

LYNDON LAROCHE—MANHATTAN DIALOGUE

How We Defeat Evil

Excerpts of [Lyndon LaRouche in Dialogue with the Manhattan Project, Saturday, Aug. 13, 2016.](#)

Dennis Speed: On behalf of the LaRouche Political Action Committee, I'd like to welcome everybody here today, for our Aug. 13 dialogue with Lyndon LaRouche. I think most of you here have received a copy of the broadsheet *The Hamiltonian*, and we're fully in business now as a very active force in Manhattan.

Lyn, I'd like for you to give us some opening remarks, and then we'll go right into questions and answers.

Lyndon LaRouche: Well, we're in a situation now where everything that's wrong in the United States has to be corrected. It's that simple. But now we find that there are certain numbers among the members of this organization, who are working on trying to solve these problems. What I can do is not to solve these problems as such, but perhaps to guide some of our members here and elsewhere to get a better grip on what they can do to change the situation in the United States and beyond.

Bill Roberts: Hi, Lyn. I've been asked to try to describe a process which is underway now, as the Manhattan choral process expands into the outer boroughs with the process of organizing for the series of concerts that are taking place, the "Living Memorial for 9/11."

This is intersecting—you could call it an ecumenical process is taking place. I think it's a reflection of the way in which the Westphalian principle throughout the world is finding its way into New York, really, and intersecting this process of the Manhattan choral principle expanding outward. I can just give a few examples. We were in an Irish neighborhood in the Bronx, telling people that we had been the ones who had organized the concert commemorating the 50th anniversary of the Kennedy family's concert held in honor of John F. Kennedy,

to which the Irish President sent a message, and it's with that same spirit that we are organizing this concert in the Bronx. We were in the Italian neighborhood, and a shoe salesman—a shop owner whose children have been trained in Classical music—wants us to start a community chorus and wants us to use the church in the neighborhood in the Bronx.

We also had a meeting with the board of a certain mosque, and this was interesting because it was in the aftermath of this priest being killed in France. There was a deliberate decision that was made by the Pope that the service that was going to be held to honor this priest, was going to include a large number of Muslims. There was very explicitly this Abraham Lincoln sort of idea of "with malice towards none." These are people who immediately respond to the idea of



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Statue of Alexander Hamilton in front of the U.S. Treasury Building. Sculptor: James Earl Fraser (1878-1953).

what we are doing with the “Living Memorial to 9/11” in honor of all the victims of those attacks and their aftermath, and the process of wars and terrorism that have come as a process of the cover-up of how that was organized. So, they would like to help us.

Several weeks ago, just as another example of this, for the first time at a Puerto Rican cultural center, instead of the usual sort of music that they put on, we had a Classical musical concert in this Latino center. So, maybe you just want to comment, because there’s a sort of process now underway which is a little bit hard to describe, but it is intersecting these different communities, who see the intervention that we’re making as very important for their communities and for their young people.

No Answer Without Hamilton

LaRouche: Well, I don’t want to distract from those concerns; but if you want to get at the core of the United States and its effective leadership, you have to go back to Alexander Hamilton. Now, there are a lot of people who would follow Alexander Hamilton in certain suggestions; but they do not understand the effect and importance of Alexander Hamilton’s expression. Without Alexander Hamilton’s tradition, you could not have a solution for any of these problems. That’s the thing you’ve got to look at. And you’ve got to look at what it’s going to take, to bring forth in the United States from them and their souls as such, to understand what Alexander Hamilton represents for the history of the United States and of the world.

Question: Hello, Mr. LaRouche. My question is toward 9/11 and the victims from the attack and the aftermath. I had an uncle who was not there that day, but he worked for the building and he was part of the clean-up, and he just passed recently. I’m reading more and more about people that are still dying. I just lost an uncle, and I’m reading more and more victims are still dying to this day. Even though the reports from the “28 Pages” are just starting to surface, we’re not getting justice, we’re not coming to the light of what really happened. We’re trying to strive for the truth of it, we’re trying to bring justice, but the criminals who did that terrible act that day and put it into action, what can we do more to bring justice...?

LaRouche: Yes; the first thing you’ve got to do is go back; and you’ve got to go back to Alexander Ham-

ilton. Again, the Hamilton tradition of the United States is the key to the survival of the United States; without that, you don’t get a survival.

Now, what’s the issue here? The issue is that essentially Obama is an enemy of what was represented by Alexander Hamilton, in spades. Therefore, you’ve got to look for solutions and not for symbols. In other words, a symbolic gesture is not a success intrinsically. So you’ve got to look at where’s the generation of this.

Now, I’ve observed actively in a photographic process of what went on in 9/11. I went through every page of 9/11 as it was being presented, as the people who were being thrown out of the buildings from which they were burned. So therefore, the question is, how do you solve that problem? And what’s the answer? The answer is, again, Alexander Hamilton. The problem inclusively is the members of the Congress who have allowed the alleged legitimacy of the practices of the members of the Congress and of other people who shared those opinions.

Without getting at the combination of Alexander Hamilton’s role and his personal sacrifice, to create and develop this nation, you would not have had a U.S. nation. It wouldn’t have happened. And everything that has hit us—and I’ve also gone through this—I watched what was happening with the towers; it was photographic, but it was active. I saw what happened, step by step. From Boston to Washington, and to the present premises. So, you’ve got to go to attack the problem by the name of the cause of the problem, and how you can bring a solution to that problem, by identifying precisely what has to be stopped,—I’m saying,—should have been stopped.

Question: Hello, Mr. LaRouche; it’s Howard from New York. We’ve been able to do some campaigning this week, we’ve been getting these out—*The Hamiltonian* newspaper—in Manhattan on the role of Hillary Clinton as a stooge of Obama and the war drive. We’re still thinking in the back of our head that we are having an election for President; somebody of some sort has to become the President of the United States in January 2017. What are we supposed to think when we hear the rather poor campaign, the rather defective campaign of Donald Trump, say that Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama founded ISIS, the terrorist organization? Is there something real here, with him saying this, or is this just whatever?



Russian President Vladimir Putin (right) with Kyrgyzstan President Almazbek Atambayev at the Supreme Eurasian Economic Council meeting in expanded format.

Putin's Influence

LaRouche: This is the problem of the citizens of the United States, who have not agreed to do what they should have done. That's the problem. The cowardice of the citizens of the United States is the problem. Now, what is the cause of the problem? How does the problem work? The way the problem works is that popular opinion, or a certain section of popular opinion, is now saying you have to respect, you have to give them a chance, you have to give them an option, you have to give them an explanation. All this has been done; and it did no damned good at all. It's still not good enough.

The Hamilton principle is the recipe; and get that Hamilton principle in mind. Look at Hamilton's mind from inside his mind. Look at it from the beginning of his rise to power and influence in Philadelphia, and what he did in the whole process of developing the United States. This man, Alexander Hamilton, is the hard core of the continued existence of the United States. If you don't get at that issue, you're going to fail because you haven't got a weapon which is effective enough to do the job you want to do.

Question: Just one follow-up. I know that the Russians have been very vocal about this, but do you have anything to add on to what we can do about the phenomenon ongoing of ISIS and international terrorism?

LaRouche: I can tell you what's going on. It's

largely Putin. Putin has built up a very respectable organization which now occupies much of our entire planet! He has pulled together groups of nations which are the most respected, in general, and the most efficient, and the ones which are most likely to cure the problem. That's what the problem is. It's when people say, "Well, yes, but,—yes, but,—yes, but." "You can't talk about our President right now," because what is our President? Our President is a substitute for Satan. What do you want? You got him! Some of these people are trying to get him in there again, and you find that most of the people in the Congress are corrupt—terribly corrupt. The leading candidates are terribly corrupt. So you're going to

worry about which candidate you're going to elect? When you know that all the candidates, chiefly,—that you're talking about are specimens of evil? That in one way or the other, they reject their responsibilities as human beings for this process.

So, we've got to get at the *source* of the problem, not trying to find a solution for the problem. Find the source of the problem, and bring about a removal of the source of the problem.

Question: Lyn, this week we had this major turnaround between Putin and Erdogan of Turkey, where they met; and I'm thinking about Hamilton in terms of the reflection that we see in Putin's personality and his action. Because we very nearly had a World War III last year, on the basis of the Turkish action on behalf of Obama, to shoot down the Russian airplane. And yet, here we are, less than a year later actually, and in resolving this crisis, Putin has made agreements on the Turkish Stream Pipeline, such that Turkey is going to pay half of it and I believe Russia is going to pay the other half. In addition, new nuclear power plants being planned in Turkey. And even the cooperation in Syria is on the agenda in a very serious way.

It reminds me of the Hamiltonian idea of the Erie Canal; that you have to actually change the strategic situation, the context of strategy, if you're going to win a victory over empire. That was a method that we saw

demonstrated this week by Putin, which was exciting, inspiring, surprising. So, I wonder if you can comment on that?

LaRouche and Putin

LaRouche: I was not at all surprised about what happened the past week; I knew it was coming. I affirmed the fact that I knew it was coming. What did he do? What did he incorporate? Putin's influence is not only Russia: other parts of the whole Asiatic area are loaded with it. Putin is already the working President of a new universe. He hasn't gotten any other people to do the job for him, but he's the one figure who is doing the best job right now.

What you have is, you have a bunch of people in the United States who ruined themselves and ruined the nation by condoning things that they shouldn't condone, things that they are actually promoting as destruction. What we need to do is get Obama thrown out, out of his office. Get similar types of creeps out. Push them out fast! Get together people who will sum up to an affirmation of the memory of our great leader, Alexander Hamilton. That's the way to do it; go for victory.

Now, he was killed by a British agent, and people in the system condoned his assassination. And that's a fact we should remember. Let me just add one thing here. Putin was, as a child, from a family of Russians who were fighting the Nazis as such. He, in the course of his life, developed a very strong and efficient understanding of what has to be done to protect humanity against evil; evil like Chechens, for example. The Chechens are your typical evil ones of this time. So, what he did—and I followed him; I didn't actually meet him personally, but I followed him in great detail during major parts of his career. I understood what he was doing, and I understood the effect of what he was doing. So, you're getting an Alexander Hamilton similarity for Russia—not only Russia, but for Asia! For *all of Asia*, practically. That's a pretty big item.

Therefore, what we have to do is understand, what's wrong with us, that we believe in the kinds of ideas which we condone in us, ideas which are stupid? That's where the problem lies. We have to get the act of our



What's wrong with us, that we believe in ideas which are stupid? Supporters of Hillary Clinton at the Democratic Convention.

people cleaned up. Don't go around and say [whining], "Well, that's not too bad." It stinks buddy, and let's get rid of it!

Joseph Stahleicher: Hello, Lyn. Greetings from Manhattan!

I gladly received *The Hamiltonian*, and my question is, as a continuation of the debate that we have here about Putin: What is the aspect of Bismarck in this connection, because it reminds me a lot of the work Bismarck did in Europe to create a situation of a long duration of peace between East and West and every country in Europe. The question is how we can enforce this debate? In Germany, for instance, we have Steinmeier, we have other people who want to work with Russia. How can we put this Bismarck emphasis into this debate?

LaRouche: What's needed is collaboration, not dictation, and not imposition of any kind. But what you have to do is examine what Putin has been doing.

Now, I have a certain substantial experience with Putin. The funny thing is that I never really spoke to him directly. But I was in the same battle that he was in, from a different card, all through most of his career, either passively or actively,— but always very actively, because I knew what he is. I understood him. I don't know everything about him. I haven't talked to him, obviously, directly, but I do know what his history is, how he dealt with the Chechens for example.

And now, what he's done, in his history, is that he has recognized the way to deal with the way in which the Nazis *en masse* killed many of his family, and many Russians as well. And so therefore, it's not vengeance as such, or things like that. It's the fact that we all have to do as I did in my support of Putin; that is, I was not one of his workers, I was not one of his representatives, but I was one of his leading supporters in a certain moment of his history. And so what people should have to do, is do the things that are the good things, which make the good manifest among the people.

And wherever you go along in life, wherever you have a chance to do good, do good.

Question: My question is this: I want to know why I haven't heard anything here about supporting the only person that has come out of the political shadows, out of no place, and has been brave enough to stand up and fight, and speak against this, and has put his family and himself at risk to come against the new world order and to come out and run for President of the United States. I support everything that's happening here, but I'd like to understand why there isn't complete support for Donald Trump for President of the United States? That's my question.

LaRouche and Bill Clinton

LaRouche: Okay, well, let's clean this thing up a little bit. I know answers which were not the answers that you were looking for, but which would be useful in illustrating for you, what the problem is that I have to deal with on this thing.

Now, the thing that was significant was my relationship with Bill Clinton. I was allowed to get out of prison, in which I'd been put by the Bush family,— and it was a crime all the way through: a lie and a crime! And the Bushes and so forth were always liars. There has not been a good Bush, anywhere. You try to burn the Bushes and they don't burn, they just stink.

But in any case, what happened is that Putin had, actually, a remote connection to Bill Clinton. And Bill had actually fought a legitimate campaign for leading issues on every leading issue of leading importance, while he was President up to a certain point. But, he also acted as a practical President. He had a very bad Vice President.

He was framed up, and a sex charge was put against him. What had happened is that he had moved to prevent a crisis in German policy and Russia policy as



What did we get? Hillary Clinton became an agent of Obama, and Obama was actually the leading figure of Satan around the world.

well, that would have saved the situation at that time. What happened was, the Queen of England, and by name her leading representatives, and the head of the Republican organization in the United States, all pulled off a frame-up of Bill Clinton. As a result of that, Bill Clinton was stunned and labeled as he was, and it was the Republican Party, largely, that did it, but it was the Queen of England who did it. It was she and her party who did it.

This was a frame-up. The result of this was a breakdown of the order of government of the United States, because of that. In other words, it was a charge against him. I knew what his character was. I did not always approve of what he was doing, but he was an honest person. He had a cause, to save civilization, and it was destroyed by the Queen of England, on her personal order, and her institutions. And by the Republican Party. And they destroyed him!

So you've got to be careful of these things. Don't try to assume that you know this is right and that is right, and this is wrong and that is wrong. It doesn't work that way. You've got to have a deeper insight into how things work, and the problem is that many citizens of the United States don't know the truth. Why don't they know the truth? Because *they don't know how things work*, and therefore they cannot trace out the consequences of evil.

But what did we get? Yes, we got Bill Clinton thrown out of office. *But!* look what he got. Look what his wife got. What was she? She became an agent of

Obama. And Obama was essentially an agent of one of the most evil persons on the planet. He was actually the leading figure of Satan around the world. That's not a good choice of discussion.

Lynne Speed: Hi, Lyn. One of the things that we've been running into with the organizing generally, and then also some of the organizing that we're doing in connection with this 9/11 Living Memorial is, if you just *mention* the idea of this election, and people are almost universally completely disgusted. And it's across the board, so you have Democrats up in East Harlem who are saying "This is the worst thing I've seen in 47 years, since I've been in the country, since I've been voting." You have conservative Tea Party types that have tried all kinds of organizing in the past through activism and so on, who say, "We can't do it that way; we've got to do something which also approaches this at a higher level, a cultural level, which is the way in which you're going to cause people to begin to think."

And at the same time, people are very, very eager for an alternative,— not another candidate, but actually something that both uplifts them, and gives them the power to organize their fellow citizens around a higher conception.

So this week we went down to one of the venues where we're going to be doing one of the concerts, and it was a very interesting discussion, because this particular area, this church is located in an area where there was a firefighters' battalion, over in Brooklyn. They were the closest people to Ground Zero outside of the Ground Zero itself. So they all rushed over when the call came that the Towers had been hit, and they were all inside the building rescuing people, taking them down the steps for about an hour and half, until the building collapsed. The entire battalion was killed, 42 firefighters. And so every year, there's a special ceremony during which they go to the 9/11 memorial and then they march across the bridge and they march to this church where there's a Mass. And we will be singing the *Requiem* as part of that Mass. Our New York Schiller Institute chorus will be participating in that.

What was interesting about this discussion, is that the people in the meeting from the church really got an idea,— from Lynn Yen, who was there at the meeting, and John Sigerson,— that this was a unique idea of doing this Mozart *Requiem* as part of the Mass, and

also because of the way in which we were doing it, at the proper tuning, and because of the entire context, with this being the fifteenth anniversary of 9/11. They were very inspired. They said, "this is what people need. They need to be uplifted, they need to be made spiritually optimistic about the true nature of humanity."

So I just wanted to give you that report and see if you had any comments.

Where the Evil Came From

LaRouche: Well, this is extremely important. It's a fact, of course, it's an important fact. It's a fact which is full of all kinds of illustrations of the way human life goes on. And we will first have to recognize that we have to fight evil. You have to eliminate evil. And if you don't do that, if you can't do that, or just won't, then you are either bad, or you're a failure. And most people in the United States who have lived in the immediately past centuries, have been failures.

And then people say, "well, I want to be a good guy," but they haven't earned it. They haven't earned the credentials. And the important thing is to recognize what the credentials are, or what they might be, and to spread that which might be, at least, and do that. And that is the only way I know of, in which you can reliably indicate what the policy of life in humanity has to be.

Question: Hi Lyn. We're going to have a series of concerts, they're going to be musical concerts. But the most important thing about them, I think is something that Maestro John Sigerson said in a chorus rehearsal on Saturday night, when he was warming us up, getting us to sing. He said, "Look there's a lot of sound. Don't worry about the sound. We have to tune up the chorus so that we can get across the most important idea, which is *silent*. That's why we're doing the work."

So the reason why we have to get people to all four, or one performance, or two performances, is because, as a counterposition to what they experienced as the *evil* of 9/11, they have to experience the emotion that's going to be attendant with them sitting through the *Requiem*, experiencing the *Requiem*—that's the audience and the singers alike. And you also said what I thought you may have said, but do you have some comment on the fact that this—that Americans have to experience this in the near term if we're going to get a solution?

LaRouche: Well, this is a very simple thing to look at. Where was the evil, where did the evil come from? Well, it came from, members of Congress! It came from the President of the United States, and his associates! They're the ones who did it. They made the agreement. Now, here's what they did. The British Empire, working together with the Saudis, created 9/11 in the United States. And those same people, inside the United States, members of the United States who would support this terror operation and still do,— the time has come *to throw these bums out of the Congress!*—and to punish them for what they've done as crimes! Because as long as you have not done that, to clean that thing up, you have no warrant for authority on morality.

Now, I've done that. I've done it to the degree I've been enabled to do that. I was one of the first to expose what was done in the United States, by Great Britain and Saudi Arabia. I was one of the people to expose it—I and an associate of mine, who did it, we were doing it. We were still on the register, of the leading people for exposing what the Satanic operation has been! Obama is himself Satanic! Everything about him: If you look at his history and know what the heritage is, you know he's Satanic. Everything about him is Satanic.

This is not a charge, this is not an accusation. This is an identification! And when you look at it that way, then you know what went wrong. We let the Congress lie.

Now, that's a terrible government, to have a government which lies, where the majority lies. And that's what happened: The Bushes, the Bush family, Obama, they're all in it. And yet, you say you're a citizen of the United States, you are supporting this, and you want to say that you're doing something good? Hey, buddy, you're way off!

The Einstein Question

Speed: Lyn, I have to ask you a question on behalf of Alvin, who is not here. Here's his question: Last week when I talked with you at the meeting here, I informed you of our actions around the "28 pages" petition, the Institute's musical intervention into Spanish Harlem, and then I said, what was missing from our good deeds?

You again raised the example of Einstein, as the model for the kind of quality of mind we are required to develop, if we are to actually move our people into action beyond "good efforts," or "good deeds." Could

you please elaborate this further? I still have a long way to go to understand this. It is a difficult concept to grasp fully.

LaRouche: Okay. The Einstein question. The problem is that there's only a tiny, tiny, tiny part of the citizens of the United States in particular, which has any understanding of Einstein, including people running around describing themselves as prophets of Einstein. And this doesn't work either.

You see, the point is, people are saying they're trying to find a prophet, or something like a prophet, in terms of Einstein. Einstein discovered this, Einstein would discovered this... Einstein... It didn't happen that way!

What had happened was that Einstein, step by step, increasingly understood what was wrong with the way that the people of the world consider how the world works. Now, then the point is, people say, "well the good guys and the bad guys"—buddy, that does not really wash! Because Einstein is not something that you can play with. Einstein was a hero, a great hero of the people of the Universe. Because he dared to make the charges which had to be made, in order to engineer what mankind can do to save the development of the human species. And all these guys who run around saying "this is the recipe for Einstein's this, Einstein's that, Einstein's this"—it's *bunk! Absolute bunk!*

Einstein had a real insight into the *nature* of the Universe, the *nature* of the Universe, *not* the good deeds of somebody else, not the byproducts of good deeds. And there are very few people in this Universe right now, who know what Einstein really was. If you want to argue about it, I'll be pleased to do something about that to help you understand this thing better.

Question: Good afternoon. My name's O— from Staten Island. Last night I was here. You touched on the infancy in terms of one's mindset, and stuff like that— something to that effect. But I just want to know, do you have any books on that?

LaRouche: What, on Einstein?

Question: [follow-up] Yes, on Einstein, and the stuff you're bringing up, or ... because this is some fascinating stuff.

LaRouche: No, this is actually science, it's pure science. And what's happened is that there have been people who struggled to understand this sort of thing, and Albert Einstein, in several series of steps of prog-

ress, has done the best job, so far, on what the nature of human beings is.

See human beings are not located in the mere *birth* of human beings. What is important is what *goes* with that birth and the development of that birth. And therefore, you often have people who say, “well, this is a good person, this is an expert person, and they’re naturally given and this and that, and so forth. . .” No! No! The question is, can we induce human beings to respond to what Einstein’s work really means? Einstein’s work was based on the knowledge—I’m not saying the “guess” or this or that—I’m saying “the knowledge” which he has demonstrated and presented, and documented himself. He probably is one of the few people in the Universe right now, who so far has been able to understand what the human mind is. The others are trying to come out and make midgets out of people.

What happens is the person who is creative is *actually creative*. If the individual is not *actually* creative, then he’s not actually creative. What do we do? We try to make him *actively* creative—how? By inducing him to understand what he or she can do, to make the human race better, in a necessary way.

But there are all these guys, witch-doctors running around, saying, “this is the way you do this, this is way you inherit, this is the way you inherit. . .” nonsense! Einstein was very clear on this: You have to look sometimes at his later life works, and they have made that quite clear. And those people who have studied Einstein’s work in an advanced way, do understand. I understand.

Speed: What I just was caused to think about, around the Manhattan Project, which you designed—see, you’ve now said, “Hey, look, guys, you’re doing some good things, but it’s not ‘good deeds.’” And I’d just like to have you say something about your whole method of organizing, which you’ve been discussing the whole time. Because as we proceed over the next four weeks, we pretty much have all of the pieces now that you’ve asked us to put together over the course of the year. Now, we’re ready—we’re ready to go.

So the issue is, being faithful to a method that you’ve been outlining, to some of us for decades, and to some



cctv

In China’s first initiative of its kind, Astronauts on board the Shenzhou-10 spacecraft teach students a lesson through a live video feed system.

of us, for a few months or in a few minutes here. I’d just like you to say something about that.

LaRouche: The whole business of discovery, discovery as such, various degrees of improvement in discovery, progress in discovery, all these things boil down to one thing: That a human being is contributing to the human species, or to the members of the human species, in a way which enables them to have children, to have circumstances of development of children, and so forth. This then extends itself to what Einstein proved. Einstein demonstrated that the Universe is, shall we say, God’s Universe. That there’s a law, which is a law implicit in Einstein’s work, where he understood there was a force in the Universe which was a more powerful force of development of people, as a species. And it’s that thing, if you cannot contribute to the development of the species of human beings, especially and most notably—the animal does not have that authority. Only the human being is capable of having that authority, the kind of authority which Albert Einstein had come to understand.

Speed: Thank you very much, Lyn. We’re going to move on and do the job you’re asking us to do.

LaRouche: Thank you!