

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

October 3, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 39 www.larouche.com \$10.00

The Moral Superiority of The BRICS Heads of State
LaRouche Issues Appeal To Preempt a Dictatorship
Modi in NYC: '1.25 Billion People a Gift from God!'

Led by the BRICS Nations,
Mankind Is Remaking Itself



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>
e-mail: eirna@eirna.com
Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,
Col Plutarco E Calles,
Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,
Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights
reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without
permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.
Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

We preface our ongoing, in-depth coverage of the BRICS process now sweeping the world, with a special appeal from Lyndon LaRouche, which may catch you by surprise. What LaRouche is stressing is the uncomfortable truth that, under Obama as President, the United States is a captive nation, under the control of a British imperial policy which is taking us very quickly toward an outright Nazi dictatorship.

Already, Americans, especially in Congress, are acting as if a dictatorship were already fully in place. Congressmen *refuse* to assert their Constitutional obligation to decide on war and peace, even as they admit the President is lying and acting unconstitutionally. They refuse to implement the obvious first step in protecting the nation from another impending financial crash, by enacting a Glass-Steagall law which the population wants, but Obama and Wall Street oppose.

If their bodies are not imprisoned, their minds and wills are. Thus our nation is walking down the path to doom, as our stories on Obama's "health-care" genocide (*National*) and the Ebola epidemic now sweeping West Africa, (*Economics*) should make clear. If action is not taken to stop this process now, by the time people decide to resist, a full dictatorship may prevent them from doing so. LaRouche has sounded the alarm, and the action must follow: to oust Obama and his corrupt cronies, like Speaker of the House John Boehner, and turn to the global alliance which is shaping a real future.

The hope is that leadership in the U.S. will emerge to link up with that of the BRICS nations, which are expressing a new "spirit of the age" for human creativity and progress. We highlight Lyndon LaRouche's outlook on this process in our cover story (*Feature*), and then present four case studies of how a new outlook has taken over the nations of India, Argentina, Bolivia, and Egypt. We also have a first-hand report on Prime Minister Modi's historic event at New York's Madison Square Garden Sept. 27, where he inspired some 20,000 Indian-Americans, and others, with a vision larger than India itself, a vision for the whole human family.

Don't miss Helga Zepp-LaRouche's strategic overview this week, following up on her recent call for a new, inclusive security architecture (*International*).

Finally, we point your attention to our latest exclusive on the Saudi role in funding the 9/11 terrorists (*National*)—ammunition for the immediate fight to stop today's terror threat, and to impeach Obama, who is carrying out a treasonous coverup for their crimes.

Cover This Week

Indian Prime Minister Modi congratulates ISRO scientists following the successful mission to Mars orbit of Mangalyaan, Sept. 24.



- 6 Led by the BRICS: Mankind Is Remaking Itself Through Creativity Per Se**
There is a power, expressing itself by scientific and cultural optimism and a determination to shape a beautiful future for mankind, which has gripped many of the world's leaders, especially those of China and India. This power, which the poet Percy Shelley called the "spirit of the age," is spreading across the planet, and bringing forth a fundamental change in man's conception of himself, and his relationship to the cosmos of which he is a living, noetic part. The following articles fill out this picture.
- 10 India's Mars Mission Success: Foundation for Future Challenges**
- 13 Modi in New York: '1.25 Billion People Are a Gift from God!'**
- 16 Yes, Argentina Has Bolted from the Trans-Atlantic System**
- 20 Bolivia Begins Leap into the Future**
- 23 Bolivia, China Team Up on Rail, Iron Project**
- 25 Egypt's President El-Sisi Vows To Build a 'New Egypt' of Development**

LaRouche Appeal

4 LaRouche Issues Urgent Appeal To Preempt a Dictatorship

Lyndon LaRouche issued this statement on Sept. 25, in the context of a discussion with the LaRouche Policy Committee, after learning of the resignation of Attorney General Eric Holder.

International

28 The Moral Superiority of the BRICS Heads of State

By Helga Zepp-LaRouche.
What distinguishes the two completely different groupings of nations in the world, is the moral quality of their heads of state.

31 Obama's Fake War on Terror Under Attack at UN and at Home

At the UN last week, Argentine President Fernández called out President Obama for his failure to effectively fight terrorism, Back home, Obama was castigated for covering up the truth about 9/11.

33 Lavrov, Wang at UN: West Must Change Its Cold War 'Genetic Code'

The foreign ministers of Russia and China rebuked the Obama Administration and NATO for their pro-war policies and violations of international law, during last week's UN General Assembly sessions.

35 Sunni Scholars Reject IS for 'War Crimes'

Economics

37 Ebola Is Now Becoming the 21st-Century Black Death

According to the World Health Organization, the number of deaths linked to the Ebola epidemic sweeping West Africa has topped 3,000, while the CDC estimates that the number of cases could reach 1.4 million in Liberia and Sierra Leone, alone.

40 Is Enterovirus America's Ebola?

National

41 LaRouchePAC Webcast Town Meeting: To Conquer the Drought, Transform the Solar System

As the killer drought in the southwestern U.S. threatens the nation's food supply, simultaneous town meetings, in Houston and Los Angeles, put forward the solution: Americans must change the way they think.

43 Obamacare Authors Expose the Reality: Their Plan Is 'Plain Genocide'

A review of the book *Reinventing American Health Care*, by Ezekiel J. Emanuel.

48 Obamacare Has Given Us the Dehumanization of Medicine

An interview with on The LaRouche Show Dr. Mark Shelley, a family physician from Port Allegany, Pa., who has been in practice for over 20 years.

51 Saudis Stonewall: Explosive New Evidence of Saudi Role in 9/11

The Saudis are actively resisting discovery of evidence relating to what is likely a central item in the suppressed 28 pages of the Congressional Inquiry on 9/11.

Editorial

54 'Make Development a Mass Movement'

LaRouche Issues Urgent Appeal To Preempt a Dictatorship

Lyndon LaRouche issued the following statement on Sept. 25, in a discussion with the LaRouche Policy Committee, immediately following the announcement of the resignation of Attorney General Eric Holder.

The obvious point is, that Obama and what he represents, is going to an arbitrary dictatorship over the United States and others. That's what's in the plan, and that's what we have to deal with.

They know they're finished. Therefore, they're looking for a dictatorship in the United States and beyond. That's what we have to deal with.

We cannot wait, gradually, in order to prevent a dictatorship. We have to preempt a dictatorship over the United States. That's the lesson we have to concentrate on.

Any of these changes in terms of personnel will be collectively, if they occur, as I think they will occur, a general shift of the manpower into a pure dictatorship, with a real Nazi-like staff. Like what we got back in the early days, when we started the whole system of J. Edgar Hoover. So what you're looking at is a J. Edgar Hoover type, but a fully filled-out kind of dictatorship. That's what you're looking for, and that's what you've got to prevent.


Now, the solution is, that we can fight that because we do have—in India, in China, and so forth—we do have global forces, which will be on our side. And, therefore, we must immediately take full action to link our forces with those of China, India, and so forth. And

that's the only way you can deal with this thing. And no little votes, or no little tactics, are going to do anything for you.

This is going to be something like what happened in France, in the French Revolution. That's what we're headed for, when the American people are so aroused in their qualities and quantities, that they take action to prevent a dictatorship from being established in the United States, even in the very early future. And that's what we have to deal with. If we stop that, which we can do by other means—that is, by making more allies internationally—if we do that, we can save the United States, and save civilization. If we don't do that, we are going to lose civilization.

And I think we ought to put the signal out widely and broadly. That is, widely, in terms of each area of the nation, and broadly, in terms of the population in every part of the nation.

We shouldn't forecast any one set of possible options. We're looking toward something which is really evil. Ultimate evil. And we have to preempt ultimate evil. We don't have to try to negotiate with ultimate evil. That's too late. But, we have help. We have sources of help, and we have to understand, that what's going on in South America, in some places, what's going on in Africa, what's going on in India, what's going on in China, what's going on in Russia: All of these things are major elements which can save the existence of the United States as a system.



LPAC-TV

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Obama Has To Be Removed

What you have to do is, say, “Don’t be stupid.” The danger is, that the shifting of policy, in terms of the U.S. government, is a plan to set up a dictatorship in the United States. And you, the citizens of the United States, better get wise to this thing, and act to prevent it. And the first thing you have to throw out of there is the President. He’s the number one garbage that has to be removed.

This is a plan for a globally extended tyranny. This is for a terrorist regime in global parts of the planet, including, especially, the United States. If we don’t overthrow Obama now, we are going to get genocide inside the United States, under a dictatorship. That’s the situation.

We have a limited period of time, that of lapsed time, in which to prevent a dictatorship of the worst kind, inside and beyond the United States. We have very little time left to mobilize ourselves, and to mobilize the minds of the citizens of our nation.

The evidence is all there; you just have to look for it. And the way people don’t look for it, is the fact that they assume there’s a certain danger of the type which they wish to coddle, let’s say, as a possibility, and in their coddling of this possibility, they lose sight of the monster that’s coming down on them. We have to warn the population of the immediate threat from their system.

We have to make that clear, and say, “Stop being stupid. Don’t you realize what’s coming down on you? Don’t you know you have to do something about this now? Don’t you know that you have to mobilize the forces of the United States, to get the people of the United States to defend their very existence as a free nation—that they’re on the edge of losing all freedom in the United States, in fact. And they’re trying to smooth the way to a more comfortable solution, which they’re not going to find.”

We have to preempt the situation; we can not follow the trend.

Another Factor: China-India-Russia

We’ve got another factor in this you’ve got to take into account:

You have China. China is one of the major nations of the planet. Not only a major nation in terms of the population, but it’s also a major nation in terms of development. Now you have the case of India. India has suddenly, in the recent period, actually increased itself as a force, by an order of magnitude. That’s going to be very important. And you’re going to find out that there’s the possibility that some of these nations, which are very large nations in terms of their power, are going to gang up, and they’re going to see the danger of a Nazi-like organization in the United States, attempts at that. They’re going to see that, and they’re going to act to prevent it.

Our job is to recognize that that is the situation, and that’s where we have to locate ourselves. That will give the fascists a little shake-up, tickle their cockles or something.

You see that China is now moving against this tyranny which is coming down on many nations. You’ve got a similar kind of thing going in other nations.

Russia is actually very significant. It has weaknesses in its structure, because of its isolation, in some respects; but, you’re going to find that the nations of China, of India, and of other Asian countries and Eurasian countries, and some parts of the world that still have guts, as in Europe or in South America: They will fight, if they are encouraged. And what we have to do is, we have to encourage these people, by making clear what the formulas are, what the options are.

And that’s where we come in. We don’t come in on the basis of great forces. First of all, we have to be thinking very, very clearly, and very, very well. We’ve got to outwit the bastards, in short.

LED BY THE BRICS

Mankind Is Remaking Itself Through Creativity Per Se

by Nancy Spannaus and Dennis Small

Sept. 29—Call it a cultural awakening, or a new Renaissance, in the sense the poet Percy Bysshe Shelley described it almost 200 years ago, in the wake of the American Revolution.

There is a power, expressing itself by scientific and cultural optimism and a determination to shape a beautiful future for mankind, which has gripped many of the world's leaders, especially those of China and India. This power, which Shelley called the "spirit of the age," is spreading across the planet, and bringing forth a fundamental change in man's conception of himself, and his relationship to the cosmos of which he is a living, noetic part. Lyndon LaRouche emphasized this week that there is no fixed principle or metric within this system—of man's relationship to the cosmos—and that the only metric for this self-defining process is one contained within the process of man's mind, creativity per se. Understanding and acting on that concept, is also the key to success, LaRouche stressed.

The markers for this shift have come in stunning events, particularly in the orientation and accomplishments of the BRICS nations, and their allies, toward nuclear power and expanding man's power in the Solar System through space exploration. India's recent successful launching of an orbiter around Mars is merely the most dramatic of the specific achievements, which in most cases are reflected in political commitments and agreements to develop new capabilities in space and nuclear science, as in Bolivia, which has been snatched from the clutches of the drug-pushing, bestial

George Soros, to embark on a nationwide campaign for nuclear power. Similarly, with Egypt, which, under its new President el-Sisi, is reviving its long-shelved commitment to nuclear power, among other major projects.

A central component of this drive is that of providing a real future for the planet's youth, a generation that is being dumped on the scrap-heap by the dying trans-Atlantic financial system under the grip of the British Empire and its commitment to global depopulation.

A necessary concomitant of this new process is a new spirit of sovereign self-confidence arising in those nations which the British Empire, and its Wall Street and Washington branches, have been accustomed to treat as compliant servants, or merely irrelevant. Argentina and India, along with Russia, have plowed ahead on their development plans without kowtowing to Washington or the international financial institutions, confident that a new support apparatus exists to back up their courageous stands.

What is missing in this new global constellation is the nation which historically has epitomized the Renaissance spirit of a commitment to scientific progress and independence from empire—the United States. Now, trapped under a de facto dictatorship of pessimism and decay, under a President and Congress determined to implement the Empire's policy of geopolitics and deindustrialization, the U.S. and its latent capabilities are crippled, and dying. Bringing the United States into collaboration with the BRICS represents the necessary hurdle to be overcome in this revolution in progress.

A Self-Developing Process

What is the concept of man that is now coming to the fore? Lyndon LaRouche addressed this issue upon hearing the news of the successful Indian Mars mission last week.


What he said is: Look, the real lesson that we have to learn—and it’s a lesson which is crucial for the political tasks before us—is that the system that we’re looking at, is a system of man’s relationship to the entirety of the cosmos: not just the planet Earth, not just his own economy, but the Solar System and beyond.

That system, LaRouche said, is a self-defining developing system. *It has no fixed principle in it, it has no fixed metric.* There is no rule that applies, now and forever. Why?

Well, the answer to that, he said, takes us back to what Johannes Kepler, and Nicholas of Cusa in particular, brought to Western civilization in the creation of the Golden Renaissance, and Cusa was the founding genius, in fact, of that Renaissance: Which is the idea that man, as a creative, noetic being, has the demonstrated capability of discovering and developing new universal principles, which, when applied, actually increase man’s mastery over every aspect of the cosmos that he is in touch with, which now includes Mars—we’re directly in touch with Mars.

Man’s creativity in relationship to this cosmos system, means, according to Cusa, that there is no way you can measure anything in that system with a metric which is inferior to the creative process of man’s mind itself. Cusa summarizes this in a simple, but profound concept: that *man’s mind* is the metric of the universe, because *man’s mind is actually measuring its own creative impact on the cosmos around it.*

Cusa broadens the concept to say that no merely finite thing can measure something which is relatively infinite. This is contrary to everything that that evil imbecile Aristotle taught, which is that you reach a measurement of the infinite by starting with tiny little pieces. You just get smaller and smaller pieces. It’s like grabbing a sharp knife, in order to chop the sausage down as much as you can, to then get to the supposed “ultimate particle” which you then glue together and add up. That, supposedly, gives you your line. And then, you add lines together, that gives you your surface; you add surfaces, you get space. This is all nonsense, Cusa says. You measure the finite with the *infinite*, not the other way around: Meaning, man’s mind is



On the anniversary of Confucius’s birth, President Xi Jinping, reflecting Confucian philosophy, said: “Culture is the soul of a nation. Countries must value and maintain their own thinking and culture, while recognizing and respecting others.”

the only metric of the universe.

LaRouche’s point was that this is a concept which China and its scientific leadership understands, and it’s a feature of China that very few people actually get. It, however, is a universal concept, LaRouche said; it’s accessible to all of mankind. And it is *our task*, as a political movement, to make that concept clear and accessible to all of humanity. Because the clarity that we need to have on that concept, is what is required to actually transform the current strategic situation.

We’re in a period where one system—the British Empire—is dying, and threatens to bring the entire planet down with it. A new system is coming into being; it’s being built. But it must be constructed on the principles and with an understanding that it is a system which is self-defining—where man’s creative activity is the metric, which is not a metric, of that entire system, and that the source of the energy, of the power of that system, comes from man’s creativity.

China, Russia, India, Egypt, Argentina, and Bolivia may not be discussing their perspective in those words. They may have different ways of expressing it—through the ideas of Confucius, for example—and may be going at it from different angles. But those are the ideas, which the LaRouche movement uniquely expresses and has developed, that are the key to saving humanity from a fate worse than death, a system governed by the British Queen and Obama: Let’s put an end to that, and get on with the business of mankind.

China's Decision

The Chinese leadership, with its space program, has not only taken the clear lead in this global process, but is constantly seeking to elaborate the concept behind it. As Helga Zepp-LaRouche wrote in her recent article calling for a new international conference for an inclusive security architecture (see last week's issue), the Chinese are rejecting geopolitics, and seeking to establish a basis for collaboration among nations which represents the common interests of mankind.

Just this past week, China's President Xi Jinping spoke at a conference celebrating the Chinese philoso-

Shelley's Concept

From Percy Bysshe Shelley's "Defence of Poetry."

The most unfailing herald, companion, and follower of the awakening of a great people to work a beneficial change in opinion or institution, is poetry. At such periods there is an accumulation of the power of communicating and receiving intense and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature. The person in whom this power resides, may often, as far as regards many portions of their nature, have little apparent correspondence with that spirit of good of which they are the ministers. But even whilst they deny and abjure, they are yet compelled to serve, that power which is seated on the throne of their own soul. It is impossible to read the compositions of the most celebrated writers of the present day without being startled with the electric life which burns within their words. They measure the circumference and sound the depths of human nature with a comprehensive and all-penetrating spirit, and they are themselves perhaps the most sincerely astonished at its manifestations; for it is less their spirit than the spirit of the age. Poets are the hierophants of an unapprehended inspiration; the mirrors of the gigantic shadows which futurity casts upon the present; the words which express what they understand not; the trumpets which sing to battle, and feel not what they inspire; the influence which is moved not, but moves. Poets are the unacknowledged legislators of the world.

pher/scholar Confucius and the 2,565th anniversary of his birth, in which he expounded his government's thinking on this matter. Xi stated that "Culture is the soul of a nation. Countries must value and maintain their own thinking and culture, while recognizing and respecting others." He said, "All countries and nations should learn and draw on the strength and quintessence of others' ideology and culture.

"This is an important condition to encourage dignity, confidence and strength of native ideology and culture. . . . Any kind of civilization," he said, "no matter which country or nation it originated from, is fluid and open."

When she heard these remarks, Zepp-LaRouche commented: "Well, those are the founding principles of the Schiller Institute!" which she founded 30 years ago. *Exactly* this idea of the "dialogue of civilizations" in search of the common aims of mankind, which are premised on a concept of man which is the *exact antithesis* of everything that the British Empire represents, is what is required to raise mankind out of the current era of war and economic devastation.

The philosophical basis for the Schiller Institute's ideas in this area comes directly from the scientific and political conceptions developed by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa and Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz. In the 15th Century, Cusa put forward the idea of the "coincidence of opposites" in his *Coincidentia Oppositorum*, and demonstrated that rising to a higher level, *above* the apparent conflicting realities, is the only way to achieve "concordance in the macrocosm." Nor was it simply an academic issue with him, as he showed in his 1453 essay "On the Peace of Faith," where he addressed concretely the basis for escaping genocidal religious warfare, even as gruesome warfare between the Turks and Christendom was proceeding.

"On the Peace of Faith" features a discussion among representatives of various faiths, including Catholicism, Islam, and Judaism, and the Word, the latter representing the higher Truth, which alone can bring reconciliation of the separate views.

Two centuries later, Leibniz expounded the same conception in relation to the conflicts within Christianity, and between Christianity and Confucianism, and worked ceaselessly to build the basis for a productive peace among these forces.

These are the kinds of concepts that are needed to actually change the world in a profound way, and bring a productive peace today. If you want to get rid of terrorism, if you want to have actual security, you have to

go to a higher level of thought. It is *not* the case, that the shortest distance between two points is a straight line. It's not true in geometry; Euclid was *wrong* on that, as well as just about everything else. It's *not* the case in politics: Because, if you want to get rid of terrorism, the worst thing to do is to respond directly, linearly, to the creation that the British Empire has put out there, such as ISIS, because then you get a spreading war! This is a point that Pope Francis has made, that Argentine President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner has made, and that Zepp-LaRouche has made repeatedly. You have to go at the underlying causes and roots of the problem.

Those root causes lie in a faulty conception of man as a beast, constantly battling with others for *Lebensraum*, resources, power. That conception, often expressed today as “competitiveness” rather than cooperation, is what underlies the intractable problems in international and economic relations today.

To resolve the problem means going to the higher level, particularly of viewing the area where all mankind is “in the same boat,” so to speak: our relationship to space.

That is what China has done, at the highest level. That is what India is doing, and Argentina as well. Egypt and Bolivia are just beginning to become space-faring nations, but they are just as determined, because

they see the future of their people, and most especially, their youth, based on fundamental scientific progress.

As Zepp-LaRouche put it in concluding her call for a new, inclusive security architecture, after her discussion of Cusa's concept: “Now we have arrived at the point where our survival as a species depends on achieving this level of thinking.”

Focus on Youth

A further reflection of the revolutionary scientific spirit expressed by the BRICS and associated nations, is their concentration on the education and involvement of youth—as creators of the future. This focus has been strongly expressed by Indian Prime Minister Modi, Bolivian President Morales, and Egyptian President el-Sisi, as they seek to bring the young people of their nations into the economic and political process.

As you read the case studies below, reflect on your own nation, and yourself. What is your conception of your role, and that of your nation, in shaping the future of humanity? What do you need to do, to improve your capability to reach those goals? How can you, and your nation, link up with the beautiful vision of mankind developing the Solar System that these nations are working to realize?

DVD now available

A New Paradigm for the Survival of Civilization

Second in a
Series of Historic
Schiller Institute
Conferences

New York City
January 26, 2013

Selected Presentations include:

- Keynote: A New Paradigm To Save Mankind
Helga Zepp-LaRouche
Chairwoman, Schiller Institute
- What Is Mankind as a Species?
Bruce Fein
Former Deputy Attorney General
- The Destructive Effects of Religious Extremisms
Prof. Norton Mezvinsky
President, International Council, Middle East Studies
- The Principles of John Quincy Adams
Prof. Cliff Kiracofe
Virginia Military Institute
- A New Dark Age or a Renaissance?
Sean Stone
Filmmaker

\$25 <http://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/schdv-2013-2-0-0-std.htm>

For more information: **1-800-278-3135**

India's Mars Mission Success: Foundation for Future Challenges

by Ramtanu Maitra

Sept. 27—The transfer from a heliocentric to a Mars orbit, of India's first interplanetary spacecraft, named Mangalyaan (Sanskrit for "Mars craft"), was carried out with clockwork precision, and is a demonstration that Indian space science has achieved a high level of excellence. It also shows what a focused program, with a limited budget, can achieve. By far the most important scientific event of 2014 in India, it should serve as an inspiration for India's millions of youth to participate in the challenging scientific activities that are opening up, and to conquer the scientific frontiers that lie ahead, which will ensure India's rightful position in the community of nations.

Before Mangalyaan began its journey to the Red Planet, Prime Minister Narendra Modi had made clear, both during his electoral campaign and following his election last May, that the future belongs to India's youth, and that that future could be made fruitful only through the advancement of science and technology to eliminate poverty, and attain a higher level of economic prosperity for its 1.2 billion people.

A Spectacular Achievement

The process of Mangalyaan's successful journey to Mars orbit is indeed spectacular. Achieved with a budget of \$75 million—much less than the cost of producing space movies in Hollywood—it was a scientific triumph. That triumph was built upon a foundation that is rock solid. Mangalyaan is

a "technology demonstrator" project, aiming to develop the technologies required for design, planning, management, and operation of an interplanetary mission. It carries five instruments, one of which in particular, a methane detector, will advance knowledge about Mars.

Beginning in the 1970s, the Indian Space Research Organization (ISRO) developed a series of launch vehicles, and now has settled on two basic vehicles, the Polar Satellite Launch Vehicle (PSLV) and the Geo-Stationary Launch Vehicle (GSLV) for sending satellites and spacecraft into orbits. Mangalyaan was launched into Earth orbit by PSLV 25 on Nov. 5, 2103. PSLV and GSLV routinely launch navigation, communication, and Earth observation satellites. The success



PIB of India

Prime Minister Modi, addressing the nation from Mission Control at the Indian Space Research Center following the successful launch into Mars orbit of Mangalyaan, told the scientists, "Through your achievements, you have honored our forefathers, and inspired our future generations, and through your brilliance and hard work, you have made it a habit of achieving the impossible."

rate of these launches is extremely high, indicating a high level of excellence of the larger ISRO team.

In 2008, ISRO launched a Moon orbiter, Chandrayaan-1, its first lunar probe, which operated for almost a year. ISRO also has a plan to send a Moon orbiter, a lander, and a rover, packed inside the Chandrayaan-2 spacecraft in the coming years. The plan calls for launching the orbiter-lander-rover pack into a lunar orbit of 100 km x 100 km. The lander would separate from the orbiter in lunar orbit. The orbiter, with scientific payloads, will continue to orbit the Moon, while the lander will soft-land on the Moon at a specified site, and deploy the rover. The scientific payloads onboard the orbiter, lander, and rover are expected to perform mineralogical and elemental studies of the lunar surface.

The Chandrayaan 2 orbiter, lander, and rover would be launched as a composite stack into the Earth Parking Orbit (EPO) by GSLV-Mk II. The orbiter would then boost the stack toward the Moon to achieve lunar orbit insertion (LOI).

India's Thorium Reactor

The year 2014 is also notable for India's advancement in another frontier technology—nuclear power generation. Last February, the chairman of India's Atomic Energy Commission, Dr. R.K. Sinha, announced the design completion of its long-sought thorium-fueled nuclear reactor, known as the Advanced Heavy Water Reactor (AHWR). The AHWR is slated to form the final stage in India's three-stage fuel-cycle plan. The building of a 300-MW prototype reactor will begin in 2016, and the first megawatt of electricity is expected to be generated by 2025. The AHWR will be fueled by a mix of uranium-233—which will be converted from thorium by previously deployed and domestically designed fast breeder reactors—and plutonium, generated by the second-stage fast breeder reactors now under development. This design completion has placed the Indian nuclear science program in the forefront of the world's nuclear development.

India's second-stage reactor, a 500-MW prototype fast breeder reactor (PFBR), is now at an advanced stage of development, and is scheduled to be operational later this year. It will be one of only three commercial-size, sodium-cooled, fast operational reactors in the world. The Russian BN-800, which is also sodium-cooled, is reported to be scheduled for commissioning at the end of the year. The BN-800's predecessor, the BN-600 at Belya, has a capacity of 600 MW, and is fully operational.


Prime Minister Modi is relying on these successes to provide electricity to the nearly 400 million Indians who are still without it, and to provide potable water to the many millions who lack it. In addition, he hopes to make India one of the world's foremost centers for manufacturing industrial products. He was present at the ISRO's Mission Control Center, along with the space scientists, as Mangalyaan successfully entered Mars orbit on the morning of Sept. 24.

Elated by the success, Modi addressed the scientists in Hindi, mixed with English. Congratulating the assembled scientists, he said, "Through your achievements, you have honored our forefathers, and inspired our future generations, and through your brilliance and hard work, you have made it a habit of achieving the impossible."

Then, he quoted from Rabindranath Tagore's (1861-1941) oft-cited poem that honors the human spirit and aspirations:

"Where the mind is without fear and the head is held high
Where knowledge is free
Where the world has not been broken up into fragments
By narrow domestic walls
Where words come out from the depth of truth
Where tireless striving stretches its arms toward perfection
Where the clear stream of reason has not lost its way
Into the dreary desert sand of dead habit
Where the mind is led forward by Thee
Into ever-widening thought and action
Into that heaven of freedom, Father, let my country awake."

What is indeed necessary, is the awakening of India. It is time for the Indian Space Research Organization to reach out to youth, the way NASA has done, to inspire students around the globe, who now dream of joining the cutting-edge space research of NASA. Already, the Planetary Society, India (PSI) has begun to utilize India's Mars Orbiter Mission to set up awareness groups in city schools, beginning November 2013, when Mangalyaan was launched. "We have received a very good response from school students who have displayed a lot of interest in astronomy. A concerted effort along with government agencies to make astronomy popular is needed," a PSI official said.



The Mangalyaan mission consists of three phases: 1. a geocentric phase of looping around the Earth using an energy-efficient Hohmann Transfer Orbit; 2. a heliocentric phase, tangentially departing Earth orbit, and tangentially entering Mars orbit through a half-ellipse around the Sun; and 3. a Martian phase, looping around the planet in a hyperbolic orbit.

The conditions for encouraging such efforts are indeed ripe. Premier Modi, in addition to participating in Mangalyaan's final journey with the scientists, was also present when ISRO launched five foreign-owned satellites into orbit on June 30. At the time, he urged ISRO to develop a SAARC (South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation, including Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka) satellite which can be dedicated as a "gift" to its neighbors. "Today I ask you, the space community, to take up the challenge of developing a SAARC satellite that we can dedicate to our neighborhood as a gift from India," he said from ISRO's Mission Control Room. "Such a satellite will be helpful in SAARC nations' fight against poverty and illiteracy, the challenge to progress in scientific fields, and will open up avenues to provide opportunities to the youth of SAARC countries."

Modi's commitment to nation-building centers on science and technology, and especially on involving the youth. At the BRICS Summit at Fortaleza, Brazil, in mid-July, he said BRICS should in fact be driven by "people-to-people" contact. "Our youth, in particular,

must take a lead in this. BRICS should explore developing innovative mechanisms of youth engagement. A positive initiative could focus on nurturing innovation, by establishing a BRICS Young Scientists' Forum."

The BRICS nations' commitment to science and technology, and in developing the younger generation to further those frontiers, along with Modi's eagerness to promote these policies, have begun to generate results. This month, Russian Vice-Minister of Education and Science and Technology Alexander Povalko, on a visit to India, discussed closer collaboration between the two nations in scientific education and economic growth. In New Delhi, he met with Minister of State for Science and Technology and Earth Sciences Jitendra Singh; the Russian minister agreed to develop joint India-Russia science and technology centers, and to promote technology transfer between them. He also invited Indian scholars to make use of the newly established Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, outside Moscow.

The Skolkovo Institute will provide graduate education programs in scientific research, entrepreneurship, and innovation.

Skoltech will award Masters and PhD degrees in its five education programs, corresponding to the priority areas for the Skolkovo project: Information Technology; Energy Science and Technology; Biomedical Science and Technology; Nuclear Science and Technology; and Space Science and Technology.

A new book by Stanford University researchers, *University Expansion in a Changing Global Economy: Triumph of the BRICS?*, reports on how four of the BRICS, Brazil, Russia, India, and China, are pouring money into their elite colleges in an effort to create world-class institutions, which will prepare their graduates to compete with the United States and Europe.

"In the past 20 years, university systems in these big countries have just exploded," said co-author Martin Carnoy, a Stanford professor of education. These four nations together increased the number of undergraduate students from about 19 million in 2000, to more than 40 million in 2010. The largest increase was in China, which went from fewer than 3 million, to almost 12 million bachelor's degree students during that period, the Stanford study said.

Modi in New York: ‘1.25 Billion People Are a Gift from God!’

by Dennis and Lynne Speed

NEW YORK, Sept. 29—Did you ever conceive it possible, that a political leader could convince an accountant to advocate the idea, that the larger the number of people, the more wealth exists, even if there is less money?

If one needed proof that something truly profound had occurred on the afternoon of Sunday, Sept. 28, before the 19,000-plus people assembled in New York City’s Madison Square Garden to hear India’s newly elected Prime Minister Narendra Modi, one only had to listen to the remarks of lawyers, doctors, mathematicians, taxi drivers, and, yes, accountants, as they exited the Garden after Modi’s speech. One individual had been so struck by a formulation in the Prime Minister’s speech, that he held an extempore small lecture with four or five people who had heard the same formulation; the accountant, however, was so excited, that he had to make sure they had all actually understood what had just been said.

Modi said (in the words of the Hindi-English translator):

“India has capacity, and has competence. This capacity and competence is combined with the fact that India is the most youthful country in the world, with the oldest [cultural] heritage. 65% of the population is under 35.

“Youth with competence and capabilities can make their own future. We do not have to look back. There is no reason for pessimism, because I can say with conviction, that we will move forward very fast.

“We have a combination of things that no other nation has, and with this comes responsibility.... 1.2 billion people is a blessing from God. People are the face of God....”

Modi sounded the certain trumpet, unheard from almost any political figure for the past four decades, and the diametrical opposite of the London-based “anthropogenic global warming” population-reduction lobby, that *people, and the creativity of the human mind,*

are the source of true wealth. The accountant in question was amazed at his own enthusiasm. “I used to think,” he said, as though a great deal of time had passed between his new idea of Sunday afternoon, and his old ideas of Sunday morning,” that if you had 100 rupees, and only two children, you were better off. But Modi is saying that the more children there are, the more wealthy India is. I never thought of that!”

‘A Declaration of Optimism’

Lyndon LaRouche, in discussion with members of LaRouchePAC National Policy Committee less than 24 hours later, responded to Modi’s “declaration of optimism”:

“There’s the important factor of a new person on the international scale—Narendra Modi. This man requires special treatment, because his role, in terms of his part in history—the history of India, but also the history of the region in general—is unique; and if you saw even fractions of what his reception has been, you would say, this is one of the most remarkable individuals in modern political history.

“He won all kinds of praise, applause, from the people—and it was all very, very, serious, very enthusiastic. But you look back a little bit earlier, to his earlier moments in his recent history, and he was *like* this. He was a creature made for a time to lead. And there *are* others in that same category, which he is related to. There are people in Russia who may be coming up fast. China, for example. We have great leaders in China. We have also, in some of the other BRICS nations, we have great leaders who are emerging there.

“So, therefore, he’s a very special kind of person, because what he does is, he takes *us*, say, us dumb Americans, or what you want to say, he takes *us*—and I’ve had a lot of experience with dumb Americans in India, over many times before—he represents something absolutely unique. And I think his predecessors would agree to that qualification. Therefore, we have to



EIRNS/Daniel Burke

LaRouchePAC organizers and activists bring Lyndon LaRouche's greetings to Prime Minister Modi, at Madison Square Garden, Sept. 27.

really understand what this man Modi has meant to us, because of what his role is now. And this is one of the great achievements.”

As to what LaRouche meant by “what Modi has meant to us,” one had only to contrast what Modi had to say, to the British Commonwealth’s errand-boy, President Barack Obama, and his various ukases uttered, without the authority of the U.S. Constitution (or the United Nations Charter, for that matter), on three separate occasions on the opening of the UN last week—at the United Nations summit on “climate change,” at the UN General Assembly, and at the UN emergency meeting on the Ebola crisis in West Africa. Even the Ebola crisis, as with the other two, could only be addressed by Obama from the standpoint of a “national security threat.” Never did the hapless Obama even dream to address the world from the standpoint of a creative future.

Knowing the U.S. President could not be counted on to respond on behalf of the American people to an authentic world leader with actual ideas, LaRouchePAC forces were there to do the job, distributing over 4,000 statements, including “We Need a New, Inclusive International Security Architecture” by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, founder of the Schiller Institute, and a statement by former U.S. Senate candidate Kesha Rogers,

“Message of Congratulations to the People of India.” LaRouche organizers did not merely “cheerlead” for Modi or India, but pointed out that Americans, including Americans of Indian extraction, would help India fastest by removing Obama from the Presidency through impeachment.

As reported by organizer Daniel Burke: “We had made a determination ... to take the opportunity to challenge each person with LaRouche’s warning against impending dictatorship. This provoked a significant anti-Obama response, with only perhaps 20% of the attendees showing any support for Obama. ... We found a tremendous awareness and anger at the Saudi role in financing terror from 9/11, to the Mumbai Massacre, to ISIS, and a recognition of Obama’s treason in partnering with the Saudis and funding “good terrorists against bad” (which practice Modi had attacked the day before).

People Are the Source of Wealth

There was no hint in Modi’s Madison Square Garden speech of the dead-end, fearful and delusional “view of reality” afflicting the hedge-fund riddled, debt-strapped zombie economies of America and Europe, and their “failed state’s” puppet-leaders. Consequently, the tens

of thousands of people in attendance were unlike the usual desperate crowds filling the Garden with clouds of marijuana smoke at rock concerts, or obscenities at sports events. Modi's confidence in them, and in the India he was offering, inspired the predominantly Indian-American crowd, prior to his appearance, to enthusiastically chanting "USA! USA!" just as loudly as they shouted "*Jai Hind India* (Long Live India!)" and other patriotic slogans from the pre-1947 pro-independence days.

The sense was that all things, even the most controversial, were discussable. Modi is, to the best recollection, the first prime minister in the history of India to praise, in a public speech, the role of the Sikhs, and the Sikh gurus, in the long fight for Indian independence. This was a particularly electrifying moment for the audience. Modi was even able to remark that the Indian people were not subjugated merely by the British, but had been "slaves for a thousand or twelve hundred years"—but this was said with no suppressed anger, "with malice toward none." why be angry when your country of former slaves is now orbiting Mars?

Here are Modi's remarks with regard to the successful Mangalyaan spacecraft's Mars orbiter mission:

"In Ahmedabad, If you have to go on an auto rickshaw for one kilometer, you have to spend 10 rupees. But look at the uniqueness of [what we have accomplished]—650 million kilometers of journey to Mars—that's what we have done! And it was all an indigenous effort. The parts were manufactured in small factories, and they were used to send [something] to Mars. In Ahmedabad you must spend 10 rupees to travel one kilometer, but we only spent 7 rupees per kilometer to go to Mars! If this is not talent, what is? Not only that: India is the first country in the world to succeed in its first attempt."

Modi pointed out that he was speaking at that moment in America, "but we are also talking to America on Mars. America reached it on the 22nd, and we reached it on the 24th. And not only that. The budget for making a Hollywood movie—on that budget, we reached Mars. A country that has that talent, a country that has that potential, that country can cross many heights of success. And in order to cross those heights, we have taken on ourselves a responsibility for skill development. Our youth have the talent. And if they have the opportunity to work, then they have the strength to raise a modern India.


"And for this we have emphasized our skill devel-

opment... After the new government was formed, we set up a new ministry for skills development, and we are putting all strength into it."

Again, Modi identified the essential principle: People are the source of wealth. He contended that even by the year 2020, much of the world, demographically, will be too old to work. Then, and subsequently, he said, "India will become the workforce of the world." But India's is not the workplace of the past. The Mars and near-Solar System orientation in India, when combined with the Chinese emphasis on mining the Moon for helium-3, along with similar aspirations by Russia, is the basis for a whole new economic platform.

An Obama-less United States would be a morally qualified partner in this effort as well. Truly, India is advancing, because its leadership is attempting to inspire its population to form a new public movement based on growth and development. This is a nation that can plant its feet firmly in the 21st Century, because its head is in the stars.

Perhaps Modi's lesson in statecraft will inspire certain immigrant Americans to re-plant the American system in America, starting with Madison Square Garden, Sept. 28, 2014.



THE EURASIAN LAND-BRIDGE
'The New Silk Road'—Locomotive For Worldwide Economic Development
An EIR Special Report

(1997) 260 pages \$100 (EIR 96-007)

Available from
EIR News Service
P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Phone: 1-800-278-3135 or www.larouche.com

Yes, Argentina Has Bolted From the Trans-Atlantic System

by Cynthia R. Rush

Sept. 29—*EIR*'s June 23 coverage of Argentina was headlined, "Will Argentina Be the First Nation To Bolt from the Bankrupt System?" (issue of June 27). The short answer is, "Yes."

A week earlier, on June 16, the U.S. Supreme Court had let stand a lower court ruling by New York Federal Judge Thomas Griesa, stating that Argentina could not make scheduled payments to bondholders who had participated in the 2005 and 2010 sovereign debt restructuring, unless it also paid, at the same time, the predatory vulture funds—Elliott Associates' NML Capital, Aurelius Capital Management, and Oliphant, among others. These funds had bought up defaulted Argentine bonds for a song, and then sued the government for payment of the full face value of those bonds.

The Supreme Court ruling, and the discovery process it allowed, was supposed to back Argentina into a corner, open the doors to mass seizure of its assets, natural resources included, and bring it to its knees in total economic chaos and another default.

At the time, Lyndon LaRouche commented presciently that Argentina "cannot submit" to the vulture assault, because "it would become extinct. Most of South America realizes that. They must support Argentina, not for the sake of Argentina, but for the sake of the entire continent... Russia is not going to capitulate. Eurasia is not going to capitulate!" The only solution, LaRouche said, is to throw Obama out of office, and then "we can proceed, immediately, in the United States, to set forth a new program, a new set of relations, and the whole mess will be under control."

Now, three months later, except for the fact that Obama remains in office, preventing the United States from participation in that new set of global relations to which LaRouche referred, the situation has evolved much as he said it had to, with an extraordinary mobilization in Argentina's defense by Ibero-American governments and regional organizations, as well as other multilateral entities, combined with the emergence of a

new global financial and strategic architecture, led by Russia and Eurasia, that came out of the July 15-16 BRICS summit in Fortaleza, Brazil.

Argentina has bolted from the bankrupt trans-Atlantic system with breathtaking speed, reflecting the rapidity with which the new global development paradigm has taken shape. Refusing to sacrifice her nation's sovereignty and economic development to the British Empire's genocidal dictates, President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner has consolidated her government's economic, scientific, and strategic alliances with the BRICS group of nations, particularly Russia and China.

Why Stick with a Corpse?

The clearest example of the break with the rotting imperial system is Fernández's Sept. 24 "in your face" speech at the United Nations Security Council (UNSC), in which she destabilized presiding chair Barack Obama with her condemnation of U.S. violation of international law and anti-terrorism policy which, she said, were producing "permanent bloodshed" with large numbers of innocent civilian victims. Obama could barely mumble an almost inaudible "thank you" at the conclusion of her speech, before announcing the next speaker.

The Argentine President has always been feisty. But in her Sept. 24 speeches at the UN General Assembly and the UNSC, she exuded a level of confidence and optimism that clearly stems from her understanding that Argentina is now part of a self-defining global system, embraced by over half of humanity, whose advance will only be stopped if the British Empire and its toady Obama succeed in thrusting the world into thermonuclear war.

In her UNSC speech, Fernández echoed concerns raised by Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who has called for creating a new, inclusive global security architecture. The President warned that "we have to decide, once and for all, that we can't con-



presidencia.gov.ar

President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner at the launch of the Atucha II nuclear power plant in 2011. The country has the most advanced nuclear energy program in Ibero-America, and is working with China to build another nuclear plant, the Atucha III.

warm personal relationship with the Argentine President. At the Pontiff's request, President Fernández met with him on Sept. 20 in Rome, prior to travelling to New York. In her UNSC speech, she warned, "We are living a Third World War, as the Pope says—not a conventional war of the 20th Century, but now more targeted wars."

It has dawned on the financial predators that as their own system disintegrates before their eyes, Fernández's embrace of the new world order, and the powerful alliances this involves, has severely hampered their ability to control and blackmail the country. Hence, their increasing displays of chest thumping and hysteria.

tinue to use international policies or geopolitical considerations to determine positions of power."

As a result of the July 12 state visit to Argentina by Russian President Vladimir Putin and the July 19-21 state visit by Chinese President Xi Jinping, President Fernández has signed multiple agreements with those nations in the fields of infrastructure development, trade, finance, and energy—notably nuclear energy. Argentina and Russia are finalizing details on greatly expanding Argentine food exports to Russia, replacing those of the European Union that are now cut off.

Siding with Argentina against the Empire's financial warfare, China has stated that its relations with Argentina have entered a positive "new era," and that nothing the vulture funds do or say will affect its investment plans and signed agreements, contrary to lying propaganda from vulture-allied media. The Chinese have emphasized that the \$11 billion currency swap agreement signed between the two nations' central banks, intended to bolster Argentina's foreign reserves, is going forward.

Pope Francis, an Argentine, has also weighed in against the vulture funds, consistent with his incisive attacks on indebtedness and financial speculation as the root causes of suffering, poverty, and misery in developing nations. Argentina's imperial enemies in the City of London and on Wall Street are also alarmed at his

The Issue Is Sovereignty

The Argentine President, like her husband and predecessor Néstor Kirchner, has always been a fighter, and her government hasn't flinched in the face of the financial warfare against it. After Judge Griesa embargoed a \$539 million payment to bondholders deposited in the account of restructuring trustee Bank of New York-Mellon (BoNY) for a June 30 payment, resulting in Argentina being declared in "selective default," the government shot back that only a nation that *doesn't* pay its debts can be declared in default.

Argentina has paid, and has the funds to continue to pay, Fernández said, charging that the U.S. judiciary and the "irrational" actions of a New York judge, are responsible for bondholders not being paid. She, Finance Minister Axel Kicillof, and Chief of Staff Jorge Capitanich then laid the blame for the situation squarely on the shoulders of President Obama, dispensing with the White House's argument that it could not intervene in the situation because of the independence of the U.S. judiciary.

"The United States is responsible for not acting appropriately," Capitanich said on July 31. "Don't come to us with the excuse that the judiciary is independent." What the U.S. is independent of "is rationality," he said. "It is independent of decisions that are compatible with the functioning of the international finan-

cial system, but it is not independent of the vulture funds.”

On Aug. 6, Kicillof pointed to the broader issues: that the battle with the vultures has implications for the global financial system. “There is nothing special about Argentina,” he said, “but it has become the protagonist in an event of world significance.” What’s happening with the vulture funds “is an X-ray of how the international financial system operates.”

On Aug. 7, Argentina upped the ante, filing suit against the Obama Administration at the International Court at The Hague for violating its “international obligation to respect the sovereignty of the Argentine Republic, particularly its sovereign immunity,” and its “international obligation of not applying . . . measures of an economic or political character to force the sovereign will of another State.” The suit states categorically that the U.S. government is responsible for the actions of its judiciary.

War Economy

While waging an international offensive seeking support for its stand against the vulture funds, obtaining support from regional Ibero-American organizations as well as the UNGA and the UN Human Rights Council, Argentina also shored up the domestic front, putting the economy on a war footing to defend the population from the vultures and their local allies.

The government has acted decisively against foreign banks and companies in the country which have participated in London-steered financial warfare against the country, in violation of national laws. On Aug. 13, authorities from the AFIP tax agency raided the Buenos Aires offices of HSBC (formerly the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation, long known as the bank of the London-run international drug trade), and subsequently indicted HSBC executives on charges of massive tax evasion and money-laundering.

The government also revoked BoNY’s operating license, for violating the terms of its contract as trustee for the debt restructuring, and refusing to pay bondholders by the June 30 deadline, despite the fact that Argentina had deposited the funds in BoNY’s account in the Central Bank on June 27.

Most offensive to the Empire, however, was the introduction of the Sovereign Payment of the Foreign Debt bill, passed by Argentina’s Congress on Sept. 11, authorizing the government to remove BoNY as the official trustee bank of the debt restructuring, replace it

with a unit of the state-owned Banco de la Nación, Nación Fideicomisos, and offer a new voluntary debt swap to restructured bondholders, under the exact same terms as the earlier bond swap. A crucial component of the bill is that it establishes Buenos Aires and Paris, *not* New York, as the venues for the new bond swap.

Such defiance of imperial dictates has driven Judge Griesa, the vultures, and their lobby group, the American Task Force Argentina (ATFA, financed by billionaire vulture kingpin Paul Singer), into fits of rage. In his latest desperate action, Griesa declared on Sept. 29 that Argentina was in contempt of court, for failing to obey his rulings, an action which President Fernández stated was a violation of international law and national sovereignty, which would, however, have “no practical effect” on the situation.

‘Economic Terrorists’

In her speech to the UNGA, the Argentine President was merciless in addressing the crucial economic issues facing the world, of which the vulture fund assault on Argentina is emblematic. Thanking the General Assembly for its overwhelming Sept. 9 vote passing an Argentine resolution calling for establishing an international convention to regulate sovereign debt restructuring, to immunize nations from vulture fund assaults such as Argentina has suffered, Fernández warned that “there aren’t just terrorists who throw bombs. There are also economic terrorists, who destabilize a country’s economy and provoke hunger, poverty, and misery, stemming from the sin of speculation.”

The sooner the UNGA can establish a multilateral mechanism on sovereign debt restructurings, the better, she said, to ensure that “no country will ever have to go through what Argentina has.” There must be economic and financial balance in the world, “which attacks economic and social inequalities among nations, and within each society, which will also be a great antidote against those [terrorists] who recruit youth, who have no hope and no future. . . . We cannot only see the surface of these phenomena; we also have to profoundly study the causes behind them.”

In another blow to the Empire, on Sept. 27, the Geneva-based United Nations’ Human Rights Council (UNHRC) passed a resolution, by a vote of 33-5, “condemning the activities of vulture funds, because of the direct negative effect they have on the ability of governments to meet their human rights obligations, above all, economic, social and cultural rights, and *the right to*



IAEA

The Embalse nuclear power plant in Argentina.

development.” Introduced by Argentine Foreign Minister Héctor Timerman, the resolution affirms that the vulture funds’ activities “highlight some of the problems of the world financial system.”

Scientific and Cultural Optimism

One of the things that has made Argentina a target for destruction historically by the British Empire, is its commitment to the development of its scientific and technological capabilities. It has the most advanced nuclear energy program in Ibero-America, the largest number of scientists per capita in the region, and has signed agreements with many developing nations to provide them with that technology.

As a policy of state, both Kirchner governments rebuilt the nuclear and related scientific sectors that had been ripped apart by the neoliberal governments and military junta that preceded them. The country now produces its own satellites, and in 2015 will have its Tronador II rocket ready to launch its own satellites. Reflecting its citizens’ enormous pride in the country’s accomplishments, on Aug. 31, thousands of Argentines lined the streets and gathered at the Bariloche international airport to see off the ARSAT-1 satellite, as it began the journey to French Guiana in preparation for an October launch.

This scientific and cultural optimism meshes beauti-

fully with the outlook expressed by leaders of the BRICS nations. This was very much on display at the 58th conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in Vienna, Austria, Sept. 22-26, where Argentine Planning Minister Julio De Vido joined with China’s government and nuclear sector officials to inaugurate the “Atucha III National Mission” exhibit, celebrating nuclear cooperation between the two nations. China is providing the financing for Atucha III, Argentina’s fourth nuclear reactor.

In the company of the head of China’s National Nuclear Corporation (CNNC), the Vice President of China’s National Development and Reform Commission, and officials from Argentina’s nuclear

energy sector, the Planning Minister highlighted the importance of the Russian and Chinese economies, which, he said, “have a pivotal weight in the world today.” President Fernández and Néstor Kirchner before her “built a framework of relations [with these countries] that allows us to have self-determination and sovereignty.” Argentina thus has the ability to withstand “threats to our self-determination and sovereignty by the vultures and holdouts.”

The vulture funds may think they are powerful, but they are not, he emphasized. The only thing they, and their ally New York Judge Griesa, have succeeded in doing, is creating an adverse U.S.-Argentine relationship, which also harms U.S. companies that want to do business with Argentina, particularly in its nuclear energy sector. This was De Vido’s message to U.S. Energy Secretary Ernest Moniz when they met, he reported.

De Vido had an impressive array of bilateral meetings in Vienna, and signed agreements with Algeria, Australia’s Nuclear Science and Technology Organization, Canada, and Saudi Arabia, for which Argentina will be building a research reactor; as well as with the head of Russia’s Rosatom agency, Sergei Kiriyenko; and China’s Deputy Minister of Industry and Information, the head of the China Atomic Energy Agency, and the Vice President of CNNC, Lu Huaxiang.

Bolivia Begins Leap into the Future

by Gretchen Small

Sept. 29—Bolivian President Evo Morales and his Vice President, Alvaro García Linera, are criss-crossing the nation, mobilizing citizens for the great task before them: transforming their nation of nearly 11 million people into a world-class scientific and industrial powerhouse. Their message is that Bolivia is now poised to industrialize, triple the amount of land under cultivation, master nuclear power, and become a space-faring nation.

García Linera, in a Sept. 25 interview on the “Rise Up, Bolivia” TV program, summed up the mission: “Bolivia spent 500 years in a raw materials economy (minerals, rubber, quinoa, and natural gas), and we are beginning to enter into an industrial economy, with the industrialization of natural gas and smelting of minerals, and we decided to advance in parallel to a knowledge economy.”

The nation’s future lies in its “scientific liberation,” President Morales told students in Santa Cruz on Sept. 18. “We have the task of liberating ourselves in the area of science. That is the huge responsibility we have, and we have plans to build science cities to which people will come to get their masters and doctoral degrees.” Bolivia needs men and women who possess scientific knowledge and who can contribute to the nation’s industrialization, he said. Morales argues that it is a “duty to add value to our natural resources for future generations.”

The government has created a Bolivian Space Agency, is finalizing work on creating an Atomic Energy Commission by the end of 2014, and is putting together education facilities and scholarship programs to train the hundreds of thousands of scientists, engineers, teachers, and qualified labor needed to industrialize the country.

It is an audacious leap for a nation which still has much to do to meet what President Morales called the “basic human right” to electricity, telecommunications, water, and basic sanitation services, as well as education and health care, in his Sept. 24 speech to the United Nations General Assembly.

Bolivia historically has been one of the poorest na-

tions in the Americas, looted by foreign powers for centuries, of its raw materials, stripped of its access to the Pacific Ocean in the 1880s by a British-instigated and -directed war, and then seized upon as a drug-producing center by London and Wall Street interests in the 1970s, in their New Opium War. In their arrogance, Wall Street and London were so confident that drug-pushing speculator George Soros had secured control over Bolivia, that their minions proclaimed Bolivia would soon be torn apart by separatist insurgencies, an intention once celebrated, prematurely, by City of London mouthpiece *The Economist*, in a map of South America with a large eraser wiping Bolivia off it.

The British Empire, Wall Street included, has never understood the power of the human mind. Adopting the development of the nation’s entire territory and its people as its mission, the Morales government first demobilized, and then defeated the British separatist trap.

Then, the global drive for development exploded, beginning with the July 2014 BRICS Summit in Brazil, and Bolivia recognized that it now has the international allies it requires to realize its long-sought dream of becoming an industrial nation. Far from disappearing, Bolivia is playing a prominent role in the concert of nations acting to ensure that “the empire of finances, the empire of the markets, the empire of the armaments industry, must perish, to clear the way for Life’s wisdom and Life in harmony and peace,” Morales said in concluding his Sept. 24 UN speech.

General Welfare Before Markets

President Morales’s re-nationalization of Bolivia’s hydrocarbons industry in May 2006 was the necessary precondition for today’s industrialization program, an assertion of sovereignty which Lyndon LaRouche greeted with “great pleasure,” at the time. Privatization castrates nations, and Bolivia has just ended its castration, LaRouche said. With Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, and Venezuela defending Bolivia’s sovereign rights, Bolivia survived—and grew.

Before the nationalization, private international oil and gas companies bled the country of 82% or more of

the industry's profits, while the government received only 18%. Since nationalization, the government receives 75%. Over the years since, the State has earned \$20 billion from the oil and gas industry, which it was able to use to provide social services and invest in other areas of production, such as agro-industry, cement, and lithium, while reinvesting in expansion and improvement of the oil and gas industry.

Public investment in 2005 was a piddling \$600 million, almost 70% of it provided by foreign credits or grants; in 2014, the government is investing more than \$6 billion.

Other critical sectors that had been sold off in the 1990s and early 2000 under neoliberalism's "piratization" dictate, were also re-nationalized, including electricity, telecommunications, and part of mining and water sectors, in which private initiative cannot provide adequately for the nation's needs.

The preparatory stage completed, now comes take-off. The perspective is that of developing Bolivia, a large country located in the center of the continent, as an industrial energy and transport hub for South America, which, in turn, Bolivian officials argue should conceive of itself as a crucial passage between Europe and Asia.

With China, Russia, and Argentina, in particular, taking the lead in providing financing, construction, and training for the national endeavor, construction of natural gas liquefaction plants, petrochemical plants (urea and ammonia, and polypropylene, to start), lithium ion battery production (Bolivia has the world's largest lithium reserves) are in various stages of production or planning. Cement, steel, and other industrial plants are on the drawing boards, or under construction.

Enormous infrastructure needs must be met to sustain even this initial phase. Electricity generation is currently barely over 1,200 megawatts, near current total national demand. The government goal is to triple electricity production by 2020, in order to extend electricity to the entire population (the 2012 census reported 78%



UN/Devra Berkowitz

The nation's future lies in its "scientific liberation," President Morales told students in Santa Cruz on Sept. 18. Here, he addresses the UN in September 2013.

access), and then export it to neighboring countries.

Major road and rail projects, both international, inter-urban, and intra-city, are needed to integrate the nation. Last May, the government inaugurated the first segment of the world's highest cable-car railway line, connecting the capital, La Paz, elevation 12,000 feet, with the even higher adjoining city of El Alto; similar cable lines are planned for other cities such as Oruro and Potosi.

Bolivia has asked China for help, including financial assistance, in building the Bolivian portion of a much-needed South American transcontinental railway, as well as for the development of its enormous Mutún iron mine (see following article).

Scientific Liberation

President Morales declared last Jan. 22 that the development of nuclear energy is a "strategic priority" for Bolivia.

Plans for exactly how, and how fast, Bolivia will proceed in developing the peaceful use of nuclear power are still being worked out, but the commitment to do so has been made. The government has been mobilizing Bolivians behind the program, as García Linera did so beautifully in his Aug. 21 declaration that Bolivia will seize its right to master "knowledge of the atom . . . the sacred fire of the 20th and 21st centuries. . . . It doesn't matter how long it takes us. We are going to

do it, because we are convinced that that is how we will cement the conditions for the technological development of Bolivians for the next 400 to 500 years.” (See “The World Land-Bridge: Rediscovering the Americas, *EIR*, Sept. 12, 2014.)

Bolivians are studying at Argentina’s Balsiero Institute, the premier educational facility in Ibero-America for training nuclear engineers and physicists; Bolivian doctors are studying nuclear medicine in Argentina.

Morales announced on May 19 that a nuclear engineering program was being established at the Armed Forces School of Military Engineering (EMI), and in his bilateral meeting with Russian President Vladimir Putin during the July BRICS Summit, Morales requested, and received, a commitment for Russian aid in the nuclear field.

In 2010, the Morales government created the Bolivian Space Agency (ABE), with its first mission being to manage the country’s first telecommunications satellite, the Tupak Katari, which China’s Great Wall industry was to build. Launched in December 2013 by China, commercial service from the satellite began on April 1, 2014, enabling the Bolivian government to begin the process of providing telecommunications to every part of the country. As of this month, 1,000 new telecenters had been installed in isolated rural areas that had never before had access to radio, television, telephones, or the Internet; another 1,500 are to be built by the end of 2014.

“Hundreds of thousands of Bolivians, many for the first time, now have a window on the world through which they have begun to look at current national life and that of the rest of the world,” the ABE reported this month.

Bolivian officials and a delegation of top officials from Argentina’s National Space Activities Commission, the ARSAT satellite company, and the space division of the high-tech INVAP company, met at the end of August to map out perspectives for joint work on space projects. ABE director Iván Zambrana believes that regional alliances may be the best way for Ibero-America to develop its space programs, citing the European Space Agency as an example. The ABE has established a “solid alliance” with Venezuela on this, has contacted Ecuador and Peru to discuss collaboration, and will soon establish contacts with the Brazilian space program, Zambrana reported.

Training is also underway on this front, with the ABE providing the first college course on satellites at

La Paz’s Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, taught by Bolivians trained in China under its satellite program. Opening that course in mid-September, Zambrana told the students never to stop dreaming, since every great project begins with a dream. Now everything is possible, he said. “We Bolivians have discovered that we have the ability to transform reality, and to do great things. We are now thinking about a second satellite, and as needs arise, new space projects will take shape.”

Youth Are the Future

“It is not possible to develop a country without a gigantic intellectual base, capable of supporting with technology and knowledge this diversification of the economy,” García Linera noted, in opening a conference of the Panamerican Union of Engineering Associations on Aug. 14. “Bolivia needs 150,000 highly trained engineers capable of carrying on their backs such great objectives as those of advancing to an industrial economy.”

Public and private schools, from junior high school through university levels, are working with the government to develop the educational programs required for this transformation. Junior and senior high school students participated this year in the 4th Scientific Olympiad, sponsored by the Ministries of Education and of Science and Technology, in order to “motivate the creativity and interest of the students in science and technology.” The subjects ranged from astronomy and astrophysics, to robotics and chemistry.

The Bolivia of the 21st Century “is a scientific and technological Bolivia,” García Linera told an audience of students and professors on Sept. 18. Warning them against the mediocrity “which kills us,” he told them that if they do their part and study, “our Fatherland will be great. The wealth of a nation has two names: production and education. If we produce and study, we are strong. . . . Bolivia needs the best. We’re tired of being last. . . . President Evo’s goal is Bolivia as an industrial, productive, and energy center for Latin America, and we’re going to do that because our students will study.”

Two weeks earlier, in addressing students at Cochabamba’s Universidad del Valle, the Vice President had encouraged those young people to begin planning their long-term futures, because their nation, at last, has the economic conditions that allow it to prepare Bolivia’s future, which no previous generation could.

Bolivia, China Team Up On Rail, Iron Project

Sept. 29—Bolivian President Evo Morales met with Chinese Vice Prime Minister Zhang Gaoli on Sept. 22 in New York City, where both were attending the UN General Assembly session, and came away with an agreement for China to finance the \$405 million strategic project to develop the rich iron ore deposits in Mutún, in eastern Bolivia, which in its first phase will produce 150 million tons of steel, allowing Bolivia to reduce its steel imports by 60%. It has been a long-standing dream of Bolivia's to not simply export raw iron ore, but to develop its processing and industrialization of steel internally. Mutún has one of the largest iron ore deposits in the world, with an estimated 40 billion tons of iron and 10 billion tons of manganese.

The Mutún project is part of a larger package that Morales presented to the Chinese, for \$3 billion in credits to also develop a critical rail link from Puerto Busch, in the extreme southeast of Bolivia, through the Mutún mine, to Motacusito, a railroad junction near Puerto Suárez, which is on the existing east-west rail line which runs from Santa Cruz, Bolivia, eastward, all the way to São Paulo, Brazil. Bolivian Development Planning Minister Viviana Caro told the press that Chinese President Xi Jinping had offered \$10 billion in financing for Ibero-American development projects at the BRICS summit in Brazil last July, “and we are going to take

up China's offer” with a request for \$3 billion in credit for various projects.

Over the last five years, China has become a strategic ally of Bolivia's development, and is today the number one purchaser of Bolivia's minerals.

This is a geographically critical area for all of South America (see maps). The Bolivian government is already building a major inland port at Puerto Busch on the Paraguay River—a town which is currently unpopulated, except for a Navy outpost. This will give landlocked Bolivia access to the Atlantic Ocean via Paraguay and Argentina. Just north of Puerto Busch is Puerto

FIGURE 1
South America: Great Water Projects



Source: EIR

Suárez, another Bolivian river port, which is only 10 km from the Brazilian border, and is situated by Laguna Cáceres, which is connected to the important Paraguay and Paraná River waterways by the Tamengo Canal. This is also close to Cuiabá, Brazil, one of the locations where the great Amazon River system and the Paraguay/Paraná/Río de la Plata river system meet, and could be interconnected with a navigable canal, as has been proposed as far back as Alexander von Humboldt in the 19th Century.

The interconnection of South America's three major river basins—the Orinoco, the Amazon, and the Río de la Plata—along with high-speed rail corridors traversing South America from the Atlantic to the Pacific, connected into the World Land-Bridge via the Darien Gap—were proposed in the 1988 Schiller Institute book *Ibero-American Integration*, as the following excerpt indicates:


“The Orinoco-Amazon-La Plata river connections have been proven technically feasible in recent years, and engineering studies go back to 1840. Creating such an integrated inland navigation axis will actually be less difficult than that undertaken in most canals already built in the United States.

In fact, most of the South American portion of the system is composed of already navigable rivers

“There are two main options for connecting the Amazon and La Plata basins [see **Figure 1**]. The first, and best known, can be built in Brazil between the Guaporé and Paraguay rivers through their respective tributaries, the rivers Alegre and Aguape (#6) The second possibility is at the eastern extreme of Brazil's Serra Dos Parecis, and can be done through the swamps which give birth to the rivers Arinos and Cuiabá, which flow toward the Amazon and La Plata, respectively (#7).

“In addition to the 10,000-kilometer north-south route which will be opened up by connecting the Ori-

FIGURE 2
Integration Projects in the Amazon and Rio de La Plata Basins



Numbers refer to Figure 1.

EIRNS

noco, Amazon, and La Plata basins as indicated, there is a total of about 100,000 kilometers of navigable rivers and tributaries which can be easily connected to this basic system. It is worth mentioning several specific regions which will be opened up by this project. First, it is known that in Mutún, near the border with Brazil, Bolivia contains a vast iron ore and manganese deposit, possibly the largest and of the highest purity in the world. Some of the deposit extends into Brazil. Making the Paraná fully navigable from Mutún south will permit the economic shipment of this iron ore to steel mills to be situated at many places along that waterway.”

—Dennis Small

Egypt's President El-Sisi Vows To Build 'New Egypt' of Development

by Nancy Spannaus and Dean Andromidas

Sept. 30—"Our aim is to build a 'New Egypt,'" declared Egyptian President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi in his Sept. 25 UN address. "...A state that respects the rights and freedoms, honors its duties, and ensures the co-existence of its citizens without exclusion or discrimination. A state that respects and enforces the rule of law, guarantees freedom of opinion for all and ensures freedom of belief and worship to its people. A state that is determined to achieve growth, prosperity, and a promising future that meets the aspirations of its people...."

"To attain that, Egypt began implementing an ambitious comprehensive program to spur development until 2030.... The 'New Suez Canal Project,' the gift of Egyptians to the world, is a proof of the seriousness of our intent, and of the resolve of the 'New Egypt' to forge a better tomorrow for its children and youth. This is why I invite you to participate in the economic conference that will be held in Egypt next February, to achieve development and build the future, not only for Egypt, but for the whole region."

El-Sisi concluded with his grand vision: "Egypt, with its Arab identity and African roots, is the cradle of Mediterranean civilization, and the beacon of moderate Islam; an Egypt that aspires to resolve regional disputes, and uphold the principles of justice and humanity in today's world. I am confident that the capacity of Egyptians to give is infinite.... We have inherited this gift from our



State Dept. Photo

President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi: "Egypt, with its Arab identity and African roots, is the cradle of Mediterranean civilization, and the beacon of moderate Islam. An Egypt that aspires to resolve regional disputes, and uphold the principles of justice and humanity in today's world."

ancestors; it shall remain ever generous, God willing. Long live Egypt.... Long live the peace-loving peoples of the world.... Long live the principles of humanity and the values of tolerance and coexistence.... Peace be upon you and God's mercy and blessings."

Turning the Country Around

El-Sisi's statement of intent for developing his nation, in cooperation with the nations of the region and such collaborators as Russia, China, and Argentina, cannot be fully appreciated without understanding the struggle required for Egypt to reach its current situation. As the President emphasized in the UN speech and in interviews, the great people of Egypt had to make *two* revolutions over the past few years, in order to put

themselves in a position to make the leap into the future that they are attempting today. He put it this way:

"I stand before you today as one of the sons of Egypt, the cradle of human civilization. From this podium, I first salute the great people of Egypt, which made history twice over the past few years. First, when they revolted against corruption and despotism, and claimed their right to freedom, dignity, and social justice. Then when they held on to their identity, and enthused by patriotism, they rose up against exclusion, refusing to succumb to the tyranny of a faction who, in the name of religion, put its narrow interests before the interests of the people."

This, of course, is a reference to the revolution against the friends of President Barack Obama and Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth: the Muslim Brotherhood, which he later describes as “the forces of extremism and darkness, which once in power, undermined the foundations of the democratic process and national institutions, and sought to impose a state of polarization to break the unity of the people”; who “have transformed the values of justice, compassion and mercy treasured by Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, into grim sectarianism and destructive civil and regional wars which continue to claim the lives of innocent people of different religions.”

A Country in Motion

Within two months after his election, at the end of May 2014, former General el-Sisi began a process which has mobilized his nation behind this development perspective. He hosted the Chinese Foreign Minister in early August, where the discussion centered on Egypt’s participation in China’s plans for the Maritime Silk Road, as well as other investment projects around the Suez Canal zone. He visited Russia in mid-August, his first foreign trip, and made agreements ranging from agricultural trade to cooperation on nuclear energy.

On Aug. 5, el-Sisi launched a national mobilization for Egypt’s New Suez Canal, calling on Egyptians to finance it themselves, and opening up job opportunities to rebuild the country (See *EIR*, Sept. 12). This effort has been enormously successful, raising the necessary \$8.4 billion within approximately a month. Many citizens pulled money out from under their mattresses and sold their own treasures in order to be a part of the national enterprise.

A major component of el-Sisi’s development plans is to provide a future for Egypt’s youth, as he said in a speech at Cairo University on Sept. 29. He confessed that, up until now, Egypt wasn’t “able to provide real channels of communication with its youth... I want Egypt’s youth to be by my side. I love Egypt’s youth and consider them my children.” He added that Egyptian universities represent a “home for national experience that leads our society to resurgence and advancement.”

The President said he is creating special councils that will have an active role in the Presidency, ministries, and governorates, and that 50% these councils should be comprised of youth, reported *Al-Ahram*. He urged young Egyptians to take part in national projects such as the New Suez Canal. While warning students

against participating in “malicious” activities and urging them to view universities as a place solely for education, he promised to double the number of students granted scholarships abroad.

According to the State Information Service, el-Sisi asserted the necessity of state interest to develop universities, to enable them play their role in science, enhancing citizens’ awareness, and effective participation of university students in all domains of life.

The President emphasized the importance of providing equal opportunities in education and labor, hailing the role of science in achieving political, economic, and social development, in which youth should take part through their contributions to big national projects topped by the Suez Canal Corridor Development Project, agricultural projects, housing projects, and road-building.

Shift Toward Science

In his June 8 inauguration speech, President el-Sisi had vowed that the Dabaa nuclear power plan project would be revived during his term. “We will work on two axes: establishing Suez Canal Development project and building Dabaa nuclear plant; besides a project of solar energy,” he said.

In 1992, Egypt acquired a 22-MW multi-purpose research reactor, the ETRR-2, from Argentina, and in 2006, Cairo announced that it would revive its civilian nuclear power program, and within 10 years build a 1,000-MW nuclear power station at el-Dabaa. It was estimated to cost \$1.5 billion, and it would be constructed with the participation of foreign investors. In March 2008, Egypt signed an agreement with Russia on the peaceful uses of nuclear energy.

However, the plan went nowhere, and as of 2012, the site was shut down.

Followup discussions have occurred with a number of nations, including Russia and Argentina. According to the nuclear affairs advisor of the Egyptian Nuclear Power Plants Authority, Ibrahim el-Osery, in an interview with the *Cairo Post*, the Power Plants Authority is also ready to offer an international tender. Osery, also a former chief inspector with the International Atomic Energy Agency, said the tender terms would include providing training for the staff, and that foreign financing would constitute 85% of the project cost, which could be as much as \$4 billion. The project may take two years to build.

On Sept. 6, President el-Sisi issued a decree forming



The Zewail City of Science and Technology has been designated a “National Project of Scientific Renaissance.” It includes a university and seven research centers, including a nanotechnology facility.

an advisory council of scientists and experts to consult on large development projects. The council will give advice on national development projects and improving education to upgrade the labor force and scientific and technology research and development.

Nobel Laureate Ahmed Zewail, who chairs the new Scientific Presidential Advisory Council, at his inaugural press conference on Sept. 9, declared that the Egyptian Army will participate in expanding Zewail City for Science and Technology (it’s named after him). The city will cover 200 acres, with the participation of the Armed Forces in its building, Zewail said.

Zewail City is a non-profit scientific enterprise and was proposed in 1999, but a Cabinet decree on May 11, 2011, labeled the project a “National Project of Scientific Renaissance.” A presidential decree allows students to enroll in the city’s university. “There are seven research centers in the city with international standards, and a center of basic science research has been established to serve Egyptian and Arab citizens,” Zewail said. In addition, the Talaat Harb Institute for Economic and Global Affairs will be established there to study the Egyptian economy and execute projects.

The city will form a City Youth Council from students at the university and youth supporters. A nanotechnology facility is scheduled to be inaugurated within a month. “This center will be a breakthrough in the industries of nanotechnology,” Zewail said.

Zewail also noted that “The Scientific Presidential Advisory Council is just a nucleus of the future, as new experts in the fields of Egypt are wanted. It is the first time that an Egyptian President has hired scientific experts for decision-making.”

Space Technology

Egypt is also looking to expand its capabilities in space. The key agency involved is the National Authority of Remote Sensing and Space Science. NARSS is the pioneering Egyptian institu-

tion in the field of satellite remote sensing, an outgrowth of a Remote Sensing Center established in 1971 as an American-Egyptian joint project, which was affiliated to the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology. In 1994, the Authority was established as an organization under the State Ministry of Scientific Research, to promote the use of state-of-the-art space technology and introduction of high-tech capabilities in regional planning and other applications.

Remote sensing has been particularly important for Egypt in identifying water resources under the desert, which covers most of the country. Dr. Farouk el-Baz, an Egyptian-American space geologist who teaches at Boston University and was involved with the Apollo project, has done considerable work identifying potential water sources for Egypt, as well as other nations. He is now a member of el-Sisi’s Scientific Advisory Council.

Egypt launched its first remote-sensing satellite in 2007, but it failed in 2010. The second satellite was launched April 14 of this year with the aid of the Russians, from the Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakstan, with the specific aim of carrying out studies to aid in the Suez Canal project, the development of southeastern Egypt, and monitoring the effects of the construction of the Renaissance Dam in Ethiopia.

With the aid of the Chinese, who are working with students at Cairo University, the government intends to launch another satellite in early 2016.

The Moral Superiority of The BRICS Heads of State

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Sept. 27—There are currently two completely different groupings of nations in the world, which differ from each other on an extremely crucial point: the moral quality of their heads of state. On one side, the BRICS countries and an array of other developing countries, we find leaders who are courageously defending the general welfare of their populations, and also trying to bring the whole world into harmonic coherence. On the other side, are the leaders of the so-called “Free West,” the merciless proconsuls of the banks, the casino economy, and the arrogant policies that recognize hardly any ethical standard, just the defense of their own power.

If the citizens of the trans-Atlantic world were not prevented by the lock-step media from seeing for themselves the parallel universe that has emerged since the summit of the BRICS countries in July in Brazil—a world motivated by a stunning sense of optimism—such enthusiastic cultural optimism would have swept Europe and the United States as well. It won't be long before this news from the New World can no longer be suppressed.

Threat of a Police State

Meanwhile, the Western world is threatened not only by terrorist attacks from IS, al-Nusra, and other al-Qaeda offshoots, but also by a tightening of police-state methods, for which the terrorism threat provides the occasion and pretext. Lyndon LaRouche warned recently, in connection with the resignation of scandal-threat-

ened U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder, that in the United States, everything points to a further intensification of the police-state measures that were imposed after the 9/11 attacks, even up to a fascist dictatorship. And this does not only apply to the United States. It must be prevented at all costs, and the means to do that exist, not least by the release of the classified 28 pages of the original Congressional Inquiry report on Sept. 11, 2001, which goes into the funding of the terrorists and the role of Saudi Arabia, LaRouche said. But above all, the American people and world public opinion must become aware of this danger.

Iraqi Prime Minister al-Abadi warned on Sept. 25 that from the interrogation of prisoners—IS terrorists who come from the United States and France—Iraq has learned that terrorist attacks are planned against the American and French subway systems. The Foreign Ministry in Berlin warn of an increased risk for German citizens with regard to 24 African, Arab, and Asian countries, namely those in which Islamist terror groups are active.

The direction things are going is reflected in the new anti-terror laws that the Australian Senate just passed, which allow the intelligence services to spy on virtually every citizen and to monitor the entire Australian Internet, as well as to punish “irresponsible” journalists or “whistleblowers” with ten years in prison. Critics of these laws charge that these people might never even find out which operations they are deemed to have acted



PR/Roberto Stuckert Filho

Brazilian President Dilma Rousseff addresses the UN General Assembly, 2014: “With each military intervention, we do not walk towards peace, but, rather, we witness the worsening of these conflicts.”

“irresponsibly” about, because the operations are secret.

In Europe, where the promised exposure and revocation of the comprehensive spying on citizens by the American NSA, the British GCHQ, and probably also the German BND, etc., has not yet occurred, this new terror alert threatens to quickly smother the delicate voices of opposition to such an Orwellian dictatorship. The danger of fascist dictatorships under the pretext of the fight against terrorism is just as real as the threat of World War III emerging from the situation in the Middle East, or the confrontation of NATO with Russia. But there is an enormous chance that this failing policy will soon be replaced by a new one.

Speaking Truth to Power

The events at this year’s UN General Assembly in New York are the best indicator of the new geometry of world politics. While President Obama tried in three manic speeches to demonstrate “leadership”—in the fight against anthropogenic climate change, in the war against ISIS terrorism, and in a dreadfully late mobilization against the Ebola crisis—the heads of state of the new international economic order demonstrated in their speeches that the atmosphere of intimidation by the so-called Washington Consensus, according to which the only thing that exists is what is acceptable to Wall Street and the City of London, is past now past history.

Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi announced, after a separate meeting of the foreign ministers of the five BRICS members (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa), that they will step up the process of cooperation in the political, economic, and scientific arenas, as agreed in Fortaleza, Brazil, in July, and that they will also develop common strategies and solutions for the world’s most dangerous conflicts.

Brazilian President Dilma Rousseff, in her opening speech to the General Assembly, made it very clear where the root of these most dangerous conflicts is to be found, namely in the totally failed “Responsibility To Protect” (R2P) policy of Tony Blair and Obama, which has provided the pretext to flout the national sovereignty that is fully enshrined in international law. We have seen from the examples of Syria, Iraq, Libya, North Africa, and Ukraine, that the use of force is totally unsuited to eliminating the deep, underlying causes of conflicts, she said. Every military intervention has only aggravated these conflicts rather than bringing peace.

In the case of Libya, Rousseff continued, NATO abused the R2P concept to go far beyond the UN Security Council mandate and to bomb the country, arm the mili-

THE ECONOMICS OF THE NOÖSPHERE
Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The scientific concepts of biogeochemist Vladimir Vernadsky—the initiator of the idea of the Biosphere—whose concept of the “Noösphere,” has been cited and further developed by Lyndon LaRouche.

Downloadable PDF \$9.99
<http://www.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirbk-2001-2-0-0-pdf.htm>

tias, and carry out regime change. All this only increased the terrorism, plunged the civilian population into chaos, and resulted in torture, kidnappings, rapes, illegal detentions, and executions. The BRICS countries see themselves as the counterpole, she said, to the old structures (the politics of globalization), which are unable to eliminate the dangers that threaten the entire planet.

Argentine President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner was just as explicit in her speech to the UN Security Council on Sept. 24, where she was sitting just two seats away from President Obama. She cited Pope Francis, that World War III has already started and the current policy has only resulted in permanent bloodshed. She highlighted the fact that just as Osama bin Laden, whom the United States originally promoted for the war against the Soviet Union, turned against the U.S. as soon as that war ended, so now those who a year ago were celebrated and armed as “freedom fighters” in Libya and Syria, with the aim of overthrowing the Assad government, have now joined the ISIS terrorists as sworn enemies of the United States.

The representatives of the BRICS countries stressed the successful policy of conflict resolution on the part of Egypt, under the leadership of President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi, who significantly furthered the peace process in the Middle East by mediating between the Palestinian factions, and who now is preparing to rebuild the Gaza Strip, which was reduced to rubble and ashes by Israel’s carpet bombing last Summer.

A Parallel Universe

A totally different atmosphere was conveyed by many other participants in this year’s UN General Assembly, because the emergence of a global alliance of countries whose governments are no longer afraid to tell the truth about the failure of the policies of Washington and London, inspires optimism in anyone who is truly interested in solutions.



Wikimedia Commons/Miguel A. Monjas

Chinese President Xi Jinping addresses an international conference on the 2,565th anniversary of the birth of Confucius, at the Great Hall of the People in Beijing, Sept. 24. The statue shown here is from the Confucius Temple in Beijing.



This new spirit was expressed in a remarkable speech by Chinese President Xi Jinping on the occasion of a conference on the 2,565th birthday of Confucius. Xi stressed how important it is to foster traditional Chinese culture, because culture is the very soul of a nation. Excellent traditional culture must be combined with the best of modern culture so that something new arises, he said. It is equally important to understand the strength and nature of other cultures, to understand the majesty, confidence, and strength of Chinese culture. Each nation must uphold its own thinking and culture, while at the same time understanding and respecting other cultures.

This message has unfortunately not yet reached the German government, from which so far not a word has been heard about Leibniz, Lessing, or Schiller. Instead, Finance Minister Wolfgang Schäuble allowed himself to be quoted in full-page ads placed by the vulture funds and their lobby group American Task Force Argentina in the media, with hostile and false statements attacking Argentina. Thus has Schäuble placed himself on the side of those who are to blame for the wretched state of the world.

Fortunately, his political career will soon be at its end.

Translated from German by Susan Welsh

Obama's Fake War on Terror Under Attack at UN and at Home

by Jeffrey Steinberg

Sept. 28—Although the UN Security Council unanimously passed a resolution against terrorism Sept. 24, the vote was by no means a victory for President Barack Obama, who chaired the special session. All told, representatives of 50 governments addressed the terrorism crisis during the session, with Argentine President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner stealing the show with a persistent interrogation of Obama.

Fernández assailed Obama's fatally flawed and deeply hypocritical terrorism policy in a series of non-stop questions, all of which Obama attempted to ignore. She made reference to the recent statements by Pope Francis, who warned, in her words "We are living a Third World War, as the Pope says—not a conventional war of the 20th Century, but now more targeted wars." She then asked: "What are the most effective tools to combat terrorism?" She added that the current policies of leading governments seem to be producing nothing but "permanent bloodshed."

Fernández went on to attack the U.S. policy, predating the 9/11 attacks, of constantly redefining the terrorist enemy. When Osama bin Laden was fighting against the Soviet occupation in Afghanistan in the 1980s, for example, he was branded a "freedom fighter," she recalled. Similarly, at the outset of the Arab Spring, rebels targeting the Assad government in Syria were branded as "freedom fighters," but later turned out to be members of the Islamic State or other radical jihadist groups.

Fernández called on all governments with sophisti-

cated intelligence capabilities to address some crucial questions: Who funds the Islamic State? Who provides the training and weapons, and purchases its oil, providing the terrorists with millions of dollars a day in revenue? She noted that the United States and other Western powers clearly have the resources to answer these questions.

In a press conference following the special Security Council session, President Fernández gave her full support to the positions adopted by Russia and China: "I agree with what the Russian, and then the Chinese foreign ministers said: There are no good terrorists or bad terrorists; there are just terrorists."

While the U.S.-sponsored Security Council resolution was adopted, the implementation of its Chapter VII provision was left to sovereign governments. Chapter VII requires that all UN member states take measures, consistent with international law, to halt the flow of foreign fighters and funds to terrorist groups, including the



Argentine President Fernández confronted President Obama at the UN General Assembly session, citing Pope Francis: "We are living a Third World War, as the Pope says—not a conventional war of the 20th Century, but now more targeted wars." The two are shown here in 2011, at the G20 Summit in Cannes.

Islamic State, the al-Nusra Front and al-Qaeda. The measure made no provision for implementation, oversight, or penalties against those states that failed to comply.

One Washington source deeply involved in the shaping of U.S. counter-terror strategy noted that the focus on halting the flow of funds and foreign fighters was clearly aimed at Saudi Arabia, Britain, Turkey, Qatar, and other states that have been fueling growth of the jihadists in Iraq and Syria.

Congress Speaks Out

The hypocrisy of the Obama counter-terrorism policy has been most clearly shown in the President's refusal to release the classified 28 pages from the 2002 Joint Congressional 9/11 Inquiry report, which detailed the role of the Saudi Kingdom in supporting the hijackers. Despite repeated promises to family members of the 9/11 victims, Obama, in his six years in office, has refused to declassify those pages. A growing number of Congressmen, led by Reps. Walter Jones (R-N.C.), Stephen Lynch (D-Mass.), and Thomas Massie (R-Ky.), who are the sponsors of HR 428 calling for release of the 28 pages, have demanded the declassification, warning that no war on terrorism can be successfully waged as long as the secrets of 9/11 remain sealed.

Instead of fully exposing and probing the roles of Saudi Arabia and of Great Britain, which is notorious as "Londonistan"—the global headquarters of jihadism and narco-terrorism—Obama's anti-IS strategy is based on an alliance anchored in Saudi Arabia and other Sunni Gulf states which are deeply implicated in the growth of the Sunni jihadists, such as IS.

President Obama has also come under searing attack for his refusal to go to Congress for authorization to go to war. Before Congress recessed on Sept. 18, six resolutions were introduced, all giving the President authorization for the use of military force with clear restrictions, while repealing the 2001 and 2002 authorizations that approved the Afghan and Iraqi wars following the 9/11 attacks.

Appearing on "Face the Nation" Sept. 28, Sen. Tim Kaine (D-Va.), the author of one of the six resolutions, assailed the President for his refusal to come to Congress for authorization for war. Kaine cited Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution, which gives Congress the exclusive authority to declare war, as a cornerstone of the entire U.S. system of government; he also criticized Congress for leaving town without acting to

defend the Constitution. Before the August recess, the House of Representatives, by a vote of 370-40, had demanded that the President come to Congress for a new authorization.

Those actions, however, fall far short of what is required. The President has now brought the country into two wars without going to Congress for authorization. In 2011, Obama led the war to overthrow Libyan dictator Muammar Qaddafi; and this year, he has ordered military actions in both Iraq and Syria without Congressional approval. These are impeachable crimes under the Constitution and the President should be removed from office for these violations.

President Obama has not only violated the Constitution and ignored the will of Congress. Washington sources confirm that the entire Obama Cabinet, along with the Joint Chiefs of Staff, argue that the President should go to Congress for authorization for war against the Islamic State. The President and key White House aides, however, have refused. This is nothing short of a drive for dictatorship, as warned this week by Lyndon LaRouche.

Furthermore, the failure to go after Saudi Arabia, which is at the center of the promotion and funding of global Sunni jihadist terrorism, is a crime committed by first, the Bush-Cheney Administration, and now, the Obama Administration.

Saudi Scholars' Statement

While President Obama refuses to touch the Saudi factor in jihadist terrorism, dating back to the original 9/11 attacks and earlier, a group of prominent Sunni scholars took up the issue this week (see below). In an open letter to Islamic State head Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, 126 leading Muslim scholars, led by the Al Azhar University in Cairo, Egypt, issued a comprehensive statement showing that the IS has distorted and violated the fundamental teachings of Islam. The Wahhabi version of Sunni Islam espoused by IS is also the version practiced by Saudi Arabia and Qatar.

In a recent webcast, LaRouche enthusiastically endorsed Joint Chiefs chairman Gen. Martin Dempsey's call for an all-out war to destroy the Islamic State. For any such war to succeed, it must be based on a truthful public exposé of the role of both the Saudis and the British in the sponsorship and funding of global terrorism, and it must involve cooperation with all of the nations of the Middle East—including Iran and Syria.

Russian, Chinese, and Syrian government officials,

speaking at the UN General Assembly, all assailed the Obama Administration for conducting war on Syrian soil without the official consent and cooperation of the Syrian government. This clear violation of national sovereignty and the United Nations Charter is no way to wage a winning war on terrorism. The difference between failure and success will be measured by whether the war is conducted with full respect for sovereignty, and is based on the kind of war-winning strategy of genuine economic development embodied in the recent actions of the BRICS nations. For the moment, under President Obama, the United States is failing those tests.

Lavrov, Wang at UN

West Must Change Its Cold War ‘Genetic Code’

Sept. 28—The foreign ministers of Russia and China rebuked the Obama Administration and NATO for their pro-war policies and violations of international law, during last week’s UN General Assembly sessions in New York City. Here are excerpts from their remarks.

Lavrov Delivers ‘Blistering Attack’

Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov delivered what the Associated Press characterized it as a “blistering attack” on the U.S. and NATO, at the UN General Assembly Sept. 27, in which he accused them of being unable to change their Cold War “genetic code.” Lavrov said the crisis in Ukraine was the result of a coup d’état, backed by the U.S. and the EU for the purpose of pulling Kiev out of its “organic role as a binding link” between East and West, denying it the opportunity for “neutral and non-bloc status,” according to RIA Novosti.

“Washington has openly declared its right to use military force unilaterally and wherever it sees fit in order to protect its own interests. Military involvement has become normal, even though all military operations launched by the United States in recent years have been unfortunate.”

“Why not adopt a General Assembly declaration on the inadmissibility of interference in the internal affairs



UN/Rick Bajornas

Russian Foreign Minister Lavrov addresses the UN General Assembly Sept. 27.

of sovereign states and the nonrecognition of a coup as a means to change regime?” Lavrov said. “It is time to eliminate completely from international communication attempts of some states to illegally put pressure on other countries.”

Lavrov also attacked the stonewalling of the MH17 crash investigation. “Regardless of UN Security Council Resolution 2166, the thorough and independent investigation of the Malaysian aircraft crash in Ukraine is experiencing delays,” he said. “Attempts to distort the truth and conceal facts behind groundless accusations have been made at all stages of the Ukrainian crisis,” he added. “Nothing is done to find and punish those responsible for February bloodshed on Maidan, mass murder in Odessa, Mariupol, and other parts of Ukraine. The scale of the terrible humanitarian catastrophe, caused by the Ukrainian military in the southeast of Ukraine, is purposely downplayed. The recent days brought new horrifying details when mass graves were discovered near Donetsk.”

In an [interview with RT](#), Lavrov said: “I very much hope that the U.S. will finally see the light and realize that they can no longer act as the prosecutor, judge, and

executioner in every part of the world and that they need to cooperate to resolve issues.”

On Syria, he said that the proper way to fight ISIS “would have been to put the issue up at the UN Security Council and to cooperate with the Syrian government, which had long declared they were ready to cooperate with the international community in fighting terrorism. But the U.S. picked a different path. This is wrong, and doesn’t add legitimacy to the process. Furthermore, unintended consequences could provoke an escalation of the situation.”

Speaking at the UN Security Council meeting on “Threats to International Peace and Security Caused by Terrorists” Sept. 24, Lavrov said that “ISIL, the ANF [al-Nusrah Front], and other terrorist cells have long been growing in the Middle East. They have acquired additional opportunities for their criminal activity due to the weakening, including with foreign assistance, of state institutions and the support of various foreign sponsors. Having grown stronger, extremist groups are threatening the future of whole nations, as evidenced by the examples of Iraq, Libya, Syria. The next targets are Lebanon, Yemen, Mali, and the Central African Republic.”

In a clear reference to Obama Administration policy, Lavrov charged, “The intervention in Iraq, the bombing of Libya and foreign support for extremists in Syria have aggravated the issue of foreign militants fighting in the terrorist organizations in many countries of the Middle East, Africa, and the Afghan border regions.” He called for “convening a representative UN-sponsored forum of all countries in the region, the African Union, the Arab League, the permanent UN Security Council members and other concerned parties.” This conference would “look at the deep roots of regional problems.”

Wang: We Should Seek Political Solutions

Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi sharply criticized the Obama Administration in his speech Sept. 27 to the UN General Assembly, as reported by the Sept. 28 *BRICS Post*.

“If a country places its domestic law above international law and interferes in other countries’ internal af-



Wang Yi, Foreign Affairs Minister of China, speaking at the UN General Assembly Sept. 27. UN/Kim Haughton

fairs at will or even seeks regime change, the legitimacy of its actions cannot but be questioned by the international community.” He added, “We should seek political solutions” to conflicts, and “corrective actions should have the authorization of the Security Council. To beat swords into ploughshares may take time and effort, but history and reality have repeatedly demonstrated that to meet violence with violence will not lead to enduring peace, and the use of force will create more problems than solutions.”

Wang stated that “parties to a conflict should reject the zero-sum approach, address each other’s concerns, and endeavor to meet each other halfway.” He said that “the Syrian crisis had continued for nearly four years. It is negotiations, not fighting, that offer solutions.” With respect to Palestine, he said the issue is “a wound on the human conscience.” He called for Israel to lift its blockade on the Gaza Strip and stop building settlements on the West Bank.

Wang also addressed the Ebola crisis stating, “As a good brother and good partner of Africa sharing weal and woe with it, China will continue to stand firmly with the African people, and support and assist them to the best of its ability. We will also take an active part in the relevant international assistance efforts.”

Sunni Scholars Reject IS for ‘War Crimes’

Sept. 26—In an [open letter](#) to Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, the self-proclaimed head of the Islamic State (IS, also known as ISIS and ISIL), 126 Sunni Muslim scholars thoroughly demolish the group’s religious claims, calling the statements from al-Baghdadi and other IS leaders illegitimate and (quoting the Koran), “perverting words from their contexts.”

EIR’s Hussein Askary, manager of the publication’s Arabic-language website, commented that this is a very important effort by the scholars to take back Islam from “the Satanists.”

The letter, a detailed theological refutation, specifically cites the IS’s murdering of fellow Muslims in Mosul, Iraq, for not bowing down to IS’s ideology; using quotes from the Koran, the letter says this practice is forbidden. Referring to how IS declares Muslims to be non-Muslim and then kills them, the letter says, “The reason this point has been discussed in such detail is because you distributed the books of Muhammad bin Abdel-Wahhab as soon as you reached Mosul and Aleppo.” Wahhab was the founder of the extreme Wahhabite sect, which predominates in Saudi Arabia.

The letter accuses IS of sedition: “Announcing a caliphate without consensus is sedition (*fitnah*) because it renders the majority of Muslims who do not approve it outside of the caliphate. It will also lead to many rival caliphates emerging, thereby sowing sedition and discord among Muslims. The beginnings of this discord reared its head when the Sunni imams of Mosul did not pledge allegiance to you and you killed them.”

Addressing al-Baghdadi, the scholars say, “In your speech you quoted the Companion [of the Prophet Muhammad] Abu Bakr al-Siddiq: ‘I have been given authority over you, and I am not the best of you.’ This begs the question: Who gave you authority

over the *ummah* [the community of Islamic peoples]? Was it your group? If this is the case, then a group of no more than several thousand has appointed itself the ruler of over a billion and a half Muslims. This attitude is based upon a corrupt, circular logic that says: Only we are Muslims, and we decide who the caliph is, we have chosen one, and so whoever does not accept our caliph is not a Muslim. In this case, a caliph is nothing more than the leader of a certain group that declares more than 99% of Muslims to be non-Muslim.

“On the other hand, if you recognize the billion and a half people who consider themselves Muslims, how can you not consult them regarding your so-called caliphate? Thus, you face one of two conclusions: Either you concur that they are Muslims and they did not appoint you caliph over them, in which case you are not the caliph, or, the other conclusion is that you do not accept them as Muslims, in which case Muslims are a small group not in need of a caliph, so why use the word caliph at all? In truth, the caliphate must emerge from a consensus of Muslim countries, organizations of Islamic scholars, and Muslims across the globe.”

A 24-point executive summary details all the theological errors that IS leaders, fighters, and followers make, using the social media videos and audio statements that were reviewed by the authors of the letter.

“You have killed many innocents who were neither combatants nor armed, just because they disagree with your opinion,” the letter says, addressing al-Baghdadi



A rally in Dearborn, Michigan, conveys the attitude of the overwhelming majority of Muslims, expressed also in the letter from Sunni scholars.

and his followers directly. “There is no such thing as offensive, aggressive jihad just because people have different religions or opinions.”

Citing the mass killings in both Iraq and Syria that the IS has carried out in the name of *jihad*, the letter says, “These are heinous war crimes.” It identifies the killing of “250 captives at the Tabqah Air Base in Al-Raqqa [Syria] in August 2014.” The Koran also forbids killing “diplomats, emissaries, . . . journalists,” and especially any “people of the Scriptures,” including, Jews, Christians, and Muslims who disagree with the IS, such as the Yazidis in Iraq, the letter says.

It is notable that there are no Salafis, Wahhabis, Qataris, or Kuwaitis on the list of signers, who are all Sunni scholars. There is one Saudi signer, but he is not a Wahhabi follower. While all the signers are Sunnis (there are no Shi’a signers), it should be noted that the IS claims that it represents Sunni Islam.

Besides the theological demolition of the IS ideology (and consequently the Wahhabi ideology, without mentioning it by name), it is notable that the current and former Muftis of Egypt, and some of the prominent leaders of the Al-Azhar theological school in Egypt, are among the signers. In Egypt, Al-Azhar is the most im-

portant institution of Sunni Islam, where many prominent Arab, Asian, and African scholars are educated.

Another very important signer is Dr. Ahmed al-Kubaisi, an Iraqi scholar, living in exile in the United Arab Emirates. Al-Kubaisi, who is familiar with Lyndon LaRouche’s activities, and who signed a call supporting “the LaRouche Doctrine for Southwest Asia” in 2004, has become the most outspoken Sunni scholar against the Saudi-controlled Wahhabi sect. He has a regular TV show in the U.A.E., on which he has attacked the Wahhabis and Saudi Arabia for being the source of this evil, which has now taken the shape of IS.

Dr. al-Kubaisi has also denounced the IS as a Western and Israeli intelligence ploy to divide the nations of the region and defame Islam as a religion. There have been several campaigns in Saudi Arabia and other Gulf states in the past year demanding that U.A.E. leader Sheikh Khalifa bin Zayed oust al-Kubaisi from the country. But al-Kubaisi, who was an opponent of the Saddam Hussein regime, too, was granted protection in the U.A.E. by the late Sheikh Zayed bin Sultan al-Nhayan, father of the current leader. According to Arab traditions and norms, the son cannot break with this covenant of protection.

EIR Special Report

Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two

New Updated Edition

A new, updated edition of the EIR Special Report, “Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two” is now available from larouchepub.com. The expanded report is an urgent intervention into the ongoing strategic crisis brought on by the British/Saudi/Obama alliance behind the overthrow of Qaddafi, and the subsequent explosion of jihadist uprisings throughout Africa and the Arab world.

The Original Material:


- Obama’s 9/11
- The London-Saudi Role in International Terrorism
- 9/11 Take One

The Updates:

- LaRouchePAC’s Fact Sheet on Obama’s alliance with al-Qaeda
- LaRouchePAC’s draft questions for Congress
- A transcript of the pre-election press conference held by Lyndon LaRouche and Jeffrey Steinberg on the impeachable crimes of Barack Obama.

Price **\$100**

(Available in paperback and PDF. For paper, add shipping and handling; Va. residents add 5% sales tax.)



Order from EIR News Service **1-800-278-3135** Or online: www.larouchepub.com

Ebola Is Now Becoming the 21st-Century Black Death

by Debra Hanania-Freeman

Sept. 26—According to World Health Organization (WHO) numbers released today, the number of deaths linked to the Ebola epidemic sweeping West Africa has topped 3,000. According to WHO's numbers, over the course of just two days, more than 150 people died in Liberia alone. WHO has warned that even those high tolls are likely a gross underestimate as patients continue to fear going to hospitals, viewing them as death camps. Increasingly, however, even those who either seek care voluntarily, or who are brought in for treatment, find themselves turned away from overcrowded facilities.

It was a week of very grim news overall. Just a few days before the latest WHO death toll was released, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimated, based on computer modeling using the current rate of spread of infection, that the number of Ebola cases could reach 1.4 million in Liberia and Sierra Leone alone. But, those projections are based on data from August, and the rate of spread of the infection has increased since then. Even more ominous is the fact that public-health officials who oversaw house-to-house information visits, to distribute soap and other kinds of disinfectants in communities at the epicenter of infection, estimate that there are actually at least 2.5 times as many cases as currently reported.

New Quarantine Orders

The day before the WHO numbers were released, acknowledging that efforts to contain the epidemic were failing, officials in Sierra Leone increased what were already unprecedented quarantine restrictions, sealing off more than a quarter of the country and warning travelers not to get out of their vehicles in the districts under isolation.

Nearly all of the country's 14 districts are now under either total or partial quarantine, with over 1 million people affected. Nevertheless, the disease continues to advance into new areas and infection rates are still rising rapidly in the capital city of Freetown, a dangerous development because of the city's population density.

The previous night, President Ernest Bai Koroma, in an emergency address to the nation, said that the new quarantine orders would "definitely pose great difficulties for our people," but that there was little choice. Makeni, the largest city in the country's Northern Province, is one of the newly quarantined districts, and foreign health-care workers have reported a surge in the rate of infections there.

The President said that the official statistics released by international agencies had been misleading, and that the country's plight was "far worse than what was being reflected in reports," adding that there is "a desperate



European Commission/DG/ECHO

An editorial in *The New England Journal of Medicine* called the epidemic “an avoidable crisis,” faulting a “highly inadequate and late global response” for letting it surge out of control. Here, health workers dispose of a dead body during the three-day national lockdown in Sierra Leone.

need to step up our response.”

A Western diplomat based in Freetown called Kormo’s newest restrictive order, coming after a three-day national lockdown that required every citizen to stay inside, “a mitigating measure reacting to a rapidly worsening situation.” The diplomat added that despite stepped up aid, including significant logistical support from the U.S. military, “the numbers just keep getting worse.”

Will the Virus Become Endemic?

A report by a team of more than 50 scientists, published online in *The New England Journal of Medicine* Sept. 22, similarly revealed a far worse outlook than had been previously anticipated. In addition to predicting many more cases and deaths, for the first time, the new report raises the very real possibility that the epidemic will not be brought under control and that the disease will become endemic in West Africa, meaning that it would reach a steady state and become a constant presence there. The report inexplicably assumes that the epidemic will not spread beyond the borders of the countries that are currently the hardest hit—an assump-

tion debunked by virtually every available epidemiological model, including an editorial in the very same journal.

That editorial called the epidemic “an avoidable crisis,” and faulted a “highly inadequate and late global response” for letting it surge out of control. It was written by Dr. Jeremy Farrar of the Wellcome Trust, and Dr. Peter Piot of the London School of Hygiene and Public Medicine. Piot helped discover the Ebola virus in 1976.

They warn that it will be impossible to control the epidemic “without a massive increase in the response, way beyond what is currently being planned.” The epidemic is growing so large, they write, that stan-

dard containment measures—isolating the sick and monitoring their contacts for signs of illness every day for 21 days—may not be feasible. One patient can easily have 10 contacts, so the number to be traced has already exceeded tens of thousands. No organization or agency currently has the staffing to follow that many people.

Piot and Farrar say that if Ebola becomes endemic in West Africa, the region would become a reservoir of the virus and pose a constant threat to the rest of Africa and other parts of the world.

Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone are among the world’s poorest countries, and as *EIR* has reported, the epidemic has already overwhelmed their health-care systems, which were very weak to begin with. In a haunting echo of *EIR*’s 1980s Biological Holocaust Task Force reports, the editorial predicts that these nations will see a vast increase in deaths in childbirth and from malaria, tuberculosis, AIDS, dysentery, pneumonia, and chronic diseases. “Indeed,” they write, “there is a very real danger of a complete breakdown in civic society.”

In Washington, D.C., at an emergency closed-door

meeting of epidemiologists and infectious disease specialists from agencies and institutions that included the WHO, the UN, the CDC, and the U.S. Army, some of whom addressed the gathering via satellite from West Africa, the assessment of the epidemic was even more dire, and indicated that we may have already reached the point at which the epidemic is impossible to control by any conventional means. One participant stated that Ebola was becoming the Black Death of the 21st Century.

Efforts at quarantine have so far failed miserably. In Sierra Leone, during the lockdown, new cases of the infection were rushed to emergency mobile health centers for, at the very least, palliative treatment. But, officials reported that within 2-3 hours, the centers were overwhelmed and ambulances were simply piling the sick—and soon the dead—in the streets.

One of the meeting's participants expressed the overall frustration of the group at the seeming failure of classical containment measures. He said that even the example of Cuba's successful handling of the AIDS epidemic before treatment drugs were available, based on a model developed during the U.S. tuberculosis epidemic in the Great Depression, could not work in the current circumstance.

When Cuba was faced with a massive outbreak of AIDS in the 1980s, largely the result of transmission by members of the Cuban military who were returning from Angola, Cuba established what were essentially AIDS cities or communities for those who tested positive for the infection. Families were kept together, schools were established for the children involved, and, for the most part, an effort was made to provide as much normalcy as possible. In the absence of available treatment drugs, very high levels of nutrition and sanitation were maintained. For the most part, the effort was deemed to be highly successful in keeping people alive and in relatively good health until treatment drugs became available, while at the same time, containing the spread of the infection.

Death Camps

But, the speaker pointed out that while both the virus that causes AIDS and the Ebola virus are RNA viruses, the AIDS virus is a lenti or slow virus. Unfortunately, the Ebola virus kills quickly. Even with palliative care, given the already weakened condition of most of those stricken in West Africa, the death rate is 70-

80%. Efforts to contain those infected, he said, are tantamount to setting up death camps.

In fact, the perception that treatment facilities are death camps has made it extremely difficult to identify those stricken with infection. Family members hide the sick, believing that if they are taken for treatment, they are being taken to their death. The speaker also pointed out that while the lack of adequate equipment and supplies is largely responsible for the deaths of hundreds of health-care workers providing treatment in epidemic zones, an equal number, especially outside the major cities, are murdered by the local population, who believe that the health workers are responsible for the spread of the disease. In fact, according to the most recent estimates, more than half of the doctors, nurses, and paraprofessional health-care workers in the three countries hardest hit by infection have been killed either by the virus itself, or at the hands of the local population.

All participants agreed that the official numbers barely scratch the surface in accurately reporting the state of the epidemic, and several indicated that numbers reflecting near epidemic incidence of infection in several other countries were being suppressed by governments fearful of the cutoff of trade and virtual isolation.

One such example, and perhaps the most ominous one discussed, is Nigeria. Official estimates put the number of Ebola cases there at fewer than 100, but a representative from Africom, the U.S. Army's Africa contingent, said the number is apparently much higher. Given the size of Nigeria's population, and the enormous volume of travel to and from the continent's largest nation, a significant outbreak there would be a total game-changer.

As those gathered were preparing to travel to New York to participate in various public and private emergency sessions tied to the UN General Assembly, to address the growing catastrophe, President Obama was speaking at a special high-level meeting on Ebola held at the UN, where he shed crocodile tears as he departed from his prepared text to express his "frustration" at the reluctance of other countries in offering concrete assistance, accusing them of taking U.S. intervention for granted.

African leaders knew that, once again, Obama was lying. During his three-day Africa Summit in early August, leaders gathered from across the continent in

Washington, and begged him to discuss the growing crisis, warning that the epidemic was on the verge of exploding out of control. Obama and the Summit organizers steadfastly refused to alter the pre-planned agenda. It is impossible to say how many lives have

been, and will be, lost as a result of Obama's criminal conduct. In a just world, each of those lives should be added to the articles of his impeachment.

The author is a Doctor of Public Health, and was a member of EIR's Biological Holocaust Task Force.

Is Enterovirus America's Ebola?

As the deadly Ebola virus sweeps across Africa, Americans continue to be assured that there is no real danger of the epidemic spreading to the United States. Aside from the fact that that is a total lie, the truth is that Americans have more immediate infections they should be worried about.

As the long-term effects of the economic breakdown assert themselves, health officials are sounding the alarm as previously dormant infections are not only reasserting themselves, but doing so in a far more virulent form than seen previously. One such case is enterovirus D68.

Enteroviruses are a genus of positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses associated with several human and mammalian diseases, the best known being polio. Serologic studies have distinguished 68 human enterovirus serotypes on the basis of antibody neutralization tests. The D68 strain is a severe respiratory infection that strikes primarily children. The rare strain starts out with symptoms similar to the common cold: a runny nose and a cough. It quickly turns more serious, especially in children with asthma, landing its victims in the intensive care unit of the hospital. In recent cases, the virus has also caused paralysis, leading infectious disease specialists to wonder if they are dealing with a new, even more virulent strain than D68.

Although the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has only reported a couple of hundred confirmed enterovirus cases, public-health officials and medical practitioners warn that this number does not in any way reflect the true number of people who are infected or sick, since the CDC doesn't require hospitals and labs to report cases of enterovirus D68 (EV-D68) infection.

According to Dr. Claudia Hoyen at UH Rainbow

Babies and Children's Hospital in Cleveland, Ohio, enterovirus D68 has probably affected thousands of children in that city alone. She says about 20 children typically go to the intensive care unit each month with respiratory symptoms indicating enterovirus D68 infection at her hospital alone. Hoyen says the ICU there has treated 80 children each month for the last two months.

Reports from Alabama, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Utah tell similar stories.

A Low Profile

Until now, EV-D68 was an uncommon member of the enterovirus family of microorganisms. You may be familiar with a few of its relatives—Rhinovirus (culprit for the common cold), Coxsackievirus (famous for hand-foot-mouth disease) and, as mentioned, poliovirus. Discovered in 1962, EV-D68 has kept a relatively low profile, causing only six small outbreaks between 2005 and 2011 in the Philippines, Japan, the Netherlands, and the United States. Because it was rare, very few laboratories are set up to test for EV-D68, which also explains why we have no idea as to the full scope of this emerging epidemic.

Health officials continue to tell parents "not to panic" if their children begin to exhibit severe wheezing and/or difficulty breathing, *but* they urge them to visit an urgent care center or hospital emergency department with experience treating children immediately. If that isn't possible, parents are urged to call 911.

There was a time, not so long ago, when viral-mediated diseases like smallpox, measles, and polio wreaked havoc on American children. Thanks to immunizations, those days seemed largely behind us. However, the rapid-fire spread of EV-D68 is a clear example of how fast and furiously infectious disease can roam through an unprotected community. The rapid spread of this dangerous virus, for which there is no vaccine or anti-viral medication available, should serve as a wake up call for all of us.

—Debra Hanania-Freeman

LAROUCHEPAC WEBCAST TOWN MEETING

To Conquer the Drought, Transform the Solar System

by Harley Schlanger

Sept. 28—As the killer drought in the Southwestern United States threatens the nation’s food supply, a special [webcast](#) on Sept. 27 of simultaneous town meetings, in Houston and Los Angeles, put forward the only solution to the crisis—that Americans must change the way they think, to play a direct role in transforming the development of our Solar System.

LaRouchePAC Policy Committee members Kesha Rogers and Michael Steger were joined by Ben Deniston of LaRouche’s “Basement” scientific team. The underlying theme of the three speakers was that the drought, as severe as it is, is not the real problem. Mankind is facing a deeper cultural and political crisis, which has made the drought an existential crisis. The drought was neither unforeseen, nor were solutions unknown. In the last three decades, Lyndon LaRouche has repeatedly intervened, to both warn of the danger of the coming drought, and to fight for a solution, beginning with implementation of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA). The fact that neither governments nor the population at large joined with LaRouche to fight for a solution, exemplifies the problem we face.

Each of the speakers took up the basic theme put on the table by LaRouche on Sept. 25: that action must be taken at once, to prevent the consolidation of a fascist dictatorship in the United States, and to bring the U.S. into alliance with the BRICS nations, which means a change in thinking in key sections of the American pop-

ulation. This requires not simply rejecting prevailing confrontational geopolitics and greenie ideology, but also taking up the perspective provided by the 17th-Century genius Johannes Kepler in his discovery of the orbit of the planets. We must literally think “top down,” to take responsibility for the development of the Solar System.

No Simple Solution

Deniston said that no one should expect a “natural solution” to the drought, such as a sudden increase in rainfall passing through the Southwest. The last century has been the wettest of the last thousand years, according to a study from the University of California. In that state especially, policymakers took advantage of that abundance, as huge water projects were built to capture more water for human use. The result has been a spectacular development of the land, despite the fact that rainfall is limited in most of the state.

However, as the drought has deepened in the last years, even these advanced projects have been unable to ensure adequate water to protect food production from the collapse of water tables and decreased flows of water. The California state water system has completely cut off water deliveries for the rest of this year. Given that the best estimates of scientists is that this condition may continue, or even worsen, new solutions must be developed.

Deniston said that such solutions are not a matter of a particular technology or project, but will occur only through a shift in man's self-conception. We must reject anti-growth ideology, and instead take greater control over water cycles. To do this requires a rejection of any form of "localism"—e.g., how to solve the California crisis with "California water," given that water is part of a global system. He stressed the potential for collaboration with the BRICS nations, which have rejected the anti-growth policies of the genocidalists who control the trans-Atlantic region. This is explicit in their commitment to infrastructure development, including nuclear technologies, highlighted by the strategically crucial drive by the Chinese to mine the Moon for helium-3, for use in thermonuclear fusion technologies.

Former Congressional candidate Michael Steger followed Deniston, and said the choice for the U.S. is between a permanent war policy, enforced through a dictatorship, or allying with the BRICS, as a means for reviving the American System of physical economy. He contrasted the "failed culture" of today in the U.S. with what China and India are doing, and the optimism created by FDR and JFK. He said that what is especially interesting about developments in India, China, and Egypt, is that these are "old civilizations," with astronomical observations going back thousands of years.

Obama Must Go!

In attacking the present culture, he spoke of the resistance that LPAC Policy Committee members found, in their organizing in the Congress, to the idea that Wall Street must go, beginning with restoring Glass-Steagall banking separation. The compromises made on this matter, even in the best Congressional offices, explain why Obama is being given a free hand to conduct wars without the consent of Congress. In effect, he said, as far as Congress is concerned, we already see the effects of a J. Edgar Hoover-style dictatorship, with elected officials afraid to "step out of line."

Steger concluded that the BRICS nations are moving with a new sense of purpose and optimism. The U.S. cannot reject this, nor can it prevent this spirit from spreading. Obama only offers war and fascist dictatorship in the U.S. This will ultimately not be tolerated by Americans, Steger said. Getting rid of Obama is the precondition for collaboration with the BRICS, so that every individual will be offered a chance to contribute to the advance of mankind.

The final speaker was former candidate for the Democratic Party nomination for U.S. Senate in Texas, Kesha Rogers, who drew out further ironies in the choice before the American people. Since 2001, the U.S. has been moving toward dictatorship, she said, while tearing down science, exemplified by Obama's attack on the space program. She contrasted the anniversaries of this month—six years ago China had its first space walk, while six years ago the U.S. bailed out the banks, while shutting down NASA—to sharply juxtapose the conflicting directions toward which the two major power blocs, the BRICS and the collapsing trans-Atlantic nations, are headed.

Rogers came back to a theme raised by Steger, of the ancient cultures of some of the leading nations in the BRICS, especially China and India, and the relative youth of the United States. The best of the American tradition, she argued, comes from older civilizations, singling out two scientists and philosophers, Nicholas of Cusa, whose work provided the basis for the European Golden Renaissance, and Gottfried Leibniz, who had a profound impact on the American Founding Fathers, especially Benjamin Franklin, which is reflected in the concept expressed in the Declaration of Independence: "Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness."

Just as we see today the new President of Egypt, Abdel Fattah el-Sisi putting forward the idea that the people of his nation are now building a "new Egypt," so must we, as Americans, build a New America, reviving the best anti-imperial tradition, and the commitment to scientific and technological progress, so that the U.S. can collaborate with the BRICS.

Rogers concluded by saying that it is LaRouche, and his Policy Committee, which uniquely represent that real America today, which can bring our nation out from under the British Empire, and enable us to work for a future based on realizing the common aims of mankind.

These themes were expanded during the question and answer session, which allowed each speaker to go into more depth, always coming back to the challenge to the audience: You cannot complain that you didn't know there were solutions, because we have presented them, as Lyndon LaRouche has been doing for 40 years. If you fail to take advantage of this moment of opportunity, don't blame someone else; look at yourself, and your own willingness to submit to the tyranny of popular opinion and sense perception.

Obamacare Authors Expose the Reality: Their Plan Is ‘Plain Genocide’

by Nancy Spannaus

Reinventing American Health Care

by Ezekiel J. Emanuel

Philadelphia: PublicAffairs, 2014

Hardcover, 379 pages

Sept. 26—Do *not* waste your time reading this book! In tedious detail, Ezekiel Emanuel, who was Special Advisor for Health Policy in Peter Orszag’s Office of Management and the Budget (OMB), during the first years of the Obama Administration, analyzes the complex and often dysfunctional system that is our current health system, and describes how the Affordable Care Act (ACA or Obamacare) is devised to address it. Except for occasional glimpses of the murderous rationale behind Obama’s health plan, Emanuel is simply spewing out sophistry and outright lies.

Obamacare, as *EIR* has pointed out from the beginning, is based upon the same rationale as Adolf Hitler’s 1939 written directive to his chief health advisor, in which he delineated the policy of providing death for those with “lives unworthy of life.” That instruction was written as Hitler was entering upon intense preparations for building up his war machine, and facing the need to cut excess expenses. Those “excess” expenses included human beings—starting with the disabled and mentally ill, and proceeding, eventually, to a wide grouping of so-called “useless eaters,” including the elderly, the very young, and

Jews and other ethnic minorities who could no longer work.

Emanuel vociferously denies agreeing with this “euthanasia” philosophy, but what he, his British co-thinkers, Obama, and others in the Administration did in the ACA was to set up a behaviorist system which already has begun to result in the very same “outcome.”


And to underscore the point, both Emanuel and Orszag have recently come out publicly with a more unvarnished version of their intent, with Emanuel calling for a reduction of the number of hospitals, and the choice of 75 as the “ideal” age at which to die; and Orszag calling for drastic cuts in health-care spending, by setting a limit on how much can be spent in treating each patient. Orszag’s proposal came under the auspice of the private, but government-connected Institute of Medicine, which released a report titled “Dying in America” on Sept. 17.

Unlike Emanuel’s book, these statements clearly expose the lethal intent of the ACA system, as it is, and as it is devised, in great detail, to become. As Lyndon LaRouche put it succinctly, “It’s just plain genocide.”

The Nazi Scheme

One searches nearly in vain for the real philosophy of Ezekiel Emanuel in *Reinvesting American Health Care*.

That philosophy had been expounded in chilling specificity in his Jan. 31, 2009 article in the British medical journal *Lancet*, entitled “Principles for Allocation of Scarce Medical Interventions.” In it, he



discussed a new “Complete Lives System” for selecting which sections of the population should be killed.

Emanuel sums up who is to be treated, and who is to die this way:

“When implemented, the complete lives system produces a priority curve on which individuals aged between roughly 15 and 40 years get the most substantial chance, whereas the youngest and oldest people get chances that are attenuated.” This may be justified by public opinion, since “broad consensus favours adolescents over very young infants, and young adults over very elderly people.”

“Strict youngest-first allocation directs scarce resources predominantly to infants. This approach seems incorrect. The death of a 20-year-old woman is intuitively worse than that of a 2-month-old girl, even though the baby has had less life. The 20-year-old has a much more developed personality than the infant, and has drawn upon the investment of others to begin as-yet-unfulfilled projects. . . . Adolescents have received substantial education and parental care, investments that will be wasted without a complete life. Infants, by contrast, have not yet received these investments. . . . It is terrible when an infant dies, but worse, most people think, when a three-year-old child dies, and worse still when an adolescent does.”

He proclaims his new “Complete Lives System” to be an advance over previous death-selection systems such as Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) and Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs). Those systems are used to produce a precise dollar amount that society should be willing to spend on your health care, for each additional year of life—no more!

And why do these critical choices have to be made? Because society does not have the resources to care for all. Thus, the system of triage, common to the field of battle in warfare, becomes extended to the entire society.

And why doesn’t society *create* the resources to deal with health care for all? In reality, for the same reason as for Hitler: It is too busy funneling those resources into speculation, the war machine, and the corruption of a financial oligarchy who would prefer to *reduce* the world’s population, the better to manage it and keep control.

The Book

The dishonest Emanuel doesn’t say a word about this philosophy in his book. Instead, he snipes at what

he considers overspending on medical care, describes measures in the ACA which will be aimed to stop it (such as Accountable Care Organizations), and lets you draw your own conclusions.

His attitude against caring for the sick hit this author from the very beginning of the book. In the guise of attacking the health insurance system, Emanuel goes after the “moral hazard” created by insurance companies which are encouraging practices that lead to “overconsumption of health care services”! He then outlines the various ways that the companies, and the ACA system itself, can go about preventing such “overconsumption.” (And who decided what that was?) This is the behaviorist core of the book, and the system.

Later, in discussing what is in the ACA, Emanuel makes a more telling revelation. He’s discussing the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI—these names are all reminiscent of Hitler-speak as well), which is a public-private agency to be funded by everyone who’s insured, with a mandate to provide information to physicians and patients on the effectiveness of various treatments. This institute is weak, he reports; what the Administration really wanted was a U.S. version of Tony Blair’s National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Obama’s program was literally copied from Britain’s NICE, as indicated by administrators Orszag, Donald Berwick, and various propagandists for the passage of the ACA, such as Simon Stevens of UnitedHealthCare, who advised Blair on setting up the system.

The Obama Administration’s problem was that the LaRouche movement, and others, including Sarah Palin and Betsy McCaughy, waged an effective campaign exposing the ACA’s copying of NICE, and the true Hitlerian content of that bill. Thus, as Emanuel said, “many people in the United States view NICE suspiciously if not negatively.” So the ACA had to specifically prohibit PCORI from using QALYs and give it no *direct* authority to determine coverage decisions by private or government insurers.

What that means is that indirect means have to be used—the behaviorist program of “incentives” and “disincentives,” like positive and negative reinforcement for rats in Skinner’s boxes.

It is in his final section, “The Future of American Health Care,” that the accountant Emanuel reveals where the Obama health program is going. He puts it in futurology terms, forecasting “megatrends” which,

in fact, are the outcomes which this emerging medical dictatorship is intended to create. One of those six trends is shutting down hospitals.

Emanuel puts it this way: “This 100-year hospital habit is coming to an end. Hospitals will no longer be at the center of health care because of 2 underlying driving forces. First, in an era that focuses on *cost control*, when physicians are paid and incentivized to be more efficient and caring for patients in the hospital is expensive, hospitalization is a money sink to be avoided whenever possible. Second, there is a tremendous growth in the power of sensors combined with data-mining algorithms that can permit the safe remote monitoring of patients” (emphasis added).

The truth is that the declining economy and the policies of the Obama Administration are driving hospitals out of existence, and they are not being replaced by home-care, clinics, or other palliatives. Statistics show that 60,000 hospital workers were laid off in 2013, and the trend has continued in 2014. The main reason for these layoffs has been the policy of cuts in reimbursements to the hospitals by Medicare and Medicaid, mandated by Obamacare. Particularly hard hit have been rural hospitals, whose closure often means denial of care.

And as for “digital medicine” replacing direct contact with a doctor, who does Emanuel think he’s kidding? While certainly electronic means to transmitting data, especially to specialists, can obviously be very useful supplements to seeing a doctor, there is *no* substitute for the human contact—and those not yet in the digital age, will just be “algorithmed” out.

This 100-year hospital habit is coming to an end. Hospitals will no longer be at the center of health care because of 2 underlying driving forces. First, in an era that focuses on cost control, when physicians are paid and incentivized to be more efficient and caring for patients in the hospital is expensive, hospitalization is a money sink to be avoided whenever possible. Second, there is a tremendous growth in the power of sensors combined with data-mining algorithms that can permit the safe remote monitoring of patients.



YouTube

Dr. Ezekiel Emanuel

The Campaign To Close Hospitals

Emanuel’s own publicity campaign for his book has emphasized his demand that “excess” hospitals be closed. “We don’t need 5,000 hospitals,” has been the headline topping the coverage of his speeches and interviews. Emanuel claims that hospitals are too expensive, are centers of spreading disease, and are basically unnecessary. Naturally, he has horror stories galore.

One reason Emanuel hates hospitals is that they are the center of development of new technologies for treating diseases. In a course he offered online through the University of Pennsylvania (where he teaches) in the Spring of 2013, Emanuel peddled as part of his reading list a 2001 article titled “Is Technological Change in Medicine Worth It?” That article, using the QALYs method, basically argues that it’s not. The whole course co-

hered with this argument, based on the assertion that the only way to “slow the cost of the health care curve,” is to prevent the diffusion of new technologies.

Fundamentally, he’s just lying. Alan Sager, PhD, professor at Boston University School of Public Health, has challenged Emanuel’s arguments and exposed some of the frauds upon which they are based.

In a blogpost July 17, 2014, Sager nailed the fraudulent statistics. First, over-bedding is not a cause of rising costs; in fact, the U.S. has on average one-third fewer beds than the other wealthy democracies, according to OECD data. Second, the U.S. has reduced hospital beds per 1,000 people, from 6.0 in 1980 to 3.1 in 2011, and had a 60% cut in age-adjusted patient-days in the hospital per 1,000 people, over the same time, yet hospital costs rose.

Third, and most telling, Sager reports that the “65% hospital occupancy” rate touted as inefficient is deceptive: “hospitals do not staff empty beds.” Rather, the actual occupancy rate is near 100% of staffed beds, and the real bed supply is likely too few in some areas, which leads to emergency room overcrowding.

Fourth, based on his study of hospital closings in 52 U.S. cities, he found efficiency was not the factor for survival, but rather endowment hospitals versus location in black neighborhoods where closures were more frequent.

Sager’s fifth and sixth points address the impact of hospital closures and access to doctors. He noted that as hospitals close, teaching hospitals are used for basic inpatient care, which is much more expensive than at a community hospital. Lastly, physician shortages in the U.S., especially of primary care doctors, result in people using emergency rooms for care, driving up hospital costs which, under Obamacare cuts, are not reimbursed at a sustainable level. He referred to OECD data showing that the average physician-to-1,000-patient ratio is 3.2 in most rich democracies, but is 2.5 in the U.S.

Closing hospitals means denying people medical care. That is arguably a Crime Against Humanity, as defined by the post-World War II Nuremberg Tribunal which condemned Nazi doctors to death, for “Murder and Ill-Treatment of Civilian Populations,” through, among other things, “inadequate provision of surgical and medical services.”

‘Useless Eaters’

Even more prominent in recent days has been the other crucial part of the Emanuel/Obama agenda: cutting off care for those considered to have “lives unworthy of life,” or what Hitler’s men called “useless eaters,” particularly the elderly.

Emanuel himself went out front with this perspective, in his September *Atlantic* magazine feature called “Why I Hope to Die at 75.” His subtitle should clue you in, in case you were tempted to believe this is just his “personal choice:” It’s “An argument that society and families—and you—will be better off if nature takes its course swiftly and promptly.” In fact, this argument underlines his design of Obamacare.

Emanuel’s argument pushes another inhuman statistical sham, like many the Obamacare advocates like to wave around. He cites studies from the University of Southern California and Harvard to argue that while Americans are living longer, for more of that time, they

have some physical limitation or disability. (So what?) Then, in a special appeal to his high-brow *Atlantic* readership, he cites a study from Dean Keith Simonton at the University of California at Davis, which “proves” that, on average, people’s creative powers peak at age 40, and it’s downhill from there. So, he argues, why prolong your life?

As one critic, cardiologist Dr. Brant Mittler of San Antonio, pointed out in an entry on *medpagetoday.com* on Sept. 23, that thinking precisely echoes the “pseudoscience of the eugenics movement.” Mittler writes: “While eugenics was about engineering the gene pool through controlling reproduction by ‘scientific’ methods, Emanuel endorses another population control method: culling the herd of undesirables, or in this case, at first self-culling. Eugenics began and was developed in the U.S., but was taken to monstrous ends in Nazi Germany and led to the Holocaust.”

Emanuel’s own prescription for dying is to refuse medical care, except for palliative measures, after age 75 (he’s now 57). He reasserts his claim that he’s against euthanasia, and won’t take his own life. But what Emanuel’s ACA system is doing is not voluntary at all. It is gradually, but systematically, denying medical care to elderly people through financial measures placed on medical providers—while at the same time offering older people a “choice” of “advanced directives” and “living wills.”

If you doubt that, just take a look at the recommendations coming out of government-related medical panels for discontinuing previously standard tests for preventive care, since Obama implemented the ACA. These have included recommendations to stop mammograms, prostate exams, and lung cancer screening, especially for older people. These recommendations, as in the case of lung cancer, form the basis for Medicare deciding what it will pay for—and thus, will result in *denying* care to those who can’t pay.

And probably even for those who can! A March study by the Robert Powell Center for Medical Ethics at the National Right to Life Committee presents the case that the Health and Human Services Secretary has the right to deny providers the right to even accept private payment by patients whose medical insurance does not pay for certain procedures. This is already clearly the case in terms of the section of the law which disallows what are called “Cadillac plans,” generally those negotiated by union contracts, without a major financial penalty.

End Your Life...

That the purpose of Obamacare is the genocidal reduction of the U.S. population, starting with those who are most expensive to treat, was writ large again in a 506-page study released Sept. 17, on “Dying in America.” As usual, this was touted as a private, advisory study, with no authority to decide anything—but its auspice, the Institute of Medicine, is intimately connected to policy-making for the Federal government. The co-chair of the special panel is David M. Walker, former Controller of the Currency, and a savage campaigner for cutting U.S. debt by reducing health care, and thus reducing lives.

The “Dying in America” report calls for “restructuring of Medicare,” etc., by eliminating “perverse financial incentives” that encourage expensive medical care, especially for the elderly. Among the methods promoted is government compensation for death-counseling (“advance care,” in popular jargon), potentially beginning as early as the teenage years!

On Sept. 21-23, Dartmouth College, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Health, and The Campaign To Fix the Debt, hosted a select group of 50 people in the Dartmouth Summit on “Medicare Reform Strategies To Create a Sustainable Health System,” which was devoted to the same agenda as the report. The conference, which has not received major coverage, featured Walker, and another bean-counting author of Obamacare, former OMB head Orszag.

The summit invitation referenced the “Dying in America” report as a means of understanding the conference’s agenda, based on cutting costs, eliminating “expensive” health care, slashing Medicare, and convincing the elderly that they would be better off dead. The invitation argues that “our nation’s high and rising health care costs, combined with an aging population represent the primary driver of our growing debt.” Not enough is being done to deal with this problem, it warns. Thus the meeting will develop “actionable Medicare reform proposals” able to win “bipartisan political support leading into the new Congress in 2015.”

Orszag went on Bloomberg TV Sept. 26 to blab about how easily Medicare costs can be cut—just move to a “fixed payment” per person. This is called “capitation,” and is being introduced currently largely through Accountable Care Organizations. The bottom line: Medicare decides how much it’s going to pay for your care, period. If it costs more than that to keep you alive, forget it.

These actions must be taken now, Orszag insisted: “We are at the absolutely essential time—over the next five years—to make these cuts.”

...Or End Obama’s Rule

The LaRouche movement has outlined at length what measures have to be taken to provide decent health care at a reasonable cost—starting with fixing the economy. But it’s not such prescriptions which are needed at this point. And, at present, repealing Obamacare would simply push forward the same policies through private means.

No, what’s required is the political courage to tell the truth about the genocide agenda, and clear out Washington of those from *both* parties who are complicit. *It starts with impeaching Barack Obama.*

The author wrote two definitive analyses of Obamacare in 2009, which can be found at www.larouche-pub.com: “Nazi Precedent for Obama Health Plan: It’s Now Time to Insist—‘Never Again!’” and “Hitler’s T4 Program Revived in Obama’s Health-Care ‘Reform.’”

MOST AMERICANS have been deceived as to the economic system which uniquely built the United States. This book presents the core documents, today often hard to find, which defined the political economy of the American Revolution, ranging from the time of Leibniz, to Franklin, and Alexander Hamilton’s famous reports.

The Political Economy of the American Revolution



Edited by Nancy Spannaus and Christopher White

Downloadable PDF \$15.00

Product Code:

EIRBK-1995-1-0-0-PDF

Call 1-800-278-3135

Obamacare Has Given Us the Dehumanization of Medicine

Dr. Shelley was interviewed by Marcia Merry Baker on July 12 on The LaRouche Show (<http://www.larouchepub.com/radio/>), a weekly Internet radio program. He is a family physician from Port Allegany, Pa., who has been in practice for over 20 years. At a conference in New York last year, Dr. Shelley spoke about the crisis conditions in the U.S. medical system, in which “physicians can’t do their doctoring and patients aren’t getting care.”

Last Fall, Dr. Shelley issued a call from Physicians Against Murderous Obamacare, and lobbied on Capitol Hill against the Affordable Care Act. But, even before Obama entered the White House, Dr. Shelley was campaigning against what he called the “commoditization of health care.”

Dr. Mark Shelley: ... In the United States, we’re told that we have health care, and we’re told that we have insurance, we’re told that everything is very good, when it’s exactly the opposite. So the average person is comfortable, because he’s sure that everything is okay.

But it’s almost cruel, the paradoxical way this is presented, the Affordable Care Act. I had a patient in the office yesterday, who said, “Well, it looks like I may reach my deductible.” He’s having eye surgery—he has a corneal dystrophy and he’s legally blind; he has a big farm, but he really, really wants to work. I asked, what is your deductible? He said, \$10,000.

So, from milking his cows, he has to get \$10,000—plus I think he said, it’s another \$8,000 for the premium that he pays each year. The point being, this is not the “Affordable” Care Act, nor is it the “Patient Protection Act.” It’s the exact opposite of protecting these patients. That’s why “a thousand cuts”—it’s



EIRNS

from many directions, it’s from the regulations in the hospitals, it’s the regulations in the pharmaceutical industries, the insurance companies.

I had on my desk yesterday a “special bulletin” for professional providers, “attention physicians”: An insurance company will add 12 drugs to the pharmacy “prior authorization” program for Medicare Advantage members.

Prior Authorization: Care Denied

Marcia Merry Baker: Let me just interpose—“prior authorization” means you or your office has to call the insurance authorities before you dare do what you want to do?

Dr. Shelley: Well, it’s interesting. “Prior authorization”—I have to first be authorized to get—until recently it was testing—but now it’s testing and it’s medications; and this is one of the most insidious forms of rationing. Because, for example, a patient comes to my office and has a history, exam, and all findings consistent with a torn meniscus in her knee. So this should have an MRI, a magnetic resonance imaging, which the insurance companies generally say has to have a prior authorization. I’ll spend a few minutes with the patient, and I’ll understand the problem, explain to them the problem and order the test. The form for the test will go to my staff, who, when they have a chance, take it upstairs and go online, or they’ll phone and maybe be on hold, but now mostly it’s done online; and they type in the request for this study. And 48 hours later, we get a response, that either we can have the study—which usually doesn’t happen; then they ask for “more information.”

I then send to the insurance company other testing,

notes, lab work, a good bit of the [patient's] chart, which goes back to the insurance company, and in another 48 hours, we hear whether they agree to pay for the test or not.

Now, a friend of mine was in this position, and the test was refused, and he said, "I'll pay cash," to the radiologist. And they said, "You can't pay cash." He said, "I have it, right now." And they said, "It's illegal for us to do a test, for cash, if the patient has insurance." But now, the insurance has denied that he can have the test, because "it's not medically indicated." That's their "out."

So the patient couldn't have the test!

If nothing else, the resources that are being used up by this process, these are thought, energy, and time, from a nurse or a physician that should be being spent on solving medical problems and helping people. But instead, it's spent interacting with a computer, and then the study is denied.

Baker: You said you have 12 additional drugs that require prior authorizations—so this is drugs now, not just those tests?

Dr. Shelley: This unnamed insurance company will add 12 drugs to their pharmacy prior authorization program, effective Sept. 12. And there are 12 different drugs and/or medications, one of which is rabies vaccine!


So now, if my patient is bitten by a rabid animal and comes to the office with a certainly fatal disease, I have to say, "Well! Let's think about this..."

Baker: And of course, you're in the country, with raccoons, bats, and everything else.

Dr. Shelley: Absolutely—raccoons, bats; we've had rabies from cattle that were bitten by bats. There's a lot of rabies here. Mostly raccoons, skunks, bats; a lot of rabies in bats. But anyway, the patient knows they will die without this. And they're told "Let's think about it—we'll decide whether or not you can have this."

It's the uncertainty that unravels your brain; it's the uncertainty of—maybe it's illegal for them to pay cash for this vaccine; maybe they'll have to get it on the black market, because it was illegal for [my friend] Henry to get the MRI, to pay cash for it, and he was refused that by his insurance company. So this is the "Patient Protection Act" in action...

I think for my colleagues here in the States, there's just a generalized angst and unease, discomfort with the



The LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) issued this pamphlet in May 2009, and circulated tens of thousands of copies throughout the United States.

practice of medicine, we feel because we must *struggle* to do what was once so easy. And it's from many reasons: There's the prior authorizations, and the electronic medical records, which is held up and is pushed as a helpful, wonderful thing, but has cut productivity by anywhere from 25% to as much as 50%.

You Are Now a Number

Baker: It has a lot to do with this coding, right?

Dr. Shelley: Well, the electronic medical record is a parallel of the coding. Your diagnosis is now a number, just as you are a number. It's the dehumanization, the monetization: It's profaning the sacred. It's taking human life and giving it numbers. The coding is necessary—every diagnosis must have a code in order to be filed with the insurance company, and we've had the ICD-9 [International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems], which was to have ended last year, and we've started with the ICD-10. Re-

member, every diagnosis, everything I do has to have a code, and with the ICD-9 we had 40,000 codes. But the ICD-10 has 110,000.

Baker: Is this standard insurance coding?

Dr. Shelley: It's insurance coding if the patient is to have a test done, if the patient is to have a prescription, it's unavoidable that they have to have this code. The physician must assign a code to what he did. If I amputate a toe, there's a code for the great toe, there's a code for the second, third, fourth, and fifth; there's a code for where the toe is amputated; there's a code for if the patient is diabetic. I write the diagnosis and my staff looks it up. Again, the patient is paying for this to be done.

I've remained independent, but in the hospitals, the physicians are now required to do all their own coding. So there's 40,000 different numbers that you have to choose from to decide what it is you did! If you put down the wrong number, or you put the decimal point incorrectly, then it's fraud.

But the ICD-10, with over 100,000 codes that I have to choose from. The ICD-10 includes a code for "inju-

ries from a burning airport." It's like somebody decided to make a joke of this. There are codes for "parrot bites," it's almost making a mockery of what we do. As well as making it impossible to do what we do.

Why Physicians Are Retiring

Baker: Decades ago, you would think of the family physician, or even a specialist and others having independent practices, either partnerships or alone. But now there's a higher and higher percentage, where you are working for a hospital chain or some equivalent, and you are told what to do, not just how to code, but *everything*.

Dr. Shelley: Right. They're making it less and less possible to practice medicine without electronic medical records, and those systems can cost upwards of \$100,000; so if it's just one doc, it takes an entire year's salary to put a system in place that will *reduce* his productivity by 25 or 30%. And, now remember, the electronic medical records [companies]—there are 1,600 of them in this country, and none of them communicate with each other. So when a patient goes from one hospital to another across town, there's no way to send the records. The records come in an envelope with a kilogram of paper in it; somewhere buried in there is the patient's diagnosis, and their medications list, and what happened to them.

So that's one of the ways that the use of electronic medical records has damaged health care, is that there is much, much, much less communication. There's virtually no physician-to-physician communication, because they're relying on the electronic medical record to "have everything." There are many, many needles in many, many haystacks.

And so, I receive patients in the nursing home, maybe with a tracheostomy, maybe with a feeding tube, and they've had a six-month hospitalization; they will arrive at the nursing home for me to take care of, and I have no idea where they've been, what they're doing, what happened; the physician doesn't talk to me, because that's more or less been lost now, and I have two inches thick of papers to read through, that contain things like documentation of each day for three months when the patient received each drug. And it's extremely difficult to find even the diagnosis.

So these are frustrations for why physicians my age are retiring, even though they have 10-20 years of productive practice ahead of them. . . .

REVIVE GLASS-STEAGALL NOW!



LaRouchePAC is now leading a nationwide effort to push through legislation for Glass-Steagall (www.larouchepac.com).

"The point is, we need Glass-Steagall immediately. We need it because that's our only insurance to save the nation. . . . Get Glass-Steagall in, and we can work our way to solve the other things that need to be cleaned up. If we don't get Glass-Steagall in first, we're in a mess!"
—Lyndon LaRouche, Feb. 11, 2013

WATCH the LaRouchePAC video: **'Glass-Steagall: Signing a Revolution'**

SUBSCRIBE to EIR Online
www.larouchepub.com/eiw
toll-free: **1-800-278-3135**
e-mail: fulfullment@larouchepub.com

Explosive New Evidence Of Saudi Role in 9/11

by Edward Spannaus

Sept. 29—Despite their claims to want the release of the secret 28-page chapter from the 2002 Congressional Joint Inquiry into the 9/11 attacks, the Saudis are actively resisting discovery of evidence relating to what is likely a central item in those 28 pages: the connection between the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and a southern California network that provided financial and logistical support to the 9/11 hijackers.

This could not be more urgent. At a time when the Obama Administration is plunging the nation into yet another war in the Middle East, ostensibly to destroy a terrorist group (Islamic State/ISIS/ISIL) created in large part by Saudi Arabia, public exposure of the Saudi role in sponsoring terrorism is of vital importance to our national security. Even worse, the Obama Administration claims to have recruited the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia as an ally in the anti-ISIS coalition. On the other side, in Washington, momentum is slowly building in Congress in support of HR 428, to force disclosure of the 28 pages.

Meanwhile, with little fanfare, lawyers for 9/11 victims and insurance companies have been aggressively pursuing discovery of evidence, as part of ongoing litigation in New York. The 9/11 plaintiffs received a big boost in late June, when the U.S. Supreme Court said that the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia could be sued and potentially held liable for sponsoring the attacks. This was done over the objections of the Obama Administration, which, like the George W. Bush Administration before it, sided with the Saudis at every point in the protracted legal proceedings.

Just a few weeks ago, the 9/11 plaintiffs filed an updated statement of Facts and Evidence, which powerfully lays out the case for establishing the Kingdom's role in sponsorship of the 2001 terrorist attacks (see box). First, we review that filing, and then we will summarize recent Saudi attempts to obstruct the disclosure of evidence that would confirm its role, and provide the

basis for establishing U.S. legal jurisdiction over the Kingdom and related entities.

'Lavish Sponsorship' of al-Qaeda

The Sept. 15 filing of the "Consolidated Amended Pleading of Facts and Evidence" has definitely raised the ante against Saudi Arabia and its protectors in the U.S. government.

Interestingly, the new pleading revives and updates the extremely detailed allegations against the Saudi Kingdom and its "charities" which were originally contained in the Lloyds suit against various Saudi banks and charities, which was filed and then mysteriously withdrawn in 2011.¹ The new, 157-page complaint contains all the essential material from the Lloyds suit—going back to the alliance between Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab and the House of Saud in 1744, and the long history of Saudi-sponsored institutions financing the rise of jihadist movements, and particularly al-Qaeda, up through the 1990s—and updates it with a very detailed account of the San Diego/Saudi support operation, and a summary of the Sarasota/Saudi FOIA case, on which *EIR* has reported extensively.

New also, is the emphasis on the Saudi Ministry of Islamic Affairs, which, it says, "provided the Ulema [the Wahhabi religious leadership] with direct access to government resources and platforms to advance its Islamic and jihadist causes, including through the direct support of al Qaeda and the September 11th attacks," and which also provided critical support for the hijackers in Los Angeles, Washington, D.C., and Berlin, Germany.

The new amended complaint states that the success of the 9/11 attacks "was made possible by the lavish sponsorship al Qaeda received from its material sponsors, including the Kingdom and SHC [Saudi High Commission], over more than a decade leading up to September 11, 2001." Without the critical financial and logistical support provided to Saudi government agents Omar al-Baymoumi, Fahad al-Thumairy, Osama Basnan, Anwar Aulaki, et al., "the hijackers would have been incapable of successfully carrying out the single worst enemy attack on United States soil this country had seen in 60 years."

Bayoumi, as the pleading explains, was a longtime

1. Jeffrey Steinberg and Edward Spannaus, "Saudi Bankrolling of al-Qaeda Well Known to U.S. Government," *EIR*, Sept. 27, 2013.

employee of the Saudi government, and “served as a Saudi intelligence agent responsible for monitoring Saudi citizens’ activities within the United States.” Citing evidence from the Congressional Joint Inquiry and its co-chairman, former U.S. Senator Bob Graham, plus the 9/11 Commission and other investigations, the pleading details how Bayoumi, acting on instructions from Thumairy and other officials of the Saudi Consulate’s Ministry of Islamic Affairs office in Los Angeles, welcomed future hijacker-pilots Nawaf al-Hazmi and Khalid al-Midhar to the U.S., and arranged for them to get settled and assimilated into the San Diego Muslim community. Bayoumi also hosted a third future hijacker-pilot, Hani Hanjour, in his apartment, and he put the hijackers in contact with al-Qaeda operative Aulaki,

who was later killed by a targeted U.S. drone strike in Yemen.

Overall, the updated pleading presents an overwhelming case for establishing Saudi Arabia as not only the prime sponsor of 9/11, but the leading state sponsor of global terrorism today. The issue is whether the court will finally determine that it has the jurisdiction and enough specific evidence to hold the Saudi Kingdom and related entities liable for the 9/11 attacks.

Discovery of Evidence on Bayoumi

Last December, the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in New York reversed its previous ruling, and ruled that Saudi Arabia and related enti-

9/11 Plaintiffs Present 'Facts and Evidence'

Here are excerpts from the “Factual Background” of the plaintiffs’ consolidated amended pleading of facts and evidence in support of their claims against the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the Saudi High Commission for Relief of Bosnia & Herzegovina (SHC).

8. On September 11, 2001, nineteen members of the al Qaeda terrorist organization, fifteen of whom were citizens of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, hijacked four commercial airliners, and used those planes as weapons in a coordinated terrorist attack upon the United States and its citizens (the “September 11th Attacks”).

9. The September 11th Attacks resulted in the tragic loss of several thousand lives, personal injuries to countless other persons, and property damage on a catastrophic scale, including the complete destruction of the World Trade Center Complex.

10. To al Qaeda and its adherents and supporters, the September 11th Attacks represented a single targeted operational strike, carried out as part of a broader and long ongoing campaign to wage jihad

against the United States.

11. The success of al Qaeda’s jihadist campaign, including the September 11th Attacks themselves, was made possible by the lavish sponsorship al Qaeda received from its material sponsors and supporters, including the Kingdom and SHC, over more than a decade leading up to September 11, 2001.

12. As further detailed below, the Kingdom and the SHC provided material support to al Qaeda with knowledge of al Qaeda’s intent to conduct terrorist attacks against the United States, and an awareness that al Qaeda would use the support provided by the Kingdom and SHC to achieve that objective, a goal al Qaeda has tragically realized on numerous occasions, including on September 11, 2001.

13. As further detailed below, the support provided by the Kingdom and SHC enabled al Qaeda to obtain the global strike capabilities necessary to carry out the September 11th Attacks, and was essential to the success of those attacks. Indeed, the material support provided by agents of the Kingdom, all of which is attributable to the Kingdom itself, included direct assistance to the September 11th plotters and hijackers.

14. Absent the support provided by the Kingdom and SHC, al Qaeda would not have possessed the capacity to conceive, plan and execute the September 11th Attacks.

ties could be sued for damages over the 9/11 attacks. In late June, the U.S. Supreme Court refused to hear an appeal of that ruling brought by the Kingdom, thus clearing the way for the lawsuit against the Saudis to proceed.

At the same time, the discovery of evidence concerning Bayoumi's employer, the Saudi aircraft firm Dallah Avco, was proceeding in Federal court in New York, as a result of a April 2013 ruling in which the Second Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the earlier dismissal of Dallah Avco as a defendant, and sent the case back to the District Court for "jurisdictional discovery," i.e., to determine if sufficient evidence existed to give U.S. courts legal jurisdiction over the Saudi firm, which claimed that it did not conduct business in the United States.

The core of the 9/11 plaintiffs' argument, is the undisputed fact that Bayoumi, while in the U.S. assisting the future hijackers, was on the Dallah Avco payroll. The plaintiffs have faced intense obstruction from both Dallah Avco and the Saudi government itself, in their efforts to obtain the documentation which would prove this point, and which would confirm, as the plaintiffs have asserted, that Bayoumi was "a Saudi intelligence agent who provided direct assistance to several of the September 11th hijackers in support of the 9/11 attacks, while operating under the cover of a 'ghost job' provided by Dallah Avco."

Dallah Avco operates under contract with the Saudi Presidency of Civil Aviation (PCA), a government entity. Al-Bayoumi was on Dallah Avco's payroll from 1995 through 2001 in San Diego, allegedly working on a PCA project in Saudi Arabia, but he only showed up for work once in seven years! Meanwhile, he was, of course, providing direct assistance to three of the 9/11 hijackers, including assisting them in enrolling in flight training classes. The plaintiffs also cite evidence that al-Bayoumi (in addition to his salary of around \$4,000 a month), "had access to seemingly unlimited funding from Saudi Arabia."

In an Aug. 25 court filing, lawyers for the plaintiffs charge that Dallah Avco has attempted a "wholesale" avoidance of discovery, and that its stonewalling amounts to "a total failure to comply with its discovery obligations." They show that, in Dallah Avco's efforts to avoid handing over evidence regarding al-Bayoumi, it has claimed that it cannot provide any more information, because the Saudi government and Saudi law will not permit any disclosure of this information, on the

grounds that it would harm the Kingdom's "national security, interests, policies, or rights."

However, what little evidence has seeped out so far, is quite damning in terms of the overall case. In an index of documents which are claimed to be exempt from disclosure under Saudi law, are listed Dallah Avco documents showing that Bayoumi was "seconded" (posted) from the Saudi government's PCA annually from 1995 through 2001, and documents indicating that his salary was simply passed through Dallah Avco by the PCA, and that Bayoumi's higher education in the U.S. was under the sponsorship of the PCA, i.e., the Saudi government.

In fact, one document makes this case. In what appears to be a January 2014 letter to the PCA, Dallah Avco says that since Bayoumi was working on a PCA contract, "he was not an employee of Dallah Avco at any time," and that Dallah Avco was not familiar with Bayoumi's activities. This might help Dallah Avco evade the jurisdiction of the U.S. courts, but it confirms that Bayoumi was indeed a direct agent of the Saudi government in the period when he was aiding the 9/11 hijackers.



The Al-Qaeda Executive

Financed and deployed by the British-Saudi Empire, al-Qaeda has

been protected by the Obama Administration to accomplish the Empire's global war. In this feature [video](#), LaRouchePAC documents President Obama's use of the al-Qaeda networks to overthrow Qaddafi in Libya, and to carry out bloody regime-change against Assad in Syria, by the same forces who attacked the U.S. consulate in Benghazi.

www.larouchepac.com

‘Make Development a Mass Movement’

Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi, finishing up his triumphant visit to the United States, sent out a tweet this week expressing the main message he brought to Americans: “Let us make development a mass movement, just like Mahatma Gandhi made the freedom movement a mass movement.”

With his address to the United Nations General Assembly, and his speech before 20,000 Indian-Americans in Madison Square Garden, Modi demonstrated qualities of leadership that have not been seen in American elected political leaders since the deaths of Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and John Kennedy.

China’s President Xi Jinping demonstrates the same qualities of leadership, and between China and India alone, one-third of humanity is moving forward. Russian President Vladimir Putin is a key part of the alliance at the core of the BRICS forging of a new paradigm of cooperation among sovereign nation-states.

The dramatic paradigm shift represented by this new world leadership was captured succinctly by Prime Minister Modi when he addressed the matter of population in his Sept. 28 New York address. “1.2 billion people is a blessing from God. People are the face of God,” Modi said, referring to the huge Indian population which the British financial oligarchy views as a target for extinction. With this statement, the Prime Minister went straight in the face of the bestial environmentalist lie that stands at the heart of the evil globalist culture today. *People* are not mere consumers, or burdens, or a “cancer,” as Paul Ehrlich so blatantly expressed it. They are a blessing, and human creative powers are the source of wealth and human progress.

The LaRouche movement has long asserted

that very tenet, which lies at the core of Lyndon LaRouche’s economics.

What should be clear is that Modi’s assertion, and his determination, along with other BRICS nations, to act upon it, is a declaration of war against a very active enemy. For at this very moment, the British Empire and its minions, including President Obama, are unleashing the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse in their effort to *destroy* populations—through permanent war, starvation, disease, and terror.

The horrors are affecting hundreds of thousands, even millions, of people. You see it in the refugee crisis resulting from permanent war policy in Southwest Asia. You see it in Africa, where *criminal negligence* in the failure to act to stop the Ebola epidemic early this year, has resulted in a spreading holocaust against huge swaths of Africa—and most likely, beyond.

Don’t kid yourself that the United States is exempt. The drought disaster of the Western states, which produce a huge proportion of the nation’s fruits and vegetables, portends shortages and starvation right here in the United States. Tens of millions of youth and working-age Americans are being thrown on the scrapheap. And we also see deliberate targeting of the elderly population for Hitler-style genocide by denial of health care. We just can’t afford it, say the imperial accountants.


The hope for defeating this assault on human life comes from the new leadership emerging from the likes of Modi, Xi, Putin, and numerous others. Such leadership must now take charge in the United States—LaRouche has called on Bill Clinton, whom he sees as the unique person to play this role—to link up with a New World Economic Order based on development.

Modi’s call must be heeded.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 - \$180 for six months
 - \$120 for four months
 - \$90 for three months
 - \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa
 Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____