAWAPA, the systems that subsume these large water projects, and really put on the table, the challenge of how can we begin to tap



Ben Deniston: "It's kind of amazing to think about. If you've ever seen the Mississippi River, imagine five or ten of those flowing constantly, up over your head, carrying huge amounts of water throughout the ocean systems onto land in different places."

and potentially direct and redirect these large atmospheric flows of water systems on a global scale? How can we actually begin to look into different ways we can have some influence or control over where this moisture goes, where it falls, where it doesn't fall, so that we can ensure that a system like NAWAPA would be able to continue well into the future. We can ensure that the water is actually being distributed where it's needed, because, as we saw, there's plenty of water there: 20,000 Colorado Rivers flowing up into the atmosphere at all times! The water's there. The question is, can we have some effect and control over these large atmospheric structures and flows, to ensure that the water goes where we need it to go?


And the other aspect, another challenge, is our weakening solar processing, that's adding a whole other complicating factor. We're now at a point where the Sun has had a very, very weak solar cycle this past 11 years (**Figure 8**); and the expectation is that the next solar cycle is going to be even weaker, and we could be going into what the experts call a "grand minimum period," which is a period where you might

have very low solar activity over successive cycles, potentially over decades, and potentially relatively no sunspot activity, no solar activity. And what we know from the records is that this has corresponded to drought in China; there was a recent study that said when the Sun gets into these very weak periods, they see corresponding droughts in Tibet, and in the Tibet plateau region, with these drought periods corresponding to these grand minimum solar weakening periods.

We know that these periods of low solar activity correspond to major cooling effects, major

increased glaciation, major cooling effects in the Atlantic. The point is, we don't exactly know what we're going into in terms of an immediate period of the coming years and decades, with this solar factor added to the current crisis (**Figure 9**).

FIGURE 8
One Solar Cycle




Steele Hill, SOHO, NASA/ESA

Soft X-ray images of the Sun, showing weak solar activity compared to relatively recent past cycles.

FIGURE 9

400 Years of Sunspot Observations



Solar cycles as measured by sunspot numbers.

So the challenge, Lyn, is what you put on the table: to go to this subsuming system; not just dusting off NAWAPA as a sitting project from decades ago, but looking at that as a part of the solution, but going to the higher level of what actually determines the feasibility of NAWAPA or any of these other water projects, by this larger global moisture cycle. That's a challenge we have to put on the table right now.

The Universe Functions as a Unit

Lyndon LaRouche: The key thing here, is what I've been concerned about very much, because what happens is there's a certain state of mind, where even some of our own people get screwed up on this thing, because they think that there are certain things that are fixed. They make assumptions that "history will teach us," that "experience teaches us"; and it does not! The actual history of the Solar System has been evolutionary; it's never really fixed. Some things continue, but we're not looking in the right scale of factors to consider this.

Now, we're at a point where, because of these factors, we have to change our ways in many ways. But the worst thing, we've got to stop thinking about being practical. Because "practical" implies that you can repeat, as a matter of practice, that you can repeat some factor and that you can manipulate that factor and find yourself a satisfactory solution. This is not possible. It never was possible! It only seems to be possible when people aren't thinking. Whereas, we're looking at the Solar System, and when we look beyond the Solar System, it gets very interesting.

For example, the migration of the Solar System,

moving through the galaxy—this is a very significant process. Also, the very idea that we live on Earth and that we have an Earth-based operation, is insane! We're now going through all kinds of changes in the system. We just, because we're short-sighted, don't see these things, and then one of these things hits us, and then somebody starts to complain. But this is going on all along!

For example, there are long-term trends in the Solar

System; for example, the Moon was once an active part of Earth. Now, it's no longer directly part of Earth, because you have a shrinkage of the system which we call the Earth system, the Earth atmosphere system. That got changed, it shrank. The polarized area contracted, so the area of the Moon, which at one time was part of this wet part of this system, is no longer wet! Same thing, all kinds of evolutions have occurred.

But this comes from a stupidity of mankind. The intrinsic stupidity of mankind is to believe that there's some *fixed system* inside the Solar System, even the Solar System as such—but there is not! What we do know about the Solar System is full of all kinds of changes that occurred. They have histories.

We're looking at all these asteroids. They are going to come down on us some time! This is not going to go on indefinitely. There are lots of those asteroids, and they're whizzing by, and sometimes very closely. And some time, one of those asteroids is going to take out the whole human species.

That's where the stupidity comes in! People think in terms of what we call, essentially, mankind's culture, mankind's atmosphere, the conditions of life in which we live on Earth. Well, this is not true: The asteroid threat teaches that. What happened to the Moon teaches us. And the evolution of the Solar System, the evolution of forms of plant life on Earth, the forms of animal life on Earth, all these things have undergone changes which are catastrophic in their character.

The contrast is what? The contrast is man! Mankind is the only creature which has a voluntary capability. The voluntary capability means, the increase of the power of man per capita, to increase control not only on

Earth, but within the Solar System! Like this whole asteroid problem, the asteroid threat; this is going to become worse, inevitably. It's not just something that might hit here, or might hit there. This thing is going to become more problematic. What does that mean?

Well, mankind is increasing, contrary to the Greenies. The thing you have to do, if you want to improve the planet, is to eliminate all the Greenies; just wipe them out. You would save lot of lives, by wiping out all the Greenies, because the Greenies are going to wipe the rest of you out, in any case, that's their intention. That's what the British Queen's intended to do.

So our job, is we actually have to go to higher energy-flux densities, in which mankind from Earth, and moving off Earth to control mechanisms in nearby space, can begin to control these areas. We also have to increase the control of high energy-flux density in another, broader sense. It is possible, implicitly, in the future, to actually make changes in the Solar System, willfully, by mankind. That's implicit in mankind!


But the problem is, that people today *don't think that way*. So they say, "Let's be practical." "This works," they say. "This always did work, this always will work"—and that is not true in the universe. I mean, we know something about the history of the universe, and it's a very complicated history. We know very little about most of it, but we understand the *complexity* of it. That's all we really know, is the complexity of the universe, and the universe is functioning, just like Kepler saw the Solar System! *The whole universe functions as a unit!* This is the lesson from Kepler!

And it was proven in many other ways. Look at all the things that we do know, which are scattered little pieces of evidence, but they all converge on this process. The problem with us, is man tends to be stupid; that is, by being stupid much less than man's capabilities are. It's becoming *conventional*: conventional opinion, *traditions*. Well, there is no such thing as tradition in the universe. There's change! There's only change.

And what we have to do is catch up with what the change is, the processes of change. We, in order to do that, have to increase our own intelligence.

Never Trust a Practical Man

Now, take the case of the United States: Since the assassination of John F. Kennedy, there has been *no net*



LPAC-TV

Lyndon LaRouche: "The whole universe functions as a unit! This is the lesson from Kepler!"

progress in the economy of the United States. There has been no advance, but rather a retraction in the intellectual level and capabilities of members of the human species on the planet Earth, in general—especially in the trans-Atlantic region, because of the British artificial interests.

But also there's the attritional factor. We have to consider various kinds of attritional factors which are changing the universe, changing our immediate area of the universe. We've got to keep our eyes on what is happening in the galaxy, as the Sun goes through all these changes; and the Sun itself is subject to the changes induced by the movement of the Solar System within the galaxy! And we're now getting to much more highly active areas. So, we're saying the Sun is getting less active, but on the other hand, we also know that the trend is that the Solar System itself is moving into a more active area within the galaxy.

So therefore, the very idea, when people come up and say, "Practice teaches us, it will work because it worked before, or it would have worked before, it will work now." It's never true. Sometimes the changes are slower in effect. Sometimes they become more accelerated, like this change in the movement of the Solar System through the galaxy as it passes from one position to the other, the effect of the higher systems. We don't really know what that is! Because we're bridging

FIGURE 10

Our Solar System Travels Through the Galaxy



See LPAC-TV video, “Our Extraterritorial Imperative, Episode 2: Cosmic Rays,” Oct. 10, 2010. <http://larouchepac.com/node/16049>

NASA

an area, where the topside and the bottomside of this process, we don’t know exactly where that goes. We don’t know what the effects are.

So therefore, we have to assume a galactic standpoint, as a minimum. We have to think galactically. That means that mankind is going to function in terms of increasing higher energy-flux density. For example, what we thought was the Solar System, what we thought the [periodic] table of chemistry was, and so forth, at the close of the 19th Century, that’s no longer true. It’s changing. The energy-flux density, in terms of intensity, is increasing, generally, because *we* have to increase it. We can not survive without increasing the energy-flux density we propose. So people who are going to this green policy, are actually committing suicide! And murdering at the same time. Murdering their neighbors, and suiciding themselves as a species.

The Green Policy

Deniston: That’s literally the case with California with the drought. Because, what these people are saying, is that, we’re going to have to adjust to this coming mega-drought period with less water. So by that, they’re saying we have to just let agriculture die; we have to let the food supply die. So they’re not saying something that’s just going to affect them, they’re calling for something that’s going to kill the

American people by ensuring there’s not enough food to eat.

LaRouche: Because that’s what the British Empire intends: That’s the policy. That’s what the green policy is. Every green advocate is a mass murderer, and should be treated as a mass murderer, imprisoned, and treated accordingly! Because the very policy they exert within an area as large as, for example, the United States’ territory, or similar things that are happening in Europe—the green policies are systems of mass murder of the human population, and could lead to the extinction of the human species! So therefore, anybody who’s a green,

is a criminal, a criminal in the highest degree, a mass murderer.

So we have to take all these kinds of considerations into consideration; you can not say, “Work from local evidence. Build up a record from local evidence.” What you have to do, is mankind always must extend our understanding of the universe, because the universe is changing! If mankind does not increase our ability to understand and control the universe in some increasing degree, we ain’t going to make it!

So people who try to stop progress, whether they understand it or not, are willfully mass murderers, otherwise called “very, very stupid people”!

Beets: As you said, it’s on the behalf of the enemy of the United States Republic, and humanity as a whole, which is this British-based oligarchical system. And people have no idea that they have adopted an ideology which was imposed upon the United States in the wake of the John F. Kennedy era. I mean, the policies that were being imposed, the ideologies being imposed, by Kissinger’s policy, through NSSM-200, the idea, the *lie* that there are limited resources on the planet and population growth is a threat to them, and therefore, measures must be taken to decrease population—that’s the policy people are implementing today, by insisting on the idea that we should shut down human civilization, shut down the noösphere, and withdraw.

And you contrast that to the view that Kepler had, which is that man's mind was at least as large as the Solar System. And he proved that! His discovery made man's mind encompass the entire Solar System, and we still have yet to realize and manifest that in practice, which is exactly what you're calling for today.

Long Waves of History Shape Events

LaRouche: I would also take another thing, related to the same thing, which is what I said in my remarks last evening. It's how we think about society. And practically every person who's talking about society is an idiot! Not because they intend to be idiots, but because they intend to be acceptable, and that's the same thing as deciding to become an idiot. It's a voluntary consent to be an idiot, to be a conventional person.

Because the point is, that even the simplest things, like social processes on this planet, are understandable generally in the range of a period of about a thousand years. This has been rather characteristic. Beyond the relatively major things, just the normal process of evolution, of man and man's condition on Earth, will go generally in the order of magnitude of a thousand years. So if you don't know what a thousand years are, and how the current thousand years is going, or its equivalent is going—

For example, take the Renaissance. How did the Renaissance come into being? What were the conditions? There was a breakdown in the previously existing system, of a previous century. In this process, convulsive changes occurred. Jeanne d'Arc, for example, was part of the whole thing, and she was murdered—cooked alive, actually—by the enemies, but the Renaissance came out of this process, and this dominated things for the better part of a hundred years. This one thing.

And then you came into another period, and a whole century was involved. And that century has its characteristics, so you have a period where this is being experienced; then you have another period of hundred years. But this series, from the Renaissance on, into the pres-



Megan Beets: "If we intend to save humanity today, we have to be able to understand and act on the top-down force which is unfolding all of these separate events."

ent time, is a *complete cycle*. So if you're starting from the beginning of the period of the Renaissance to the present time, this is an *incompleted cycle*.

So when people say, events are shaping history, no! History is shaping events! And that's the essential idiocy which dominates people in this world today. They keep thinking that *they* are making events, they're making history. They're *not* making history. History is being made by thousands of years of cycles. And these are divided into cycles, but they're not simply independent cycles; they have successions, in centuries and so forth. We've gone through this kind of process.

Now, we went into the 20th Century; it was a *downward cycle*, but it was not the beginning of the downward cycle, but was a reflection of it, as of what happened with Bismarck's being suppressed, and the assassination of Abraham Lincoln. And Abraham Lincoln defined a deliberate intervention into the course of history by the British Empire, because it was the British Empire that assassinated him! Similarly, again and again!

So when you want to understand history, in terms of physical history, political history, or you want to understand social processes, you've got to think in terms of very long cycles and subcycles. And you have to say, what is the cycle? Is it a major cycle? Is it a characteristic one?

For example, the Zeusian period, the period of the Zeusian cultures, was a period of great evil. And it was a very specific kind of evil, but there were other kinds of evil that developed from earlier ancient societies. But the Zeusian period was the most characteristic and the most evil, and the Zeusian period was the period which led into, by various other steps in between, the Roman Empire. And from the Roman Empire it was continued in the form of the British Empire.

The Roman Empire was the antecedent of the British Empire. We are still under the domination of the British Empire, as a global empire. And if you want to understand anything, even political social processes, you have to think in these terms. But people say, "Let's be practical, let's get some evidence, let's take some

figures, let's take some calculations, put them together." That will never determine history.

That's what the whole meaning of real strategy is. The idea of the strategic concept, is that there are long processes in history, and if you understand these long processes, whether they're minor parts of the processes or greater ones, they all have a dynamic in them, and you have to understand that dynamic. And you may be able to *influence* that dynamic, but you can only influence the dynamic by understanding the dynamical processes of large-scale evolution. In other words, you never start from the detail.

The existence of mankind, for example: Mankind, how did mankind develop? Well, we developed largely because we increased our energy-flux density, voluntarily, the only species that does. And the way in which we did that, how we did it, is important; our effect on the climate. Mankind is very significant in effects on the climate, and the climate conditions and mankind are interrelated in that respect.

So the problem is that people tend to be detailed; they want to be practical. And I say, practical people should be put in cages, where they can't hurt themselves, and be fed by somebody who's kind!

Deniston: You've repeatedly pointed to a shorter-term cycle starting right after World War II, when mankind has reached a point where the energy-flux density, the technological capabilities, progressed to the point where warfare as a mode of interaction of nations, as it had existed for centuries, if that were to continue in this new technological age, you'd see the elimination of mankind.

LaRouche: That's right! That's what was intended.

Deniston: And so, that's defined the whole dynamic after World War II. I think what you're saying is very provocative, about history shaping events. You've demonstrated this repeatedly, in your own work and your own forecasting, and your own flanking: You never respond to people and to events. You respond to the things that those people are going to have to respond to.

LaRouche: Exactly.

Deniston: You respond to the process that you know those people are going to have to intersect and respond to, even at a future date.

LaRouche: I consider practical people as idiots, and I'm not exaggerating when I do so. Because the processes in history—take the case of mind. Compare the human mind with the animal mind. Now, animals, if

they're conditioned, like my little dog; my little dog responds to the environment that we provide that little dog. Her characteristics are imposed by what we impose in her environment. We condition her from the time she's a little puppy, she evolves, and the result we get is the result we deliver. Whole societies are of that characteristic! And so people are not independent minds.

What we try to do, is develop those qualities in human beings, which will enable them to act, what? *Noetically, creatively.*

When Education Makes You Stupid

We don't like the education system today! We want to shut down the education system, in order to start with a new one! How do you think the present generation got so stupid? They didn't choose to become stupid, they were made stupid, by the conditions of life imposed upon them. It was part of the cultural process.

So that there are great waves of cultural processes in human society, as well as other kinds of processes, which are a larger indication. And all of these nests of cultural effects are interactive, these long cycles. And it's only when you understand how the cycle process works, and how you can break it down, and understand it, and trace it, "Yes, this is what's happening"—only then do you understand what you're doing.

So most people *don't know what they're doing*. They don't know! And, *they don't want to know*. They want to be affirmed in the assumption that they already know what's going to happen.

If you're going into space, the first thing you think about when you think about going outside the Earth's protective area: There are going to be some changes hitting you! You don't have control over your destiny, by will. You have to control your will, by developing your will to enable you to adapt to what you're going to face.

That's what the education process is. The basic thing: We have the correlation between energy-flux density, in terms of the development of the human species to higher orders of energy-flux density, that's one cycle. But we have to understand that these cycles that we have, that we have even experienced in our own development, are subordinate to a larger cycle! We can only affect a larger cycle by intervening in it. In other words, you change the cycle—that's what we do with culture and civilization: We change the cycle. we change its characteristics. We don't change the cycle as such that easily. But the problem is, we don't educate our people to know anything,

that's why they become stupid. Most people in the United States today are functionally stupid. Why are they functionally stupid? Well, mainly because they don't have any incentive for actual noetic processes of discovery. They're all practical people.

Practical people are intrinsically stupid people, clinically stupid people. Because, if you're not growing, you're not a human. If you're not developing, you're not human. You're something in the process of dying, or dying out. And the problem I face is, *this is the problem of humanity!* Humanity does not know its own nature. Humanity does not know its own mind. It could! I do that, all the time! I forecast all the time. I'm not forecasting every moment around the clock, but I have these conceptions, I think that way. That's why I know what I'm doing.

But most people out there, including Presidents, and the whole kit and caboodle, they don't know what the hell they're doing! They're totally incompetent. You've got a few people who get a sort of instinctive insight into a sense of patterns of social evolution, cultural evolution.

Preconditions for Development

We're going into one now; for example, the trans-Atlantic region is in a process of *terminal decay*. That's where we are now. Western Europe, for the greatest part, is in terminal decay. Africa has continued in terminal decay. The entire Islamic world is in terminal decay, as a social-cultural phenomenon. China is now coming out; India, if it can overcome some problems, can come out. So you have a Eurasian perspective which is what these idiots don't get!

Why is Russia successful? Well, because Putin is a lot smarter than they are. He actually is. Because he's not stupid; it's not because he's brilliant, it's because he's not stupid. They're stupid! Obama is stupid! He's brutish, yes, but that does not mean he's intelligent. He's stupid.

And so therefore, the main thing we have to concentrate on is the mental development of the minds of our people. Don't try to interpret from practical experience and say, "This is what determines human behavior." Because your practical experience is something that is being imposed upon you by somebody else! And you call it being practical. What you're really being, is being stupid. How do you become successful? By becoming stupefied, so you don't do any of the things that get you into trouble.

People who think—actually think—are people who



EIRNS/James Rea

The millennial generation didn't choose to become stupid, LaRouche said; "they were made stupid, by the conditions of life imposed upon them. It was part of the cultural process." Shown is an anti-nuclear demonstration in Berlin, May 28, 2011.

look at things in the long term, and look at these processes, and criticize the processes which are determining the way our people think. And what we have to do is get control of those processes which control the way we think! And that's what the meaning of knowing the future is. It's a very simple thing: *Discover how you think*. Discover what's wrong with the way you think. Don't try to impress your opinion upon society. First of all, let the truth impress its opinion on you, as a cultural truth, as a process of development.

What do you have to do? What does an Eskimo do? He gets an igloo. That's the first thing he has to know. The conditions that you live under are not the conditions that must guide you; it's what you must do to guide yourself to cope with those conditions, and you must have a sense of foresight, of the evolution of long cycles, the evolution of development. The easiest one, of course, is energy-flux density, as a characteristic of

mankind, the increase of energy-flux density. That is the most reliable thing. And you get the biological correlatives of it: What do we do in creating an environment, an animal environment, other kinds of environment, plant environment, which increase the possibility of mankind in dealing with these problems.

But the problem is we are in a society which is culturally stupid. This condition is imposed upon us by social pressures, by social processes. And only if you can recognize what those social processes are, and *disrupt them*, can you do something! And what's happening now, is that the stupidity of the United States government, the stupidity of the British Empire, is condemning it to death, as a system. *It's run out of the right to exist.* It's like a species ripe to die.

And whole cultures have gone that way. They disappeared like species that are no longer fit to live. But with mankind, whether you are fit to live or not, is a matter of choice; you have a choice. Mankind has a willful choice, or an available one. Other species do not have a willful choice; they have the ability to adapt, but not to create.

Vernadsky's Idea of Man in the Biosphere

Beets: Somebody who recognized, in his own way, what you're saying, was V.I. Vernadsky, who recognized that, just as any individual organism within the biosphere might believe that it's determining its own fate, following its own instincts, and pursuing its own independent species characteristic, what we know from the biological record, is that if you take the biosphere as a whole, not only in any one moment, but also across time, you see that there's a top-down process of *biosphere*, which is determining all of the activity, and then the changes, and evolutionary process within that.

Vernadsky recognized that with the human species uniquely, you have something which is Number 1, qualitatively different than the biosphere; but also, that any human individual activity is governed by a natural process of *noësis*. And what he recognized is that things which had been subsumed within conventions, such as religious beliefs, nationalistic beliefs, and things like that, that humanity had come to a point, at the end of World War II, where those things could actually be sub-



EIRNS/Fletcher James

Sculpture by Luca della Robbia (1431-38) for the Cantoria of the Cathedral of Santa Maria del Fiore, Florence, Italy. The long-wave process unleashed by the Renaissance, LaRouche said, "was the driving force for the creation of human civilization."

sumed with a conscious process of man acting on the basis of creating a noösphere. And he recognized that, for the first time, at the end of World War I and World War II, man had reached the point where he could act as a global species. And I think that poses the same type of point, in its own way, that you're posing today.

Long Wave Since the Renaissance

LaRouche: That was what happened with the Renaissance. The Renaissance actually introduced, after a long history of failures of human cultures, a factor which could mean a permanent human society. All the elements were there. But then, you had a great counter-revolution, which built up toward the end of that century, and with [Nicholas of] Cusa's death and so forth, it corresponded to that. Then you have a period of successive de-generations.

But then what happened is that from the Renaissance process, is that something else developed, and it developed through the process of colonization in North America in particular; other parts of the Americas, too.

Because this resisted the cultural trends of the Eurasian region. And so therefore, we engendered a whole new wave of civilization, beginning with the Renaissance, or with the turbulence which caused the emergence of the Renaissance. There were efforts earlier, but they were crushed by the great epidemics. But nonetheless, in the process of that century, the Renaissance was the driving force for the creation of human civilization.

Now, that was crushed, by warfare, persecutions, horrible situations! But then, the development of the Americas, the kernel of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, and what that engendered, created a new impetus inside the United States. So naturally, Hell himself, in the form of various people, came into the picture and said, "We're going to crush the United States." So what they did is they introduced a very simple factor: states' rights!

States' rights destroyed the United States. And now the carnivore, the British Empire and its like, have come to *eat us*, chew us up! Because we're stupid enough to sit there and take it!

And that was the long cycle: The cycle was from the Renaissance up to the point, the downturn, especially since the beginning of the 20th Century. We're now in a downturn toward *toward Hell, global Hell!*.

And the only place we can really have a basis for [avoiding] that is in Asia, parts of Asia. And Russia is in the center of it; Russia and China are the center of the future of mankind at this moment. There are other elements on the planet which could be brought together, but the planet as a whole, as a cultural phenomenon, requires a *unitary* capacity. There has to be something in the unitary capacity of the planet as a whole, which drives the planet as a whole in the direction which it *needs*, for *all the cultures* of the planet.

Kill the British Empire!

We had the Devil himself, who was, of course, the British Empire. It still is the British Empire. So we have to kill the Devil, the British Empire! When we have killed the Devil, and laid his ghost, we can start with another cultural development, which can lead civilization.

For example, we're at the end of warfare. Warfare on this planet can never occur again. We're now at the point that any attempt to have an effective full-scale warfare, would be the extinction of the human species. So therefore, we can't have that.

In this period, now, we have a new development, and the United States is essential, in one respect, if it can change its ways. Because we still represent this ele-

ment of the Renaissance, the spirit of the Renaissance—if we come back with the American Idea, which is the Renaissance conception, actually, the original American Idea. Then, together with other nations which are coming up, like China; Russia will come up again now, under these conditions, it would tend to, very much—if we can avoid a general war, then we can topple all the dirty things that should have been thrown into the garbage bin! All the cultures that should have gone to the garbage bin, will be thrown into the garbage bin, and the planet will be dominated by a new trend, a new long, great cycle of mankind. And that cycle will not be ended until we have moved into nearby space.

And that's where we are. We have to! We've got all these blasted things that are about to plop down on us! Asteroids are about to kill the hell out of us! We've got to do something to make sure we are asteroid-proof, the human species, otherwise there's not going to be much of it left. You know, one good asteroid hitting the Earth, and the whole species is out of business! So that's one of our goals, and we have to think in terms of great cycles, long cycles, think in terms of the history of mankind, the emergence of mankind as a species. The characteristics of mankind which no other species ever had. The evolution of mankind, the development of the culture of mankind to increasing energy-flux density as a command per capita. All these things are a process. They're all cycles; they're not cycles inherently, but they become cycles, because they are different phases; it's like the evolution of earlier forms of life, they went through whole characteristic groups of phases. And therefore, life progressed. And the old things died out and the new things came in.

Now mankind is a permanent species, because we're the first species that has a voluntary power to determine the consequence of our existence, and that's what we've got to do: Become mankind, no more war. We don't need war, it doesn't do any good for us. We've got missions to perform, these involve long, cyclical kinds of discoveries and developments. We're going to reorganize this planet in terms of the human population, and get us going back to work in the right way. And that's the way we have to think!

But thinking in terms of so-called practical ways, practical people—I despise. And that's the kindest thing I can think about them.

Beets: Okay, that's a good place to leave it for this week. So thank you for joining us. Stay tuned to www.larouchepac.com.

The Wicked Witches of Windsor

War fever is sweeping the trans-Atlantic region, especially from the environs of the Obama Administration, NATO headquarters, and the British Isles. A reaction to this can be seen in the palpable upsurge of terror in Germany, whose experiences in the last two World Wars make the population especially sensitive to the existential danger.

But where, one should ask, is this pressure for war coming from? To whose lunacy should we attribute the push for a global confrontation between NATO and Russia, that would also certainly be an extinction event for the human race?

The answer is not hard to find, for in fact, the push for war is not triggered in the least by developments in Ukraine, the East China Sea, or any other “hot spot.” Rather it lies in the intention of the global empire dominating the planet, the British financial empire, whose head is Queen Elizabeth herself. Yes, Queen Lizzie, the Witch of Windsor, is the focal point of an Empire determined to try to wipe out any opposition to its rule over a depopulated, de-industrialized planet—by pushing the world to the brink of thermonuclear war, or beyond.

As head of a global Empire, the Witch Queen has vast resources at her command—including her equally genocidally minded husband, her son and putative heir, her pet Tony Blair, and many others around the globe. In the United States, she relies on controlling the Presidency, as she did with George W. Bush and now Barack Obama, as indispensable tools in her global scheme. The pathetic, petulant, vacuous Barack Obama, an aspiring Nero, who has the power over the nuclear button that can destroy the world, and a record of ordering murder around the globe.

For the Queen, exercising control over Obama is easy. She is attended in her aim by a coterie of

witches who do her bidding, constantly “advising,” agitating, and manipulating the President to carry out her agenda of preventive war and confrontation. Just take a look at these Maenads: Valerie Jarrett, Susan Rice, Samantha Power, Victoria Nuland—every one of them intellectual lightweights, either trained in London, or by those pushing the London agenda. Not a one shows the slightest interest in reaching an agreement on world peace and development: it’s bomb, sanction, and overthrow governments all the way.

See Nuland’s lying testimonies before Congress, her blatant interventions to establish the current illegitimate government in Ukraine, and her distributing cookies on the Maidan. Hear the hysterical shrieks of Samantha Power and Susan Rice at the United Nations, where they dismiss all attempts at reasonable dialogue by screaming “Russian aggression.”

Insight into the object of all this was provided by the Queen’s own foreign policy think-tank, the Royal Institute for International Affairs, in an April 29 posting by John Lough. What Lough makes clear is that the British intention is to provoke the Russian government into taking military action in Ukraine, so that the desired confrontation can be blamed on Putin. Putin is acutely aware of this strategy, of course, and determined not to fall into it.


Lough’s provocative intent appears in the headline: “Western Policy Needs to Exploit Russia’s Weaknesses on Ukraine.”

So, let’s be clear: London, under the control of the Wicked Witch of Windsor, is the source of the threat of thermonuclear war. It’s the power of the Queen, and her Maenads, that must be destroyed *now*—including by impeaching their tool Barack Obama now.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- ☐ **\$360** for one year
☐ **\$180** for six months
☐ **\$120** for four months
☐ **\$90** for three months
☐ **\$60** for two months
- ☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa
☐ Discover ☐ Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____