
V. From Lyndon LaRouche

October 1994

The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Feb. 12—*The following is the prologue written by American statesman and economist Lyndon LaRouche for an EIR special report titled The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor. The epilogue for the report, also written by Mr. LaRouche, will be published in a future issue. The full report can be found [here](#).*

“In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.”

—Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh¹

During the recent five years, approximately, the British press and book-publishing businesses have been occupied by an increasing intensity of scandals directed against the Royal Family, the House of Windsor.

The most recent of these appeals to the prurient interest of the gossiping public, former cavalry officer James Hewitt’s contributions to the authorship of *Princess in Love*,² has carried this mounting scandal above the threshold of certain influential Britons’ reluctance to speak out. Exemplary is the statement early this month by Harold Brooks-Baker, the publishing director of *Burke’s Peerage*: “We are extremely close to the end of the House of Windsor,” adding that, among Britain’s “educated upper classes,” the view of the House of Windsor has become “negative.” Brooks-Baker foresaw the likelihood that the Windsors

The sexual scandals do not topple thrones, or members of the U.S. Congress; however, like assassinations, they are often dredged up, or, like judicial “railroads” of targeted public figures, concocted as pretexts employed in service of dynastic or other purely political motives.

might be ousted by no later than sometime early during the next century.

It is only in low-grade fiction, or in worse precincts, such as today’s major news media, that an intimate affair with a lady (or, with a man less than a gentleman) is presented as the reason for the toppling of a throne. In fact, how little concern the general public has for either the incompetence or outrageously perverse behavior of

On Lyndon LaRouche’s 100th Birthday, Queen of England Stops Pushing Dope



Cartoon by Claudio Celani.

Editor’s Note: This article was first published in *EIR*, Vol. 21, No. 43, October 28, 1994.

its preferred celebrities, is shown by such disgusting phenomena as the existence of Hollywood stars' fan clubs, by the Soviet Central Committee's selection of a man virtually dead to succeed General Secretary Yuri Andropov, or by the all-too-frequent behavior shown by a majority of American voters almost any election night. The sexual scandals do not topple thrones, or members of the U.S. Congress; however, like assassinations, they are often dredged up, or, like judicial "railroads" of targeted public figures, concocted as pretexts employed in service of dynastic or other purely political motives.

After the facts presented in this special report are considered, the British establishment's strategic, historical motives for dumping the Windsors should become obvious.

This report documents the fact, that for more than thirty years, Prince Philip has personally directed his World Wildlife Fund in genocide against the population of Sub-Saharan Africa. For most of us, that Hitler-like behavior would be grounds to convict the monarchy. However, the relevant strata of the British oligarchy are not like us; they are typified, as an institution, by the common inhumanity otherwise seen among the leading London, Geneva, and Wall Street bankers, financial houses, and the London *Economist* of today; for reasons to be supplied in the closing section of this report, most such persons probably would not consider genocide directed against darker-complexioned peoples of Sub-Saharan Africa sufficient grounds for annoying the Royal Family, let alone toppling it.

This report documents also the facts which do affright even the calloused consciences of London oligarchs. The Windsors continue to spread that same mass-murderous, New Age policy which we witness in the World Wildlife Fund operations against Africans, also into the Americas, Europe, Asia, and Australia. This threatens the imminent downfall of a global civilization already racked by the worst financial instability which Europe has seen since the middle of the 14th Century. A continuation of the policies of the Windsors, and also of the corruption-soaked former Prime Minister, Baroness Margaret Thatcher,³ under such present financial and economic circumstances, can bring on a planetwide plunge into chaos, taking the London-led international oligarchy down with the rest of us.

Think of the Windsors as like the bus driver of a vehicle, today's remains of the British Empire. Ordinarily, the spectacle of the terrified passengers in a

public conveyance being operated by a besotted clown, would merely amuse those sadists of globalist financier oligarchy, were they themselves not among the passengers. In that view, one might better understand why, during the past five-odd years, the relevant portions of the British establishment at large have oozed into the opinion that that decadent dynasty, the Windsors, must go.

The Charges Against the Royals

Ladies and gentlemen of the readership's international jury, we have brought you to this court to hear charges arising from one of the most monstrous crimes committed in all known human history, a crime perpetrated on a vast scale. We present to you here the evidence, that for the past thirty-four years, since its founding in 1961, a wicked organization, calling itself by such names as the World Wildlife Fund, has engaged in willful genocide against the nations and peoples of the Sub-Sahara regions of East, West, and South Africa. We shall prove to you, that throughout all of this period, the "kingpin" of this criminal conspiracy has been Prince Philip, also known as the Duke of Edinburgh, and as Consort to the reigning Queen of the United Kingdom.

We show you here, from his own public utterances, that not only has Prince Philip been the titular head of this criminal conspiracy. You will discover that he has played this role with full consciousness of the criminal intent of his organization's policies. We show you here, that he has repeatedly stated his desire to bring about the deaths of countless millions of people, to which monstrous crime he has professed to have been driven by the same quality of motive which impelled the Conservative Revolution's Adolf Hitler⁴ to the purpose of drastically reducing certain human populations of this planet. We show you here the evidence, that he has advocated the policies by means of which this genocide, including that ongoing in Rwanda, has been brought about, in Her Majesty's service, through concerted action of the World Wildlife Fund and British Crown. We present to you the evidence, that he, by the word of his own hand and mouth, and with shameless braggadocio, has adopted publicly many of those programs which the World Wildlife Fund and its affiliates have deployed to bring about against Africans, and also others, that genocide which was the Prince's explicit standing instruction and documented intent.

This evidence will show that he is personally responsible for this ongoing and attempted genocide

It is readily and fairly calculated, that the policy-measures which the Prince and his accomplices have adopted, and are implementing currently would, if allowed, reduce the population of this planet from a current level of about 5.3 billions persons, to much less than 1 billion within about two generations, chiefly through the hyperbolically self-accelerating impact of famine and epidemic diseases of persons, animals, and plants.

against the peoples of Sub-Saharan Africa, and, also, of other parts of this planet.

The evidence shows that this Royal Consort is guilty of this crime not only in his own person, but in his capacity as the designated princely “kingpin” of this horrible undertaking. There are many other culpable parties: the House of Windsor as an institution; many individual accomplices, simply on their own account; officials of governments such as the Major government’s British Overseas Development Minister, Lady Lynda Chalker; wealthy families, in addition to his own; powerful financier and other corporate interests; highly paid officials of well-financed and complicit charitable and other private organizations; and many others: the list reads, as it should, like a summary of the Nuremberg Trials’ categories of criminals under the rubric of crimes against humanity.

To catalog the number and variety of each and all of the accomplices known to us would require many volumes of documentation. The authors and editors of this special report have limited ourselves to presenting sufficient evidence to demonstrate the global enormity of the ongoing crimes being committed by this London-directed cabal, and to bring to the bar of the public conscience’s justice those principal perpetrators and their leading accomplices whose assured complicity in this crime is shown beyond doubt by the evidence at hand.

In the earlier portion, the evidence presented to you will focus upon the manner in which genocide was planned and executed against the nations and populations of Sub-Saharan Africa. Thereafter, the focus of the evidence is shifted, to bring in facts which show that the Prince and his accomplices have used their genocide in Africa as a model for deploying the same roster of international institutions and policies in presently ongoing operations against most of the world’s nations and peoples of this planet.

You will see evidence of the World Wildlife Fund’s key role in the efforts to ravish the sovereign existence

of the world’s leading power, the United States of America, and the implicitly treasonous role of the Prince’s co-thinkers and other accomplices, as persons and as organizations, inside the United States. You will see the impact of these same operations in other parts of the Americas, operations intended to destroy Canada, Mexico, Venezuela, Brazil, Peru, Bolivia, and Argentina, all as part of the same global operation tested in genocide against Africans. You will see the virtual obliteration of the industrial culture, the national sovereignty, and even the mortal existence of the nation called Australia. You will see exemplary evidence of the same operations being conducted against countries of Asia and continental Europe.

It is readily and fairly calculated, that the policy-measures which the Prince and his accomplices have adopted, and are implementing currently would, if allowed, reduce the population of this planet from a current level of about 5.3 billions persons, to much less than 1 billion within about two generations, chiefly through the hyperbolically self-accelerating impact of famine and epidemic diseases of persons, animals, and plants. Under conditions produced as a byproduct of such concentrated shock to the collective immune system of all higher forms of life, it is by no means assured that any human beings would exist at the close of the coming century, if the Prince and his accomplices were not stopped, and the policies reversed, now. We might hope such a holocaust were not certain, but we dare not risk that wish; in any case, the Prince, his accomplices, and their evil policies must be stopped now.

One must not overestimate the morality of those sections of the oligarchy which are working toward the ouster of the Windsors, of the Thatcherites, or both. From the standpoint of such factions of the oligarchy, the essential crime of the Windsors and the Thatcherites (like the Bush-leaguers in the U.S.A.) is not that the oligarchy has strong objections to the Hitler-like immorality of the Windsors’ genocidal practices, but rather only the Windsors’ manifest fanatical stupidity,

their decadence. No doubt, many of the eugenicists of the oligarchy view this “fanatical stupidity” as the result of something like a flaw in the family’s genes, whether from Philip’s side of the family, or to be found already among Victoria’s brood.

Put summarily, the world seems headed toward Hell in the proverbial wheelbarrow, and the Windsors (and Thatcherites) won’t get off the track of their failed New Age experiments. They appear as a degenerate stage of a species, which is no longer capable of presenting, or tolerating new ideas, at a time that valid new conceptions of policy are desperately wanted.

In brief, if our adversary recognizes that his recent strategic reverses are caused by the fact that several of his generals are fanatically stupid commanders, one should acknowledge the fact that this probably correct perception has arisen, but should not fear that our reporting that truth renders *EIR* in any sense well-wishers of the adversary’s cause.

Rather, such developments on the adversary’s side as the recognition that the current basket of Windsors or Thatcherites may be the proverbial “runts of the litter,” should warn us not to be misled into any self-deluding scapegoating of Prince Philip. He has been but the defective instrument of a policy-interest which was defined millennia before he was born, and will be a continuing danger for at least the several decades immediately before us, after he is departed.

The crime documented in this report is by far the greatest crime perpetrated in all human history: the assured destruction of all civilized human life on this planet, and perhaps, possibly, also a set of wicked actions leading to the extinction of the human species. Since 1961, Prince Philip has supplied a crucial leading role in heading up this global criminal conspiracy, but he did not originate the underlying policy. That policy we trace immediately to the Nineteenth-Century Darwin-Huxley circles and their role in creating the eugenics network which, among its other productions, sponsored the Hitler dictatorship in Germany, and also its satellite, Margaret Sanger’s Planned Parenthood organization. Prince Philip’s role should be seen as continuing that same tradition which produced Hitler earlier, and to carry that criminal tradition to new extremes, of which some television fans might say, “To boldly go where no man has gone before.”

Then, If You Find Them Guilty...

Following our presentation of the evidence against the culpable Prince and his more notable accomplices,

we have appended an appropriate “sentencing report.” That concluding section will provide you jurors a summary of what known human history has to tell us about the origins and nature of the specific quality of criminality which the Prince, the World Wildlife Fund, and their accomplices represent. When you decide how you must judge the perpetrators charged here, we ask that you not lose sight of the setting in which this case is being heard.

The evil permeating the criminality of the Prince and his accomplices is very old, as old as the dimly lit fringes of earliest known history. The ultimate enemy is not this unfortunate Prince, but rather that specific tradition of criminality fairly described as “oligarchism.” That oligarchism is the specific infectious agent responsible for the moral and mental sickness shown by the accused Prince and his accomplices. In selecting a just and prudent course of action in the matter of the ongoing criminality documented here, we must rise above the prevailing decadence of most current international legal and related practice, to cure the problem, rather than, as the victors perverted the post-World War II Nuremberg Trials, seeking to hide our own earlier negligence behind some conspicuous suffering imposed upon inculpated scapegoats.

It is shown to us, respecting the character of this moment of history, that the world has tolerated for more than three decades a criminal conspiracy of an enormity already vastly exceeding, in its toll upon humanity to date, the memorable atrocities of Hitler’s crew. We do not go so far as to suggest that this signals the onset of an Apocalypse; but, it does symptomize a crisis fairly seen as permeated with an apocalyptic quality. This case expresses the threatened onset of a “new dark age,” global in scope, echoing, and exceeding in intensity the “new dark ages” attending the collapse of the Roman Empire in Western Europe, and the so-called “New Dark Age” of Europe’s 14th Century.

In brief, the enormity of the Prince’s crime, presently coinciding with the threatened imminent disintegration of the global monetary and financial systems, bespeaks the close of a five-hundred-odd-year cycle in the history of European civilization. To the historian, such a spectacle is to be compared only with the numerous earlier, analogous cases of closing periods of dynastic collapse which have characterized the known history of every culture on this planet, prior to the Renaissance advent of modern European Christian civilization. No dynasty, however ancient or powerful, of whatever culture, has ever survived the end of such a dynastic

cycle. The Windsors show no talent for becoming an exception to that rule.

Such evidence helps the jurors assembled better to understand that this Windsor dynasty were almost certainly turned into a pitied relic very soon, whatever we do or fail to do in this proceeding. Our task is not to punish the Windsors (although we may be hastening their retirement), but rather to act with greater prudence than any ruling culture has shown at the close of any earlier time or place of apocalyptic crisis.

Our work here will be rightly judged not by some silly “Nuremberg-style” sentence we might recommend for the Prince and his accomplices. It is relevant to this admonition, to recognize, from considering how many millions of onlookers have tolerated the nature and scope of the crimes which the Prince has conducted openly, how little enduring good was done, or personal political courage shown by the Nuremberg courts after World War II. The nominally exonerated Schacht, and Auschwitz’s von Knieriem had but recently departed the stage, and the benches at Nuremberg had scarcely grown cold, in 1961, when the Prince and his accomplices launched a scheme vaster and more evil than any yet known to have been conducted or conceived by Hitler’s crew.

The crimes of the House of Windsor must be seen rightly by this jury, by borrowing the eyes of history. The Prince’s is a most monstrous crime, but yet, more significantly, the symptom of the terminal sickness of a civilization which has tolerated the conspicuous promotion of such evil policies and agencies. The essence of the matter is that this Prince has been a cat’s-paw of the decadence of our age, and, in that way, a marker for the onrushing doom of ruling global institutions bereft of the moral fitness to survive. It is that latter sickness, not the mere symptom, to which our justice must address its curative powers.

We conclude these opening remarks thus: The challenge posed by the evidence set before you, is:

Can you, the jurors, be provoked by the horror of the fact that no solution for the collapse of this civilization exists under the presently generally accepted rules of conduct, into discovering the needed radical changes in axioms of policymaking, those changes which might enable humanity to rebuild a ruined society immediately from the ruins of the collapsed dynasty, without living through the intervening nightmare of yet another “New Dark Age”?

To a summary of that purpose, we shall return in the concluding epilogue of this proceeding today.

Endnotes

1. As reported by Deutsche Presse Agentur (DPA), August 1988. Compare this statement with the Prince’s 1986 Foreword to *People as Animals*: “I just wonder what it would be like to be reincarnated in an animal whose species had been so reduced in numbers that it was in danger of extinction. What would be its feelings toward the human species whose population explosion had denied it somewhere to exist.... I must confess that I am tempted to ask for reincarnation as a particularly deadly virus.” Fleur Cowles, *People as Animals*, Foreword by HRH Prince Philip, (United Kingdom: Robin Clark Ltd., 1986). Compare the opinion of that really high-minded Prince with the words of his intellectual forerunner, Bertrand Russell: “But bad times, you say, are exceptional, and can be dealt with by exceptional methods. This has been more or less true during the honeymoon period of industrialism, but it will not remain true unless the increase of population of the world is enormously diminished.... War, so far, has had no very great effect on this increase, which continued through each of the world wars. [War] has been disappointing in this respect ... but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could spread through the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full.... The state of affairs may be somewhat unpleasant, but what of it? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people’s.” Bertrand Russell, *The Impact of Science Upon Society* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1953), pp. 102-104.

2. Anna Pasternak, *Princess in Love* (London: Bloomsbury Publishing, Ltd., 1994).

3. A much-publicized, brewing legal action against her son, Mark Thatcher, in Texas courts, has put the former Prime Minister into the London press limelight as a foolishly dotting mother who resorted to the highest degree of corruption in office for the purpose of elevating her peculiarly unsuitable son to millionaire status. In addition to this disgusting example of nepotism, Thatcher is vulnerable to the airing of many other unpleasant truths, should their muster be required. The bringing down of Thatcher’s influence, and, therefore, that of her former U.S. toady, George Bush, accords with the same strategic motives prompting a faction of the oligarchy to topple the decadent Royals.

4. Cf. Armin Mohler, *Die Konservative Revolution in Deutschland. 1918-1932* (Darmstadt: 1972) [now in translation as *The Conservative Revolution in Germany, 1918-1932*]. The Nazi Party, like Adolf Hitler a creation of the imperial principedom’s Thule Society, was but one variety of a populous species known as the “Conservative Revolution.” This includes a leading Nazi ideologue of the 1933-45 interval, Martin Heidegger, also Friedrich von Hayek of Mont Pelerin Society notoriety, and also many who fit into the ideological category of Universal Fascism-advocate Michael Ledeen’s “neoconservative” fans of radical “free trade” and socio-economic “chaos theory” today.