

## **EDITORIAL**

# September 11 Declassification Begins: Will Twenty Years of Emergency Rule Be Reversed?

by Jason Ross

Sept. 12 —On September 11, the FBI released a declassified (but redacted) report from April 2016, which provides additional conclusive information on the involvement of agents of the Saudi Arabian government in supporting the 9/11 hijackers. And this is only the first of a series of declassifications set to occur over the coming six months under Biden's Sept. 3 Executive Order.

The full story of Saudi Arabian involvement in 9/11 will become increasingly clear, but this involvement itself poses larger questions:

First, who within the United States acted to cover up Saudi involvement on 9/11? This question is intimately tied in with such unresolved anomalies as the lack of air defense response after it became clear that an attack was underway at the World Trade Center, as well as the abnormalities of the effects of that attack itself.

Second, who promoted and financed the operation, and to what end? Asking "*cui bono?*" points us not to Riyadh, but to London.

September 11, 2001, set into motion what has been two decades of emergency rule, in which long-term planning has been replaced by responses to supposedly urgent threats, in which intelligence agencies and financial institutions have taken increasing power in the trans-Atlantic world.

This two-decade change in the governance of the planet, or at least its trans-Atlantic sector, was forecast by Lyndon LaRouche, before the September 11 attacks.

Responding to a question from the Congressional Black Caucus, regarding Bush's nomination of "inveterate Confederate" John Ashcroft as his Attorney General, during an EIR January 3, 2001 [webcast](#), Lyndon LaRouche warned of the danger of the U.S. government itself being replaced by crisis management, and of the threat that a Reichstag Fire event would be used to

usher in dictatorial powers under the incoming Bush Administration, all driven by a collapse of the financial system, saying:

We're going into a period in which either we do the kinds of things I indicated in summary to you today, or else, what you're going to have, is not a government. You're going to have something like a Nazi regime. Maybe not initially, at the surface. What you're going to have is a government which cannot pass legislation, meaningful legislation. How does a government which can not pass meaningful legislation, under conditions of crisis, govern? They govern, in every case in known history, by what's known as *crisis management*.

In other words, just like the Reichstag fire in Germany.

How did that happen?

Well, a Dutchman, who was a known lunatic, used to set fires, as a provocateur. And he went around Germany setting fires. And one night, with no security available for the Reichstag [Parliament], he went into the Reichstag building, and set the joint on fire. And Hitler came out and said, "Well, let's hope the Communists did it." And Goering moved, and the Schmitt apparatus, that is, of Carl Schmitt, the jurist. And they passed the *Notverordnung* [emergency decree]. And on the basis of a provocation—that is, crisis management—they rammed through the *Notverordnung*, which established Hitler as dictator of Germany.

What you're going to get, with a frustrated Bush administration, if it's determined to prevent itself from being opposed—its will—you're

going to get crisis management. Where members of the special warfare types, of the secret government, the secret police teams, will set off provocations, which will be used to bring about dictatorial powers and emotion, in the name of crisis management.

You will have small wars set off in various parts of the world, which the Bush Administration will respond to, with crisis management methods of provocation. That's what you'll get. And that's what the problem is. And you have to face that. You've got to control this process now, while you still have the power to do so.

Several years following the attacks, an enormous scandal around the 22-year long arms deal, which had started in 1985, known as "*Al Yamamah*" between British aerospace, security, and weapons manufacturer BAE Systems and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia erupted. This involved enormous quantities of off-the-books cash, bribes, and weapons. On June 21, 2007, LaRouche, speaking at a [webcast](#) entitled "BAE: The World's Biggest Loose End," returned to his earlier warning:

The world has been living under a system, which is the 9/11 system, which already existed, as I warned at the beginning of 2001, before President George W. Bush was inaugurated for the first time in January of 2001. Where I said: The world system has reached the point, that an onrushing collapse of the system is now in process. We can not determine exactly when or how this will occur, but we know the following two things: Number 1, we know that this President and this Presidency can not deal with this crisis. Therefore, we must expect that the entire world will be subjected to the kind of thing we experienced in February of 1933, when Hermann Goering, the man behind the throne, the sort of Dick Cheney of the Hitler Administration, orchestrated the burning of the Reichstag as a terrorist event. And this terrorist event was used on that night, or the following day, to install Hitler with dictatorial powers, which Hitler never lost, until the day he died!

And I said then, the danger is that something like this will occur, under present trends in the United States, and it did occur. And it was called 9/11.

Now, without going into the details of what

we know and what we don't know about how 9/11 was orchestrated, we know that the only means by which this kind of thing is orchestrated, is found in one location: in a financial complex which is centered in the identity of the BAE. Now, that's the mystery of 9/11. How it was done, the mechanics—that's irrelevant. We'll find out. And everybody in and around government, who understands these matters, knows that! And that's where the heat is here.

We've come to the point that an entire system is collapsing. That system, at this point, because of the complicity of the present U.S. government, and the complicity of the leadership of the Democratic Party, as well as the Republican Party, because of this, we are living under a one-world system, called generically "globalization." It's a preparation for the new Tower of Babel, under which there are no nations, and in which languages begin to become babble. Under this system, what controls it? It's called "globalization"; it's called the "global warming crisis"; it's called these various kinds of things, referring to these things. It's a one-world system! It is not consolidated, but every obstacle to this one-world system is crumbling.

Now, twenty years later, the misguided NATO/American adventure in Afghanistan has ended in disgrace, and additional truth about the event that ushered in a global shift in governance is coming to light.

Will the past two decades of geopolitics and hegemony be repudiated and reversed? Will the American people, and the world, come to know what happened on 9/11?

A "big lie" created twenty years of such offenses against human rights as the Patriot Act, the Afghanistan War, the Iraq War, the Libyan disaster, the Yemen War, military intervention in Syria, and, if not stopped, war with Russia and China.

If that lie is not countered, and the theft, by ongoing crisis management, of the very concept of "future" is not reversed, the human race could be decimated by the dark age conditions envisioned by proponents of geopolitical war, "green" supranational governance, and financial "regime change."

Lyndon LaRouche was right when he forecast this train of development more than twenty years ago. Will the world take his advice today?