

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

July 17, 2020 Vol. 47 No. 29

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

‘Two Sovereign Nations Thriving, Growing, Excelling’



Founder: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. (1922–2019)*
Editor-in-Chief: *Helga Zepp-LaRouche*
Co-Editors: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher,*
Robert Ingraham, Tony Papert
Managing Editor: *Stephanie Ezrol*
Editorial Staff: *David Cherry, Charles Notley*
Technology: *Marsha Freeman*
Transcriptions: *Katherine Notley*
Ebooks: *Richard Burden*
Graphics: *Alan Yue*
Photos: *Stuart Lewis*
Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Asia: *Michael Billington*
Economics: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*
History: *Anton Chaitkin*
Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*
United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Berlin: *Elke Fimmen*
Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*
Lima: *Sara Madueño*
Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*
Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*
New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*
Paris: *Christine Bierre*
Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*
United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Richard Black, Leni Rubinstein*
Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*
Wiesbaden: *Rainer Apel*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com
www.larouchepub.com
www.executiveintelligenceview.com
www.larouchepub.com/eiw
Webmaster: *John Sigerson*
Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*
Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by *EIR News Service, Inc.*,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(571) 293-0935

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH,
Bahnstrasse 4, 65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eir.de>
e-mail: info@eir.de
Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557
eir@eircanada.ca

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 242-2
Col. Agricultura C.P. 11360
Delegación M. Hidalgo, México D.F.
Tel. (5525) 5318-2301
eirmexico@gmail.com

Copyright: ©2020 EIR News Service. All rights
reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without
permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to *EIR*, P.O.
Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

Signed articles in *EIR* represent the views of the authors,
and not necessarily those of the Editorial Board.

‘Two Sovereign Nations Thriving, Growing, Excelling’

EDITORIAL

Smash the Coup Against Trump! Save the Presidency and the Nation!

The following was originally published as an editorial for LaRouche PAC.

July 4—Over the next four months, there will be a turning-point in our history as profound as the Revolutionary War and adoption of the Constitution, or the Civil War. Now the knowledge and the character of this generation of Americans will once more be tested in the fire, as we were then. Will we pass that test? At stake is the future of the American experiment in freedom, one of the greatest achievements of 3,000 years of European civilization. Will it continue,—or come to an end? Today once more, we are face to face with the question posed by President Lincoln at Gettysburg 157 years ago.

Will we permit the takeover of our Presidency by an “elite” that spits on our Constitution, our laws, and all of our proudest traditions? One that giggles with hatred and ridicule at our middle and working classes (regardless of race), and especially at our industrial workers and farmers? Or rather, which hates those who once were farmers and industrial workers, before they were deprived of their livelihoods by that same, so-called “elite,” using the code-words of “globalization” and “free trade”?

Indeed, that “elite” must truly love the poor—as they claim to—for they have created so many more of them! By deliberately shipping our manufacturing jobs to “runaway shops” overseas, by holding agricultural prices far below the cost of production—and by much other evil-doing—they have deindustrialized our country, destroyed our economy, and caused 150,000 “deaths of despair” per year by 2017.

If these privileged criminals succeed in toppling President Donald Trump by whatever means, don’t delude yourself that we will be ruled by a cabal akin to

the Hillary Clinton of 2016—as bad as she was. No. The evil billionaires of Wall Street and Silicon Valley, themselves mere puppets of the international-financier class centered in London, have further hardened their hearts over the last four years. They are far worse now than they were then.

Look behind the irrational hatred for President Trump, which pours from their controlled media. For no other reason than to get rid of this President, they have knowingly taken actions that risk a downward spiral into war—even possibly nuclear war. And now, since Memorial Day, they have sent their own children, with other young dupes, into the streets to riot, to assault innocent strangers, and to loot businesses—at the risk of their own injury or even death. Over the same period, there has been much ill-informed discussion of American slavery. But ask yourself this: how is the morality of this depraved “elite” and their masters, in any way superior to that of the slaveholders of the old Confederacy?

Can a stupid and corrupt minority continue to lord it over the majority of our citizens who have been in revolt against them, a revolt which will be lost if a significant group in that majority does not now arm itself with actual knowledge of our history and the necessary principles of science and economy by which the nation can once again prosper? That that minority boasts so many academic degrees, largely in so-called social “sciences,” only attests to the over-ripe rottenness of our educational system and their actual vulnerability, if those who love this country now arm themselves with the ideas necessary to lead.

The Promise of Donald Trump

President Trump was elected because he was not one of them. That is his original sin. He placed the inter-

ests of the “forgotten man and woman” above their system and the interests they represent—for the first time since John F. Kennedy, and Franklin Roosevelt before him. Every attempt he has made to make good on his pledge to the disenfranchised has met with sabotage from the Washington civilian and military bureaucracy, and the leadership of both political parties.

But yet he has fought on, to keep his promises to remove U.S. troops from “forever wars,” to bring manufacturing back to the United States, and to foster good relations with Russia, China, and all nations. He has resumed U.S. manned spaceflight for the first time since 2011, from American soil, on American rockets. From there, he aims to return us to the Moon within four years, as a way-station to Mars.

Now at this moment, the COVID-19 pandemic, a dire tragedy for the human race, has paradoxically created the unique circumstance which could permit President Trump to fulfill his economic promises—but only if an aroused and intelligent population rallies behind the necessary economic leap which must now take place. This election can be won and the coup defeated, but if and only if, we achieve the needed breakthrough in economic policy during the next four months. The circumstances of the pandemic have awakened a certain moral consciousness across much of the world, which tells us that when we rebuild our economies afterwards, we cannot simply recreate the economies we had before, with their inequities and cruelty, but rather that we must create something far better.

That is what Russia’s President Vladimir Putin meant when he said in his June 23 [Address](#) to the Nation, “During this time, we reconsidered many things.” More explicitly, reflecting a common sentiment, American economist James Galbraith recently wrote: “Life will not be what it was before. A vast reorganization of economy and society will be needed when it’s over.” Hundreds of others have said as much, but the sensitive reader was probably already aware of the phenomenon.

President Putin has called for a summit of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council—the United States, Russia, China, the United Kingdom, and France—to discuss coordinated measures to defeat the epidemic and to discuss propelling the world’s economies out of their present collapsed positions.

What is wanted and needed now is a Twenty-First Century version of Franklin Roosevelt’s recovery pro-

gram, begun in 1933, from the last Great Depression. (Although this one will be shaped in part by the yet-unknown future course of the pandemic.) This is exactly what the late Lyndon LaRouche (1922-2019) spent a long lifetime developing. LaRouche was simultaneously a scientist who revolutionized economics, and a relentless and resourceful fighter who refused ever to accept defeat in any just cause. For this he was framed up and imprisoned for five years, 1989-94, by President George H.W. Bush, at British instigation, using the same Robert Mueller who later played Grand Inquisitor against Donald Trump from 2017 to 2019.

LaRouche wrote many articles and books defining the principles and guidelines for such a recovery and reindustrialization program, many of which are freely [available](#). Videos of his speeches are featured weekly on the [larouchepac.com](#) website. Because they reason from principle rather than providing recipes, all of them are still acutely relevant today. But he summarized them on June 8, 2014 in his “Four New [Laws](#) To Save the U.S.A. Now! Not an Option: An Immediate Necessity.”

While you should read that short document in its entirety now, the Four Laws, the principles upon which this nation can emerge and thrive, can be summarized as:

1. A return to Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall law to separate investment (speculative) banking from commercial banking, so that commercial banks are again able to provide adequate credit for useful activities, while the taxpayers are no longer compelled to bail banks out of their speculative losses, as in 2008 and still today.
2. Hamiltonian national banking, in which low-interest Federal credit is made available for productive and other useful purposes such as infrastructure, manufacturing, agriculture and science—as under the Washington, Lincoln and Franklin Roosevelt administrations.
3. The use of a Federal Credit-system is to generate high-productivity trends in improvements of employment, with the intention to increase the physical-economic productivity and standard of living of the persons and households of the United States.
4. Science-driver crash programs to develop controlled fusion power, and for deep-space exploration and colonization. (The space program is not explicitly mentioned in the document, but LaRouche said later

that it is implicit there—as a reading of the whole document will confirm.)

In today's circumstances, we must also act immediately to return manufacturing to the United States from runaway shops overseas, whether through treaties, tariffs, local-content provisions, incentives, or other means. Otherwise, LaRouche had long called for restoration of family farming through an end to “free trade” follies, combined with a return to parity pricing and enforcement of the anti-trust laws against the grain and meat monopolies.

Our Rendezvous with Destiny

In the midst of this great crisis and this great opportunity, on Tuesday, June 30, Britain's Tory Prime Minister Boris Johnson announced, in a [speech](#) titled “Build, Build, Build,” announced what he called a “New Deal” of infrastructure-building, science, education, healthcare and reindustrialization for Britain. His second-in-command, Michael Gove, made it clear that the Johnson government would model its policies on those of Franklin Roosevelt, along with current U.S. science-driver programs such as ARPA and DARPA.

All this may seem to be a great surprise coming from the party of Margaret Thatcher in the metropolis of the still-surviving British Empire, but Lyndon LaRouche had understood that the unexpected British “Brexit” vote in 2016 to quit the European Union, which produced the Johnson government, was of a piece with the unexpected election victory of Donald Trump later that year. While we can't predict what will happen in Britain, we can say with certainty that the

Johnson government's express commitment to FDR's American system, can immediately be used to inspire the American people and President Trump to do what must be done immediately here, provided we fight for exactly that.

Neither the Johnson proposal nor our proposal will gain the necessary speed or durability now, following the shutdown of the world's economies, unless there is an agreement on fixed exchange rates, ensuring long-term investment in high-intensity capital goods and productive jobs at decent wages throughout the world's economies. LaRouche called for a New Bretton Woods, initiated by Russia, China, India, and the United States, the four nations with the combined economic strength to strip the City of London and Wall Street of their most potent weapon, manipulation of currencies and terms of trade for short-term financial advantage. Johnson's proposal means that the P5 summit proposed by President Putin could start us down the road to LaRouche's Bretton Woods. That summit should occur immediately, and certainly by no later than September.

All of the above expresses the lifelong commitment of Lyndon LaRouche, and the commitment of this publication since its founding. Join with us now to ensure that it happens. We must fight to win this now—not in four months!

Whatever the outcome of this struggle to save the Trump Presidency and the nation, it will have titanic consequences. What Franklin Roosevelt said in 1936 could truly be said to today's Americans: “To some generations, much is given. Of other generations, much is expected. This generation of Americans has a rendezvous with destiny.”

Cover This Week

With the President of Mexico, Andrés Manuel López, standing beside him in the Rose Garden of the White House, President Donald Trump referred to “two sovereign nations thriving, growing, and excelling side by side, working together,” on July 8, 2020.



White House/Shealah Craighead

‘TWO SOVEREIGN NATIONS THRIVING, GROWING, AND EXCELLING’

2 EDITORIAL

**Smash the Coup Against Trump!
Save the Presidency and the Nation**

I. The Power of a Patriotic Presidency

6 The P5 Summit Proposed by Putin Could Be the Last Chance

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

9 People First!

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
October 4, 1998

12 This Week in the Coup d’État: A Turning Point?

by Barbara Boyd

16 A Coincidence of Opposites: Trump-López Obrador Summit Charts a New Course

by Dennis Small

21 DOCUMENTATION

Remarks by President Trump at South Dakota’s 2020 Mount Rushmore Fireworks Celebration

July 3, 2000

II. Save Our Bankrupt World

Schiller Institute Conference
on the Internet
June 27, 2020

Will Humanity Prosper or Perish? The Future Demands a ‘Four-Power’ Summit Now

PANEL 3

The Job of Youth

26 Introductory Remarks

by Megan Beets

27 Building a Youth Movement to Save a Bankrupt Nation

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

28 Why Do We Study Universal History?

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

29 If You Sat Where They Sit, What Would You Do?

by Daniel Burke

31 Getting Back the Great Ideas That Were Stolen From Us

by Carolina Domínguez-Cisneros, Sebastián Debernardi, Andrés Carpintero, and Daniel Dufreine Arévalo

33 The Greatest Want of the World Is for True Leaders

by Franklin Mireri

34 Education Is a Right of All Youth

by Sarah Fahim

35 The Negative Aspect of Digital Culture for the Youth of Today

by Chérine Sultan

36 Are You a Large-Scale Geological Force?

by Lissie Brobjerg

37 Youth of the World Face Two World Systems: The Old and the New

by Areej Atef

38 A New Space CCC

by José Vega

39 Exonerate LaRouche!

A discussion with State Senator Theo Mitchell

41 Discussion: Conference Panel 3

I. The Power of a Patriotic Presidency

The P5 Summit Proposed by Putin Could Be the Last Chance

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

This is the edited translation of an article written in German by Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche.

July 11—Mankind is currently confronted with an unprecedented challenge: Do we have the moral fitness to survive? The all-important question hinges on whether enough of the main actors on the world stage are able to raise their thinking to a higher level of reason in time, or whether they will cling to their respective ideologies and patterns of action. In the latter case, the extreme tension arising from the combination of the escalation of the coronavirus pandemic, the decline of the physical economy, the systemic collapse of the financial system, and growing geopolitical confrontation among major powers, threatens to lead to a breaking point, which could degenerate into social chaos and a new world war.

What is needed now, is not a multitude of small steps and measures to deal with all the different crises, but a veritable Grand Design, the realization of a vision for mankind's future with a comprehensive solution, one taking into consideration the interests of all mankind. This window of opportunity is relatively short-term. In January of this year, Russian President Vladimir Putin proposed a summit of the heads of state of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council. The United States, China, France, and the United Kingdom have already agreed to have such a summit.



kremlin.ru

Russian President Vladimir Putin, addressing a plenary session of the State Duma on amendments to the Russian Constitution, March 10, 2020.

Putin emphasized that the purpose of this summit, 75 years after the end of World War II, must be to establish a peace order—to ensure that such a catastrophe will never again happen.

The dramatic crisis of the pandemic and the ensuing plunge of the real economy, combined with the danger of a systemic financial collapse worldwide, present a unique opportunity to lay the basis for a new world economic order based on a New Bretton Woods system. A Bretton Woods system in accordance with the original intention of Franklin D. Roosevelt's Bret-

ton Woods System, can overcome under-development in the developing countries, and provide the foundation for peace by improving the living standards of all people on this planet.

In a webinar interview with the Center for the National Interest, the Russian Ambassador to Washington, Anatoly Antonov, underscored the essential role of such a summit as an alternative to scenarios with unpredictable consequences:

We have conveyed our proposals on the agenda to our partners. They include key issues affecting global politics, security, and economy....

The world needs to establish a democratic system of relations that would envisage the principle of indivisible security, equal opportunities

for development and search for the balance of interests of participants of international communication.

Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov, in an address to the Primakov Reading Forum, stressed that one of the items on the agenda for the P5 summit must be the inadmissibility of nuclear war:

We ... are particularly concerned about the Americans' refusal to reaffirm the fundamental principle that there can be no winners in a nuclear war, and, consequently, it must never be unleashed. Of course, we will promote this subject—the inadmissibility of a nuclear war, the impossibility to win it—in the context of the upcoming summit of the five as well.

Ambassador Antonov also quoted the speech by Putin at the June 24 parade to celebrate Victory Day:

We understand how important it is to strengthen friendship and trust between nations and are open to dialogue and cooperation on most pressing issues on the international agenda. Among them is the creation of a common reliable security system, something the complex and rapidly changing modern world needs. Only together can we protect the world from new dangerous threats.

A Global New Deal

The unexpected announcement by UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson of his intention to implement an investment program in the tradition of President Franklin Roosevelt, that is, a New Deal (even if the cited sum of £5 billion is only a small first step in the right direction) provides a very useful common thread among the other four heads of state, all of whom have referenced Roosevelt in positive terms in the past.



CC/Pippa Fowles

UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson thanks the National Health Service in a video message on Easter Sunday, 2020.

What is needed today is precisely Roosevelt's program in full: Glass-Steagall bank separation, an industrial development plan on a global scale this time—a New Deal for the entire world—and a credit system, a New Bretton Woods. One of the first steps should be international cooperation to develop a worldwide health system, i.e., a modern health system in every single country up to at least the standard that China demonstrated in Wuhan in fighting the outbreak of the pandemic.

This summit, which must take place in September at the latest, will in all likelihood be the last chance to establish a basis of trust for a strategic orientation of international relations among nuclear powers that can set the course for overcoming the global economic crisis. If this opportunity is missed, not only does the toxic tone that has been struck between the United States and China in particular, threaten to escalate into an irreparable conflict, but the looming danger of a second wave of the pandemic followed by renewed economic shutdowns could completely shatter social peace in many of the affected countries.

The Leibniz Institute for Economic Research in Halle has warned that the effects of the first lockdown in Germany will lead to a wave of bankruptcies, which in turn will create difficulties for numerous savings banks, and for banks with receivables in the hundreds of billions. Such a new banking crisis would then be followed by an even deeper recession, the institute warns. And Germany is still in a comparatively strong position.

The discussion in the trans-Atlantic neo-liberal establishment is shaped by the assumption that, under these circumstances, a sharp decline in international stock markets of at least 20-30 percent and the increase in death rates from a second wave of the pandemic will be blamed on President Trump. And it will guarantee that establishment's intention to ensure his defeat in the November elections. Given the relentless campaign which the forces of the British Empire have carried out

for three and a half years in their coup attempt—from the “Russiagate” fraud to the impeachment proceedings, and the current statue-smashing frenzy—the City of London and Wall Street would be more likely than not to let such a sharp decline in the stock markets happen.

Although in the early stages of the outbreak of the Coronavirus pandemic, President Trump had praised the Chinese government’s vigorous actions in the city of Wuhan and Hubei province, and stressed his friendship with President Xi Jinping, he shifted positions tentatively as of April 18 and then definitively as of April 30, to blame China for the spread of the virus worldwide. This assertion was first made by the former heads of MI6 Sir John Sawers and Sir Richard Dearlove, and the London-based Henry Jackson Society which, in a blatant provocation, challenged China to pay \$9 trillion in reparations! It has been rejected as unfounded even by American medical experts. A WHO delegation is currently in Wuhan to investigate the origins of the virus and the chronology of the pandemic.

The British Empire on the Ropes

The same British Empire forces behind the coup against President Trump consider his intention to establish good relations with Russia, as well as his initially positive relationship with President Xi, to be a deadly threat to their geopolitical interests—having increasingly endeavored to curb the rise of China for years now. That is the motive behind the Pentagon’s 2018 National Defense Strategy paper, which defines China and Russia as the top strategic rivals in the “Great Power Competition.” Defense Secretary Mark Esper underlined this political orientation in a recent “Message to the Troops,” saying that China should be made the “pacing threat” in “all of our schools, programs, and training.”

The British Empire’s policy—marked by the British East India Company and its colonial policies, the opium wars against China, Prince Philip’s World Wildlife

Fund and Mark Carney’s Green New Deal today—has been based on Malthusian population reduction. From this standpoint, China’s New Silk Road policy—which for the first time offers developing countries the chance to overcome underdevelopment—makes it a “strategic competitor.” And, of course, there is competition between these systems.

Looking at the world from above, it is clear that cooperation between the two largest economies in the world, the United States and China, is indispensable if mankind is to overcome this pandemic and other impending pandemics, as well as hunger, poverty and underdevelopment in the so-called

Third World. From the standpoint of the British Empire, i.e., of the oligarchical financial interests that rely on maximizing profit for their caste, and population control for all others, since the time of the British East India Company’s Parson Malthus, the poisoning of the U.S.-Chinese relationship is a top priority.

Russian Foreign Minister Lavrov has just warned that the United States’ withdrawal from the disarmament treaties has significantly increased the risk of a global nuclear confrontation. And he has said that he hopes this escalation will not reach the point of no return. Chinese Foreign Minister Wang Yi, for his part, has expressed his concern that U.S.-China relations have hit their lowest point since the establishment of relations between the two nations.

The summit of the five permanent members of the UN Security Council proposed by President Putin is likely, for all the reasons mentioned here, the last chance to put a completely different program on the agenda, in order to prevent the development of the escalating effects of the pandemic, hunger, economic collapse, and a financial crash from running their course. If that course is not shifted, the war danger resulting from the rapidly ensuing chaos may become unstoppable.

All people of good will, and all countries worldwide, should consider it to be in their own interest to support the success of this summit to the utmost.



UN/Evan Schneider

John Sawers, former UK Permanent Representative to the United Nations and former chief of MI6.

OCTOBER 4, 1998

People First!

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Very soon, the doomed, present international financial system will disintegrate. It can not be managed, or repaired; its doom is certain, and soon. We are already in the final phase of its destruction. This destruction will occur either in a rational way, through merciful, pre-emptive actions by individual governments, or in the most tragic way, spontaneously, and chaotically. Either way, the present financial system is doomed to disappear, very soon.

Under these circumstances, the continued existence of the U.S.A., as of other nations, depends absolutely upon the alacrity with which the government responds with certain required, immediate measures of emergency action.

If the measures specified here are taken, this nation will assuredly survive the crisis, and that most successfully. If the political will to adopt and implement such emergency measures, immediately, is lacking, the nation will be torn apart by the chaos caused by its stubborn refusal to change the present system. If the present posture of clinging to the self-doomed dogmas of “free trade” and “globalization” is not overturned, chaos is already inevitable; in that case, this nation will not survive in a recognizable form.

The following are exemplary required measures.

1.0 General Emergency Policy

When that disintegration of the world’s present financial system occurs, the U.S. and other governments, if they are sane, will each consider themselves obliged to

Editor’s Note: This article was first published in *EIR* Vol. 25, No. 41, October 16, 1998, pp. 4-6.

take certain, instant, autonomously sovereign, and drastic emergency actions. The immediate purpose of these actions, is to maintain the social stability and general welfare of the nation and its entire population. The rule governing these actions, is: “People first! All the people!”

Using the case of the U.S.A. itself as the example, the case can be stated as follows.

These measures are of four general classes: (a) Emergency measures to ensure immediate and continu-

ing social security, according to the general imperative of the Preamble of the 1789 U.S. Constitution; (b) Emergency measures of general financial and monetary reorganization, measures designed to facilitate the measures designed to ensure general social security; (c) Emergency measures of economic recovery, to maintain and expand the levels of physical-economic output of the national economy, both per capita and per square kilometer; (d) International measures required for this same purpose.



John Trumbull, 1806

Alexander Hamilton, our nation’s first Treasury Secretary and author of three reports to the U.S. Congress—on the subjects of national credit, a national bank, and manufactures—which rescued our new nation from its 1787-1789 bankruptcy.

2.0 Emergency Measures of Social Security

2.1. These actions will give absolute priority to the continuity of the essential functions of government itself, but will also give equal

priority to maintaining the continuing function of all essential elements of basic economic infrastructure, agricultural operations, manufacturing and closely related operations, and the physical distribution and commerce in those goods and services essential to maintaining the life of persons and households. Enabling action expressed in such legal forms as emergency laws and decrees, will be needed for this purpose.

2.2. These actions must not merely maintain infrastructure, agriculture, manufacturing, household, and related essential physical-economic activity at levels

existing prior to the “crash.” Immediate steps must be taken, chiefly by initiative of government, to increase the levels of useful output from these categories of activities, this at the expense of forms of services which are not essential to the health of the physical economy and its population. For the case of the U.S.A. itself, these actions will be taken with an eye to the methods of emergency action taken under President Franklin Roosevelt, for the period for World War II.

2.3. Some special, supplementary actions must be taken. These will include a moratorium on financial foreclosures of home-owned residences and closely related, functional essentials of private ownership. This must be complemented by absolute protection of the bank deposits of individuals, up to a specified, modest amount per capita. Similarly, basic health-care programs must be funded to similar effect. The general rule for such and related measures, is to leave the household and small business affairs of the individuals and families at the discretion of the individuals, as much as possible, freeing decisions within this area from the burdensome and complex details otherwise demanded of governmental interference, as much as possible.

3.0 General Financial Reorganization

3.1. The U.S.A., like most nations of the world presently, and most globalized financial institutions, is already hopelessly bankrupt financially. It is not necessary to wait, to see if the bank collapses, to know, already, that this is so. Only desperately wishful fools would deny that to be a fact.

3.2. As in any bankruptcy of a major, once stable economic enterprise, the bankruptcy did not come all at once, but occurred through the cumulative effect of wrongheaded policies, each piled on top of one another, over a long period of time. In the case of the U.S.A. itself, a period of not less than thirty-odd years. Fortunately, the set of principles on which the U.S. economy, in particular, was operating prior to thirty-odd years ago, was predominantly sound in effect. That being the case,

we must reorganize the U.S. economy as we would act to bring any essentially sound economic enterprise back to healthful life, by reorganization in bankruptcy.

3.3. The actions to be taken initially, are to eliminate the bad management and the bad policies of practice, and to write off that portion of the total amount of putative present financial obligations, the which must be written off, if the enterprise is to resume the degree of healthy growth it enjoyed status quo ante—prior to thirty-odd years ago.

3.4. In the case of the bankruptcy of the financial system of a sovereign nation-state, there are special rules to be considered, differing from those which might be appropriate to any institution other than a nation-state. Fortunately, in an earlier period the U.S. as a whole was bankrupt, 1787-1789, those implicitly constitutional considerations have already been addressed, principally by U.S. Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton’s three reports to the U.S. Congress, on the subjects of national credit, a national bank, and manufactures. These rules apply not only as the relevant U.S. precedents, but serve as a model of reference for other sovereign republics.

Although we must give due consideration to debts and assets defined in terms of differing media, the central point of reference for the financial, monetary, and related policies of the U.S. government, especially under conditions such as these, is the sovereign currency of

the U.S. government, which can be nothing other than U.S. Currency-Notes issued according to constitutionally prescribed procedures for this. For reasons stated by Secretary Hamilton, that form of currency, and other forms of debt directly incurred as U.S. Debt (rather than other Federal Reserve debt), constitute the primary, absolute obligations of the U.S. government in face of a general financial bankruptcy of the nation, such as that now extant. The “full faith and credit” of the U.S.’s currency and sovereign debt, must be defended, as the premise for the credit-mechanisms employed in coming out of the end of the present international financial system. The role of U.S. currency, on this account, is not



FDR Library

President Franklin D. Roosevelt, whose great-great grandfather Isaac Roosevelt was a close collaborator of our first Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton. Roosevelt looked back to the early American republic and the Hamilton-Roosevelt collaboration for inspiration and guidance.

limited to the case of the U.S.A. itself; the special importance of the U.S. dollar is global, still today.

The central principled issue of financial reorganization, is that the defense and deployment of those forms of U.S. sovereign debt, are the financial mechanisms of credit-creation, through which the U.S. real economy shall be revived from its present degenerated, torpid condition.

3.5. With one additional provision, let paper values otherwise, fall as low as they please. “It is only paper, after all.” If a bank’s net worth is less than zero, we may choose to support its continued functioning, because the sovereign U.S. requires that such a bank exist for the needs of the citizens and economy of that locality. The protection of modest financial and other assets of individuals, households, and certain varieties of enterprises which are defined as essential in physical-economic terms, follows from the same general principle.

3.6. The object is to expand the physical-economic activity and productivity of the economy and of the population as a whole, such that the economy is meeting the needs of the entire population, and also operating above physical-economic break-even levels. To this purpose, U.S. national credit must be supplied, through national-banking methods, radiating through participating private banks, into the relevant enterprises of the nation’s government and private sector, as we built up what became the prosperous U.S. economy of the 1950s and early 1960s, from the deep economic-depression levels supplied by Treasury Secretary Andrew Mellon’s policies of the 1920s and early 1930s.

Our nation has done this in the past, has known how to do that in the past, and can apply those lessons to doing the same, or better, once again.

4.0 Economic Recovery Measures

During 1861-1876, again during the economic mobilization of 1914-1917, and during 1933-1945, the U.S. used a combination of large-scale infrastructure-

building and science-driver programs in technological progress, with effects whose successes astonished the world of those periods. The leading priorities in organizing a long-term period of successful, global economic reconstruction, are the following.

4.1. Basic economic infrastructure: principally water-management and general sanitation; mass transportation of freight and passengers; power, with emphasis upon increasing energy-flux density and coherence of primary sources; basic urban infrastructure as such; and, national and international mass systems of education, science, and health-care. Large-scale, long-term investment in these improvements in basic economic infrastructure, supply the foundation upon which the potential for real economic growth depends.

4.2. Fostering employment of operatives in basic agricultural and industrial production, with emphasis upon those increases in the productive physical-economic powers of labor which are realized solely through emphasis upon increased rates and levels of per-capita investment in capital-intensive, power-intensive modes of scientific and technological progress.

4.3. Increasing the role of the machine-tool-design sector of production as a percentile of total labor-force employment, and the development of these capabilities to ever higher international standards, and with greater density of efficient delivery into national economies and the localities of those economies.

4.4. Integrating the educational, fundamental research, and machine-tool-design functions of the world’s and national economies around science-driver programs, including the aggressive exploration and colonization of nearby portions of our Solar System.

Generations have passed since a very nasty fellow, Harvard Professor William James, wrote of “the moral equivalent” of war. The only true moral equivalent of war, is to mobilize for development of the world’s economy, to the benefit of each and all nations of the planet, and to do this in a way we have never mobilized before, except for purposes of war. That, in summary, is the task, the policy which sane governments will adopt now.



Gilbert Stuart

Isaac Roosevelt (1726-1794) was an early proponent of bank development, whose work was closely studied by his direct descendant, President Franklin D. Roosevelt. He was a founder and later President of the Bank of New York, whose original articles of association were drafted by Alexander Hamilton.

This Week in the Coup d'État: A Turning Point?

by Barbara Boyd

July 10—Fake poll, after fake poll, after fake poll, sampling mostly Democrats, shows the senile Joe Biden leading President Donald Trump. The claims of Trump's doom and defeat were the mainstream media's major narrative this week as the nation struggled with COVID-19 spikes. This is aimed solely at demoralizing Trump supporters and creating a sense of inevitability about the election and about the Jacobin revolution now being conducted by Wall Street and large multinational corporations, together with Silicon Valley, and other members of the national security state. Most targeted, momentarily, are the weak reeds in the U.S. Senate, the Administration, and the Republican Party establishment who have supported Trump only because they fear their own electorate.

But the enemy is actually very weak, if you think about the situation. Picture New York Mayor Bill de Blasio, joined by former FBI informant Al Sharpton, painting Black Lives Matter on Fifth Avenue in front of Trump Tower in New York City, as hundreds of New York City police officers submit their resignations, with the inevitable results which will follow. The furies of the nameless murdered, in Chicago, Baltimore, Philadelphia, Atlanta, Seattle, and New York and other cities are gathering.

Percy Bysshe Shelley wrote about our present moment in his poem, *The Mask of Anarchy*. Here is how he described it:

Last came Anarchy: he rode
On a white horse, splashed with blood;
He was pale even to the lips,
Like Death in the Apocalypse.

And he wore a kingly crown;
And in his grasp a sceptre shone;
On his brow this mark I saw—
'I AM GOD, AND KING, AND LAW!'



CC/Saffie 55

Cyrus Vance, Jr., District Attorney for Manhattan, New York.

With that context, let's review the coup's main events of the past week.

On July 9, the U.S. Supreme Court, ruling 7-2, closed their eyes to the obvious, and said that New York City's RESIST District Attorney, Cy Vance, Jr., can get his hands on seven years of the President's tax returns. The sole purpose of this exercise, as everyone knows, is to fuel more smears of the President, although Vance claims that he is conducting a New York County Grand Jury investigation. It is widely reported that the so-called Grand Jury centers on whether Donald Trump, as a private citizen, made hush money payments to Stormy Daniels and other women with whom he allegedly had sexual encounters.

A federal investigation of the same nonsense has already closed down while the chief purveyors of this crap, Michael Avenatti and Michael Cohen languish under house arrest or in prison for fraud. It is not expected that the records Vance subpoenaed will be formally released before the election, as the Supreme Court remanded the case to the lower federal court where more litigation will take place.

The same day, the Supreme Court, also ruling 7-2,

said that the President was not immune from four absolutely abusive subpoenas from three House Committees seeking ten years of financial records from the President, every member of his family, and all of his businesses. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's minions justified this fishing expedition by claiming that Congress needed these records to investigate loopholes in present legislation concerning "money laundering," "terrorism," and "foreign interference in elections."

Here again, the Supreme Court majority studiously avoided the actual issue before them: the targeting of the President for a roving inquisition by a Congress bent on illegally removing him from office. Here, also, the Supreme Court sent the case back to the lower federal court with the instruction that "separation of powers" concerns should be kept in mind. Again, it appears that these records will not see the light of day until after the election but the willful blindness and evil countenanced by the majority of the highest court in the land and the legal pettifoggery used to justify it, are disgusting.

Meanwhile, in Sedition's Haven, the U.S. District Court in D.C.

On July 9, U.S. District Judge Emmet Sullivan filed a request for a hearing by the entire U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, after an appeals panel of the same court, by a vote of 2-1, ordered Sullivan to dismiss Lt. General Michael Flynn's criminal prosecution. Split decisions by appeals court panels can result in hearings before the full appeals court if the whole court votes to hear the case *en banc*. The Justice Department had moved to dismiss Flynn's prosecution which, normally, would have ended the case. Instead, Judge Sullivan, who clearly hates both Trump and Flynn, is staging a bizarre RESIST side-show and refusing to dismiss the case.

The DOJ motion to dismiss the Flynn charges followed a shocking round of disclosures of exculpatory evidence discovered only when Attorney General Wil-



Emmet G. Sullivan, a District Judge of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

liam Barr ordered an independent review of the Flynn prosecution file by U.S. Attorney Jeff Jensen in St. Louis. That evidence, underlining Flynn's innocence, had never been disclosed to the defense. Further, the actual transcripts of calls between Flynn and Russian Ambassador Sergey Kislyak during the transition were declassified. These transcripts are at the heart of the entire Flynn faux scandal and his firing, and reveal that nothing whatsoever untoward or illegal had occurred.

The developments in the case make it abundantly clear that Flynn was framed because he knew where the dirty secrets were buried within the national security state and had vowed to reorganize the completely rogue and privatized U.S. intelligence apparatus. As importantly, notes from former FBI counterintelligence leader Peter Strzok and others, make clear that a Janu-



CC/Ryan J. Reilly

Former Special Counsel Robert Mueller (left) with Eric Holder, President Obama's Attorney General.

ary 5, 2017 meeting in the Oval Office involving President Obama, Joe Biden, Susan Rice, and James Comey, planned and orchestrated Flynn's demise.

Judge Sullivan is a crony of former Obama Attorney General Eric Holder. Flynn pled guilty as the result of a program of legal and financial torture, including threats to jail his son, conducted under former Special Counsel Robert Mueller. Flynn was forced to sell his



NATO



White House/Pete Souza



UN/JC McIlwaine



FBI

Notes from former FBI counterintelligence leader Peter Strzok and others, make clear that a January 5, 2017 meeting in the Oval Office—that included (left to right) President Barack Obama, Joe Biden, Susan Rice, and James Comey—planned and orchestrated Flynn’s demise.

house to pay the millions of legal fees charged by his former lawyers, Covington and Burling, where none other than Eric Holder is a name partner. Despite a DOJ recommendation of probation as a sentence, Judge Sullivan took to the bench at the original sentencing hearing and declared Flynn a traitor to the U.S. who had sold out his country, offering him further cooperation with the government as the only means to avoid jail.

Despite a record before him now demonstrating Flynn’s innocence, something a defendant should never have to prove, Sullivan greeted the DOJ’s motion to dismiss by appointing his own counsel, a self-identified, published member of RESIST, retired U.S. District Judge John Gleeson, to search out whether the prosecutors’ motion to dismiss was proper, or improperly influenced by Attorney General Barr, and to advise whether or not Judge Sullivan should bring perjury charges against Flynn because he withdrew his guilty plea. The panel of the Court of Appeals found that Judge Sullivan’s conduct violated the Constitution’s separation of powers.

Roger Stone’s attorneys have also filed an emergency motion with the same Court of Appeals to stay his report to federal prison in Jessup, Georgia. Stone, who suffers from maladies that predispose him to COVID-19, has been ordered to report to prison by July



Institute of Politics/Kristyn Ulanday

Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn

14 by Amy Berman Jackson, a United States District Judge for the District of Columbia.

Jackson, like Sullivan, flaunts her RESIST sentiments on every occasion. She handled one of Paul Manafort’s indictments and put him in solitary confinement pre-trial in that case. She imposed a gag order on Stone which prevented him from publicly arguing his innocence. She denied a motion for a new trial after the fore-

woman of Stone’s jury was discovered to be a RESIST partisan and a lawyer, who knew how to get herself on Stone’s jury. Judge Jackson continues to lambast Stone for “witness tampering” based on what Stone and the “witness,” Randy Credico, both describe as typical late-night trash talk between the two.

Despite no Justice Department opposition to postponing Stone’s surrender until September, Jackson gave him only two extra weeks in her June 26 decision on Stone’s surrender postponement request. Her decision, fairly dripping with animus, also placed Stone under house arrest in the interim. In a press availability on July 10, President Trump said he was looking at commuting Stone’s sentence or pardoning him.

And in London, the Empire’s Fall Guy Is Fined for His Lies

Across the pond, as they say, in London, on July 8, a British court did do some justice by fining Christo-



U.S. Government

Judge Amy Berman Jackson, a District Judge of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, and Roger Stone.



Schiller Institute

pher Steele's spy firm, Orbis, for lies told in the dirty dossier Steele authored for MI6, the FBI, and imperial interests more generally, against Donald Trump and Vladimir Putin. Justice Mark Warby ruled in a defamation case brought by Russian billionaires Petr Aven and Mikhail Fridman that Steele's claims that the pair delivered "large amounts of illicit cash to Mr. Putin" and continued to do favors for him, were outright deliberate lies. Justice Warby ordered Orbis to pay \$23,000 each in damages to Aven and Fridman. As most know, Steele's lying fabrications were referred to as the "Crown Materials" by the FBI and were the framework for the entire Russia-gate hoax conducted through the intelligence community and the Democratic Party in the United States.

Justice? Whither Durham and Indictments?

Washington is rife with rumors concerning the John Durham investigation and whether it will ever see the light of day. Some say Durham will issue indictments of some type around Labor Day. Others say that the investigation has been delayed because of COVID-19 and Deep State political pressures and will be kicked down the road until after the election. One of the problems of course, is that the aforementioned U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, enemy territory, is the likely venue for any prosecutions. If Biden wins, of course, Durham's investigation will be forever buried.

As of July 10, the D.C. pundit class who claim to be on the President's side are already discounting for a post-election Durham Deep Six, saying, "No matter, it

has already been proven." The President, for his part, is kicking Senator Lindsey Graham who promised public investigations through the Senate Judiciary Committee as a major effort against the coup, but has delivered nothing but happy talk. Other Trump allies are recommending that Durham should do a report on his investigation, heavy with evidence, and not worry about putting people in jail before the election.

Lindsey Graham has been dragging his feet. If you are in South Carolina, where the Democratic Jacobins have mobilized to defeat Graham in his re-election race in November, you should be kicking Lindsay in the butt, demanding he take action to save the Republic. More generally, there needs to be an uproar from the population generally. The people who are attempting to overturn our Constitution and have been running an insurrection for three-and-one-half years cannot walk free. That is what is at stake in this election. Tell your representative or Senator that ending the coup will determine your vote. The people who put this country through a seditious coup must be punished and jailed. Otherwise, the Empire prevails.

We close by returning to our favorite poet, Percy Bysshe Shelley, and his immortal poetic sketch as to how anarchy is defeated, always, when the population realizes its own power.

"And these words shall then become
Like Oppression's thundered doom
Ringing through each heart and brain,
Heard again—again—again—

"Rise like Lions after slumber
In unvanquishable number—
Shake your chains to earth like dew
Which in sleep had fallen on you—
Ye are many—they are few."

The full poem, *The Mask of Anarchy*, can be found [here](#).

A Coincidence of Opposites: Trump-López Obrador Summit Charts a New Course

by Dennis Small

July 12—How dramatically might the world change if President Donald Trump were to sit down for one-on-one discussions with President Xi Jinping of China and President Vladimir Putin of Russia, without the presence of “advisers” intent on sabotaging good relations among the world’s leading powers? How dramatically did FDR shape world history through his personal diplomacy with Stalin and Churchill?

A foretaste of what such an urgent, great powers summit could accomplish—a summit of the sort Helga Zepp-LaRouche has insisted be convened by September of this year—is to be found in the July 8 set of meetings in Washington, D.C. between President Donald Trump and visiting Mexican President Andrés Manuel López Obrador. Both presidents are facing active, British-run operations to overthrow their governments; both were sharply attacked domestically for the very idea of meeting with the other; and both braved dire predictions that there would only be a confrontation, and nothing would be gained by meeting. Nasty quotes from each side were dredged up—some real, most fake—to try to fatally poison the well.

And yet the opposite occurred. Both presidents clearly relished proving the political Establishment’s game plan for both sides of the border dead wrong. “We’ve had a great relationship, right from the beginning. And I think that maybe was against all odds. A lot of people were betting against it, but they’ve learned not to bet against us, I suspect,” Trump chuckled. López Obrador smiled as he told Trump: “The forecasts failed; we’re not fighting. We are friends, and we shall con-



Mexican President Andrés Manuel López Obrador leaving the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, D.C., July 8, 2020.

tinue being friends.”

Both men understand where the other “is coming from,” and that that is what their enemies fear. As Trump put it: “Each of us was elected on the pledge to fight corruption, return power to the people, and put the interests of our countries first. And I do that, and you do that, Mr. President.” López Obrador, who is widely referred to in Mexico as AMLO, had made precisely that point in his first [letter](#) to Trump after he won the Mexican presidential election in July 2018.

How did this diplomatic surprise come about? That is a tale of three monuments—yes, the very kinds of monuments that the deployed Jacobin hordes of late are destroying across the country, in an effort to wipe history itself off the mind of the nation.

First, there was Mount Rushmore, in whose shadow President Trump stood on July 3, five days before his meeting with AMLO, to celebrate the true nature of the

American Revolution, focusing especially on Presidents George Washington and Abraham Lincoln (see the full text of Trump's speech in this issue.) Then, AMLO picked up directly on this historical theme in his visit. In the morning before his meeting with Trump, he laid wreaths at the Lincoln Memorial and at the statue of Benito Juárez, Mexico's greatest president and close ally of Lincoln in their shared 19th-century war against the British and allied empires.

Herein, in what some might dismiss as mere "symbolism," lies the actual political significance of the Trump-AMLO summit: the emphatic commitment of both heads of state to reestablishing relations along the lines of the Lincoln-Juárez alliance, and the parallel 20th century friendship between President Franklin D. Roosevelt and Mexican President Lázaro Cárdenas—historical references that were the hallmark of Lyndon LaRouche's policy for U.S.-Mexico relations, and North-South ties in general.

Rose Garden Remarks

We are "committing ourselves to a shared future of prosperity, security and harmony," President Trump [declared](#) in a July 8 Rose Garden ceremony before the two presidents signed a "[Joint Declaration](#) between the United States and Mexico." "With this signing," President Trump said, "we pledge the close and continued friendship between the United States and Mexico, and we accelerate our progress toward an even greater tomorrow ... two sovereign nations thriving, growing, and excelling side by side, working together... the potential for the future of the United States and Mexico is unlimited."

Trump elaborated:

The tradition of great respect between Mexican and American Presidents goes back to the early days of both of our nations. And, in particular, it includes President Abraham Lincoln and President Benito Juárez, who each held one another in very, very high esteem. They were great friends and they did great things together. And we are grateful that, this morning, President López Obrador laid a wreath at the memorials that stand to



White House/Shealah Craighead

President López Obrador and President Trump in the Rose Garden of the White House, displaying their Joint Declaration in which they pledge "close and continued friendship between the U.S. and Mexico," July 8, 2020.

each of these leaders, right here in our nation's capital.

López Obrador expanded this history in his remarks.

The best President Mexico has ever had, Benito Juárez García, as you have mentioned, had a good understanding with the great Republican President, Abraham Lincoln. Let us remember that this great, historic leader of the United States, who was the promoter of the abolition of slavery, never recognized Emperor Maximilian, [who was] imposed on Mexico through the intervention of the powerful French army.

He continued, "The same thing happened with the splendid relationship that Democrat President Franklin Delano Roosevelt had with our patriot President, General Lázaro Cárdenas." AMLO cited General Cárdenas's expression of deep appreciation of FDR's acceptance of Mexico's right to expropriate foreign investors looting Mexico's oil, as a reassertion by Franklin Roosevelt "of the sovereignty of the peoples of this continent."

Trump spoke warmly of the "36 million incredible Mexican-American citizens," hardworking people who "uplift our communities," and contribute to every aspect of American industry, commerce, science, medicine, police, and military. Turning to López Obrador with a smile, he added: "They're like you: They're

tough negotiators and great business people, Mr. President.”

López Obrador thanked Trump for having personally intervened to help Mexico acquire ventilators to fight the COVID-19 pandemic. Turning to Trump, he added:

But what I mainly appreciate is that you have never sought to impose anything on us violating our sovereignty.... [Y]ou have followed, in our case, the wise advice of the illustrious and prudent President George Washington, who said, “Nations should not take advantage of the unfortunate condition of other peoples.” You have not tried to treat us as a colony; on the contrary, you have honored our condition as an independent nation.

He then addressed the American people:

That’s why I’m here to express to the people of the United States that their President has behaved with us with kindness and respect. You have treated us just as what we are: a country and a dignified people; a free, democratic, and sovereign people. Long live the friendship of our two nations.

It is highly unusual for a Mexican President to address the American people directly about their sitting President, all the more so in the middle of a heated election campaign—a point not lost on the Biden campaign and its Establishment sponsors.

Upon his return to Mexico, AMLO briefed his nation in a July 10 press conference, stressing that he and President Trump intend to base current relations on the very best in the other nation’s past:

The heroes of that nation [the U.S.] always sought to have a relationship with Mexico based on respect. [In the U.S.] I spoke of three [presidents], of the exemplary behavior of the U.S.’s heroes towards Mexico; the good presidents that

the U.S. has had and who have been respectful towards Mexico. As for those who were not respectful, well we aren’t going to recall them. It’s better to forget.

He recalled that a number of persecuted Mexican presidents, including Juárez, had sought refuge in the U.S., “The United States, because of how it was founded, the way in which that nation was founded, its first settlers, its first colonists established a truly democratic political system.”



gob.mx

López Obrador pays homage to Mexico’s hero-President, Benito Juárez, at a wreath-laying ceremony in Washington, D.C., July 8, 2020.

The Back Story

For nearly 40 years, since the end of the José López Portillo presidency (1976-1982), Mexico’s economy has been viciously looted by Wall Street and the City of London’s debt collection practices, usually with the unambiguous backing of Washington. This bankers’ dictatorship was codified in the 1994 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), which was finally revoked by President Trump and replaced by the USMCA (United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement), which was signed by Trump and AMLO during their meeting. Although the USMCA is inadequate, and leaves most of Wall Street’s control over the North American economies still to be crushed, it is far better than the despised NAFTA.

For those same 40 years, American statesman Lyndon LaRouche organized internationally around the concept that replacing that bankers’ looting with a mutually beneficial economic development policy between the U.S.

and Mexico, “would represent in principle the model for a new economic order in North-South relations.... There would be a change in the global strategic geometry resulting in chain-reaction fashion from the establishment of such a relationship,” LaRouche stated in a March 20, 1981 [speech](#) at the Monterrey Institute of Technology. He repeatedly referred to the relationship between Lincoln and Juárez, and between FDR and Cárdenas, as paradigmatic of what U.S.-Mexico relations should be. In fact, LaRouche’s own working relationship over decades with Mexican President José López Portillo was built on precisely that idea and precedent. See the report from 2002 that follows this article.

The Trump-AMLO decision to return to the best moments of U.S.-Mexican relations didn’t just happen out of the blue. In fact, all of the major features of the meeting had clearly been agreed to and carefully prepared in advance, as an unnamed White House official made clear in a pre-summit briefing to a small group of reporters: “President Donald Trump wants to ‘imitate’ with his Mexican counterpart Andrés Manuel López Obrador, the good relationship that the former Presidents Abraham Lincoln and Benito Juárez had.”

In fact, the influence of LaRouche’s ideas is woven into the very fabric of U.S.-Mexican relations over the last four decades. [See](#) “LaRouche’s Unfinished War for a New World Economic Order,” in *EIR*, May 24, 2019, pages 22-38.

Support from Mexico’s LaRouche Movement

When López Obrador won the Mexican presidential election on July 1, 2018 with a landslide victory, the LaRouche Movement in Mexico issued an “Open Letter to Andrés Manuel López Obrador” on August 20, in the form of a 20-page [pamphlet](#) published under the headline “China-Mexico-U.S. Economic Cooperation: How To Forge a New World Paradigm and Reconstruct the Nation.” The document circulated widely in political circles in Mexico. LaRouche movement organizers also handed the pamphlet personally to AMLO on at least three occasions. The pamphlet reviewed Lyndon LaRouche’s history of promoting U.S.-Mexican relations based on the Lincoln-Juárez alliance, and concluded:

Regarding immediate action steps to be taken, AMLO’s idea of inviting Xi Jinping and Trump to his inauguration is a very good one. They could take advantage of the opportunity to discuss the matters we have indicated here, among

other things. To actually resolve the problems facing the country, the region and the world, the discussion has to move in that direction ... We have to return to the best of our respective nations and cultures, as must also be done on a world scale. Let us mutually help each other build a “win-win” world, as Xi Jinping has said.

To help shape the environment before the July 8 Trump-AMLO summit, the Mexican LaRouche movement circulated a policy statement across Ibero-America headlined, “The Message Which López Obrador Should Take to Trump,” which highlighted

the world importance of that meeting [of Trump and AMLO], in particular because of the role that Mexico will play as a non-permanent member of the United Nations Security Council, starting on Jan. 1, 2021. At the beginning of 2020, Russian President Vladimir Putin proposed that a summit be held of the five permanent members (P5) of the UN Security Council to address the grave crises which the entire planet is facing, and which require immediate joint action ... Such a summit must be held as soon as possible, based on the same approach that the great American President Franklin Delano Roosevelt set forth in his New Deal and Good Neighbor policies. That is the central message which President López Obrador should communicate to his counterpart President Trump at their upcoming meeting.

REPORT FROM 2002

LaRouche in Dialogue with José López Portillo

On August 22-23, 2002, the LaRouche movement organized an international conference in Guadalajara, Mexico, “Mexico-Brazil-Argentina: The Hour of Integration—March Towards a New Bretton Woods,” to commemorate the 20th anniversary of the publication of *Operation Juárez*, the book-length [study](#) by Lyndon LaRouche in 1982, written after meeting with José López Portillo, then President of Mexico. The gathering was addressed by both LaRouche and former President López Portillo (1976-1982).

LaRouche, who could not travel to Guadalajara because Mexican authorities would not meet the security conditions required for his visit, sent a written [speech](#). The United States, LaRouche said, was founded to foster what is known as the general welfare, or Common Good, but from the outset the oligarchy tried to destroy that intention, and to keep any other country which reflected the success of the American Republic from emerging elsewhere in the world.

LaRouche said in his speech,

That changed, with the victory of Abraham Lincoln, Abraham Lincoln's government, in the Civil War within the United States ... [T]he British and a fascist ruler, Napoleon III, the Emperor of France, combined forces to invade and crush Mexico, crushing the legitimate President of Mexico, Benito Juárez. At the close of that period, after the fascist tyranny of the Emperor Maximilian, who was essentially a Hapsburg puppet, a British puppet ... the French were kicked out of the Americas ... and Juárez, after a series of events, reestablished the Republic of Mexico.

Since that time, the ebb and flow within the United States, has determined U.S. relations with Mexico. They were better under Franklin Roosevelt; terrible under his predecessor, Theodore Roosevelt ... but then came 1982: A new monetary system had been put into place, in 1971. A literally fascist tendency in the United States, of sympathizers of the former Confederacy, [took power with Richard Nixon, and set out to eliminate] not only the Franklin Roosevelt legacy, but the legacy of Lincoln and all other great founding figures of the United States.

Mexico began to feel the pressure....

In that period, I met briefly with President López Portillo, in his office, and we discussed the matter. And he asked me: What is the fate of Mexico, in this situation? And I said, "Well, the intention in Washington and New York is to crush you, with a blow to come down no later than September of this year." And from that discussion, and discussion with others in the Americas, there came my determination to set forth a policy, as an economist, which would be ade-

quate to deal with the crisis, which was then, at that time, coming down on all of the states of the Americas: Mexico, Brazil, and Argentina, foremost.

There was a brief period, said LaRouche, in which it appeared that his policy document, *Operation Juárez*, would be adopted by the U.S. government. Had that happened, the Ibero-American countries, LaRouche said,

would have been able to defend themselves, and also to win the United States government to cooperate with them.

Unfortunately, that did not occur. Henry Kissinger went to Mexico in October, for example; other pressures came down; U.S. State Department officials, from that point on, said, "This guy LaRouche will never be allowed in Mexico, again." I was considered too dangerous to be turned loose. So, that's what it was.

We are now at the tail-end of an international monetary system, and, either we replace it by returning to a system along the lines of the old Bretton Woods system, or the nations will die, he said, continuing:

Only if we can win that fight, will we have the correlation of forces, to give the Americas as a whole, the justice which they are presently being denied. And thus, the tradition of Lincoln's implicit alliance, with Benito Juárez, and the struggle for the development of a true Mexican Republic, is the precedent to which we must turn today.

In his [speech](#), which was read on his behalf, as he was unable to travel for health reasons, former President López Portillo lamented that LaRouche was not present personally in Guadalajara "to enlighten us with his expert teaching, although, of course, I am happy, and send my greetings, to his worthy spouse, Helga Zepp." López Portillo said, that "if we want a better world, and we do, we must march towards a New International Financial Order which serves the needs of the powerful countries, and of those which, not being so, wish to resolve their national population's social problems."

DOCUMENTATION

July 3, 2020

Remarks by President Trump at South Dakota's 2020 Mount Rushmore Fireworks Celebration

Subheads have been added.

Governor Kristi Noem, Secretary of the Interior David Bernhardt, members of Congress, distinguished guests: Thank you, and a very special hello to South Dakota.

As we begin this Fourth of July weekend, the First Lady and I wish each and every one of you a very, very Happy Independence Day.

Let us show our appreciation to the South Dakota Army and Air National Guard, and the U.S. Air Force for inspiring us with that magnificent display of American air power—and of course, our gratitude, as always, to the legendary and very talented Blue Angels.

Let us also send our deepest thanks to our wonderful veterans, law enforcement, first responders, and the doctors, nurses, and scientists working tirelessly to kill the virus. They're working hard.

We're grateful as well to your state's Congressional delegation: Senators John Thune and Mike Rounds, and Congressman Dusty Johnson. And all others with us tonight from Congress, thank you very much for coming. We appreciate it.

There could be no better place to celebrate America's independence than beneath this magnificent, incredible, majestic mountain and monument to the greatest Americans who have ever lived.

Today, we pay tribute to the exceptional lives and extraordinary legacies of George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, Abraham Lincoln, and Teddy Roosevelt. I am here as your President to proclaim before the country and before the world: This monument will never be desecrated, these heroes will never be defaced, their

legacy will never, ever be destroyed, their achievements will never be forgotten, and Mount Rushmore will stand forever as an eternal tribute to our forefathers and to our freedom.

We gather tonight to herald the most important day in the history of nations: July 4th, 1776. At those words, every American heart should swell with pride. Every American family should cheer with delight. And every American patriot should be filled with joy, because each of you lives in the most magnificent country in the history of the world, and it will soon be greater than ever before.

Our Founders launched not only a revolution in government, but a revolution in the pursuit of justice, equality, liberty, and prosperity. No nation has done more to advance the human condition than the United States of America. And no people have done more to

promote human progress than the citizens of our great nation.

It was all made possible by the courage of 56 patriots who gathered in Philadelphia 244 years ago and signed the Declaration of Independence. They enshrined a divine truth that changed the world forever when they said: "...all men are created equal."

These immortal words set in motion the unstoppable march of freedom. Our Founders boldly declared that we are all endowed with the same divine rights—given us by our Creator in Heaven. And that which God has given us, we will allow no one, ever, to take away—ever.

Seventeen seventy-six represented the culmination of thousands of years of western civilization and the triumph not only of spirit, but of wisdom, philosophy, and reason.



We Will Protect Our Culture and Our Monuments

And yet, as we meet here tonight, there is a growing danger that threatens every blessing our ancestors fought so hard for, struggled and bled to secure.

Our nation is witnessing a merciless campaign to wipe out our history, defame our heroes, erase our values, and indoctrinate our children.

Angry mobs are trying to tear down statues of our Founders, deface our most sacred memorials, and unleash a wave of violent crime in our cities. Many of these people have no idea why they are doing this, but some know exactly what they are doing. They think the American people are weak and soft and submissive. But no, the American people are strong and proud, and they will not allow our country, and all of its values, history, and culture, to be taken from them.

One of their political weapons is “Cancel Culture”—driving people from their jobs, shaming dissenters, and demanding total submission from anyone who disagrees. This is the very definition of totalitarianism, and it is completely alien to our culture and our values, and it has absolutely no place in the United States of America. This attack on our liberty, our magnificent liberty, must be stopped, and it will be stopped very quickly. We will expose this dangerous movement, protect our nation’s children, end this radical assault, and preserve our beloved American way of life.

In our schools, our newsrooms, even our corporate boardrooms, there is a new far-left fascism that demands absolute allegiance. If you do not speak its language, perform its rituals, recite its mantras, and follow its commandments, then you will be censored, banished, blacklisted, persecuted, and punished. It’s not going to happen to us.

Make no mistake: this left-wing cultural revolution is designed to overthrow the American Revolution. In so doing, they would destroy the very civilization that rescued billions from poverty, disease, violence, and hunger, and that lifted humanity to new heights of achievement, discovery, and progress.

To make this possible, they are determined to tear down every statue, symbol, and memory of our national heritage.

True. That’s very true, actually. That is why I am deploying federal law enforcement to protect our monuments, arrest the rioters, and prosecute offenders to the fullest extent of the law.

I am pleased to report that yesterday, federal agents

arrested the suspected ringleader of the attack on the statue of Andrew Jackson in Washington, D.C.—and, in addition, hundreds more have been arrested.

Under the [Executive Order](#) I signed last week—pertaining to the Veterans’ Memorial Preservation and Recognition Act and other laws—people who damage or deface federal statues or monuments will get a minimum of ten years in prison. And obviously, that includes our beautiful Mount Rushmore.

George Washington Defeated the Most Powerful Empire On the Planet

Our people have a great memory. They will never forget the destruction of statues and monuments to George Washington, Abraham Lincoln, Ulysses S. Grant, abolitionists, and many others.

The violent mayhem we have seen in the streets of cities that are run by liberal Democrats, in every case, is the predictable result of years of extreme indoctrination and bias in education, journalism, and other cultural institutions.

Against every law of society and nature, our children are taught in school to hate their own country, and to believe that the men and women who built it were not heroes, but were villains. The radical view of American history is a web of lies—all perspective is removed, every virtue is obscured, every motive is twisted, every fact is distorted, and every flaw is magnified until the history is purged and the record is disfigured beyond all recognition.

This movement is openly attacking the legacies of every person on Mount Rushmore. They defile the memory of Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln, and Roosevelt. Today, we will set history and history’s record straight.

Before these figures were immortalized in stone, they were American giants in full flesh and blood, gallant men whose intrepid deeds unleashed the greatest leap of human advancement the world has ever known. Tonight, I will tell you and, most importantly, the youth of our nation, the true stories of these great, great men.

From head to toe, George Washington represented the strength, grace, and dignity of the American people. From a small volunteer force of citizen farmers, he created the Continental Army out of nothing and rallied them to stand against the most powerful military on Earth.

Through eight long years, through the brutal winter at Valley Forge, through setback after setback on the

field of battle, he led those patriots to ultimate triumph. When the Army had dwindled to a few thousand men at Christmas of 1776, when defeat seemed absolutely certain, he took what remained of his forces on a daring nighttime crossing of the Delaware River.

They marched through nine miles of frigid darkness, many without boots on their feet, leaving a trail of blood in the snow. In the morning, they seized victory at Trenton. After forcing the surrender of the most powerful empire on the planet at Yorktown, General Washington did not claim power, but simply returned to Mount Vernon as a private citizen.

When called upon again, he presided over the Constitutional Convention in Philadelphia, and was unanimously elected our first President. When he stepped down after two terms, his former adversary King George III called him “the greatest man of the age.” He remains first in our hearts to this day. For as long as Americans love this land, we will honor and cherish the father of our country, George Washington. He will never be removed, abolished, and most of all, he will never be forgotten.

Lincoln Abolished Slavery, Preserved the Union, and Paid with His Life

Thomas Jefferson—the great Thomas Jefferson—was 33 years old when he traveled north to Pennsylvania and brilliantly authored one of the greatest treasures of human history, the Declaration of Independence. He also drafted Virginia’s Constitution, and conceived and wrote the Virginia Statute for Religious Freedom, a model for our cherished First Amendment.

After serving as the first Secretary of State, and then Vice President, he was elected to the Presidency. He ordered American warriors to crush the Barbary pirates, he doubled the size of our nation with the Louisiana Purchase, and he sent the famous explorers Lewis and Clark into the west on a daring expedition to the Pacific Ocean.

He was an architect, an inventor, a diplomat, a scholar, the founder of one of the world’s great universities, and an ardent defender of liberty. Americans will forever admire the author of American freedom, Thomas Jefferson. And he, too, will never, ever be abandoned by us.

Abraham Lincoln, the savior of our union, was a self-taught country lawyer who grew up in a log cabin on the American frontier.

The first Republican President, he rose to high office from obscurity, based on a force and clarity of his anti-slavery convictions. Very, very strong convictions.

He signed the law that built the Transcontinental Railroad; he signed the Homestead Act, giving—for some incredulous scholars, as too simply defined—to ordinary citizens free land to settle anywhere in the American West; and he led the country through the darkest hours of American history, giving every ounce of strength that he had to ensure that government of the people, by the people, and for the people did not perish from this Earth.

He served as Commander-in-Chief of the U.S. Armed Forces during our bloodiest war, the struggle that saved our Union and extinguished the evil of slavery. Over 600,000 died in that war; more than 20,000 were killed or wounded in a single day at Antietam. At Gettysburg, 157 years ago, the Union bravely withstood an assault of nearly 15,000 men and threw back Pickett’s charge.

Lincoln won the Civil War; he issued the [Emancipation Proclamation](#); he led the passage of the [13th Amendment](#), abolishing slavery for all time—and ultimately, his determination to preserve our nation and our union cost him his life. For as long as we live, Americans will uphold and revere the immortal memory of President Abraham Lincoln.

Theodore Roosevelt exemplified the unbridled confidence of our national culture and identity. He saw the towering grandeur of America’s mission in the world and he pursued it with overwhelming energy and zeal.

As a Lieutenant Colonel during the Spanish-American War, he led the famous Rough Riders to defeat the enemy at San Juan Hill. He cleaned up corruption as Police Commissioner of New York City, then served as the Governor of New York, Vice President, and at 42 years old, became the youngest-ever President of the United States.

He sent our great new naval fleet around the globe to announce America’s arrival as a world power. He gave us many of our national parks, including the Grand Canyon; he oversaw the construction of the awe-inspiring Panama Canal; and he is the only person ever awarded both the Nobel Peace Prize and the Congressional Medal of Honor. American freedom personified in full. The American people will never relinquish the bold, beautiful, and untamed spirit of Theodore Roosevelt.

Teach Our Children to See America as Martin Luther King Did

No movement that seeks to dismantle these treasured American legacies can possibly have a love of America at its heart. Can't have it. No person who remains quiet at the destruction of this resplendent heritage can possibly lead us to a better future.

The radical ideology attacking our country advances under the banner of social justice. But in truth, it would demolish both justice and society. It would transform justice into an instrument of division and vengeance, and it would turn our free and inclusive society into a place of repression, domination, and exclusion.

They want to silence us, but we will not be silenced.

We will state the truth in full, without apology: We declare that the United States of America is the most just and exceptional nation ever to exist on Earth.

We are proud of the fact that our country was founded on Judeo-Christian principles, and we understand that these values have dramatically advanced the cause of peace and justice throughout the world.

We know that the American family is the bedrock of American life. (Applause.)

We recognize the solemn right and moral duty of every nation to secure its borders. (Applause.) And we are building the wall.

We remember that governments exist to protect the safety and happiness of their own people. A nation must care for its own citizens first. We must take care of America first. It's time.

We believe in equal opportunity, equal justice, and equal treatment for citizens of every race, background, religion, and creed. Every child, of every color—born and unborn—is made in the holy image of God.

We want free and open debate, not speech codes and cancel culture.

We embrace tolerance, not prejudice.

We support the courageous men and women of law enforcement. We will never abolish our police or our great Second Amendment, which gives us the right to keep and bear arms.

We believe that our children should be taught to love their country, honor our history, and respect our great American flag.

We stand tall, we stand proud, and we only kneel to Almighty God.

This is who we are. This is what we believe. And these are the values that will guide us as we strive to

build an even better and greater future.

Those who seek to erase our heritage want Americans to forget our pride and our great dignity, so that we can no longer understand ourselves or America's destiny. In toppling the heroes of 1776, they seek to dissolve the bonds of love and loyalty that we feel for our country, and that we feel for each other. Their goal is not a better America, their goal is the end of America.

In its place, they want power for themselves. But just as patriots did in centuries past, the American people will stand in their way—and we will win, and win quickly and with great dignity.

We will never let them rip America's heroes from our monuments, or from our hearts. By tearing down Washington and Jefferson, these radicals would tear down the very heritage for which men gave their lives to win the Civil War; they would erase the memory that inspired those soldiers to go to their deaths, singing these words of the Battle Hymn of the Republic: "As He died to make men Holy, let us die to make men free, while God is marching on."

They would tear down the principles that propelled the abolition of slavery in America and, ultimately, around the world, ending an evil institution that had plagued humanity for thousands and thousands of years. Our opponents would tear apart the very documents that Martin Luther King used to express his dream, and the ideas that were the foundation of the righteous movement for Civil Rights. They would tear down the beliefs, culture, and identity that have made America the most vibrant and tolerant society in the history of the Earth.

The Determination of Our Ancestors, Even to the Stars

My fellow Americans, it is time to speak up loudly and strongly and powerfully and defend the integrity of our country.

It is time for our politicians to summon the bravery and determination of our American ancestors. It is time. It is time to plant our flag and protect the greatest of this nation, for citizens of every race, in every city, and every part of this glorious land. For the sake of our honor, for the sake of our children, for the sake of our Union, we must protect and preserve our history, our heritage, and our great heroes.

Here tonight, before the eyes of our forefathers,

Americans declare again, as we did 244 years ago: that we will not be tyrannized, we will not be demeaned, and we will not be intimidated by bad, evil people. It will not happen.

We will proclaim the ideals of the Declaration of Independence, and we will never surrender the spirit and the courage and the cause of July 4th, 1776.

Upon this ground, we will stand firm and unwavering. In the face of lies meant to divide us, demoralize us, and diminish us, we will show that the story of America unites us, inspires us, includes us all, and makes everyone free.

We must demand that our children are taught once again to see America as did Reverend Martin Luther King, when he said that the Founders had signed “a promissory note” to every future generation. Dr. King saw that the mission of justice required us to fully embrace our founding ideals. Those ideals are so important to us—the founding ideals. He called on his fellow citizens not to rip down their heritage, but to live up to their heritage.

Above all, our children, from every community, must be taught that to be American is to inherit the spirit of the most adventurous and confident people ever to walk the face of the Earth.

Americans are the people who pursued our Manifest Destiny across the ocean, into the uncharted wilderness, over the tallest mountains, and then into the skies and even into the stars.

A Garden of American Heroes

We are the country of Andrew Jackson, Ulysses S. Grant, and Frederick Douglass. We are the land of Wild Bill Hickock and Buffalo Bill Cody. We are the nation that gave rise to the Wright Brothers, the Tuskegee Airmen, Harriet Tubman, Clara Barton, Jesse Owens, General George Patton, the great Louis Armstrong, Alan Shepard, Elvis Presley, and Mohammad Ali. Only America could have produced them all. No other place.

We are the culture that put up the Hoover Dam, laid down the highways, and sculpted the skyline of Manhattan. We are the people who dreamed a spectacular dream—it was called: Las Vegas, in the Nevada desert; who built up Miami from the Florida marsh; and who carved our heroes into the face of Mount Rushmore. (Applause.)

Americans harnessed electricity, split the atom, and gave the world the telephone and the Internet. We set-

tled the Wild West, won two World Wars, landed American astronauts on the Moon—and one day very soon, we will plant our flag on Mars.

We gave the world the poetry of Walt Whitman, the stories of Mark Twain, the songs of Irving Berlin, the voice of Ella Fitzgerald, the style of Frank Sinatra, the comedy of Bob Hope, the power of the Saturn V rocket, the toughness of the Ford F-150 and the awesome might of the American aircraft carriers.

Americans must never lose sight of this miraculous story. You should never lose sight of it, because nobody has ever done it like we have done it. So today, under the authority vested in me as President of the United States I am announcing the creation of a new monument to the giants of our past. I am signing an [Executive Order](#) to establish the National Garden of American Heroes, a vast outdoor park that will feature the statues of the greatest Americans to ever live.

From this night and from this magnificent place, let us go forward united in our purpose and re-dedicated in our resolve. We will raise the next generation of American patriots. We will write the next thrilling chapter of the American adventure. And we will teach our children to know that they live in a land of legends, that nothing can stop them, and that no one can hold them down. They will know that in America, you can do anything, you can be anything, and together, we can achieve anything.

Uplifted by the titans of Mount Rushmore, we will find unity that no one expected; we will make strides that no one thought possible. This country will be everything that our citizens have hoped for, for so many years, and that our enemies fear—because we will never forget that American freedom exists for American greatness. And that’s what we have: American greatness.

Centuries from now, our legacy will be the cities we built, the champions we forged, the good we did, and the monuments we created to inspire us all.

My fellow citizens: America’s destiny is in our sights. America’s heroes are embedded in our hearts. America’s future is in our hands. And ladies and gentlemen: the best is yet to come.

This has been a great honor for the First Lady and myself to be with you. I love your state. I love this country. I’d like to wish everybody a very happy Fourth of July. To all, God bless you, God bless your families, God bless our great military, and God bless America. Thank you very much.

II. Save Our Bankrupt World

Schiller Institute International Conference

June 27, 2020

Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a 'Four-Power' Summit Now

PANEL 3


The Job of Youth

Megan Beets

Introductory Remarks

We are going to begin with a musical offering to set the tone for our discussion. You will hear My-Hoa Steger, who is a member and organizer of the Schiller Institute in San Francisco, California, performing Johann Sebastian Bach's Prelude and Fugue in C-minor, from the *Well-Tempered Clavier*.

If we look back through history at moments of great revolutionary change, we see that most of them have been brought about either in part, or, on the whole, by youth movements: The Italian Renaissance, the American Revolution, the Apollo Moon-landing. This is not by chance; there's a principle involved, a principle that Lyndon LaRouche recognized going back to the very beginning of his own political activity in the 1960s and in the decades since. Young people do not just represent the future, they create it. They are not necessarily trapped by the old, failed axioms of the previous generations. To quote



Schiller Institute

Megan Beets

Percy Bysshe Shelley, "Young people resonate with the gigantic shadows which futurity casts upon the present."

Today is no different, and today's huge crisis requires the leadership of youth—but youth who are qualifying themselves to lead this new paradigm of civilization.

So, let me introduce our speakers on the panel tonight, and give you a sense of how this is going to work. We'll hear first from the leader of the LaRouche Youth Movement, Helga Zepp-

LaRouche, followed by Daniel Burke, who is a leading organizer with the Schiller Institute, and is also currently a candidate for U.S. Senate in the state of New Jersey.

We'll then hear from a number of different people, including some of the people who are leading the effort to reach out to and educate young people in various parts of the world: Carolina Domínguez Cisneros in

Note: We present here the edited transcripts of the third of three panels of the one-day Schiller Institute conference. The first two panels were presented in EIR Vol. 47, Nos. 27 & 28, June 26 and July 3, 2020. The videos of the conference are available [here](#).

Mexico and Chérine Sultan in France, and you'll also hear from some of the young people who have been participating in an ongoing series of dialogues with Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and in making organizing interventions in their own nations on behalf of the policies for a new paradigm. You'll hear from José Vega in the United States; Sebastián Debernardi in Peru; Andrés

Carpintero in Colombia; Daniel Dufreine Arévalo in Mexico. You'll hear from Franklin Mireri from You-Lead, in Tanzania; Areej Atef in Yemen; Sarah Fahim from Morocco, studying in Paris; and Lissie Brobjerg in the United States.

We'll then go to a dialogue, where you'll hear more young voices who are part of this growing chorus.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Building a Youth Movement to Save a Bankrupt Nation

Lyndon LaRouche is, among many other things, the founder of the LaRouche Youth Movement. This is an audio excerpt played during the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, "Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now," on Panel 3: "The Job of Youth," of remarks delivered by Mr. LaRouche on February 1, 2003 to an East Coast Youth Movement cadre school in Pennsylvania and, simultaneously, by video-conference, to a West Coast youth cadre school. A transcript of his entire remarks, including the discussion session that followed, is available [here](#).



Schiller Institute

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

have when you go into these various places, like the campuses—go into places such as the state legislatures, or the Congress—you see the effect you have. The presence of four, five, or six of you, walking in, knowing what you're talking about, which is more than most of these legislators can do, and others: You have an effect on them.

What happens then, is not magical, it's principled. Whether people know it or not, the difference between man and a monkey, is the fact that the human species can do what

no monkey can do, no ape can do, no Al Gore can do: Actually assimilate valid ideas of principle, and transmit them to a next generation. That's the difference between man and the ape. Man is capable of discovering universal physical principles by a method of discovery which is illustrated by Plato's dialogues. Or illustrated by the case of Kepler, or illustrated by the case of Gauss, or the case of Leibniz. Man can do that—and transmit these discoveries, about what's out there in terms of principles in the universe, and transmit this to new generations.

These discoveries, and their transmission, increase man's power in the universe, per capita and per square kilometer. Therefore, the most important thing about man, is society. We all die. Everyone is going to die. The mortal life of everyone will come to an end. So, you've got a mortal life; what are you going to do with it?

How long it is, is not the most important thing. It's what you go out of this life, leaving behind.

Historically, only a certain kind of youth movement can change things.

Your generation, as well as those among your parents' generation, who are still alive and viable, are confronted by the fact that your parents' generation gave you a *no-future* world. There's no way you can make a deal with this culture, which prevails today. No way. Because you can't survive! This culture cannot deliver you the means to survive....

So, you know that. What are you going to do about it? You know that you don't have a future unless you can change society. But you're a generation which is not in a controlling position in policy-making of society. So, what you do, is you go out like missionaries, and begin to organize the dead generation, your parents' generation, in society. And you see the impact you

And what do you leave behind? You leave behind younger people. You leave behind successive generations of younger people. You leave behind what you transmit to them, what you contribute to their development, to the circumstances of their work in life, to the conditions of society, to coming generations....

And when you're wise, and you're living in a generation, you think about dying. Not in the sense of a

morbid thing, but you say, "I'm going to die eventually. Now, while I'm still here, I'm going to get a certain job done. And my job is, to guarantee, to the degree I can contribute to this, that the next generation will have everything we have, in terms of knowledge, and the next generation will have a better life than we had. And that future generations will benefit from what we, in our generation, have done."

Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Why Do We Study Universal History?

Helga Zepp-LaRouche is the founder and chairwoman of the Schiller Institute. This is the edited transcript of her presentation to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, "Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now," on Panel 3: "The Job of Youth."



Schiller Institute

Helga Zepp-LaRouche

I want to bring to your attention a very important writing by Friedrich Schiller, after whom the Schiller Institute is named, and that is "Why Do We Study Universal History?" This was an address which Schiller gave to students in Jena in 1789, where he talked to a room full of students like you who are now assembled here on this webinar, and he said that the fact that we have assembled here—and you can actually refer this to our situation as well—you have to take all of universal history into account: All of you come with a very specific history, family, background, cultural experiences, something which made you join this webinar. And he basically then says, it is that which brings people together which makes them uniquely qualified to respond to the historical moment in which they are.

Now, we would not be here without the man you just listened to, namely, my late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, who was really the most spectacular, knowledgeable—he knew just about everything. He ran eight times for President, he was known throughout the world. We had many leaders in India, in Mexico, in

African countries, who all expressed one thing, namely, that he was about the only American they could trust. And he had developed a unique method of scientific knowledge, of forecasting; he predicted every single aspect of this situation in which we find ourselves. He talked about the pandemic; he talked about the systemic collapse of the financial system, when it was absolutely not apparent, because everything supposedly was going well. But if people would have listened to him, we would not be in the

situation we are in now.

He had an incredible vision of where mankind should be, which is expressed in a beautiful [movie](#) he made, "The Woman on Mars"; it's expressed in his writing, *Earth's Next Fifty Years*; in which were all extremely visionary ideas of where mankind should be.

But I want to emphasize one quality, which I think distinguishes him from all other people, because he had the most unbelievable passion for mankind. And since it's now not so fashionable that young people should have passion for mankind, I would like to encourage you to take that specific aspect, the *agapē* of Lyndon LaRouche, because if we are going to save civilization, and if you are going to save civilization, because it's your future, I think you need exactly that incredible love for humanity, and then, there is no problem which is unsurmountable. That's really what I wanted to tell you.

Daniel Burke

If You Sat Where They Sit, What Would You Do?

Daniel Burke is an independent candidate for the U.S. Senate from New Jersey. This is an edited transcript of his presentation to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, “Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now,” on Panel 3: “The Job of Youth.”

The Schiller Institute has convened this conference with the urgent goal of bringing about a summit of the leaders of the so-called Four Powers: Russia, China, India, and the United States. I address my presentation to the youth of the world, to encourage them to investigate for themselves, what should be the character of such a summit. For, without a personal notion of what should be accomplished, how can you genuinely demand this meeting to occur?

So, my question is, “If you sat where they sit, what would you do?” You may also stand; sitting is not mandatory.


It may be useful to begin by asking, just who is it that we are sitting in for? Not in the sense of, who are Trump, Putin, Xi, and Modi personally—but, who is a national leader and what are their obligations?

What authority is conferred upon you, when you take their place, and where does that authority spring from?

Some, like John Bolton, perhaps, would say that the authority of the U.S. Presidency lies in its vast power—its military power. Its power to kill. These are the heirs of Thrasymachus, outright Satanists, who, in fact, obliterate the notion of “authority” by crowning “force” supreme—force without regard for its author. This concept of authority is exactly the one *preventing* a summit from taking place.

It’s like Mike Pompeo’s doctrine of deterrence—kill them first, that way they can’t do anything wrong!

To many Americans, the source of a President’s authority lies in the notion of “democracy.” Since we



Schiller Institute

Daniel Burke

elected our President, he gets his authority from the people. He should represent their will. These are the people who put, “Not *my* President!” on their bumper stickers. But, it raises a question: What if your citizens have become a bunch of raving degenerates, on account of the misleadership of the past, or their own moral failings? What if their will is to take drugs and play video games? That would make for a terrible summit!

If we change our approach, and say that this authority comes from

the “consent of the governed” rather than “the will of the people,” an obvious question follows: “By what authority do individuals confer their consent?”

In our nation’s Declaration of Independence, we answered this question by appealing to the unalienable rights conferred on all human beings by their Creator—to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

Ultimately, therefore, the President’s authority, and, indeed, the authority of the leader of any sovereign nation, does not derive from the people, or even from the Constitution or the Declaration of Independence (no words jumped off the page to give him the keys to the White House), but rather from the natural rights of the human individual in the living image of God. Should life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness be promoted, the obligations of that authority are fulfilled. The same concept is known in China as the “Mandate of Heaven.”

The Pursuit of Happiness

This creates another problem—you’d better figure out what this thing called happiness is! So, if you’re depressed, you’re going to have to give that up.

I submit to you—that the greatest happiness is that which corresponds most closely with our unique human characteristics. *We are not animals!* We are *creative* creatures. We think, we discover, we devote ourselves

to the future. *Not* to the present—to the future!

Here, I can disabuse you of the idea that you are important because you are youth! It's not so. It's because you are humans! I will quote from Mr. LaRouche: "Natural Law is the hypothesis which corresponds to the necessary and sufficient reason for mankind's successfully continued existence." That is—human progress in the universe towards a greater and greater mastery over its principles, is an essential function of that universe. We're acting on behalf of the universe, when we do that.

As the German-American space pioneer Krafft Ehricke put it, "By expanding through the Universe, man fulfills his destiny as an element of life, endowed with the power of reason and the wisdom of the moral law within himself."

So, I think it is *not* at all an exaggeration, to say that the authority of these Four Leaders, to create this New Paradigm, depends upon the future colonization of the Solar System, and, implicitly, the Galaxy. That is the most human thing that we can do.

Their actions today, these leaders, are necessary to the task before us, which will have been vitally important to creating that future—today, we have to overturn the unjust rule over world relations by Thrasymachus! He has palaces in the City of London, in Lower Manhattan, and we should repossess them, and his weapons of mass destruction—financial derivatives—should be buried in a cave where they can't harm anyone.

And if we act in that way, we can unleash a Promethean age—we can create miracles such as the founding of a freedom from material want for every human child. A future where even the Moon and the Earth, who have been lovers forever, according to Percy Shelley, will finally marry, and the ceremony held at the founding of the first international Moon village. And in case you think I am too optimistic, consider the words of Lysander Spooner, from his 1860 treatise, "The Unconstitutionality of Slavery":

Natural law may be overborne by arbitrary institutions; but she will never aid or perpetuate them. For her to do so, would be to resist, and even deny her own authority. It would present the case of a principle warring against and overcoming itself. Instead of this, she asserts her own authority on the first opportunity. The

moment the arbitrary law expires by its own limitation, natural law resumes her reign.

Here I find, then, the job of the youth. Regarding yourself not as youth per se, but as practitioners of the natural rights of man—discover for yourself the limitations of the arbitrary law of oligarchy, which has prevented humanity as a whole from acting in accord with natural law.

What are the limits to a tyrant's power? Where is the weak flank of the enemy?

I think it lies in the flimsiness of the postmodern paradigm, so-called. "The prevailing narrative" tells us that we want to be free from judgment, free from responsibility, free from rules or limits on our behavior. Free Wi-Fi. Or—increasingly popular—we're encouraged to run society the way that the Big Tech firms run social media. Block anyone whose views differ from you—they are not human; you are justified in ruining their lives by any means necessary.

And stacked on top of those narratives is a meta-narrative: namely, that the universe as such is fundamentally unknowable, and that "narratives" are how we impose meaning on our lives—while we all acknowledge, with a knowing glance, that such a task is, in fact, meaningless.

You can know whether you like Death Metal, or Lo-Fi Hip Hop, or K-Pop, but you cannot know the meaning of your life in history—you can know if you identify as left-libertarian, or right-authoritarian, but you cannot know how to end poverty. Poverty, human suffering, these are merely part of the pastiche—the millimeter-deep collage of experiences that our lives comprise.

That fraudulent and quite Satanic view of the universe *is* a weak flank. Across the world, the real physical economic conditions have asserted themselves. The passions of the people are erupting, and being manipulated to drive us further toward the mass killing of the impoverished populations of the world. But, it's my faith that a small number of people committed to developing a higher, more beautiful concept of the nature of man, can sound a certain note, and change the course of history. And it's my view that this is not a hopeful wish, but it is hope itself, upon which we have always depended.

So, ultimately, will you find within yourself the moral leadership, to cause yourself and others to discover the principles of natural law?

Getting Back the Great Ideas That Were Stolen From Us

Following the initial panel presentations, remarks were heard from organizers representing the Schiller Institute Youth Movement in Ibero-America: **Carolina Domínguez-Cisneros**, who is leading the Youth Movement of the Schiller Institute in Mexico; **Sebastián Debernardi**, from Peru; **Andrés Carpintero**, in Bogotá, Colombia; and **Daniel Dufreine Arévalo**, from Mexico. This is the edited transcript of the simultaneous English interpretation of their remarks delivered in Spanish.


Carolina Domínguez-Cisneros:

This international conference is a result of the efforts of the Schiller Institute, which I've been a member of, for a number of years. I would like to share with you our enthusiasm and hope in creating an international youth movement.

Throughout his life, Lyndon LaRouche, and his movement which we are part of, defended the idea of creating a youth movement that studies the most profound ideas that humanity has produced. These profound ideas represent the creation of new institutions. LaRouche always said that, if you want to educate a president and transform a society, you should create a youth movement. And that is what we have done.

The youth movement which we are now creating is based on the idea of giving youth what has been stolen from them in their universities, their schools, and in general. They have stolen from them the idea that they can know the universe, they can understand the universe, and master the principles that run the universe in which man lives. In addition to understanding those universal principles, they can take them, master them, and apply them for the welfare of all society.

As you have seen throughout this conference, it is essential that youth and the new generations master these concepts.



Schiller Institute

Carolina Domínguez-Cisneros




Schiller Institute

Sebastián Debernardi



Schiller Institute

Andrés Carpintero



Schiller Institute

Daniel Dufreine Arévalo

So our work in Mexico, Colombia, Peru, Chile, Argentina, Venezuela and in general in Spanish-speaking countries, the task we have taken up is to gather together these youth who are interested in transforming history, in being participants in an international process with other youth who are not willing to be told by the media that yes, this is a sad situation, that lots of people are dying daily—but rather that they have to change it. They cannot just wait to someday be part of those statistics, but they have to act.

And that is what the LaRouche movement exists to do, to be that guide. We have weekly meetings studying Kepler, the astronomer whom LaRouche tasked us to understand. Kepler showed how human beings are able to understand those principles, and he left us documents that allow us to understand his method and his thinking. We also study Friedrich Schiller—right now we are reading the *Letters on the Aesthetical Education of*

Man, which has totally stunned the youth about how they have been denied all these ideas in the universities. The younger people in these meetings are the ones who are most struck, thinking that their education has only been to learn things, pass an exam, and then forget them. Now they recognize, by participating in our movement, that the knowledge and method they are learning is useful to transform society.

So the message I want to give you is to join and participate in this movement. I don't expect you to agree with all of the ideas that we have discussed on these panels, but I do believe that we have all felt at some point that things are not right, and that it is necessary to do something, to assume responsibility as young adults.

The following messages that we are going to hear are from youth whom we have asked to comment on what they think of the work we've done—youth from Peru, Colombia and Mexico, who have taken up the opportunity to know the ideas that were stolen from them in their formal education.

So I invite you to participate in this. We have meetings every week, and this movement is growing. All of the work that Lyndon LaRouche developed has allowed us to master ideas that will help us change history, and not be reconciled to a totally uncertain future. That is my message to you; we're here so that all youth can participate in this process. Thank you, very much.

Sebastián Debernardi: I want to tell you about a Dialogue Meeting that we held on June 17, with the participation of Schiller Institute youth from Latin America, on the subject of the proposal to create 1.5 billion new, productive jobs in the world. That program is in response to the economic and health crises globally, and to the urgent need of the population as a whole to have greater development for their lives, and those of their families.

Various great projects proposed for our countries by the Schiller Institute can have a major impact both on the creation of jobs that improve the quality of life for people, such as access to a better education and culture to be able to carry them out, as well as benefits they would bring in the short term.

The Dialogue Meeting was characterized by a shared optimism, as a result of the joint search for answers to the problems of the age, which are overwhelming our countries. And so we met virtually this time, hoping to be able to actually meet soon, as a result of

the completed great projects.

Andrés Carpintero: I'd like to invite you to get to know the proposals of the movement that Helga and Lyndon LaRouche have created, to reverse the economic and social entropy that has brought us the chaos we are in today. We need to learn and acquire the tools to create a clean and sustainable future, inspired by reason, morality and art. We youth will build the world of the next 50 years. Join and participate in this marvelous movement.

Daniel Dufreine Arévalo: I'm very happy to greet you from Mexico. I have a very important message for you, especially the youth. We are living in a world that is changing ever more quickly, but the only thing that hasn't changed is oppression by the powerful, who are toying with the world's people. We are living in mankind's most important age, a mankind whose purpose is to grow and improve those aspects of life which make us human: love, passion, joy and methodology. The powerful have taken all of this from us, and they will continue to do so, unless we change this reality.

Fortunately, there is a plan, a plan inspired in the profound thinking of Lyndon LaRouche, which essentially is an educational for fighting against the problems caused by the sick ambitions of the Wall Street and City of London circles. That plan requires the greatest possible number of youth, with their dreams and hopes, in order to make a better world in which to live, and not merely survive.

The Glass-Steagall Act will be implemented; the banks will be quarantined because they are bankrupt; and the toxic derivatives bubble will be frozen. We will demand that the leaders of Russia, China, the United States and India meet to decide on the next stage of industrial growth, which will allow us to grow more, while using less. Connecting the world with hundreds of thousands of kilometers of high-speed rail lines; creating more than 1.5 billion jobs in the whole world.

The time for changing the world has arrived, and we need you now. Let us fight now, to make this reality possible. Let us all fight to free the world, to bring down national barriers, to eliminate ambition and hate. Let us fight for the world of reason, for a world where science, where progress lead us all to happiness. Brothers, in the name of freedom, *we must all unite*.

Franklin Mireri

The Greatest Want of the World Is for True Leaders

Mr. Mireri is the YouLead Partnerships Coordinator in Kenya. This is an edited transcript of the presentation he gave to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, “Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now,” on Panel 3: “The Job of Youth.”



Schiller Institute

Franklin Mireri

Ladies and Gentlemen, fellow citizens of the world: Allow me to greet you in the famous Swahili greeting, “*Jambo!*” which simply means “Hello!”

YouLead is East Africa’s flagship Youth Leadership and Development Program, working to unlock youth leadership potential for a prosperous region. YouLead is a collective-action youth program hosted by MS Training Centre for Development Cooperation (MS-TCDC) and the East African Community (EAC) Headquarters in Arusha, Tanzania. It is co-owned and supported by the YouLead Consortium of over 25 state and non-state partners across all of the 6 East African countries and Member States of the EAC.

We are cognizant of the wonderful work that is being done by the Schiller Institute in advocating for and mobilizing governments to respond definitively to the current crises, especially through the efforts of impassioned youth across the world, who are committed to taking responsibility for persuading their governments into action.

Last month, YouLead, a consortium organization in the six East African countries, launched a sovereign report on the disruptions of the coronavirus in the youth life in East Africa. The study, which was conducted between March and April, laid bare the startling socio-economic impacts of COVID-19 on the livelihoods here in East Africa: 59% of the respondents had extremely severe negative impacts to their income, and this was just at the beginning of the crisis in March; 57% had experienced severe impact to their education, while 34% were not working from home because of the nature of their work. We believe that the economic impact will be most severe in developing countries,

since many countries do not have social security safety nets.

At YouLead we are developing an online jobs platform for East African Youth, to mitigate the economic effects that have been brought about by the coronavirus. The platform will bring together skilled youth and potential employers on the same platform, with an emphasis on verified skills and a scoring system from successfully completed tasks, which will build trust. The platform will provide three distinct features: a platform to

reskill and retool youth; a one-stop shop for employers and employees; and a youth employer mobility passport. And finally, skilled and unskilled jobs without borders. This is to overcome the challenge of labor mobility in East Africa.

The creation of 1.5 billion new jobs across the world and dedicated financing for efficient health infrastructures in every country will definitely require more than just talk. Sadly, many of the noble ideas that have been advanced in the past, like the Millennium Development Goals, then the Sustainable Development Goals, the Global Goals, and action towards curbing climate change, have been clawed back because of a lack of leadership.

The greatest want of the world right now is for True Leaders. Leaders who will not be bought or sold, leaders who are true and honest to the plight and needs of their citizens and humanity. Leaders who do not fear calling impunity and servitude by their names, leaders who will stand for what is right, though the heavens fall.


Allow me to end by quoting a famous Swahili phrase, “*Hakuna matata*,” which means “All is well.” I am sure most of you have heard that saying in many cartoons or animation films. The phrase appeals to the optimistic, good-natured spirit of human beings all over the world. The truth is that the world is presently faced with a uniquely challenging combination of threats on every side.

This is the time for decisive action by everyone: young and old, rich and not-so-rich, from every religion, race and kindred. If we do not move and act decisively, together—the consequences will be dire.

Sarah Fahim

Education Is a Right of All Youth

Ms. Fahim, a Moroccan student studying in Paris, has been working with the Schiller Institute in France. This is the edited transcript of her presentation to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, “Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now,” on Panel 3: “The Job of Youth.”



Sarah Fahim

Schiller Institute

I have been studying the work of the Schiller Institute on the situation of young people's fate in my country and across Africa. Real phenomena are at the source of the failure of these young people to enter the professional world.

Morocco is a divided country. Politics has unfortunately made of the national educational system something singularly reserved for less privileged social classes. There are way too many students and they're growing towards a school system that does not lead them out of poverty, and towards success. There are way too few teachers and they're discouraged by mediocre conditions and educational structure. Then comes trouble with language: In public school classrooms French is not well taught, even when this language is, especially since the French protectorates that ended 1956, essential in today's job market. This language, as well as the Arabic language, is spoken daily across the country. These young people then find themselves less trained, pushed aside, and see their future constricted by these conditions.

At the same time, another part of the population is benefitting from quality teaching. The educational system itself has never before been this developed. This minority has access to an education that, while expensive, still guarantees admission into prestigious universities as well as very good jobs, the best in the country. This evolution has led to a very real crisis, driven by the loss of confidence in one's school, its role, efficacy, and equality. Public schooling, though supposed to bring children from various backgrounds together, as opposed to separating them, has failed. This is a real threat to African development. Governors do not ask with the

required urgency for the repair of, and investment in young people's education, to offer them training that will ensure job acquisitions down the line.

This is how creating job opportunities as mentioned in the LaRouche plan will be achieved. Indeed, we need to remember that in the '60s, economists created a positive correlation between human investments and economic growth. The development process of industrialized countries as well

as developing countries has been structurally shown to accompany a general growth in the skills and educational levels of their populations. The essence of creation of job opportunities lies in education, which is one of the strongest weapons against mass poverty.

While we stand to support the African development process, I always wondered if there was this conscious will to deprive Africa of development and education for its youth. Can knowledge be dangerous? The answer to this question came to me when I paid closer attention to colonialism in this continent. It is important to understand that, in today's world, as claimed by LaRouche studies and conferences led by the Schiller Institute, every country's prosperity contributes to the well-being of the general population.

To me, at 19 years old, the only way to save the youth from this vicious cycle is to train them. Exposure to social media is stronger than ever nowadays. We must use all the digital resources we have access to and take advantage of this potential. With around 364 million Africans ages 15-35, this continent has the youngest population on Earth. The United Nations predicted that Africa will be home to over 40% of the global youth population by 2030. The challenge of how to successfully integrate these new people into the formal economy needs to become a top priority for governments, policymakers, and development practitioners.

I was lucky enough to be born to hard-working parents, who had the privilege to offer me an education,

that could help me succeed. I want this opportunity to become a right for all. The children of my country, of my continent, of the entire planet deserve these rights. But even with the paradoxical reality between a youth that is sabotaged by our educational system and this

enormous potential young people have, complete with the will to act and in an awareness of the battles to come, it is our duty to provide them with the necessary tools and the new job opportunities will naturally follow.

Chérine Sultan The Negative Aspect of Digital Culture For the Youth of Today

Chérine Sultan is an organizer with the Schiller Institute in Paris. This is the edited transcript of the simultaneous translation from French of her presentation to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, “Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now,” on Panel 3: “The Job of Youth.”



Chérine Sultan

Schiller Institute

I would like to thank Sarah [Fahim] for developing this question of digital, as a chance to develop youth. But I would like to raise the negative point of the digital culture today and see what we can do. We could call that, “the youth and the digital and the future, how to employ digital?” Because often, you get children whose parents are telling them, you have to work in order to earn money, and you have to get good results in school. And when you have good results in school, the parents say, “Well, I’m going to give him one hour of television, one hour of internet, because he’s deserves it.” So, it’s a kind pathway to push children to education.

The problem is that the good results in school are not so good, because the level of education has been going down.

So this success is not at school. But we see the young people have a lot of success in the social networks; that is the new way to have success. So you will see them on Instagram, on YouTube. And the objective of these media is to be seen to have a lot of viewers. So the young people want to be “influencers.”

It’s become a competition, and the negative point in that is, some of them are becoming Manhattan sellers, even against their will, but they’re just selling things,

selling themselves, selling products—for instance, makeup, clothes, drinking. Imagine that, for the very famous influencers, we can have \$20,000 for some minutes of video, and some of them are less than 18 years old, so the parents are dealing with that; and some of them are very happy to have this money, because of the unemployment. So that is a big challenge.

Because I’m just asking the question, who is gaining, who is earning the money, really? Actually, it’s not the people who are selling the

product, it is the companies. Because the companies are just using those young people to sell things. So we can see that the videos are touching more and more people than advertising in the metro stations, because it’s spreading very widely on the internet. And so, if you know Edward Bernays on propaganda, he developed the concept of advertising, this idea of making people commercialized, to sell people was already developed.

One of the favorite hobbies of youth is TikTok, today. TikTok is one of the main occupations of children. I don’t know how many millions of young people have subscribed to this network. You have a lot of young people dancing, and you have to manage to do a perfect dance movement on the video, enter it on the app and you can share the video—and you can do it again and again, before you share it. And so you’re repeating all the movements. Now you have children in classrooms or at home, doing the movements unconsciously, so it’s kind of a robotization of the body’s movement. So their behavior is modelled by this kind of dance. People are more and more sharing their pictures without really going to other places; they’re staying at home, sharing

pictures, and not traveling or going anywhere to share.

Finally, people are becoming enslaved by social networks. You could say that of those young people who want to be influencers, you could say that. (I'm trying to get the idea.) So you have those young people who have access to a higher level, and they want to be not influencers as such in the social networks, but they want to build startups. And the problem is that even in this world of the startup, the small companies growing up, there is a trap, because you need a lot of finance at the beginning, and the finances come from the big companies.

If you don't have money to invest at the beginning, you have to submit to the big companies like Google and Microsoft, and you will have to work for them. But because in France you have something, just call it Station F, which is a startup incubator—like you have a lot of young people doing things, and to go into that you have to pay rent, you have to access employment, often, you have to be dependent on a big company like the GAFAM,

which is Google, Apple, Facebook, Amazon and Microsoft. And if you are clever enough to develop something, the big company will help you, but you will be under the circumstance of being employed by the company.

So your competence is used by those big companies. So maybe you are clever, you've done good studies, but we have to change the social environment and the economic environment, to ensure that the intelligence of people is used for the common good, not for those who have power. The question is, who will be the instructed politicians, because now you have a lot of politicians who are discouraging, they are showing a lot of mediocrity.

So if you want to really be a startup to change the system you have to join our movement. If you want to start to develop as a young student, you have to join our movement, study how Kepler discovered the Solar System, that's what we're working on, that's what determines our capacity to understand the Four Laws that LaRouche has developed.

Lissie Brobjerg Are You a Large-Scale Geological Force?


This is the edited transcript of the presentation Ms. Brobjerg gave to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, "Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now," on Panel 3: "The Job of Youth."

I will begin with a quote from the great Russian-Ukrainian biogeochemist, Vladimir Vernadsky:

The noosphere is a new geological phenomenon on our planet.

In it, for the first time, man becomes a large-scale geological force. He can, and must, rebuild the province of his life by his work and thought, rebuild it radically in comparison with the past. Wider and wider creative possibilities open before him.

Now, what will your role be in the shaping of future geological phenomena? How will future geologists see the irrefutable trace of your life in their geological stud-



Schiller Institute

Lissie Brobjerg

ies? Will the soil reveal only your biological remnants? Or a large-scale noetic geological force?

Vernadsky revolutionized the study of the nature of life. Looking into the chemical composition of soil, he observed that all organisms create a whirlpool of atoms passing through the body by way of respiration, metabolic activity and reproduction. This process tends toward manifesting itself to the highest degree. Furthermore, the evolution of species has a directionality which is not random, but which increases

this biogenic migration of atoms. Looking at the buildup of fossils and life in the ocean, he recognized a steady increase over geological time of biomass, fleshiness, metabolic activity, energetic lifestyle (such as predation and swimming), and increase in food supply. Let's discuss a few examples of this.

Four hundred million years ago the sponge class *Sclerospongiae* was dominating. Afterwards they declined and the classes *Demospongiae* and *Hexactinellida* took over dominance. The living tissue of the old

class was confined to a thin veneer outside a 2-dimensional skeleton, whereas the new classes had developed erect, interlocked 3-dimensional skeletal structures, which enabled them to inhabit areas with strong currents, utilizing the waterflow for nutrition, thereby increasing their biogenic migration of atoms.

At the same time, the dominating corals were of the orders *Tabulata* and *Rugosa*. After they went extinct, *Scleractinia* took over. Whereas the old orders were barely able to attach themselves to the substrate, making them vulnerable to disruptions, *Scleractinia*, through its ability to cement itself to the substrate and build large colonies, could sustain communities that were able to survive even severe storms. Such communities underwent symbiosis with microorganisms that enabled them to inhabit low-nutrition environments.

Then, 240 million years ago, the only orders of *Articulata*, a class of brachiopods, that did not go extinct, were those that developed strong pedicles, enabling them to optimize their position in currents, and those that developed their feeding system to filter through more water for nutrition and prevent the influx of indigestible particles. At the same time, the dramatic increase of the diversity of *Bivalvia*, a class of mollusks,

was due to the development of full mantle fusion and siphons, which enabled it to burrow more efficiently and thereby invade new eco-spaces.

These are examples of the directionality of life toward maximum manifestation and evolution directed through the increase of the biogenic migration of atoms in the biosphere.

Now, the noösphere, the domain of the mind, is able to direct this increase through cognition rather than biology. In Vernadsky's words, since the appearance of civilized humanity tens of thousands of years ago, "the face of the Earth transforms itself and virgin nature disappears." Our thoughts are able to change the chemical composition of the universe like no other species, and over short timespans, through exceptional individual contributions.

Shall your life, then, be reflected mainly through the biosphere or the noösphere? Do you choose to become a large-scale geological force?


What would Shakespeare say?

Be not self-willed, for thou art much too fair
To be death's conquest and make worms thine
heir.

Areej Atef

Youth of the World Face Two World Systems: The Old and the New

Areej Atef is the Vice President of the Education Committee of the BRICS Youth Parliament, in Sana'a, Yemen. She gave this presentation to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, "Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now," on Panel 3: "The Job of Youth." This is an edited transcript of her pre-recorded video remarks.



Schiller Institute

Areej Atef

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to talk with you about the youth at the present time and the future. I'm the Vice President of the Education Committee in the BRICS Youth Parliament. The experience we got in the BRICS

Youth Parliament has given us the ability to see two world systems: the old, and the new. All the things with available knowledge of the LaRouche "5 Keys" to advance the BRICS countries and its definition has reached Yemen, in English language and Arabic.

As I'm responsible for health education in the BRICS Youth Parliament, I trust that all youth of both genders have the will to face the war on policy-viruses, like they're able to face deadly viruses. And this through the right health education,

which is built on physical economy, which we have learned from the late Lyndon LaRouche.

As for the beauty of Yemen: The civilization of

Yemen has a fragrant aroma. This civilization is the identity that triggered the report of the “Happy Economic Miracle,” because of the pairing of the old frankincense trade and the New Silk Road. It is a model report, and all countries should pursue its rules [a reference to the Arabic-language report, “Operation Felix: The Miracle of Yemen’s Reconstruction and Connection to the New Silk Road,” a joint effort of the Schiller Institute, the Yemeni General Investment Authority,

and Swedhydro Consulting (Sweden)].

Finally, I would like to share with you that on the coming Tuesday [June 30] we will be celebrating World Parliament Day. The world has been celebrating this day since 2018, so there they can encourage the development in the parliamentary work. So, if the world is going to celebrate this day, let the siege in Yemen be lifted, so we can achieve Sustainable Development Goals nationally, and internationally.

José Vega A New Space CCC

This is an edited transcript of the presentation made by José Vega to the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, “Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now,” on Panel 3: “The Job of Youth.”

Let us start with Friedrich Schiller, words later put into song by Beethoven:

Be embraced, O ye millions!
Here’s a kiss for all the World.
Brothers, above the canopy of stars,
A loving Father must surely dwell.
Do you feel Him near, O ye Millions?
Do you sense your Creator, World?
Seek Him above the canopy of stars!
Above the stars must he reside.

I don’t think even Beethoven realized it, but he was actually calling for a space program long before Kennedy.

Through classical composition, Beethoven’s entire symphony serves to develop the ideas and essence of Schiller’s poem, which is that of Mankind’s beauty under the image of the Creator. Beethoven was incredibly challenged to set music to the poem, saying that it may not have been possible to create a symphony as beautiful as the poem. Beethoven’s composition of the *Ninth Symphony* is similar to the Apollo space program, in that it required the composer to make new creative discoveries that would allow for such a composition to



Schiller Institute

José Vega

even exist.

In our pursuit to seek a loving father above the canopy of stars, we must make new discoveries that will enable us to go farther and faster than ever before. But what does it take to actually accomplish this? Martin Luther King, Jr. wrote in his letter from a Birmingham jail, “Human progress never rolls in on wheels of inevitability; it comes through the tireless efforts of men willing to be co-workers with God, and without this hard work, time itself becomes an ally of the forces

of social stagnation.” What does that mean, to be God’s co-worker? It demands that you use everything you have, no matter how big or small it is. That requires big thinking, not small-mindedness.

Take the poorest district in the United States, which has the highest COVID-19 transmission and infection rates, the highest levels of poverty and drug use, and also the highest amount of “essential workers.” How can anyone who lives in these conditions be expected to believe me, when I tell them that humanity is greater than this, and that within them is the potential for greatness? Well, truthfully, they no longer have a choice. They have to believe me because if they don’t, the country, and the world around them will implode. The fight for an honest future begins with those who need it the most. Because it is within them that the real future begins.

We must demand a New Deal-era policy, where a new kind of Conservation Corps is brought about, and it will be called a Space Civilian Construction Corps.

Where anyone between the ages of 18 and 26 is allowed to use their God-given right to develop their creative capacities to bring forth a real future.

Suppose the people who go through the program are now running around building hospitals in their communities where millions will be born long after their deaths, and building schools where those millions will receive an education similar to theirs. These same people start developing higher forms of energy-flux density where it'd be more expensive to send you a bill every month than to actually power your home. But then they go beyond their communi-

ties and even their own countries.

As they get older and other programs start popping up all over the world, they become teachers, passing down what they've learned, so that those they teach can then do for the world, what the original group did for their country. I would like to think that Martin Luther King, Jr. would agree with me when I say that this is one of the highest forms of non-violence.

I'd like to finish off with a quote from Beethoven's *Choral Fantasy*. "Only when Love and power are wed/ Mankind has God's blessing." So, with that being said, are you ready to be co-workers with God?

Exonerate LaRouche!

A discussion about the urgent necessity of exonerating economist, scientist, and former presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche, followed the main presentations of Panel 3, "The Job of Youth," at the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, "Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now." This is an edited transcript of that discussion, initiated by Theo Mitchell, former South Carolina State Senator, with panelists José Vega, Daniel Burke, and Franklin Mireri.

Theo Mitchell: I want to pay my respects and regard to my good friend Helga Zepp-LaRouche, for having the temerity to put on this panel, this conference; and certainly to her late husband Lyndon LaRouche, my long-time friend, recognizing his contribution and his foresight and his perspective as far as even today is concerned. It's really perplexing to me to see that we are living in a time and an administration that has little interest at all in doing the right thing, especially on exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche.

I have been active for quite a while with the Schiller Institute. We dealt with the Operation Fruehmenschen and the human rights abuse concerning Lyndon. The Operation Fruehmenschen, of course, was targetted at the African-American elected officials. We managed to bring that to a standstill or halt, and consequently we don't know what if anything Lyn paid the price for, for he served time for nothing: It was abuse. Former Attorney General Ramsey Clark said that it was the worst case of abuse of the so-called system of justice, of all the chronic abuse that he had ever seen. And this man was in

the Attorney General's office, one of the Cabinet offices. Consequently, he came out in support of Lyn. We all did.

We are all happy to know that there are so many young people who are now participating in this saga. There's a lot of work to do, but we always have to remember this: To be able to get the justice that Lyn deserves and the exoneration, we're going to have to press people into the service, as far as this world is concerned. How can we act, when there's still abuse? No matter what you talk about as far as the Four-Power conferences are concerned, they're not going to spend one nickel or dime on Lyndon LaRouche; especially this administration.

This is a program that we certainly can't forget. It is something that we must continue working on. In the cases of George Floyd in Minneapolis, and the shooting death of Ahmaud Arbery in Atlanta, it's an abuse. It's open season. Still, open season on the black male. Consequently, I'll ask this distinguished panel, "What suggestions if any do you have to be able to help save us?" Exonerate our good friend, Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.!

Megan Beets: There's a really wonderful [video](#) on Lyndon LaRouche's exoneration which people should watch and help us disseminate.

Daniel Burke: The most important thing that we can do, in my view, is to create 50 million new productive jobs in the United States, and 1.5 billion jobs in the whole world. This is not a jobs program; this is a fulfillment of what Mr. LaRouche was fighting for in his life. It is a policy of transforming the human species to a

new and more noble level of activity. It means that we're going to be invigorating all Americans with a mission for the future. Because it is only by means of the future that we have any ability to unify Americans. It's always been that way; we're always for a "more perfect union" to fulfill the promissory note known as the Declaration of Independence.

It's in that effort, as people commit themselves to creating such a future, I believe, that we'll be able to solve the abuses of people that exist. Intolerable crimes that are committed against people in the name of—for all types of justifications. We're going to have to take a look at a universal standard of man that demands of us that we fight with such a passion to overcome the brutality of this system in all of its representations by establishing a scientific optimism about the future.

To put it very directly, I am perhaps more optimistic than you are, that we could get this administration to exonerate Mr. LaRouche. I think that this is a time for miracles, and whatever circumstances stand in our way that appear to be objective, the fact of the matter is that their system is in a total breakdown crisis. So, the rules that have been set up to keep this system going are crumbling, because the system is crumbling. Therefore, I'm committed to the idea that it is possible in a short amount of time to create a breakthrough on the recognition of Mr. LaRouche in the United States.

Perhaps the most important thing we can do, in addition to fighting for his exoneration itself, is to recruit people to the vision he developed. Which includes taking the people of the post-industrial cities of the United States, taking the people of the poor areas of our nation, and giving them a means to contribute to the future. This is how we're going to give people a deeper identity and get them out of a feeling of nihilism and despair, which is clearly inundating the country.

José Vega: If black lives matter, why isn't there a space program in the Bronx, or in Oakland, California?

I live just a few blocks from Gouverneur Morris' grave. Gouverneur Morris, the person who penned the Preamble to the Constitution. In that Preamble, it speaks of promoting the General Welfare. So, if we're promoting the General Welfare, doesn't that include developing the minds of all Americans, and giving them the opportunity to educate our youth?

I'd like to reference the story of Kalief Browder. He was wrongfully put in Riker's Island prison, over a dispute of stealing a backpack. He was there for three years; his mother could not afford bail. Eventually, he

was found innocent. He refused to plead guilty to a crime he did not commit, and three years after leaving Riker's Island, he committed suicide. There was no more hope, no future for him, in his mind. That is a tragedy. That is what's happening to many young Americans today who feel as if there is no future and no hope. We will give them one.

In Plato's *Meno* dialogue, Socrates and Meno, a slave master, are having a discussion about virtue and where knowledge comes from. Socrates says, I'd like to see one of your slave boys. So, Meno brings out a slave boy, and Socrates asks about the slave, was he born here, and can he speak the language? These two things imply that this is not a native Athenian. This is somebody who does not look like them, or may not even sound like them.

Socrates brings him to the beach and tells the boy to double the area of the square. What does that mean, exactly, to the slave boy? The slave boy does it, and the slave boy is not learned. He has not studied at all, nobody's ever taught him anything. And yet, he was able to find the solution to a complex geometrical problem, which is not so complex. The point is, he could easily be the slave master, as Meno could be a slave.

The way we're going to solve this, is just develop the minds of people, so that 50 million years from now, when everybody owns their own galaxy, what will the questions be? Will the question be, do black lives still matter? Or, what do they become? How do you transform the future in that way?

Franklin Mireri: It's been wonderful hearing from the fellow panelists and even from Senator Mitchell, and how passionate he is about the issue of exonerating Lyndon LaRouche. While many people outside of the United States may have heard of Lyndon LaRouche, I first heard about him this year, when I started taking the economics classes being offered by the Schiller Institute.

Many people may not have heard about him, what I know which does resonate across the world is what he stood for. For example, the way the financial systems are currently skewed against developing countries. So, that's just one aspect. As we then seek, as we then sign the petition, let us not forget the importance of global solidarity towards that cause. You never know; the more people who get to know his wonderful works, the more pressure might be gradually put on any administration. It might be this administration, or the coming one; but ultimately what he stood for was greater than just in the United States.

Discussion: Conference Panel 3

This is an edited transcript of discussion that followed the main presentations of Panel 3, “The Job of Youth,” at the Schiller Institute International Conference, on June 27, 2020, “Will Humanity Prosper, or Perish? The Future Demands a Four-Power Summit Now.” The session was moderated by Megan Beets. Participating were panelists José Vega, New York; Chérine Sultan, France; Daniel Burke, New Jersey; Franklin Mireri, Kenya; Lissie Brobjerg, New Jersey; Carolina Domínguez-Cisneros, Mexico; and Sarah Fahim, a Moroccan student studying in Paris; and members of the audience.

Maddie: Thank you, José for your impassioned speech, because that’s what we need. We need somebody who’s going to connect with people. A theme throughout the conference is that history is made by individuals. Every single one of us has the potential to change the world. Unless we act on that, the future we all dream of is not going to come into being.

José Vega: It’s true. History is changed by individuals. But what good is writing the greatest symphony, the greatest essay if nobody is going to read it or listen to it? You really have to organize people around your ideas. Martin Luther King, Jr. was an amazing reverend, preacher, organizer, non-violence promoter. But it was the people around him, the people who organized with him who really made that possible. So, I don’t think you can forget about the unsung heroes. They’re just as important, if not more important. A great philosopher from the 13th century wrote about civilizations that were great, but were lost to war and famine, and no one has ever heard of them since. How do we stop that from happening to us? That requires everybody to come together to prevent what we do here from getting lost and destroyed.

Chérine Sultan: Creativity is a big word that attracts people. But often we don’t know what we are talking about. When you are creative, maybe you don’t recognize it at the time, but if you are confident in the long run, finally you will see the difference between a false creativity and the true one. I encourage people to do the tough work, to work on science, to work with others, because to do it by yourself is quite difficult.

A Multi-Polar World?

Vicente: I would like to ask the panelists if they can clear up a doubt that I’ve been thinking about. Today, as we can see, it is inevitable and it is impossible; we cannot implement all these projects of the LaRouche movement and the Schiller Institute without the concepts for embracing globalization and various alternatives like the multipolar world, and this is talked about in the BRICS and the New Silk Road.

These are all new alternatives for globalization, but as we can see in nature, as in the human spirit, multipolarities don’t exist. Is the multipolar world for globalization you embrace coherent with the physical laws of the universe? Because in nature, there is no multipolarity and neither in the human spirit. Earth is a polar world and as the Chinese *Book of Changes*—they call it the *Zhou yi* or *I ching*—teaches, you can bypass the polar concept, but you have to go beyond the polar concept. It’s not anymore polar; it’s passive. It’s not any more active, it’s beyond. So, these are not active spaces on Earth; these are passive spaces on Earth.

Does the multipolar world of the alternative of globalization being embraced in BRICS and the New Silk World, coexist with the universal laws of physics and the human spirit?

Lissie Brobjerg: We have to start from the standpoint of trying to understand the nature of the universe. When we look at how life has been developing biologically, we see that new solutions are found all the time in order for life to manifest itself more effectively. It’s interesting how animal life and plants develop new biological technologies in order to do that. But the mind is superior to that. Vladimir Vernadsky discusses how suddenly you have an explosion in the world because of human cognition. We make all these discoveries.

I don’t think that the nature of our universe comes down to a question of multipolar or not. I think what’s interesting is our creative ability to find solutions and to manifest ourselves in our thoughts and our ideas more effectively in this universe. What do you think about that?

Vicente: Yes, well, I think that the universe is as Lyndon LaRouche said, it’s negentropic. We see that mathematics and its closed systems are entropic models,

models that can't understand the universe. I am asking because if in politics and in the economy we create on Earth, yet embrace a concept of the alternative of globalization that's based on the multipolar world idea, it is the same as we can see if we just study old civilizations.

They say it is proven scientifically that Earth is based on two poles—the North Pole and the South Pole. This is gravitational and electromagnetic, so I don't understand the concept of a multipolar world when you want to embrace it on Earth. I want to understand if this is an entropic system or a negentropic system that can coexist with the universal laws of physics. This is in the aspects of politics, economy, and globalization, so is this *negentropic* or *entropic*?

Carolina Domínguez-Cisneros: You're going to have to discover this for yourself. We're working on Kepler, and that's the best method. There's a [document](#) LaRouche wrote for all youth, people who are younger than me, people young like you and even younger people. It's called "My Early Encounter with Leibniz," which is a document for young adults. I'm not going to save you the hard work that's required, but let's keep studying Kepler.

Burke: What do we mean when we say "globalization"? This is something that Helga LaRouche has referenced more than once. It is not her view that there is such a possibility of a multipolar world, and I concur. In other words, one in which you have multiple poles of influence that are collaborating; it's meant to be in opposition to what's called the unipolar world, which is where you have a concentration of power in one center. Neither of these theories of the world really coheres with what is happening, which is that we live in an era of oligarchy.

The British Empire's operation to suppress humanity is the key enemy that we have. It's not a matter of one nation holding power over others, although the United States has often played the role of the brawn for the British brains, but rather, it's a matter of creating a community of nation-states. Or, as the President of China refers to it, "a community of shared destiny." "A community of principle" is what President John Quincy Adams called it.

The purpose of a nation and the purpose of our republic here in the United States is to advance the pursuit of happiness for our population. But it's based on the

idea of universal rights of the individual that extend naturally beyond Americans per se, as Benjamin Franklin emphasized, back then, we have the prospect of national governments working together for the common aims of humanity.

If we want to demonstrate that the world is not a closed system, not an entropic system, as you're raising, Vicente, then the strongest way to do that is to have collaboration among Russia, China, and the United States, and other countries. All other countries that we possibly can bring into this, for the exploration of the Solar System and the galaxy. Because as José said, it's a future in which we're all going to have our own galaxy. There are two trillion galaxies out there, and there's more than enough room for the human population to extend out there. It's a demonstration that there's not such a thing as fixed resources, or a closed system, or that we must manage through a unipolar or multipolar system.

What we need is a level of recognition of sovereignty, respect for the sovereign governments of many nations, that they can form agreements in which they can work together for the benefit of all. This realm of space science would be a great frontier by which we could change everything.

The Jobs of the Future

Calvin: There was a comment about people becoming slaves of white social networks and social platforms, further going on to criticize young people for making a huge amount of money by doing things such as selling make-up and making a lot of videos. That criticism about the way people choose to make money reminded me of a conversation I had with someone last week about Uber and Lyft, not being real jobs, that they aren't productive, and they don't provide a security for people.

We talk about a lot of advances, but I see a lot of advances in this society technologically and non-technologically in both ways. I do think the result of some of these advances lets some of the white people choose to make money. But my question is, what's wrong with people making money selling videos and doing Uber and Lyft and things like that? I'm all for the 1.5 billion industrial jobs, but I think some people have to be realistic. Not everyone wants an industrial job; some people are satisfied with selling make-up for the rest of their lives. I'm just trying to understand what's wrong with making money making videos and stuff like that.

Sultan: There is a common point between this and in the past when people had still productive jobs. The less-educated were workers, and the more educated ones were the bosses. It's too simplified, but that was the question. Because you asked yourself, "Do I need to find a job on my own and the society won't help me? So, I have to fight for my future on my own?" The question today is quite the same. "If I use all my own means, if I can make videos in my bedroom, in my bathroom, I will make it. I will own my life, and if I have more skills, I can produce some software, some applications, I can invent something." But there is no collective work. We have to work on this issue.

Vega: Calvin, always a pleasure talking to you, pal. I actually had this same discussion with a few friends the other day. Is it immoral to want to make a living for yourself, and want the best conditions for yourself, if that involves you working a menial job or selling content—whether that be stupid videos on the internet or whether that be dirty pictures and videos on the internet? My point is simple: I think you're worth more than that. I think you're worth more than a 9 to 5 [job], and I think you're worth more than any salary or any amount of money that you could ever make in the world. I think everybody is worth [audio loss] dollar amount.

But where is that worth? That worth is in the soul and in the mind; that's what makes you beautiful. I'm simply saying the country needs the means to develop that beauty that lies within everybody. That's where your real worth is. You could die with \$50 million in your bank account, five homes in Beverly Hills, twenty luxury cars. I think Jay Leno has a robot that he can use. None of that will mean anything. You die, and you've contributed nothing. Is that what you want your life to mean? Life is not defined by the present, but by the future. If you live in the present, you will die when you die. But if you live in the future, you become immortal. That's where true beauty and meaning in your life exists—in the future. That's my response to you, Calvin.

Do Some Jobs Have No Value?

Calvin: José, I truly and honestly agree with everything you say, 100%. But maybe it's just me—I don't know if there's bias on my end, but I think those jobs have value. It's good to live for the future, but I think we also have to live for now. A few examples: Uber and Lyft drivers. Not everyone is in the position to afford a car. Some people must get a job. It's more affordable

than catching a cab. Selling make-up—that's a huge industry. The make-up industry is a huge one in America right now. We have beauty standards in America, unfortunately. You have to look a certain kind of way to get a job; have a certain kind of hairstyle to get a job. These are jobs that help satisfy those requirements to get those jobs or get to work and things like this.

Don't you think it's a bit odd to say that those jobs have no value when they in a way satisfy certain things that are needed today? I don't know; I hope that makes sense. I think those jobs that people consider unworthy are worthy.

Mireri: I just wanted to say I totally understand where Calvin is coming from. I am a content producer, by the way. I produce gospel music when I'm not doing youth engagement work. What I can say is that I think I heard the contributor saying that it isn't bad to be making content and to be spending your time using your talent—whatever it is—to make a living, and as José was saying, explore your creative aspect.

But what I see most young people doing is that they see it as a means to an end. It stops there. The intellect is not growing. Because yes, you can be making music, but also you should be developing your mind. When you look at how the [societal] structures are, as one of the contributors was saying, in the medieval times, and while the economy was developing, the ones whose intellect was more developed were the bosses, and the rest were the peasants. Sadly, that's how the world is. When your intellect and your ingenuity are not explored to the fullest, you are, so to speak, confined to just the menial crumbs of the economy.

Yet, we could do much better. Let me give our context in Africa, for example. A lot of youth are spending more time trying to be YouTubers, trying to be on TikTok. It's not bad, but we could be doing so much more, like exploring funding opportunities, exploring opportunities to be computer scientists. So, that is the whole aspect. We are not saying that yes, content production is not bad, but let us do more. And with that, we will open up a whole new basket of opportunities for the economy.

Brobjerg: I have a question for Calvin. What kind of culture, what kind of thinking is needed among people today and in the future for us to face a situation in two billion years when the Sun burns out? How will we solve that? Yes, we have creative abilities, we have the ability to solve problems. But what kind of culture

do we need in order to do that?

Many animal species went extinct, and if we do not act on a higher level, if we do not develop and make new discoveries, and develop in a way that will make us able to solve that crisis in two billion years, then we could go extinct. What's special about man is our minds. That's the most precious thing we have.

Therefore, I think in terms of necessity, necessity changes. New discoveries make a lot of what you can call practical jobs, or anything, obsolete. What do you think? What kind of thinking do you think is needed for facing that in two billion years?

What Kind of Thinking?

Calvin: Critical thinking, logical thinking. Most definitely some form of intellectual thinking would be needed to at least secure that kind of future or to contribute to it. It would most definitely be a culture of critical thinking.

Brobjerg: Yeah. It's not an easy question, so we really have to look into how we answer that question. Lyn had a huge attack on the educational system, this drill-and-grill method where people have to learn as if they are like a box. You fill the thing and you basically just have to learn like a dog that learns tricks.

He was challenging people, especially young people, to go through the discoveries. Who made the biggest changes for mankind? Who had these huge, large-scale geological influences on behalf of mankind? Carolina was talking about Kepler, who discovered how the Solar System works. So, we should look at those people who actually did change physically and through the noosphere, and redefined mankind and the role of mankind, and the future of mankind. Look at how they thought; we should rediscover their discoveries so that we become qualified to answer that question. What do you think?

Sarah Fahim: The problem is deeper than just selling products. What kind of society are we thinking about if we reduce all our visions to social media? We are encouraging a lack of ambition, we are encouraging the idea of easy money, of not developing our minds because we imagine we can have a normal life by just selling products on Instagram or something. We are not educating people if they believe there is a future in that type of work. It can be a first step; you can sell products to win money to create another project. But it can't be a vision. This is

not the way we should imagine a society; this is so small.

Social media is part of our lives now, we can learn to live with it. But we can't make it the major part of our vision. I do not agree with that, because I don't want my society to not be educated but only to dream about selling products and nothing more.

What Is Economics?

Joshua Kisubika: A question to Daniel, just to get to know the position of the LaRouche group regarding supporting the youth in Uganda. I was saying that over 700,000 people reach working age every year in Uganda. This is expected to rise to an average of 1 million in the decade from 2030 to 2040. It's already creating a mismatch between labor demand and supply. While Uganda's youth are known for being highly enterprising, fewer than 4% of Ugandans are employers.

So, you can see that even in this case, it all goes back to maybe leadership. I was trying to look at which strategies we can decide on and fight together with you to help the youth in Uganda to start living life to the full.

Burke: You're raising the prospect of dialogue and discussion about the epistemology of economics. It depends upon your point of view.

The point of view expressed by this British imperial, oligarchical financial system is that if you have many mouths to feed and you don't have enough food, or if you have many youth to employ, but you don't have enough jobs, that means you're poor.

But from the standpoint of the American System—I'm not referring to what the United States has been doing recently or even over most of its history, but rather the so-called American System of economics from Alexander Hamilton, developed by Lincoln's economist, developed under Franklin Roosevelt, developed under John Kennedy, and in particular, by Lyndon LaRouche as an economist and as an individual—you look at a large number of youth and you say, "My goodness! What incredible wealth we have." We say so because of the creative powers of their minds, and because it's our understanding, as it was Hamilton's, that it's through the function of the human mind making discoveries that we are able to increase our wealth, our ability to provide for the population and for the future population.

If we approach the circumstance from that aspect, we will immediately begin to identify the great projects that need to be built that would establish a new platform of infrastructure, a new platform of capability for the

nation and for the region and for the continent, and therefore, for the world, providing the basis for qualities of economic activity that otherwise were not possible. In doing this, you create a future with a future. You create the next step for the system.

But it's most important that this be under the idea of a leapfrog. We say "leapfrog" to signify going beyond any of the so-called intermediate steps that the IMF demands that people take, which is total nonsense. You may have seen on Panel 1, that Daisuke Kotegawa, former Executive Director for Japan at the IMF, dealt with this idea, that it's ridiculous that we should be expecting nations to go step by step by step up the ladder of industrialization and so forth. That's nonsense! We should go to the highest available technology, and thus overmaster all the problems that have come before and go for the most rapid possible advance of productive capability.

We would like to discuss with you the principles by which this can be achieved in Uganda, in the region, in the continent, and in the world, and what are we demanding from governments.

That's why presently, given the conditions of total breakdown of the system—what we're faced with right now—we really have got to bring forward youth leadership to demand this summit of those nations capable of initiating a New Paradigm. Because if we want to get that kind of project rolling, that kind of new platform, then we're going to have to change the whole financial system. We cannot allow the continued suffocation of the so-called developing countries. What the Schiller Institute is proposing is 1.5 billion new jobs. The discussion is that this could mean \$125 trillion of international credit, provided by international credit institutions to nations.

We'd like to discuss this with you and the youth that you work with, and come to a shared understanding of what is necessary. Then, we will have a basis by which to demand concrete actions of the government there, and of the people of the world, and the governments of the world. Thank you very much for participating.

Closing Remarks

Beets: I encourage everyone who did not get an opportunity to ask a question today to send your question in. We will direct it to the panelists, so that we can continue this fun, fruitful, and important dialogue.

Would each of our panelists who remain with us

please say something in closing before we end our panel.

Sultan: Once you have discovered a kind of truth, a kind of direction society must take, maybe you didn't aim to take leadership, but now the fate is upon you to act on the responsibility for that leadership.

Brobjerg: We will all become very old and wrinkled and ugly and all that, in old age. The question is, when you are there, can you think about your life and say that, "Certainly, my life was important, and I am not just going to be worm food."

Domínguez-Cisneros: I'm very happy. This is the first time we've had a forum of this sort for youth. What helps me to understand and organize youth is to not be judgmental, but to try to inspire them, to view them from the standpoint of *agapē*, of love. If we see youth on drugs or doing other destructive things, if this causes pain, we have to realize that perhaps there is a better option.

We should take the occasion to try to communicate to them the idea that we can change all of this. We have tremendous potential. The more people die from drugs in the streets, the worse it is; rather, they can have lives based on creativity and *agapē* towards others.

Fahim: I think this is extremely amazing to be all gathered today to fight for our ideas and for a better world. This is so powerful and inspiring at the same time. I'm really happy that we're slowly changing our world, and I'm glad to be a part of that change.

Burke: I totally agree with Sarah. It's inspiring; it sets a standard that encourages us to go higher. So, I just want to quote the immortal words of Lyndon LaRouche: "Have fun!"

Vega: Think like Beethoven!

Beets: I thank all the panelists, everyone who got on to ask questions, and the rest of our audience for watching today.

If you're young, if you're old, get active with the Schiller Institute. We need you to become a member. We need you to sign and circulate our [petition](#) for a global health system. We need you to circulate our [program](#) for 1.5 billion new, productive jobs. We need you to organize, and we'll see you again soon!

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review EIR Online

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review
October 31, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 43 www.larouche.com \$10.00

LaRouchePAC Issues Emergency War Plan Against Ebola
Asian Investment Bank Will Finance Great Projects
Putin Speaks the Truth about NATO War Provocations

The New Silk Road: Mankind
Is the Only Creative Species!

EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.

EIR DAILY ALERT SERVICE

EIR's new Daily Alert Service provides critical news updates and analysis, based on EIR's 40-year unparalleled track record in covering global developments.



SUBSCRIBE (e-mail address must be provided.)

EIR Online

- ☐ \$ 360 for one year
- ☐ \$ 180 for six months
- ☐ \$ 120 for four months
- ☐ \$ 90 for three months
- ☐ \$ 60 for two months

EIR DAILY ALERT SERVICE

- \$50 one month (introductory)
- \$150 three months
- \$300 six months
- \$600 one year (includes EIR Online)

For mobile users, EIR and
EIR Daily Alert Service
are available in html

Name _____
Company _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____
Phone (_____) _____
E-mail _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa
☐ Discover ☐ Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____

EIR can be reached at: www.larouche.com/eiw

e-mail: fulfillment@larouche.com Call 1-800-278-3135 (toll-free)