

Frantic Crown Launches New Attacks on LaRouche

by Allen Douglas

Acting for the Queen's Privy Council, the B'nai B'rith Anti-Defamation Commission Inc. of Australia (ADC) has made a submission to the Australian Parliament, demanding that the Citizens Electoral Council (CEC), LaRouche's co-thinkers in Australia, be "de-registered" as a federal political party. The ADC's move was made on Oct. 1—just before the CEC garnered the highest votes in its history, in recent parliamentary elections.

Nominally a Jewish civil rights group, the ADC is in fact a front group for Queen Elizabeth's Privy Council, three of whose Australian members dominate its board. Their outrageous, Hitler-style demand to ban a political party was followed a few weeks later, by an early-November tirade against LaRouche by one James Dale Davidson, a business associate of the longtime editor of the *London Times*, oligarch and fanatical royalist Lord William Rees-Mogg. In his publication *Vantage Point*, Davidson ranted at length that LaRouche had enormous influence over Brazil's President-elect Luiz Inácio "Lula" da Silva, and reported speculation that LaRouche might even become Brazil's new finance minister.

The recent, Crown-related attacks on LaRouche in Australia and Brazil echo those of two years ago in the same two countries. In January 2001, the ADC demanded in a press release that the CEC be silenced via the ADC-sponsored "racial vilification legislation" which provided for AUS\$30,000 in fines and six months in jail. Simultaneously, Prince Philip's World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) in Brazil attempted to shut down LaRouche's associates there through a spurious court case (which the WWF has since lost), that produced a police raid upon a LaRouche-affiliated office. LaRouche's visibility and influence has grown dramatically in both countries over the last two years, particularly in Brazil, where he has been made an honorary citizen of São Paulo, the world's third largest city, and where his friend Dr. Enéas Carneiro was just elected to Congress with the largest vote in history.

But neither the recent attacks, nor those of two years ago, were caused by local events. Rather, they reflect the hysteria of the British Crown-centered financial oligarchy about LaRouche's burgeoning international strategic influence, as the world plunges into the worst depression in centuries.

Long ago, the Crown and its retainers singled out the American statesman as the most dangerous threat to their global power, just as George III and his flunkies were terrified of Benjamin Franklin, George Washington, and their associates. LaRouche today uniquely embodies the idea of the general welfare upon which the United States was established as a sovereign republic. This "historical exception" has repeatedly threatened to usher in a new political order worldwide, to replace the empires which have dominated mankind. Indeed, the ADC's submission complained that, "In a sense, the whole LaRouche scenario [for replacing globalization with a New Bretton Woods] is a replay of the American War of Independence. It is up to an America enthused with his ideology and practices to defeat the machinations of the British-led Oligarchy."

Lord Beaverbrook's Kindergarten

In discussions with associates, LaRouche has identified the Anglo-American faction behind the latest wave of attacks, as that associated with "Lord Beaverbrook's Kindergarten." It includes such press barons as Rupert Murdoch, owner of the *New York Post*, Lord William Rees-Mogg's *London Times*, and the majority of Australia's press; and Canadian Privy Councillor Conrad Black, owner of the *Daily Telegraph* and *Jerusalem Post*, among many others. This hard core of the Crown's assets in the Anglo-American intelligence and security agencies, is the dead center of the Utopian "war party" driving for a war against Iraq. Lord Beaverbrook was Minister of Information for His Majesty's British government during World War I, as well as the owner of Britain's largest private media empire. After the war, he played a key role in reorganizing the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS), so as to integrate Britain's media within it. This gives SIS, which has reported solely to the British Crown since its establishment in the 16th Century, a far more sophisticated propaganda apparatus than Hitler's Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels ever enjoyed. One of Beaverbrook's key collaborators in this project, which included the establishment of the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC), was H.G. Wells, co-founder with Lord Bertrand Russell of that Utopian faction to which Murdoch, Black, et al., belong. Murdoch, like other press lords and intelligence figures, was trained by Beaverbrook personally in London in the 1950s and sent back to Australia, from where he has built one of the world's largest media conglomerates.

Canadian media tycoon Conrad Black, whose press has repeatedly attacked LaRouche, represents the same British SIS pedigree. Black got his start in his father's company, Argus Corp, which was founded during World War II as War Supplies, Ltd., a front for the British Ministry of Munitions and Supplies, based in Canada. As the Crown's agent in procuring military equipment from the United States, George Montagu Black made a fortune. In 1985, his son Conrad took over, changed the company name to Hollinger, and shifted its



Lyndon LaRouche defeated a round of international attacks by British Crown agents, sharpest in Brazil and Australia, at the time of President Bush's inauguration. This pamphlet was key in LaRouche's Australia counterattack. It focusses on the Privy Councillors of the Queen, who have now launched a new attempt to ban LaRouche, because of his influence in the deepening depression.

portfolio into media, rapidly purchasing more than 80 daily and 300 weekly newspapers in the United States. Today, the board of his Hollinger Inc. reads like "Command Central" for the Utopians' planned Iraq war. It features Pentagon Defense Policy Board head Richard Perle, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Henry Kissinger, Margaret Thatcher, William Buckley, and numerous high-ranking British oligarchs, among others. Black-controlled newspapers ran nasty attacks against LaRouche's associates in Australia in the mid-1990s, when Black reportedly telephoned LaRouche supporters personally to harass them.

Also in Beaverbrook's Kindergarten was former British MI6 station chief in New York, Arthur Ross, who deployed his son to infiltrate LaRouche's movement in the 1970s.

The Australian Battleground

Both the ADC's board, as well as its Oct. 1 submission against LaRouche's friends in the CEC, bespeak their royal sponsors. That board is almost entirely former Governors

General—the Queen's personal representative in Australia, and vested with her omnipotent juridical powers—and former prime ministers. The three most senior of the lot hold the rank of Privy Councillor: ADC Advisory Board Chairman the Rt. Hon. Sir Zelman Cowen, former Governor General, and Knight Grand Cross of the Order of St. Michael and St. George (one of the highest of the Crown's "chivalric" orders); the Rt. Hon. Sir Ninian Stephen, former Governor General, Knight Grand Cross of the Order of St. Michael and St. George; and the Rt. Hon. Malcolm Fraser, longtime Liberal Party prime minister.

The Privy Council is the ruling body of the British Commonwealth/Empire. Its smelly tradition dates to feudal times, when only the Crown's most intimate advisers were allowed to approach their sovereign on the commode (the xprivy×).

In his cover letter to its parliamentary submission, ADC Chairman Dr. Paul Gardner, a former Australian "liaison" at the U.S. Anti-Defamation League's headquarters in New York, argued that the CEC should be banned because "its objective is to undermine the very political system that gives it succor," as the "unabashed mouthpiece of Lyndon LaRouche in Australia." The rest of the submission clarifies the real content of the "political system" which the ADC is defending: "Given that post-industrialism is at full throttle, and into the foreseeable future unlikely to diminish, the potential growth for groups such as the CEC is most evident, with a prospect that could spell danger for the nation's democratic system."

The submission complained that the CEC "has already contested past State and Federal elections, and is preparing for the next crop." However, being oh-so-democratic, the ADC noted that, "Given the understandable growing unease over globalization and its associated notions such as free trade, deregulation and privatization, there seems to be, on the linguistic plane, justification for Left and extreme Right critiques."

But not, apparently, for LaRouche.

Among his other outrageous crimes, the ADC complains, LaRouche attacks the Mont Pelerin Society, the economic warfare unit of the British Crown established in London in the 1950s with financing from the Queen's personal money manager, City of London financier Harley Drayton. Drayton also used the royal purse to build up the notorious Lonrho Corporation, which looted and pillaged Africa for decades under his protégé Tiny Rowland—a prominent example of Queen Elizabeth and Prince Philip's much-vaunted love for "indigenous peoples." The Mont Pelerin Society, the mother of the entire privatization/deregulation/anti-big government movement worldwide after World War II, has to be protected from the CEC/LaRouche, the ADC whines, because. "In Australia its [Mont Pelerin's] membership reads as the Who's Who of Australian capitalism. Included are all the major banks, insurance companies and financial institutions, as well as British transnational corporations such as Rio Tinto, mega

media moguls, the Australian Farmers' Federation, and influential conservative think-tanks such as the H.R. Nicholls Society, the Tasman Institute, and the Centre for Independent Studies."

The "influential conservative think-tanks" are out-and-out Mont Pelerin subsidiaries, whose associates frequently become Australian government ministers, or even prime minister, as in the case of the present Prime Minister, John Howard. Reflecting its royal patrons, the ADC is particularly hysterical about LaRouche's plans for "re-industrialization of the economy" through a New Bretton Woods international monetary system, in which "each nation would implement simultaneously the LaRouche economic plan of domination [sic], centralization and protectionism."

Rees-Mogg alter ego Davidson wrung his hands on precisely the same economic policy issues—the alleged impact of "LaRouche's anti-market, anti-trade and anti-investor tirades" on Brazil's President-elect Lula, "leader of the world's fourth-largest democracy."

No Local Affair

Throughout most of the 1990s, the attacks on LaRouche in Australia came from the two dominant figures in Australia's Zionist Lobby, the Melbourne brothers and businessmen Isi and Mark Leibler. Isi Leibler's career as a Zionist leader was sponsored by Canadian booze baron and multi-billionaire Edgar Bronfman, a key figure in the same British-American-Canadian (BAC) Crown-centered faction as Black and Murdoch. After Isi Leibler moved to Israel in the late 1990s, the CEC's repeated hammering of Mark Leibler for such dubious ventures as his "tax minimization" schemes for Australia's richest families, discredited him. The Privy Council front, the ADC, then took the point to publicly attack LaRouche.

However, the ADC in turn was badly stung, after LaRouche responded to the early 2001 Brazil/Australia outbursts with his article, "Look What Happened in Brazil" (*EIR*, Feb. 9, 2001). The CEC printed and circulated 50,000 copies of a pamphlet entitled, *The Real Story Behind Queen Elizabeth's Fascist Racial Vilification Legislation*. It featured LaRouche's article, a lengthy, well-documented chronicle of his clash with the Crown. As an appendix, the pamphlet also included the whole sordid history of the Leibler-controlled Jabotinskyite wing of the Australian Zionist lobby.

After that, nothing of anti-LaRouche significance had been heard from either the Leiblers or the ADC, until the latter's Oct. 1 call for the CEC to be banned, whose seeming out-of-the-blue appearance bespoke precisely the global strategic origin of that attack.

To appreciate the terror driving the latest anti-LaRouche fulminations by royal toadies, compare the strategic situation today, to that of two years ago. George W. Bush had just been inaugurated as the 43rd President on Jan. 20, 2001; an occasion which, as LaRouche evaluated at the time, "defined

the global setting" in which the simultaneous attacks were launched against him in Brazil and Australia within days of that inauguration.

Back then, the Crown had good reason to be optimistic: The new President's father had been knighted by Queen Elizabeth, for, among other services, his role in launching the first war against Iraq, "Desert Storm"; Sir George's son became President because the Al Gore/George W. Bush Presidential race had been successfully rigged by the financier establishment to keep LaRouche out; and a new Middle East war was already being brewed by the Crown and its assets, even then.

Today LaRouche, far from being shunted to the political sidelines, has emerged as a crucial factor in that uniquely American institution, the Presidency—including leading military figures, past Presidents, senior figures inside and outside the Executive branch, and other influentials—which has played the decisive role in frustrating the attempt by the Crown's Anglo-American Utopians to launch a new war in Iraq and unleash a Clash of Civilizations. In that mission, LaRouche has found himself side by side with friends, advisers, and former associates of "Bush 41"—a lawful irony of history, given the nature of the United States and its unique institutions.

Royal Family Under Attack

For another glimpse at how the worm has turned, look at the Dec. 14 issue of *New Idea*, Australia's second largest women's magazine, released shortly after the ADC's Parliamentary submission. One would normally expect such a magazine to be a bastion of pro-royal conservatism, but *New Idea* let loose with a scorcher of a cover story, entitled "Sordid Secrets of the Royal Family." After listing a series of royal scandals—including homosexual debauchery, drug usage, income tax evasion, Nazi links, protection of Soviet spies, etc.—the magazine zeroed in on the most damaging of all, the Crown's likely role in the assassination of the beloved Princess Diana, the "People's Princess," and her friend Dodi Fayed. This opened with a brief review of a new book on the subject which "confirms what other royal insiders have said for five years—that Princess Diana was killed because of a plot by pro-royal fanatics." *New Idea* then featured the evaluation of a longtime LaRouche associate, "respected investigator Jeffrey Steinberg, senior editor of the influential *Executive Intelligence Review*." Steinberg was quoted at length on the royal family's planning "to deal with the 'Diana and Dodi problem.'"

To reach us on the Web:
www.larouchepub.com