

Putin's Turkish March Towards A New Security Architecture

by David Christie

The author is a member of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee.

Aug. 16—At the United Nations General Assembly in September 2015—the year of the 70th anniversary of the the UN's founding, only months after the defeat of fascism and the end of World War II—Vladimir Putin proposed that the nations of the world come together to create a coalition against terrorism. He said:

On the basis of international law, we must join efforts to address the problems that all of us are facing and create a genuinely broad international coalition against terrorism.


Similar to the anti-Hitler coalition, it could unite a broad range of forces that are resolutely resisting those who, just like the Nazis, sow evil and hatred of humankind. And, naturally, the Muslim countries are to play a key role in the coalition, even more so because, not only does the Islamic State pose a direct threat to them, but it also desecrates one of the greatest world religions by its bloody crimes.

A week after Putin's offer was met with silence, Ankara was rocked by suicide bomb blasts that ripped through a peace rally, killing 103 people. Weeks later, 224 people died when Metrojet Flight 9268 was downed, killing all on board, most of them Russians returning from vacations in Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt. Then came Brussels, Paris, Orlando, and Nice—not to mention the daily horror show in population centers throughout the Middle East and North Africa. The political “leadership” and mainstream media of the

trans-Atlantic community has told us that this is now the “new normal.”

Normalizing terrorism as an accepted phenomenon of life is a form of insanity. Anyone serious about shaping the New Presidency and its security policy will reject this form of insanity and embrace President Vladimir Putin's offer of collaboration to form an international coalition against terrorism. Furthermore, anyone serious about shaping the New Presidency and its security policy will “know thine enemy,” and tell the truth about who and what is the real force behind global terrorism, which is deployed for geopolitical aims by the British Empire. Finally, anyone serious about shaping the New Presidency and its security policy, will recognize the integrated nature of security and economic development, and will accept the repeated offers of President Xi Jinping for collaboration around China's “One Belt, One Road” program, while seeking to extend that concept to all nations.

For more than 25 years, Lyndon LaRouche and his



kremlin.ru

Russian President Vladimir Putin (left) and China's President Xi Jinping at Russian-Chinese talks on Sept. 3, 2015 in Beijing.



EIRNS/Richard Magraw

Helga Zepp-LaRouche on the coast of China, at Lianyungang, the “Eastern Terminal of the Eurasian Land-Bridge,” where she’s being interviewed by Chinese journalists in 1997.

wife and collaborator, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, have created the pathway for a World Land-Bridge based on the idea of the Silk Road, to provide a new security architecture within which all nations can move forward based on the principle of the “advantage of the other.” The leadership of Russia and China are moving forward in this direction, and Putin’s latest collaborative efforts in Turkey are a case in point.

Putin’s Turkish March

Putin’s recent moves in Turkey indicate the nature of the new security architecture that is now being discussed on the planet, and that should be a central feature of the New Presidency immediately. Putin’s actions over the course of this last year, since the United Nations General Assembly, have shown a powerful mastery of a strategic situation which is completely unprecedented in human history, and the complex relations with Turkey are a prime example. In November of last year, Putin showed intense restraint and awareness of the nature of the provocation, when Turkey shot down a Russian fighter jet. Erdogan not only refused to apologize, but continued to allow ISIS and Al-Nusra (Al-Qaeda) jihadists to use Turkish territory for transit and logistics, even in the face of Russian evidence of Turkey’s illicit role in supporting terrorist networks.

Erdogan was also very close to Obama, who was organizing the anti-Russian policy from the top.

In June of this year, the situation shifted dramatically. Erdogan apologized to Putin for the incident involving the downed fighter jet and also announced his and Putin’s intention for a face-to-face meeting. On cue, terrorists killed 45 people and wounded 230 at the Istanbul Airport the next day. Lyndon LaRouche pointed to the Chechen role in the terrorist attack as a sign of the British hand in the operation. Then, weeks later on July 15, there was an attempted coup d’etat to remove Erdogan as president. Putin was one of the first and one of the few world leaders to call Erdogan to offer support for the stability of the Turkish nation.

In this context, in their meeting on Aug. 9, Putin and Erdogan discussed

re-establishing economic relations, emphasizing the Turkish Stream natural gas pipeline and Russian nuclear power technology. In addition to such economic collaboration, they also made a commitment to deal with the Syrian crisis, establishing a joint task force involving top officials of the military, intelligence services, and foreign ministries. Erdogan identified the key role of Russia in establishing peace in Syria, and called for mutual action by Russia and Turkey—and with Iran. He also pointed to the fallacy of distinguishing “good” from “bad” terrorists, calling it an “incorrect approach.” Obama and his British Imperial handlers have played this game *ad nauseam* for their geopolitical aims.

The profound nature of Putin’s intervention was further elaborated the day before in Baku, Azerbaijan, when he met with Iranian President Hassan Rouhani and Azerbaijan’s President Ilham Aliyev for discussions on the International North-South Transport Corridor—the 4,500-mile corridor running from the Arabian Sea to Scandinavia. They also considered a draft declaration for joint work against terrorism. The North-South Transport Corridor project will now engage Russia, Azerbaijan, Turkey, and Iran, and will fold into China’s “One Belt, One Road” project of the New Silk Road. The Russia-Turkey partnership will bring greater



CC/Maurice Flesler

Turkish citizens in Istanbul on July 19, 2016, demonstrating against the coup attempt.

stability to the entire Caspian Sea, Caucasus, and Balkan region, extending the zone of Eurasian security and prosperity further west.

Concerning the meetings between Putin and Erdogan, and the previous day's meeting in Azerbaijan, Lyndon LaRouche said that "the course of history has been changed" by the agreements reached, and the agreements that will follow from the Putin-Erdogan summit. Turkey can play a pivotal role in that North-South Transport Corridor and the Putin-Erdogan meeting will serve to advance that deeper collaboration.

LaRouche continued, "What I saw as a possibility has now been realized. A new alignment of Eurasia is now moving forward, and whatever efforts Obama and NATO might make to stop it are now too little, too late."

These developments have put the British Imperial policy of the "Great Game" in jeopardy, such that it will be increasingly difficult to manipulate the Turkic populations of Central Asia into hosting terrorist activities against Russia, China, and India.

Know Thine Enemy

The North-South Transport Corridor is a critical element of the New Silk Road, or World Land-Bridge concept that Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche have been organizing for more than 25 years. As this concept comes into focus, along with the attendant collaboration in space exploration and the cultural ex-

change that was also a key aspect of the ancient Silk Road, this bundle of associated developments now lays the foundation for a Renaissance that is global in its reach.

Previous Renaissances have been localized, but for the first time in the history of humanity, a global Renaissance is now an active potential. Whether the forces of the British Empire understand this full potential or not is irrelevant. They will seek to crush anything that represents a modicum of optimism for humanity. And given the Empire's current state of desperation in the context of the trans-Atlantic financial meltdown, it is reacting by organizing world war, potentially all the way to the use of thermonuclear weapons.

The British Imperial oligarchs—and their hands and feet in the City of London and Wall Street—reacted the same way when the great projects of the late 19th Century, inspired by the "American System," began to emerge. As the Trans-Siberian Railway and the Berlin-to-Baghdad Railway were being built, the potential to unite Europe to Asia via Russia threatened the very existence of the British Empire and its control of the sea lanes. Halford Mackinder, the godfather of British geopolitics, who inspired and organized the geopolitical doctrine of the Nazis through Karl Haushofer, knew that the key to control was the "divide and rule" strategy of pitting nations and peoples against each other.



CC/Yildiz Yazicioglu

Scene of a car bombing in Ankara, Turkey, Feb. 17, 2016.

Understanding this arc of history is critical to the security policy of the next presidency, because anyone who accepts the present narrative about the nature of terrorism, will either be a witting tool or an unwitting dupe of the British Empire. The use of terrorism in the Middle East and Central Asia is nothing but the extension of this British Imperial “Great Game” concept of the middle of the 19th Century. The terrorists of ISIS and Al-Qaeda today were spawned from the terrorists in Chechnya of the late 1990s, who in turn were spawned by Osama Bin Laden’s Mujahedeen in Afghanistan in the 1980s—all in the same role as mercenaries deployed by the British Empire for warfare against the leading nations of Eurasia, below the nuclear threshold.

This use of terrorism for geopolitical aims was recently exposed by the declassification of the “28 Pages” in the United States and the issuing of the *Chilcot Report* in the United Kingdom, both released in the same week in early July.

The 28 Pages point to the role of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in organizing the terrorist attacks on our nation on September 11, 2001. The Bush Administration’s classification of the 28 Pages—the final chapter of the Joint Congressional Inquiry into 9/11—was essential to the build-up to war against Iraq based on lies—the same lies which are at the center of the Chilcot Report, which showed Tony Blair’s critical role in

organizing a war of aggression against Iraq, on behalf of Her Majesty the Queen. The recent interview on 28pages.org with Larry Wilkerson, former chief of staff for Colin Powell, revealed once more how Dick Cheney personally suppressed the truth about the Saudi role in 9/11, in order to push the lie that Saddam Hussein was behind the terrorist attack on the United States. That lie was used to manipulate the United Nations, and the world, into supporting a war against Iraq.

However, as blatant as these lies and deceptions may be, Lyndon LaRouche has always pointed to the “Al-Yamamah” deal as central to the whole operation of 9/11 and the ensuing global war policy. Under the Al-Yamamah deal, British Aerospace,

now called BAE Systems, provided military hardware and fighter jets to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia over the recent decades, in exchange for one tanker of oil per day for the duration of the deal. Using the profit of oil sold over and above the cost of the arms, the British created a slush fund of tens of billions of dollars, if not hundreds of billions, that has financed terrorism ever since, for geopolitical aims.

One of the central figures in the 28 Pages, who provided logistical support to the terrorist network behind 9/11, Prince Bandar Bin Sultan, was the key organizer of the Al-Yamamah deal while he was the Saudi Ambassador to the United States from 1983 to 2005. At that time, the United States was supporting Osama Bin Laden and the Mujahedeen for the purposes of geopolitical control. In a 2014 interview with Charlie Rose, Prince Bandar spoke of his meeting with Osama Bin Laden, who expressed gratitude for his support of the Mujahedeen. Bandar said, “He came to thank me for my efforts to bring the Americans, our friends, to help us against the atheists, he said, the Communists.”

Those responsible for 9/11 and the ensuing lies to launch wars of aggression that have destroyed global security, must be brought to justice. The truth of terrorism must now be told, and anyone who dismisses it as simply “conspiracy theory” is either working for the enemy, or has been behavior-modified. Telling the truth is essential to building an international coalition against

terrorism, working with the nations who are ultimately the main targets—Russia, China, and India. However, simply killing terrorists will not solve the problem on its own. We need global economic development as the true path to peace, based on the principle of “the advantage of the other.”

Security and the Four Laws

“Only principles of intention which have a constitutional basis in natural law, rather than positive law, such as the great constitutional principle, ‘the advantage of the other,’ of the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia, could succeed in establishing a core-agreement in circumstances such as those of this region today. The positive law must wait upon the pleasure of the adoption of the relevant, ecumenical principles of natural law.”

—[The LaRouche Doctrine for Southwest Asia \(EIR, April 17, 2004\)](#)

The Treaty of Westphalia ended the Thirty Years War, which had ravaged Europe with religious warfare until that treaty was signed in 1648. The treaty explicitly stated that the end of the warfare must be based on mutual cooperation. The 21st Century version of this principle is at the economic, scientific, and diplomatic frontier of the unprecedented new paradigm now unfolding on the Eurasian landmass. The leadership of China has referred to this principle of mutual benefit in its One Belt, One Road program as “win-win.”

Historic points of tension and conflict that have been manipulated by British Imperial geopolitics, such as those between Russia and China, India and Pakistan, Russia and Turkey, and China and Japan, are now being resolved by the same principled approach that ended the Thirty Years War. It was none other than Tony Blair who called for the end of the Westphalian Era in a 1999 speech in Chicago, where he launched the concept of “Responsibility to Protect” (R2P), which has been used by the Chicago-based Obama murder machine to launch wars of imperial rampage, such as Libya and Syria.

It was Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche who established the dialogue and the policy initiative that have led to the One Belt, One Road pro-



The signing of the Peace of Westphalia (Münster), 1648.

painting by Gerard Terborch

gram and myriad associated and derivative projects, such as the North-South Transport Corridor. What is critical now, is that forces within the trans-Atlantic region, especially within the institution of the Presidency of the United States, engage with the LaRouches in that dialogue immediately.

LaRouche’s “Four Laws” provide the guiding principles for an economic recovery that is crucial for ending the U.S. policy of imperial rampaging, directed by the British Monarchy. In 2014, Helga Zepp-LaRouche called for a “New Security Architecture,” writing that “we immediately need a global emergency conference with only a single theme: How should a global, inclusive security architecture be designed which guarantees the existence and security of all nations on the planet?”

Central to this New Security Architecture will be the acceptance of Vladimir Putin’s offer made at last year’s UN General Assembly, for an international coalition against terrorism, and acceptance of President Xi Jinping’s offer, made at the APEC Summit in 2014, to join the global development program around the New Silk Road concept. Let us resolve to bring the world together based on the principle of “the advantage of the other,” and to end this dark age of warfare by launching a new Renaissance for humanity.