

Canada's Prime Minister Mark Carney, a High-Level British Agent of Influence

by Gilles Gervais

Gilles Gervais is the president of the Committee for the Republic of Canada.

“There are no current events, only current History.”
—Lyndon LaRouche

May 7—The recent Canadian campaign and election of Prime Minister Mark Carney has not resulted from a unique combination of events and circumstances—as Canadian and international press outlets dutifully claimed—but was the latest case of a senior British Crown agent deployed from Canada to damage the United States. It has been made clear once again that we must view the press as simply an integral part of the enlarged intelligence services.

Mark Carney is this century's version of a handful of Canadians highly trusted by the Crown and the City of London, and who, in the course of the 20th Century, were strategically deployed in defense of British Empire interests whenever the Empire faced an existential “American” threat.

Carney, the central banker,¹ with his Green Finance “partner” Sir Michael Bloomberg, has been a determined fighter since 2015 to strand fossil fuels on asset books, take them to “zero,” and end their use. U.S. President Donald “drill, baby, drill” Trump has fought for the revival of fossil fuels, in collaboration with Russian President Vladimir Putin and Saudi Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman.

Carney already in August 2019 lectured the world's central bankers at the Federal Reserve's annual conference, about replacing the dollar as a world reserve currency with a “synthetic digital currency controlled by central banks.” Trump vows to keep the dollar dominant indefinitely.

Now, leveraging his eight years as Britain's chief central banker, Carney has made Canada part of the “Coalition of the Willing” of European nations opposing Trump's negotiation with Putin, and determined to keep Ukraine at war, with their weapons and manpower support.

And Carney immediately brought British King Charles III, his mentor on “climate” and politics, to Canada to rouse Canadians against the American “threat”—the first British monarch in 70 years to per-



White House/ Daniel Torok

Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney, here meeting with U.S. President Donald Trump.

sonally give a “speech from the throne” in Ottawa.

British Empire Strongmen in Canada

Let us first examine two historically important cases of these Canadian “British Empire Men” in order to get a better grasp as to why Mark Carney was catapulted into becoming Prime Minister of Canada to combat the Trump Administration.

The recent electoral victory of Prime Minister Carney was based on the same British game plan, albeit



CC/Dutch National Archives/Yousuf Karsh

Max Aitken, aka Lord Beaverbrook.

with a slight ironic twist, as the 1911 Canadian federal election. The Empire succeeded in making it a “referendum” against a reciprocity agreement that had recently been signed by Liberal Prime Minister Wilfrid Laurier and United States President Taft.

Lord Beaverbrook

The usually untrustworthy Wikipedia, when queried on the 1911 Canadian Federal Election, or Lord Beaverbrook, presents these largely uncensored passages which report somewhat truthfully on what it was that media baron Lord Beaverbrook (Max Aitken) and his friend Rudyard Kipling were up to, in their journey to Toronto, that would seal the defeat of Canada’s incumbent Prime Minister Sir Wilfrid Laurier:

In January 1911, both Laurier and U.S. President William Howard Taft announced that they had signed a reciprocity agreement, which they decided to pass by concurrent legislation rather than a formal treaty, as would normally have been the case. As such, the reciprocity agreement had to be ratified by both houses of the U.S. Congress rather than just the U.S. Senate—[a decision] which Laurier would later regret....

The Liberals, who by ideology and history had strongly supported free trade, decided to make the issue the central plank of their re-election strategy, and negotiated a free trade agree-



Library of Congress

U.S. President William Howard Taft.

ment in natural products with the United States.

The Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives was a Democrat, Champ Clark, and he declared, on the floor of the House, “I look forward to the time when the American flag will fly over every square foot of British North America up to the North Pole. The people of Canada are of our blood and language.” Clark went on to suggest in his speech that [the] reciprocity agreement was the first step towards the end of Canada, a speech that was greeted with “prolonged applause” according to the Congressional Record.

The Washington Post reported, “Evidently, then, the Democrats generally approved of Mr. Clark’s annexation sentiments and voted for the reciprocity bill because, among other things, it improves the prospect of annexation.”²

In 1911, Aitken [Lord Beaverbrook—ed.] was strongly opposed to the reciprocity agreement with the United States signed by the Liberal prime minister of Canada, Sir Wilfrid Laurier, which he believed would lead to the American annexation of Canada. As such, Aitken temporarily returned to Canada to vigorously campaign for the Conservatives led by Sir Robert Borden. Aitken had his friend Rudyard Kipling intervene in the election on behalf of the Conservatives.... On September 7, 1911, the *Montreal Daily Star* (the most widely read newspaper in Canada at the time) published a front-page appeal to all Canadians by Kipling, where he wrote: “It is her own soul that Canada risks today. Once that soul is pawned for any consideration, Canada must inevitably conform to the commercial, legal, financial, social and ethical standards which will be imposed on her by the sheer admitted weight of the United States.” Kipling’s article attracted much attention in

Canada and was reprinted in every English-language Canadian newspaper over the following week, where it was credited with helping the Conservatives win the election.³

Whitewater

In 1994, strategic policy differences between the Bill Clinton Presidency and the leading banking and oligarchical circles in Britain meant that the U.S. Presidency had to be destroyed. The Empire was enraged by the recent Clinton Israel-PLO Peace Agreement—the Oslo I Accord signed in Washington, D.C., on September 13, 1993. President Clinton was also opposed to the IMF shock therapy against Russia, while the Empire was fully backing, as they are today, the destruction and dismemberment of Russia.

While a majority of Americans, both Democrat and Republican, followed the story closely, they were never told, except by *Executive Intelligence Review* (EIR) magazine, that the main string-pullers in the attacks on the Presidency of Bill Clinton were a foreign cabal whose frontal assault was run by an infamous Canadian “British Empire Man,” press baron Conrad Black, better known to his British peers as Lord Black of Crossharbour.⁴

On March 24, 1994, Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. wrote a foreword to a 64-page dossier titled *Assault on the Presidency*. EIR published the foreword in its April 8, 1994 issue.

Here is a short excerpt from the LaRouche foreword, published at the time of the Whitewater scandal, which was only the beginning stage of the numerous attacks that followed against President Clinton over a period of years, all originating from this very same behind-the-curtains network, including their final assault to get the President impeached in 1998:




Vince Musi / The White House

Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin (left), U.S. President Bill Clinton, and PLO Chairman Yasser Arafat at the September 13, 1993 signing of the Oslo I Accord.

No observer could honestly reject the proof we present here, that the author of the “Whitewater Affair” is a major component of the British foreign intelligence service. Kissinger crony Conrad Black’s Hollinger Corp., the owner of the London *Daily Telegraph*, has created and directed the “Whitewater Affair.”

From the beginning, it has operated through its controlled assets in the *American Spectator* and its Washington, D.C. correspondent Ambrose Evans-Pritchard. Hollinger is the current name for a corporation which was founded and run by British intelligence during World War II, known then as War Supplies, Ltd., co-headed by Conrad Black’s father. This was, and is a corporate flagship cover for the wartime British MI6’s Canada-based Special Operations Executive, founded

by Winston Churchill and Lord Beaverbrook, and headed by the famous Sir William Stephenson.⁵



LaRouche Exploratory Committee pamphlet on the assault on the Presidency.

The dossier presented a list, a veritable *Who's Who*, of the directors and advisors of the Hollinger group, led by former British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, Lord Peter Carrington, Henry Kissinger, Lord Jacob Rothschild, and representatives of the British Barclays, Hambro, and Jardine Matheson financier interests.

The history of this media cartel was researched by Jeffrey Steinberg, head of *EIR's* counterintelligence for many years. Steinberg and his research team were able to trace back this cartel to wartime British intelligence's Economic Warfare Directorate under Lord Beaverbrook.

The report charged that the Whitewater and related scandals were being stage-managed by British intelligence via the media conglomerate Hollinger Corp. and allied American neo-conservative circles, and that this constituted a treasonous foreign destabilization of the U.S. Presidency.

The report was released at a press conference held on April 15, 1994 at the National Press Club in Washington, D.C. The event was sponsored by the Committee to Reverse the Accelerating Global Economic and Strategic Crisis, the presidential exploratory committee of Lyndon LaRouche.

The press conference was a standing-room-only affair, and was videotaped for nationwide cable TV broadcasting. A wide range of domestic and foreign press, diplomats, and lobbyists were in attendance. Attendees at the National Press Club event all received pre-publication copies of the 64-page campaign report.

So why were Americans never told the real story by these national and international press outlets who were not only present but asked questions on that occasion at the National Press Club?

The Teflon Mark Carney

Similarly, one should ask why, 31 years after the Hollinger affair, a very high-level British agent of influence like Mark Carney was able to survive some grueling questions and damning exposés by an assortment of Canadian media and international press during



CC/Canadian Film Centre

Conrad Black

the recent federal political campaign in Canada—and not only managed to survive, but to lead his Liberal Party to victory.

During the campaign, Carney falsely claimed that he had pretty much single-handedly saved the Canadian economy during the 2008 financial crisis, when he ran the Bank of Canada; he was basically rebuked in public by former Conservative Prime Minister of Canada Stephen Harper, who said that this was a bold lie, and that the crisis had been solved by his Minister of Finance at the time, the now deceased Jim Flaherty.

Carney survived many jabs, questioning how he can reconcile his leading executive role at the World Economic Forum, defend-

ing the values of billionaires, and pretend to be concerned with bread-and-butter issues of struggling Canadian families? It was also mentioned in some press that Carney had performed poorly while he was head of the Bank of England, as recounted by former Bank of England employees who had been privy to Carney's failed track record in London.

Carney also skillfully repelled extensive press attacks that accused him of transferring company assets to a Caribbean fiscal paradise, in the recent period when he was president of Brookfield Investments.⁶ Carney's stock answer to the press was that everything he did at Brookfield was quite legal, that this was considered common, established practice in what he called a highly competitive market, and that the Canadian government did not lose any tax revenues as a result of these transfers!

Even a now aging Conrad Black waged a persistent editorial fight to defeat Carney, but to no avail.

Carney Would Implement a Banker's Dictatorship

The following selection, written by *EIR* authors Harley Schlanger and Paul Gallagher, provides a very good short résumé of the actual policy that Mark Carney and people such as Larry Fink, the CEO of BlackRock,⁷ were openly discussing for implementation in August 2019 at the annual central bankers

meeting in Jackson Hole, Wyoming.⁸

Carney in his UN speech, expanded on what he presented at the Federal Reserve's annual outing in Jackson Hole, Wyoming, on August 22. In his remarks there titled, "The Growing Challenges for Monetary Policy in the Current International Monetary and Financial System," Carney insisted that the era of the dollar has ended, and proposed replacing it with a virtual, digital currency, controlled by central banks. Specifying that nothing physical would back this new "synthetic hegemonic currency," he said this new "financial architecture" would allow for the expansion of credit as needed.

Elaborating further, Carney added that he is proposing what former Federal Reserve Chair Ben Bernanke described as "helicopter money"; that is, distribution of funds produced by central banks, as though dropped from helicopters, to "stimulate" the economy. Left unsaid is the real policy: that this new currency would be directed, by the central banks, to flow into the endangered speculative bubble, and to create new bubbles, such as the Green Financial Initiative boondoggle he is promoting.

Carney's Jackson Hole proposal, and a parallel one from the giant Wall Street firm, BlackRock, Inc., promoted by four prominent former central bankers, would far surpass the volume of funny money produced thus far by such gimmicks as Quantitative Easing and Zero or Negative Interest Rates. Such funds would not go to the real, physical economy, but into increasingly risky speculative ventures, to provide a short-term rate of return from trading to keep the bubbles from popping. Their supporters refer to these proposals as representing a "regime change in global finance."

At the UN, Carney explicitly laid out the terms for this "regime change," making clear that those who reject it will be targeted for extinction! Apart from the big banks, he identified the enforcers as asset managers, pension funds, insurers, credit rating agencies, accounting firms and shareholder advisory services. Carney initiated and co-chairs a Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) among central banks and large European private banks, in

order to "make these disclosures mandatory." Carney then proceeded to lay bare his threat against any business or enterprise that might consider rejecting this change in regime:

"Firms that align their business models to the transition to a net zero [-carbon] world will be rewarded handsomely. *Those that fail to adapt will cease to exist* [emphasis added]."

In other words, minimally, non-compliant firms will be denied credit, making their survival impossible.

How Long Will Carney Survive?

Carney might end up having a much shorter time-frame in which to exercise power from Parliament, likely less than two years, which is the average time that the 14 minority Canadian governments of the past were able to hang on to power. (In Great Britain and elsewhere in the world where nations kept a British-style parliamentary system, minority governments are called "hung parliaments.")

This means that Prime Minister Carney, under a minority government, might be unable to inflict on the world the full "financial regime change" expected of him had he been able to win a majority government, which would have given his elected Liberal government a four-year mandate.

It is highly probable that if a major financial storm were to hit the trans-Atlantic world and beyond with full force, panicked heads of state would certainly be encouraged by their respective finance ministers, and the financial predators of the City of London and Wall Street, to rapidly apply an emergency plan nominally concocted by the most celebrated central banker of the 21st Century, Mark Carney, under the guiding "invisible hand" of his British masters.

Sovereignty, Security and Development

How can the so-called Canada-versus-Trump crisis be resolved for the mutual benefit of both Canadian sovereignty and the ability of the United States citizens to renew their commitment to the founding principles of their nation in this year of its 250th anniversary?

Governments in North America, including at the state and provincial levels, have been arguing for the past several months how to respond to the tariffs imposed by President Trump. Which tariff rates, and on which exports to the U.S.A., should Canada itself im-

pose tariffs as a measured response? This approach is a lose-lose game-plan, trying to identify certain battlegrounds where one can at best achieve small gains, or renegotiate some minor concessions, but never win anything of lasting value!

If your government gets too comfortable with this British negotiating mind-set, you are cooked!—in the same fashion that a frog becomes comfortable if you slowly increase the temperature of the pot of water on the stove in which the frog is swimming in. He will stay in the pot until he dies.

The Schiller Institute has written a 4-page [emergency statement](#) that has been translated into many languages and circulated in several countries. Its English title is “What Each and Every Nation Must Do Now: Wall Street Gave Us This Crisis, LaRouche Has the Solution”.

The two following paragraphs from that statement give the direction in which this dilemma must be approached:

President Trump is right: the U.S. has been robbed, but so have the countries of the Global South—as well as other countries around the world. Therefore, we are all sitting in one boat, and the effort to correct the mistakes of the system must be a cooperative one.

Wall Street and the City of London have drooled their way to creating a \$2 quadrillion speculative bubble which cannot conceivably be paid, no matter how many wars they launch and how much they slash countries’ budgets. They have destroyed the productive economies of Europe and the United States, packaged as post-industrial gobbledygook. They have looted the nations of the Global South through debt servitude and related colonial policies....

Lyndon LaRouche, in 1981, also proposed a unique solution for Canada, a [Draft Constitution for the Commonwealth of Canada](#), which has withstood the test of time and would be most appropriate as an answer to the present-day challenges facing all Canadians.

Here is a short excerpt from LaRouche’s Letter of Transmittal for his Draft Constitution:

“A constitution which takes proper advantage of the lessons of past experience, which incorporates those superior features the patriots of other nations might desire for the ordering of their own affairs....

“It is not our constitution, citizens of Canada. It is

our gift to you, and therefore no longer ours, but yours....

“May the Commonwealth of Canada prosper in security and sovereignty in the present and the future!”

End notes

1. A longer, [six-page article](#) by *EIR* economics co-editor Paul Gallagher, entitled “Mark Carney, the Prince (Charles) of Central Bankers” was published in the *EIR* issue of October 18, 2019. If, like in the federal election of 1911 in Canada, this article had been published in all major papers in the recent 2025 elections in Canada, it is quite certain that Mark Carney would have been defeated as Canadian Prime Minister.

2. A short history of the 1911 Canadian federal election can be found [here](#).

3. A short biography Of Lord Beaverbrook can be found [here](#).

4. Conrad Black, at 80 years of age, now resides in Toronto, after having been convicted in 2007 for fraud and obstruction of justice and having spent 3½ years in a U.S jail. Black, who used to hold British and American citizenships, found himself coming out of jail juridically a stateless person. He was obliged by former Prime Minister Jean Chrétien to shed his British citizenship and his peerage as a precondition for being given back his Canadian citizenship. He wrote the book *Donald J. Trump: A President Like No Other*, released by Regnery Publishing in May 2018.

In 2019, Black received a full pardon from President Trump. Black had been a former neighbor of Trump in Boca Raton, Florida, and close friend.

As a life-long British and Canadian Conservative, Black led the fight against Mark Carney in the recent Canadian election through editorials and frequent articles in his former flagship newspaper in Canada, *The National Post*.

In his prime, he was nearly successful in his attempt to bring down President Clinton, but was now powerless to bring down the representative of a new generation of Canadian “British Empire Men,” Mark Carney.

5. Sir William Stephenson, a native of Toronto, became famous in America after a best-selling book by William Stephenson, titled *A Man Called Intrepid*, was published by Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, in 1976.

6. See also Brookfield’s new division called the Brookfield Renewable Partners L.P., and page 83 of [their report](#), “Appendix 2: Engagement with ESG framework & organizations.”

7. It is notable that the newly installed Chancellor of Germany, Friedrich Merz, was previously head of BlackRock, Germany.

8. Harley Schlanger and Paul Gallagher, “[Forget Greta: The Central Bankers Are the Real Eco-Fascists](#),” *EIR*, Vol. 46, No. 39, Oct. 4, 2019, pp. 9-12.